



Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Related Myositis: From Biology to Bedside

Antonio G. Solimando ^{1,2}, Lucilla Crudele ², Patrizia Leone ², Antonella Argentiero ¹, Matteo Guarascio ², Nicola Silvestris ¹, Angelo Vacca ² and Vito Racanelli ^{2,*}

- ¹ IRCCS Istituto Tumori "Giovanni Paolo II", 70124 Bari, Italy; antonio.solimando@uniba.it (A.G.S.); argentieroantonella@gmail.com (A.A.); n.silvestris@oncologico.bari.it (N.S.)
- ² Department of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology, Unit of Internal Medicine "Guido Baccelli", University of Bari Medical School, 70124 Bari, Italy; lucillacrudele@gmail.com (L.C.); patrizia.leone@uniba.it (P.L.); guarasciomatteo@gmail.com (M.G.); angelo.vacca@uniba.it (A.V.)
- * Correspondence: vito.racanelli1@uniba.it; Tel.: +39-080-547-8050

Received: 30 March 2020; Accepted: 24 April 2020; Published: 26 April 2020



Abstract: Immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI)-related inflammatory diseases, including polymyositis (PM) and dermatomyositis (DM), in patients suffering from neoplastic disorders represent a medical challenge. The treatment of these conditions has taken on new urgency due to the successful and broad development of cancer-directed immunological-based therapeutic strategies. While primary and secondary PM/DM phenotypes have been pathophysiologically characterized, a rational, stepwise approach to the treatment of patients with ICI-related disease is lacking. In the absence of high-quality evidence to guide clinical judgment, the available data must be critically assessed. In this literature review, we examine partially neglected immunological and clinical findings to obtain insights into the biological profiles of ICI-related PM/DM and potential treatment options. We show that differential diagnosis is essential to stratifying patients according to prognosis and therapeutic impact. Finally, we provide a comprehensive assessment of druggable targets and suggest a stepwise patient-oriented approach for the treatment of ICI-related PM/DM.

Keywords: immune checkpoint inhibitors; immune-related adverse events; myositis; dermatomyositis; polymyositis; autoimmunity; cancer

1. Introduction

Immune surveillance has emerged as a pivotal issue in the invasiveness of both visceral [1] and skeletal [2,3] malignancies, as it fuels a vicious cycle between the neoplastic cells and the immune microenvironment. Immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI)-based approaches, aimed at interrupting corrupted immune bystander cells and reactivating an effective anti-cancer response, represent one of the most significant therapeutic innovations in the oncologic landscape to date [4] with an ability to target solid [5,6] and hematological [7,8] malignancies. However, among the side effects of ICI therapy is ICI-related polymyositis (PM), an inflammatory process affecting the skeletal muscles. While this condition is rare, it can be severe and potentially deadly, as it may cause rhabdomyolysis in striated muscle, including the myocardium. PM can occur as a reactivation of a previous paraneoplastic polymyositis or dermatomyositis (DM) or as a new entity [9,10]. Clinically, PM/DM manifests as worsening muscle weakness and myalgias. Compared to non-ICI-related forms of inflammatory myositis, oculomotor and axial muscle involvement, including diplopia and muscular weakness as suggestive symptoms, have been reported [11–14]. An involvement of the bulbar musculature can cause dysarthria, dysphonia or dysphagia. Physical examination will reveal skin signs suggestive of DM, while a careful history can rule out alternative causes, such as chronic steroid myopathy.

Blood chemistry tests support the diagnosis by detecting elevated serum levels of muscle damage markers (creatine phosphokinase, lactate dehydrogenase, transaminases, aldolases) and in some patients myositis-specific or myositis-related antibodies. ICI-therapy-related variants are characterized by the frequent involvement of other targets of the peripheral nervous system and of the myocardium (myasthenia gravis [MG], polyradiculoneuritis, myocarditis), which can be detected using specific tests: increased troponin levels suggest cardiac involvement; an electromyoneurography (EMG/ENG) study can confirm the presence of myogenic damage or the presence of neuropathic damage or neuromuscular plaque disease. Further information might be obtained with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the muscle and/or muscle biopsy; the latter can identify secondary manifestations, such as giant cell arteritis, systemic lupus, and sarcoidosis.

In a meta-analysis of adverse events related to ICI, the incidence of grade 3–5 adverse events involving the central nervous system (encephalitis, encephalopathy, aseptic meningitis or myelitis) was 0.46% (22 of 4775 ICI-treated patients in 12 studies). In the same analysis, the incidence of peripheral neuropathy of any degree was 5% (220 of 4390 patients exposed to ICI in 17 studies), significantly lower than that occurring in association with conventional chemotherapy. Among 3128 patients from eight studies, the meta-analysis found four cases of grade 3–5 MG (0.13%) and three of grade 3–5 myositis (0.10%) [15]. However, the clinical scenario is often multifaced, being associated with several other uncommon features. Indeed, the presence of bulbar symptoms, dysphagia, or ocular motor symptoms can suggest the diagnosis of MG that it is not confirmed by antibody levels and/or nerve stimulation tests. A small portion have also cardiac myositis, which turns out to be among the most fatal of all the irAEs. Any of these three compartments of myasthenia-skeletal muscle myositis or carditis can occur individually or all together, variably impacting the patient outcome.

1.1. Biological Background: Bridging the Gaps between Immune Checkpoint Inhibition and Physiopathology ICI-Related Disease

Among the functions of the human immune system is tumor surveillance. In this stepwise response, antigen-presenting cells (APCs) control the tumoral antigen load by priming and activating T cells, which in turn recognize and then destroy the malignancy, thus releasing an even higher tumoral antigen load, which again elicits an immune response. However, when a single step in this process is impaired, the tumor can grow exponentially. Immune-directed therapy attempts to restore the homeostatic equilibrium [16]. One of the pharmacodynamically most successful ICI-related approaches to enhancing the anti-tumor immune response consists of interfering with the negative costimulation of T cells, by inhibiting PD-1, PD-L1, and CTLA-4 [17]. PD-1 (CD279) is a type I transmembrane receptor expressed on the surfaces of T cells, B cells, monocytes, natural killer cells, and dendritic cells. It has two physiological ligands: PD-L1 (B7-H1, CD274) and PD-L2 (B7-DC, CD273). These Ig-like transmembrane receptors are also expressed on the cell surface [17]. CTLA-4 is a CD28 homolog with a high affinity for B7-1/2. The binding of CTLA-4 to B7-1/2 acts as a co-inhibitory signal that hinders early T cell activation [18]. A study in CTLA-4-deficient animals demonstrated the pivotal role of CTLA-4 in halting T-cell-mediated immune anti-tumor activity [19]. Inborn immunity errors of immune checkpoints similarly predispose patients to autoimmune manifestations. For example, in CHAI/LATAIE, a type of CTLA-4 insufficiency, the clinical features mirror those of ipilimumab-related responses [19]. ICI modulators interrupt T cells and APCs, but also cancer cells. The immune checkpoints involved in these processes are also relevant in the pathogenesis and treatment of rheumatic diseases. The difference is that while in cancer therapy the negative stimulation is halted by ICI administration, in the treatment of autoimmunity, negative costimulation is promoted [9].

However, in the anti-tumoral activity of ICIs, a normal inflammatory reaction is elicited that can lead to immune-related adverse events (irAEs). The biological mechanisms by which those adverse events take place are still poorly understood [13] but several pathophysiological pathways have been proposed (Figure 1).



Possible mechanisms of irAEs

Figure 1. Mechanisms of immune-related adverse events (irAEs): epitope-sharing (**a**); -spreading (**b**); direct toxicity (**c**) and flares of pre-existing autoimmune disorders (**d**). See text for details. Abbreviations: ICI = immune checkpoint inhibitor; TM = tumoral cell; MY = myocytes; Ab = antibody; NTM = non tumoral cell; APC = antigen presenting cell; PC = pituitary cell; LB = B lymphocyte.

Treg cells are responsible for self-tolerance and constitutively express CTLA-4 on their surfaces [20]. By triggering antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC)-mediated Treg depletion, CTLA-4 antagonists increase the T effector (Teff) cell proliferation and thus an immune response to the tumor [21]. PD-L1 antibodies break the link between PD-L1 and Treg, thus also reducing Treg generation. Depending on the corrupted equilibrium between Treg and Teff cells, a loss of peripheral tolerance induced by ICIs can drive not only the desired anti-tumor immune response but also autoimmune adverse events [21].

Additionally, the epitope-sharing (Figure 1a) between tumor and healthy tissue was shown to be the cause of a cross-reaction inducing Teff activation against self-tissues, evidenced in a patient who developed a lymphocyte infiltrate in heart and skeletal muscle upon ICI treatment [22].

An alternative mechanism leading to irAEs is represented by the epitope spreading (Figure 1b) [23], in which Teff-cell-mediated tumor cell death in the cancer-microenvironment causes the release of a huge amount of tumor antigens and self antigens. The resulting autoimmune reaction induces an uncontrolled propagation of this response [18].

Furthermore, autoptic evidence suggests that direct toxicity (Figure 1c) [24] can also trigger irAEs. This was shown in a subset of adenohypophyseal endocrine cells whose CTLA-4 expression mirrorred that of T cells. Consequently, therapeutic administration of an anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody with antitumor effects could elicit type II and type IV hypersensitivity and hypophysitis.

Finally, preclinical predisposition to autoimmunity constitutes an exacerbation-initiating factor per se (Figure 1d) [25]. Patients with rheumatoid factor and auto-antibody positivity without clinical manifestations before treatment are more likely to develop irAEs. However, insights into the true impact of autoimmune substrates are lacking due to the exclusion from ICI trials of patients with autoimmune diseases. However, retrospective case series found no significant difference in the incidence of flares in patients with and without pre-existing autoimmune disorders [26–30].

1.2. Characteristic Autoantibody Patterns

The antibodies characteristic of inflammatory myopathies [31] are conventionally divided into those that are myositis-associated (MAAs) vs. myositis-specific (MSAs) [32]. Antibodies in the first group are a common feature of overlap syndromes involving other systemic autoimmune diseases [33], particularly scleroderma and systemic sclerosis. The best characterized antibodies are those that recognize the 52-kDa Ro/SSA antigen, a ribonucleoprotein associated with a complex that binds small RNA molecules; the DNAPK antigen (Ku/DNA-dependent protein kinase), a kinase necessary for DNA repair; and PM-Scl, a complex of 11 proteins with nucleolar localization that comprises the autoantigen of PM/scleroderma. The second group includes antibodies directed against histidyl transfer-RNA-synthetase (Jo-1) [34], the most frequently occurring antibodies. The latter are among a group of eight autoantibodies directed against aminoacyl-transfer-RNA-synthetase, which catalyses the binding of specific amino acids to transfer RNA. The presence of these autoantibodies identifies a subset of patients suffering from "anti-synthetase syndrome". Autoantibodies that bind the SRP (signal recognition particle) are also members of the second group. SRP is an RNA-protein cytoplasmic complex that recognizes secreted and membrane-associated proteins in addition to regulating the translocation of proteins through the endoplasmic reticulum. Anti-SRP autoantibodies are associated with particularly severe myositis, extensive necrosis, and an unfavorable prognosis. Anti-Mi-2 antibodies recognize a helicase that is part of the NuRD (nucleosome remodeling deacetylase) complex, which plays a key role in gene transcription. While anti-Mi-2 antibodies are very specific for DM and generally associated with a favorable prognosis, they also increase the risk of cancer [35].

DM is associated with several antibodies targeting melanoma differentiation antigen 5 (*MDA5*) [36] and transcriptional intermediary factor 1 (*TIF1*) [37]. Patients positive for anti-MDA5 antibodies often have high-grade palmar rash, digital ulcers, rapidly progressive interstitial lung involvement, and amyopathic DM [38] whereas those with anti-TIF1 and anti-NXP2 antibodies have an increased risk of malignancies [39].

In most of cases with ICI-related myositis, MSAs or MAAs were undetected. Nevertheless, in a subgroup of ICI-treated patients, enrolled in clinical trials, banked serum demonstrated pretreatment auto-antibody positivity. Since the presence of asymptomatic autoantibody, upon ICI treatment, can be followed by an explosive disease, an asymptomatic phenotype might constitute the early irAEs phase in subjects genetically predisposed to full-blown disease [40,41]. In the frame of this thinking, ICI candidate baseline screening might contribute to detect autoimmune predisposition. To this end, real life studies might be worthy, since most patients with autoimmune disease were censored from clinical trials.

2. Clinical Work-Up

Patients on ICI therapy must undergo neurological and muscular evaluation in the case of new-onset symptoms or a worsening of pre-existing neuro-muscular symptoms (Table 1). However, the diagnostic process is often complicated by pre-existing neuro-muscular involvement as well as by the presence of systemic conditions and metastases.

G1	G2	G3	G4
Mild pain	Moderate pain, associated with weakness; pain, that limits age-appropriate activities of daily life	Pain associated with severe weakness, that limits age-appropriate activities of daily life	Life-threatening implications

Table 1. Classification of the severity of ICI-related myositis [42].

Thus, the first objective must be the exclusion of other possible causes (progression of oncological disease, infectious, metabolic, autoimmune, paraneoplastic, or other neuro-muscular syndromes). Since there are no biological and clinical markers pathognomonic for ICI-related myositis, the differential

tests [44].

diagnosis remains the most informative component in the stepwise approach to a patient in whom myositis is strongly suspected on clinical grounds [43]. The temporal relationship of the symptoms to ICI treatment and the presence of radiological and/or bio-humoral signs of inflammation are useful in ruling out other causes (Table 2). Particular emphasis should guide the differential diagnosis approaching the patient with paraneoplastic manifestation due to muscular involvement. While all systemic conditions can be relatively easily differentiated from irAEs based on laboratory tests, the differential diagnosis between ICI-related and paraneoplastic muscular involvement can be challenging. The clinical signs of cancer progression and antibody positivity can support the approach to the patient with muscular involvement and cancer under immunotherapeutic treatments, by guiding the differential diagnosis between paraneoplastic manifestation and ICI-related myopathies. Both syndromes are associated with malignancies, nonetheless the paraneoplastic syndrome characteristically correlates with tumour

Table 2. Diagnostic approach to ICI-related PM/DM.

progression and specific immune-laboratories pathways, detectable by performing MAAs and MSAs

Any Grade							
Neurological and rheumatological anamnesis, rheumatological (inspection of the skin to identify signs suggestive of dermatomyositis) and neurological (muscle strength determination) objective examinations							
Blood chemistry tests including:							
 CPK, transaminases (AST, ALT), LDH, aldolase Cardiac enzymes (to identify possible concomitant myocarditis) Markers of inflammation (ESR, CRP) Consider searching for anti-AChR antibodies (to identify possible concomitant MG) and for antibodies causing neurological syndromes and paraneoplastic myositis 							
Consider a neurophysiological examination (needle EMG, neuromuscular plaque determination to identify possible concomitant MG, or a nerve conduction study to identify possible concomitant neuropathy)							
Consider muscle MRI or tissue biopsy if the diagnosis is uncertain							
CPK, ESR and CRP for follow-up							
Grade 2							
In addition to the above: Rapid rheumatological or neurological evaluation							
Grade 3							

In addition to the above: Urgent rheumatological or neurological evaluation

ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; PM/DM, polymyositis/dermatomyositis; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; LDH, Lactate dehydrogenase; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; AChR, acetylcholine receptor; MG, myasthenia gravis; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

A clinically relevant differential diagnosis should include paraneoplastic PM and DM, which constitute inflammatory myopathies characterized by weakness of the proximal limb muscles and pain of variable degree [45]. In general, in PM/DM, there is a progressive weakening of the muscles, especially at the level of the hips and thighs. The purple skin rash (heliotrope) seen in some patients is typically localized to the eyelids, bridge of the nose, cheeks, forehead, chest, elbows, knees, and around the nail bed [46]. Laboratory tests characteristically show an increase in creatine phosphokinase (CPK). The electromyographic pattern will suggest a myopathic process and inflammatory infiltrates, whereas muscle biopsy will reveal necrosis and atrophy of the fibers. Those conditions are found in association with cancer in 10% of patients [45,46]. The malignancy can precede or follow the onset of myositis for about two years. The incidence of cancer is higher in PM/DM patients over the age of 60,

such that in this population all tests to localize and identify the neoplasm are necessary. The highest prevalence (globally about 90% of the reported cases) of ICI-induced myositis is observed in lung (NSCLC), melanoma, and genitourinary tumors [11,12,41,47]. Conversely, paraneoplastic PM/DM are most frequently present in breast and lung tumors [46]. Remarkably, the proportion of major cancers related to ICI-related myositis might be biased by the prevalence of ICI treatment in a selected oncologic population. The broader diffusion of ICI treatment might impact the irAE epidemiologic landscape in the future.

2.1. Treatment of ICI-Induced Myositis: Corticosteroids

A multicenter case series published by Moreira et al. reported 20 cases of myositis (including those overlapping with MG, polyneuropathy, and myocarditis). Fifteen patients (79%) were treated with corticosteroids (unspecified), with a complete resolution of symptoms achieved in 50% [47]. In the series reported by Touat et al. [11], nine of 10 patients with ICI-related myositis underwent corticosteroid treatment (five with methylprednisolone 0.5–1 g/day i.v., four with prednisone 1 mg/kg/day orally), including three patients who received corticosteroids in combination with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) or plasmapheresis. All patients experienced clinical improvement, as determined by reductions in the Rankin score (mRS; medium mRS pretreatment: 3, medium mRS post-treatment 0.67) and biomarker levels as well as by a normalization of CPK values, within a median of 44 days. A case series published by Seki et al. in 2019 [12] described 19 patients with anti-PD-1 myositis (grade 2 in 10 patients, grade 3–5 in nine patients). Of the 17 patients (89%) treated with corticosteroids, nine (47%) received pulse methylprednisolone intravenously and oral tapering with prednisolone, and eight (42%) were treated with oral prednisolone (maximum average dose 38.75 mg/day). The five patients with severe PM (ECOG performance status \geq 3) received an additional line of therapy (IVIG in four patients, plasmapheresis in four, tacrolimus in one patient). After an average follow-up of 12 months, remission was reported in 53% (n = 10) and clinical improvement in 42% (n = 8). In a non-systematic review published in 2019, Kadota et al. [41] surveyed public datasets and identified 15 reports of ICI-related myositis, treatment, and clinical outcome. Five patients had concomitant myocarditis, and two had concomitant acetylcholine-receptor-positive (AChR) MG. All patients were treated with corticosteroid (posology not reported), in combination with IVIG in 40% (n = 6), plasmapheresis/plasma exchanges in 40% (*n* = 6), and infliximab in 13% (*n* = 2). Improvement was reported in 10 patients (67%). In the 2019 systematic review by Johansen et al. [48], 29 patients were considered to have ICI-related myopathy; 55% were treated with corticosteroid i.v. and 31% with oral corticosteroid. Despite the available evidence, the data are limited such that high-quality indications and guidelines for the treatment of myositis remain an unmet medical need.

However, the current therapeutic regimen in most patients consists of corticosteroids in those with grade 1–2 ICI myositis, such as oral prednisone 0.5–1 mg/kg/day followed by oral tapering. In patients with grade 3–4 ICI-related myositis, treatment with i.v. methylprednisolone 1 g/day for five days, followed by oral tapering (starting from prednisone 1.5 mg/kg/day) should be considered.

2.2. Treatment of ICI-Induced Myositis: Immunoglobulins and Plasmapheresis

Specific studies on the efficacy of IVIG or plasmapheresis treatment in patients with ICI-related myositis have yet to be conducted. Touat et al. and Moreira et al., in two independent case series, showed that IVIG was beneficial in patients with ICI myositis when provided in association with corticosteroids [11,47]. Seki et al. [12] reported the clinical benefit of plasmapheresis, either alone or in combination with IVIG. In their literature review, Kadota et al. [41] found that IVIG and plasmapheresis were effective when used in combination with other drugs, such as infliximab plus plasmapheresis. The systematic review by Johansen et al. [48], which included the cases reported by Kadota et al. [41], provided a comprehensive assessment of the immunological approaches to neuromuscular ICI-related side effects, including the effectiveness of IVIG and plasmapheresis. However, analyses of the potential benefit of corticosteroid treatment are complicated by the difficulty in extrapolating statistically

powered indications. Thus, clinicians should be guided by efficacy data based on the available reports as well as their own clinical judgment.

In patients with steroid-refractory non-ICI-related inflammatory myopathies, IVIG has demonstrated clinical efficacy in terms of muscle strength [49]. Subcutaneous administration is a feasible alternative [50] and can be considered in some patients, especially those with coexisting primary [51,52] or secondary immunoparesis [53,54].

The use of plasmapheresis in combination with cyclophosphamide and chlorambucil to treat non-ICI-related forms of inflammatory myopathy showed promising results in a historical study of 35 patients not responsive to previous treatments (improvement of muscle strength in 32/35) [55]. However, this benefit was not confirmed by a subsequent randomized controlled trial of 39 patients [56]. In some patients, infliximab and extracorporeal immunoadsorption may be valuable options. Sporadic reports suggested alternative options for patients with glucocorticoid-refractory disease and/or during tapering, including the use of methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, and hydroxychloroquine, frequently in combination with IVIG and plasma exchange [57].

Unlike corticosteroid therapy, not all hospitals are able to offer plasmapheresis and IVIG. Nonetheless, both should always be considered when irAEs are severe and the clinical response to glucocorticoid is unsatisfactory (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Integrated approach to ICI-related myositis patients according to clinical grade.

In 5% of patients with PM/DM and concomitant ocular symptoms of MG, symptomatic effectiveness, in terms of both extraocular and oculobulbar motility, was demonstrated with pyridostigmine [57].

Refractoriness to the symptomatic approach and continued clinical severity can motivate a temporary discontinuation of ICI treatment, particularly in patients with the 3M (myocarditis, myositis, and MG) syndrome), whose clinical course is aggressive and characterized by a poor prognosis [57]. This clinical scenario requires a comprehensive stepwise assessment that takes into account prognostically relevant organ damage and must include a trans-thoracic echocardiogram (ECHO) [58]. Indeed, the clinical relevance of myocardial involvement, evaluated by ECHO, has been demonstrated, especially during early-stage evaluations, and may point to immune-related mechanisms [11,59]. In patients with aggressive, non-responsive disease, particularly when associated with myocardial involvement, off-label treatment should be considered, such as abatacept [60], at a dose of 500–1000 mg every two weeks [61], and B-cell depletion [62]. However, as quality

evidence supporting these approaches is scant, the therapeutic backbone consists of rituximab-based therapies [63,64], currently 375 mg/m² per week for four weeks [65,66]. Recently, expert panels evaluated the benefits of rituximab in patients with disease refractory to glucocorticoid and to at least to one of the following: methotrexate, azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, and intravenous immunoglobulin. The assessed dose of rituximab was 750 mg/m² (up to 1 g) i.v. followed by a second infusion two weeks later, and repeat courses (375 mg/m² as a single administration or with a second infusion two weeks apart) every 6–18 months as required [67]. The most comprehensive data regarding treatment of ICI-induced myositis and the related clinical outcome are summarized in Table 3.

Reference	Type of irAE n. pts	irAE Grade CTCAE	Autoantibody Subtypes n. pts Positive/Tested	Treatment n. pts	Outcome of irAE n.pts (%)
Touat et al.; 2018 [11] <i>n</i> = 10 pts	PM = 10 DM = 0	$G \ge 3 = 9$ $G \le 2 = 1$	MSA n = 0/7 $MAA n = 0/7$ $Other Abs:$ $anti- SSA/Ro52 n = 1 *$	None $n = 1$ Prednisone monotherapy $n = 4$ IVMP monotherapy $n = 2$ IVMP + IVIG $n = 2$ IVMP + PLEX $n = 1$	Remission $n = 10$ (100%) Sequele $n = 0$ (0%) irAE-death $n = 0$ (0%) All causes-death $n = 5$ (50%)
Moreira et al.; 2019 [47] <i>n</i> = 20 pts	PM = 19 DM = 1	G≥3 = 12 G≤2 = 8	MSA n = 4/18 $MAA n = 1/18$ anti-SRP n = 1 arti-TIF1 γ n = 1 EJ + RO52 § n = 1 PL7 + PL12 + anti-SRP n = 1 Other Abs: ANA n = 1	None $n = 4$ Steroid monotherapy $n = 10$ Steroid + IVIG $n = 4$ Steroid + pyridostigmine $n = 1$	Remission $n = 11$ (55%) Sequele $n = 4$ (20%) N/A $n = 3$ (15%) irAE-death $n = 2$ (10%) All causes-death $n = 3$ (15%)
Seki et al.; 2019 [12] n = 19 pts	PM = 19 DM = 0	G≥3 = 9 G≤2 = 10	MSA n = 0/19 $MAA n = 0/19$ $Other Abs:$ $anti-SM n = 11$ $anti-SM + anti-AChR n = 2$	None $n = 2$ PSL monotherapy $n = 6$ IVMP + PSL $n = 6$ PSL + IVIG $n = 1$ PSL + PPH $n = 1$ IVMP + PSL + PPH + IVIG n = 2 IVMP + PSL + PPH + IVIG + tacrolimus $n = 1$	Remission $n = 10$ (53%) Sequele $n = 8$ (42%) irAE-death $n = 1$ (5%) All causes-death $n = 7$ (37%)
Kadota et al.; 2019 [41] n = 15 pts	PM = 15 DM = 3	N/A	MSA n = 3/10 $MAA n = 0/10$ anti-SRP + anti-ARS n = 1 anti-TIF1- γ n = 1 anti-ARS n = 1* Other Abs: anti-SM n = 1 + 1* ANA n = 1 anti-AChR n = 1 + 1*	PSL monotherapy $n = 6$ PSL + IVIG $n = 2$ PSL + PLEX $n = 2$ PSL + IFX $n = 1$ PSL + IVIG + PPH $n = 1$ PSL + IVIG + PPH + IFX $n = 1$ PSL + IVIG + PLEX + pyridostigmine $n = 2$	Remission/Improvement n = 10 (67%) irAE-death $n = 5 (33\%)$ All causes-death $n = 7$ (47\%)
Johansen et al.; 2019 [48] <i>n</i> = 29 pts	PM = 29 DM = 0	N/A	MSA = N/A MAA = N/A Other Abs: anti-AChR $n = 2/10$	Steroid PO $n = 9$ Steroid IV $n = 16$ IVIG $n = 7$ PLEX $n = 6$ Pyridostigmine $n = 1$ Unspecified Immunomodulator $n = 4$	Remission/Improvement n = 20 (69%) irAE-death $n = N/A$ All causes-death $n = 12$ (41%)

Table 3.	Compa	arison o	of (clinica	1	features,	treatment	and	outcome	or	ICI	-rela	nted	m	yositis
----------	-------	----------	------	---------	---	-----------	-----------	-----	---------	----	-----	-------	------	---	---------

Abbreviations: pts, patients; n, number; Abs, Autoantibodies; irAE, immune-related adverse event; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; PM, Polymyositis; DM, Dermatomyositis; IVMP, Intravenous Methylprednisolone; IVIG, Intravenous Immunoglobulin; PPH, Plasmapheresis; PLEX, plasma exchanges; PSL, Prednisolone; IFX, Infliximab; N/A, not available; MSA, myositis-specific autoantibodies; MAA, myositis-associated autoantibodies; SM, striated muscle; SSA/Ro52, Anti-Sjögren's-syndrome-related antigen A/Ro52; ANA, antinuclear antibody; AChR, acetylcholine receptor; ARS, aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase; SRP, signal recognition particle; TIF1- γ , transcription intermediary factor 1- γ . * Autoantibodies were pre-existing before initiation of ICI. [§] RO52 is included in MAA.

3. Clinical Outcome and ICI-Related Muscular Involvement

A high morbidity and mortality of patients with MG and ICI-related myositis was reported by Anquetil et al. [62] based on a VigiBase analysis. The authors identified 180 reports of myositis in the course of ICI. In those patients, mortality was significantly higher than in patients with idiopathic autoimmune myopathies (21.2 vs. < 10%). Severe complications (defined as prolonged hospitalization, life-threatening event or residual disability) occurred in 49.4%. Johansen et al. similarly found a high mortality in patients with ICI-related myositis (12 out of 29 patients, 41%) [48]. Most likely, the higher

mortality rate can be explained by the existence of a multifaced clinical scenario, being associated with several other uncommon features for spontaneous autoimmune myopathies. Moreover, additional irAEs often parallel ICI-related myositis, by an immune-mediated storm including myocarditis, hepatitis, colitis, lung involvement, and nephritis [40]. Nevertheless, clinical outcome appears to be driven primarily by the cardiac involvement and by the cancer-related events [11,41,47,48,58]. However, the available data seem to be affected by the lack of homogeneity of the follow-up length of time; often it is difficult to dissect the ICI-induced myositis prognostic impact from the ancillary irAEs (Table 3). High-quality data able to estimate the specific impact of mortality exerted by ICI-related myositis are lacking. Nonetheless, the low incidence of muscular adverse events compared to irAEs will represent a clinical challenge.

Remarkably, the occurrence of an irAE and of ICI-related myositis in some retrospective studies deemed significantly correlated with deeper tumor response: enhanced efficacy while controlling immune-related muscle toxicity appears crucial, since irAEs may impact the clinical outcome by mirroring increased anti-cancer activity [68,69]. Collectively, standardized prospective statistically power will allow an accurate estimation of clinical outcome and treatment response.

4. Conclusions

A loss of immune tolerance is the major driver of ICI-induced myositis. While the pathophysiology of ICI-induced myositis has yet to be fully elucidated, the mechanism seems to be distinct from that leading to autoimmunity, despite similarities between the two conditions. Among the mechanisms of ICI-induced myositis implicated thus far are Treg dysregulation, epitope/sharing/spreading, direct toxicity, and pre-existing auto-immunity. Biomarker identification and personalized treatment aimed at minimizing toxicity while maintaining therapeutic efficacy remain unmet medical needs and thus merit further research and clinical efforts.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.G.S., L.C., A.A. and V.R.; data curation, A.G.S., L.C., A.A., P.L., M.G., N.S. and V.R.; funding acquisition, A.G.S., P.L. and V.R.; supervision, N.S., A.V. and V.R.; original draft of the manuscript, A.G.S., L.C., A.A. and V.R.; writing–review and editing, P.L., A.V. and V.R. All authors reviewed the manuscript, approved the draft submission, and accept responsibility for all aspects of this study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research project was also supported in part by the Apulian Regional Project "Medicina di Precisione" awarded to A.G.S. This work was supported by the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC) through an Investigator Grant (no. 20441 to V.R.) and by Fondo di Sviluppo e Coesione 2007–2013—APQ Ricerca Regione Puglia "Programma regionale a sostegno della specializzazione intelligente e della sostenibilità sociale ed ambientale—FutureInResearch". The sponsors of this study are public or nonprofit organizations that support science in general; they had no role in gathering, analyzing, or interpreting the data.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge Smart Servier Medical Art (http://smart.servier.com/) for providing comprehensive medical and biological figures and datasets of interest for the international scientific community.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Blomberg, O.S.; Spagnuolo, L.; de Visser, K.E. Immune regulation of metastasis: Mechanistic insights and therapeutic opportunities. *Dis. Models Mech.* **2018**, *11*. [CrossRef]
- Antonio, G.; Oronzo, B.; Vito, L.; Angela, C.; Antonel-la, A.; Roberto, C.; Giovanni, S.A.; Antonella, L. Immune system and bone microenvironment: Rationale for targeted cancer therapies. *Oncotarget* 2020, 11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Argentiero, A.; Solimando, A.G.; Brunetti, O.; Calabrese, A.; Pantano, F.; Iuliani, M.; Santini, D.; Silvestris, N.; Vacca, A. Skeletal Metastases of Unknown Primary: Biological Landscape and Clinical Overview. *Cancers* 2019, 11, 1270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Azoury, S.C.; Straughan, D.M.; Shukla, V. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors for Cancer Therapy: Clinical Efficacy and Safety. *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* **2015**, *15*, 452–462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Longo, V.; Brunetti, O.; Gnoni, A.; Licchetta, A.; Delcuratolo, S.; Memeo, R.; Solimando, A.G.; Argentiero, A. Emerging role of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Med. Kaunas Lith.* 2019, 55, 698. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Menon, S.; Shin, S.; Dy, G. Advances in Cancer Immunotherapy in Solid Tumors. *Cancers* **2016**, *8*, 106. [CrossRef]
- 7. Armand, P. Immune checkpoint blockade in hematologic malignancies. *Blood* 2015, 125, 3393–3400. [CrossRef]
- 8. Leone, P.; Di Lernia, G.; Solimando, A.G.; Cicco, S.; Saltarella, I.; Lamanuzzi, A.; Ria, R.; Frassanito, M.A.; Ponzoni, M.; Ditonno, P.; et al. Bone marrow endothelial cells sustain a tumor-specific CD8+ T cell subset with suppressive function in myeloma patients. *Oncoimmunology* **2019**, *8*, e1486949. [CrossRef]
- 9. Cappelli, L.C.; Gutierrez, A.K.; Bingham, C.O.; Shah, A.A. Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Immune-Related Adverse Events Due to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Arthritis Care Res.* **2017**, *69*, 1751–1763. [CrossRef]
- 10. Bilen, M.A.; Subudhi, S.K.; Gao, J.; Tannir, N.M.; Tu, S.-M.; Sharma, P. Acute rhabdomyolysis with severe polymyositis following ipilimumab-nivolumab treatment in a cancer patient with elevated anti-striated muscle antibody. *J. Immunother. Cancer* **2016**, *4*, 36. [CrossRef]
- 11. Touat, M.; Maisonobe, T.; Knauss, S.; Ben Hadj Salem, O.; Hervier, B.; Auré, K.; Szwebel, T.-A.; Kramkimel, N.; Lethrosne, C.; Bruch, J.-F.; et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitor-related myositis and myocarditis in patients with cancer. *Neurology* **2018**, *91*, e985–e994. [CrossRef]
- Seki, M.; Uruha, A.; Ohnuki, Y.; Kamada, S.; Noda, T.; Onda, A.; Ohira, M.; Isami, A.; Hiramatsu, S.; Hibino, M.; et al. Inflammatory myopathy associated with PD-1 inhibitors. *J. Autoimmun.* 2019, 100, 105–113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Touat, M.; Talmasov, D.; Ricard, D.; Psimaras, D. Neurological toxicities associated with immune-checkpoint inhibitors. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 2017, *30*, 659–668. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kao, J.C.; Liao, B.; Markovic, S.N.; Klein, C.J.; Naddaf, E.; Staff, N.P.; Liewluck, T.; Hammack, J.E.; Sandroni, P.; Finnes, H.; et al. Neurological Complications Associated With Anti-Programmed Death 1 (PD-1) Antibodies. *JAMA Neurol.* 2017, 74, 1216–1222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, M.; Nie, Y.; Yang, Y.; Lu, Y.-T.; Su, Q. Risk of Neurological Toxicities Following the Use of Different Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Regimens in Solid Tumors: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Neurologist* 2019, 24, 75–83. [CrossRef]
- 16. Chen, D.S.; Mellman, I. Oncology meets immunology: The cancer-immunity cycle. *Immunity* **2013**, *39*, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Zak, K.M.; Grudnik, P.; Magiera, K.; Dömling, A.; Dubin, G.; Holak, T.A. Structural Biology of the Immune Checkpoint Receptor PD-1 and Its Ligands PD-L1/PD-L2. *Struct. Lond. Engl.* **2017**, *25*, 1163–1174. [CrossRef]
- Ribas, A.; Wolchok, J.D. Cancer immunotherapy using checkpoint blockade. *Science* 2018, 359, 1350–1355. [CrossRef]
- Chambers, C.A.; Kuhns, M.S.; Egen, J.G.; Allison, J.P. CTLA-4-mediated inhibition in regulation of T cell responses: Mechanisms and manipulation in tumor immunotherapy. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 2001, *19*, 565–594. [CrossRef]
- 20. Kwiecien, I.; Stelmaszczyk-Emmel, A.; Polubiec-Kownacka, M.; Dziedzic, D.; Domagala-Kulawik, J. Elevated regulatory T cells, surface and intracellular CTLA-4 expression and interleukin-17 in the lung cancer microenvironment in humans. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* **2017**, *66*, 161–170. [CrossRef]
- 21. Kumar, P.; Bhattacharya, P.; Prabhakar, B.S. A comprehensive review on the role of co-signaling receptors and Treg homeostasis in autoimmunity and tumor immunity. *J. Autoimmun.* **2018**, 95, 77–99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Johnson, D.B.; Balko, J.M.; Compton, M.L.; Chalkias, S.; Gorham, J.; Xu, Y.; Hicks, M.; Puzanov, I.; Alexander, M.R.; Bloomer, T.L.; et al. Fulminant Myocarditis with Combination Immune Checkpoint Blockade. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2016**, *375*, 1749–1755. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. June, C.H.; Warshauer, J.T.; Bluestone, J.A. Is autoimmunity the Achilles' heel of cancer immunotherapy? *Nat. Med.* **2017**, *23*, 540–547. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Caturegli, P.; Di Dalmazi, G.; Lombardi, M.; Grosso, F.; Larman, H.B.; Larman, T.; Taverna, G.; Cosottini, M.; Lupi, I. Hypophysitis Secondary to Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte-Associated Protein 4 Blockade: Insights into Pathogenesis from an Autopsy Series. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2016**, *186*, 3225–3235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 25. Toi, Y.; Sugawara, S.; Sugisaka, J.; Ono, H.; Kawashima, Y.; Aiba, T.; Kawana, S.; Saito, R.; Aso, M.; Tsurumi, K.; et al. Profiling Preexisting Antibodies in Patients Treated With Anti-PD-1 Therapy for Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *JAMA Oncol.* **2019**, *5*, 376–383. [CrossRef]
- 26. Johnson, D.B.; Sullivan, R.J.; Ott, P.A.; Carlino, M.S.; Khushalani, N.I.; Ye, F.; Guminski, A.; Puzanov, I.; Lawrence, D.P.; Buchbinder, E.I.; et al. Ipilimumab Therapy in Patients with Advanced Melanoma and Preexisting Autoimmune Disorders. *JAMA Oncol.* **2016**, *2*, 234–240. [CrossRef]
- 27. Menzies, A.M.; Johnson, D.B.; Ramanujam, S.; Atkinson, V.G.; Wong, A.N.M.; Park, J.J.; McQuade, J.L.; Shoushtari, A.N.; Tsai, K.K.; Eroglu, Z.; et al. Anti-PD-1 therapy in patients with advanced melanoma and preexisting autoimmune disorders or major toxicity with ipilimumab. *Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol.* 2017, *28*, 368–376. [CrossRef]
- Gutzmer, R.; Koop, A.; Meier, F.; Hassel, J.C.; Terheyden, P.; Zimmer, L.; Heinzerling, L.; Ugurel, S.; Pföhler, C.; Gesierich, A.; et al. Programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) inhibitor therapy in patients with advanced melanoma and preexisting autoimmunity or ipilimumab-triggered autoimmunity. *Eur. J. Cancer Oxf. Engl.* 2017, 75, 24–32. [CrossRef]
- 29. Leonardi, G.C.; Gainor, J.F.; Altan, M.; Kravets, S.; Dahlberg, S.E.; Gedmintas, L.; Azimi, R.; Rizvi, H.; Riess, J.W.; Hellmann, M.D.; et al. Safety of Programmed Death-1 Pathway Inhibitors Among Patients With Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer and Preexisting Autoimmune Disorders. *J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol.* **2018**, *36*, 1905–1912. [CrossRef]
- 30. Tison, A.; Quéré, G.; Misery, L.; Funck-Brentano, E.; Danlos, F.-X.; Routier, E.; Robert, C.; Loriot, Y.; Lambotte, O.; Bonniaud, B.; et al. Safety and Efficacy of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Patients With Cancer and Preexisting Autoimmune Disease: A Nationwide, Multicenter Cohort Study. *Arthritis Rheumatol. Hoboken N. J.* 2019, 71, 2100–2111. [CrossRef]
- 31. Limaye, V.S.; Blumbergs, P.; Roberts-Thomson, P.J. Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. *Intern. Med. J.* 2009, 39, 179–190. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Gunawardena, H.; Betteridge, Z.E.; McHugh, N.J. Myositis-specific autoantibodies: Their clinical and pathogenic significance in disease expression. *Rheumatol. Oxf. Engl.* **2009**, *48*, 607–612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Racanelli, V.; Prete, M.; Musaraj, G.; Dammacco, F.; Perosa, F. Autoantibodies to intracellular antigens: Generation and pathogenetic role. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **2011**, *10*, 503–508. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Suber, T.L.; Casciola-Rosen, L.; Rosen, A. Mechanisms of disease: Autoantigens as clues to the pathogenesis of myositis. *Nat. Clin. Pract. Rheumatol.* **2008**, *4*, 201–209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, H.; Peng, Q.; Yin, L.; Li, S.; Shi, J.; Zhang, Y.; Lu, X.; Shu, X.; Zhang, S.; Wang, G. Identification of multiple cancer-associated myositis-specific autoantibodies in idiopathic inflammatory myopathies: A large longitudinal cohort study. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2017, *19*, 259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hall, J.C.; Casciola-Rosen, L.; Samedy, L.-A.; Werner, J.; Owoyemi, K.; Danoff, S.K.; Christopher-Stine, L. Anti-melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5-associated dermatomyositis: Expanding the clinical spectrum. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2013, 65, 1307–1315. [CrossRef]
- 37. Fiorentino, D.; Casciola-Rosen, L. Autoantibodies to transcription intermediary factor 1 in dermatomyositis shed insight into the cancer-myositis connection. *Arthritis Rheum.* **2012**, *64*, 346–349. [CrossRef]
- 38. Gono, T.; Sato, S.; Kawaguchi, Y.; Kuwana, M.; Hanaoka, M.; Katsumata, Y.; Takagi, K.; Baba, S.; Okamoto, Y.; Ota, Y.; et al. Anti-MDA5 antibody, ferritin and IL-18 are useful for the evaluation of response to treatment in interstitial lung disease with anti-MDA5 antibody-positive dermatomyositis. *Rheumatol. Oxf. Engl.* 2012, 51, 1563–1570. [CrossRef]
- Fiorentino, D.F.; Chung, L.S.; Christopher-Stine, L.; Zaba, L.; Li, S.; Mammen, A.L.; Rosen, A.; Casciola-Rosen, L. Most patients with cancer-associated dermatomyositis have antibodies to nuclear matrix protein NXP-2 or transcription intermediary factor 1γ. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2013, 65, 2954–2962. [CrossRef]
- 40. Calabrese, L.H.; Calabrese, C.; Cappelli, L.C. Rheumatic immune-related adverse events from cancer immunotherapy. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* **2018**, *14*, 569–579. [CrossRef]
- 41. Kadota, H.; Gono, T.; Shirai, Y.; Okazaki, Y.; Takeno, M.; Kuwana, M. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Induced Myositis: A Case Report and Literature Review. *Curr. Rheumatol. Rep.* **2019**, *21*, 10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Available online: https://ctep.cancer.gov/protocolDevelopment/electronic_applications/docs/CTCAE_v5_ Quick_Reference_5x7.pdf (accessed on 25 April 2020).

- Brahmer, J.R.; Lacchetti, C.; Schneider, B.J.; Atkins, M.B.; Brassil, K.J.; Caterino, J.M.; Chau, I.; Ernstoff, M.S.; Gardner, J.M.; Ginex, P.; et al. Management of Immune-Related Adverse Events in Patients Treated With Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 36, 1714–1768. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Satoh, M.; Tanaka, S.; Ceribelli, A.; Calise, S.J.; Chan, E.K.L. A Comprehensive Overview on Myositis-Specific Antibodies: New and Old Biomarkers in Idiopathic Inflammatory Myopathy. *Clin. Rev. Allergy Immunol.* 2017, 52, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- 45. Carsons, S. The association of malignancy with rheumatic and connective tissue diseases. *Semin. Oncol.* **1997**, 24, 360–372. [PubMed]
- 46. Racanelli, V.; Prete, M.; Minoia, C.; Favoino, E.; Perosa, F. Rheumatic disorders as paraneoplastic syndromes. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **2008**, *7*, 352–358. [CrossRef]
- 47. Moreira, A.; Loquai, C.; Pföhler, C.; Kähler, K.C.; Knauss, S.; Heppt, M.V.; Gutzmer, R.; Dimitriou, F.; Meier, F.; Mitzel-Rink, H.; et al. Myositis and neuromuscular side-effects induced by immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Eur. J. Cancer Oxf. Engl.* **2019**, *106*, 12–23. [CrossRef]
- Johansen, A.; Christensen, S.J.; Scheie, D.; Højgaard, J.L.S.; Kondziella, D. Neuromuscular adverse events associated with anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies: Systematic review. *Neurology* 2019, 92, 663–674. [CrossRef]
- Dalakas, M.C.; Illa, I.; Dambrosia, J.M.; Soueidan, S.A.; Stein, D.P.; Otero, C.; Dinsmore, S.T.; McCrosky, S. A controlled trial of high-dose intravenous immune globulin infusions as treatment for dermatomyositis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1993**, 329, 1993–2000. [CrossRef]
- 50. Danieli, M.G.; Pettinari, L.; Moretti, R.; Logullo, F.; Gabrielli, A. Subcutaneous immunoglobulin in polymyositis and dermatomyositis: A novel application. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **2011**, *10*, 144–149. [CrossRef]
- Azizi, G.; Ziaee, V.; Tavakol, M.; Alinia, T.; Yazdai, R.; Mohammadi, H.; Abolhassani, H.; Aghamohammadi, A. Approach to the Management of Autoimmunity in Primary Immunodeficiency. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 2017, 85, 13–29. [CrossRef]
- 52. Jolles, S.; Stein, M.R.; Longhurst, H.J.; Borte, M.; Ritchie, B.; Sturzenegger, M.H.; Berger, M. New Frontiers in Subcutaneous Immunoglobulin Treatment. *Biol. Ther.* **2011**, *1*, 3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Vacca, A.; Melaccio, A.; Sportelli, A.; Solimando, A.G.; Dammacco, F.; Ria, R. Subcutaneous immunoglobulins in patients with multiple myeloma and secondary hypogammaglobulinemia: A randomized trial. *Clin. Immunol. Orlando Fla.* **2018**, *191*, 110–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shimanovsky, A.; Alvarez Argote, J.; Murali, S.; Dasanu, C.A. Autoimmune manifestations in patients with multiple myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *BBA Clin.* 2016, *6*, 12–18.
 [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dau, P.C. Plasmapheresis in idiopathic inflammatory myopathy. Experience with 35 patients. *Arch. Neurol.* 1981, *38*, 544–552. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Miller, F.W.; Leitman, S.F.; Cronin, M.E.; Hicks, J.E.; Leff, R.L.; Wesley, R.; Fraser, D.D.; Dalakas, M.; Plotz, P.H. Controlled trial of plasma exchange and leukapheresis in polymyositis and dermatomyositis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1992, 326, 1380–1384. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Leipe, J.; Mariette, X. Management of rheumatic complications of ICI therapy: A rheumatology viewpoint. *Rheumatol. Oxf. Engl.* **2019**, *58*, vii49–vii58. [CrossRef]
- 58. Tajiri, K.; Aonuma, K.; Sekine, I. Immune checkpoint inhibitor-related myocarditis. *Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol.* **2018**, 48, 7–12. [CrossRef]
- Leone, P.; Cicco, S.; Prete, M.; Solimando, A.G.; Susca, N.; Crudele, L.; Buonavoglia, A.; Colonna, P.; Dammacco, F.; Vacca, A.; et al. Early echocardiographic detection of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus asymptomatic for cardiovascular disease. *Clin. Exp. Med.* 2020, 20, 11–19. [CrossRef]
- 60. Salem, J.-E.; Allenbach, Y.; Vozy, A.; Brechot, N.; Johnson, D.B.; Moslehi, J.J.; Kerneis, M. Abatacept for Severe Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Associated Myocarditis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2019**, *380*, 2377–2379. [CrossRef]
- 61. Tjärnlund, A.; Tang, Q.; Wick, C.; Dastmalchi, M.; Mann, H.; Tomasová Studýnková, J.; Chura, R.; Gullick, N.J.; Salerno, R.; Rönnelid, J.; et al. Abatacept in the treatment of adult dermatomyositis and polymyositis: A randomised, phase IIb treatment delayed-start trial. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2018**, *77*, 55–62. [CrossRef]

- Anquetil, C.; Salem, J.-E.; Lebrun-Vignes, B.; Johnson, D.B.; Mammen, A.L.; Stenzel, W.; Léonard-Louis, S.; Benveniste, O.; Moslehi, J.J.; Allenbach, Y. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Associated Myositis: Expanding the Spectrum of Cardiac Complications of the Immunotherapy Revolution. *Circulation* 2018, 138, 743–745. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Perosa, F.; Favoino, E.; Vicenti, C.; Guarnera, A.; Racanelli, V.; De Pinto, V.; Dammacco, F. Two structurally different rituximab-specific CD20 mimotope peptides reveal that rituximab recognizes two different CD20-associated epitopes. *J. Immunol. Baltim. Md.* **2009**, *182*, 416–423. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Clark, E.A.; Ledbetter, J.A. How does B cell depletion therapy work, and how can it be improved? *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2005**, *64* (Suppl. 4), iv77–iv80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Solimando, A.G.; Ribatti, D.; Vacca, A.; Einsele, H. Targeting B-cell non Hodgkin lymphoma: New and old tricks. *Leuk. Res.* **2016**, *42*, 93–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Fasano, S.; Gordon, P.; Hajji, R.; Loyo, E.; Isenberg, D.A. Rituximab in the treatment of inflammatory myopathies: A review. *Rheumatol. Oxf. Engl.* **2017**, *56*, 26–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oddis, C.V.; Reed, A.M.; Aggarwal, R.; Rider, L.G.; Ascherman, D.P.; Levesque, M.C.; Barohn, R.J.; Feldman, B.M.; Harris-Love, M.O.; Koontz, D.C.; et al. Rituximab in the treatment of refractory adult and juvenile dermatomyositis and adult polymyositis: A randomized, placebo-phase trial. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2013, 65, 314–324. [CrossRef]
- Maher, V.E.; Fernandes, L.L.; Weinstock, C.; Tang, S.; Agarwal, S.; Brave, M.; Ning, Y.-M.; Singh, H.; Suzman, D.; Xu, J.; et al. Analysis of the Association Between Adverse Events and Outcome in Patients Receiving a Programmed Death Protein 1 or Programmed Death Ligand 1 Antibody. *J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol.* 2019, 37, 2730–2737. [CrossRef]
- 69. García-Aranda, M.; Redondo, M. Analysis of the association between adverse events and outcome in patients receiving a programmed death protein-1 or programmed death ligand-1 antibody. *Chin. Clin. Oncol.* **2020**. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).