

Figure S1. Gel patterns of GINS and Pol ϵ complex proteins used in the GINS-Pol ϵ *in vitro* interaction assay shown in Figure 1A.



Figure S2. Detection of incorporated dNTPs in the *in vitro* replication assay shown in Figure 1B.



Figure S3. Representative images of *PSF1* and *psf1-100* cells with Rfa1-YFP foci.



Figure S4. Synthetic lethality of the *psf1-100* **mutation and** *POL32* **deletion.** Dissection of tetrads from the *psf1-100/PSF1 pol32Δ/POL32* strain.



Figure S5. Spontaneous mutation rates measured in the *psf1-100 pol3-5DV* strains. The analysis was made in *MSH6* (**A**) and *msh6* Δ (**B**) backgrounds. The presented values are medians with 95% confidence intervals calculated from at least ten independent cultures. Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine the *p*-value ≤ 0.0001 (****); ≤ 0.001 (***); ≤ 0.05 (*). Exact *p*-values are shown in Supporting Table S2. Strains were constructed as follows:

The pol3-5DV cassette (Eco52I-linearized pY19 plasmid, kindly provided by D. Gordenin) was integrated into the POL3 locus of the SC765 and Y1000 strains to generate Y1037 and Y1038, respectively (Table S10). Ura⁺ transformants were selected and toothpicked twice onto 5-FOA plates at 30°C and verified by sequencing of a DNA fragment PCR-amplified using primers pol3-1 and pol3-2 (Table S11). Strains Y999 and Y1039 carrying deletion of the MSH6 gene were constructed based on the SC765 or Y1000 strain, respectively by replacing the coding region of the MSH6 gene with a DNA cassette containing the HPH gene, which was PCR-amplified with the primers MSH6 UPTEF and MSH6 DNTEF listed in Table S11 using pAG32 [1] as a template. Deletion of the MSH6 open reading frame was confirmed by PCR using primers msh6UP2, msh6UO and HPH UO (Table S11). Strains Y1041, Y1045 and Y1047 were constructed by tetrad dissection from diploid strains by crossing SC778 with Y1038, Y1039 with Y1037 and Y1039 with Y1041, respectively (Table S10). MSH6 disruption was confirmed by PCR using primers msh6UP2 and msh6UO (Table S11). The presence of POL3 or the pol3-5DV allele was verified as described above. The presence of the (psf1-100, CaURA3) allele was confirmed by DNA sequencing of a PCR fragment amplified using primers: Inprom and dwPSF1 (Table S11). Additionally, the presence of the *psf1-100* allele was verified by a temperature sensitivity test: *psf1-100* strain does not grow at 18°C. The (*PSF1, CaURA3*) [2] was integrated into the PSF1 locus of the Y999, Y1037 and Y1045 strains to obtain strains Y1048, Y1049 and Y1046, respectively (Table S10). The (psf1-100, CaURA3) cassettes [2] was integrated into the PSF1 locus of the Y999 strain to obtain Y1044. The presence of the (PSF1, CaURA3) and (psf1-100, CaURA3) alleles was confirmed as described above.

Table S1. Statistical analysis of Rfa1, Rad51, and Rad52 foci in *psf1-100* cells presented in Figure 2A-C¹.

Figure 2A Rfa1 foci	0 foci	1 foci	2 foci	3 foci	Row totals
PSF1	660 (487.50) [61.04]	516 (567.57) [4.68]	81 (153.50) [34.25]	25 (73.43) [31.95]	1282
psf1-100	442 (614.50) [48.43]	767 (715.43) [3.72]	266 (193.50) [27.17]	141 (92.57) [25.34]	1616
Column totals	1102	1283	347	166	2898 (grand total)
The v2 statis	tic is 236 5692 The r	-value is < 0 00001	The result is signific	sant at $n < 0.05$	

The χ2 statistic is 236.5692. The p-value is < 0.00001. The result is significant at p < 0.05.

Figure 2B Rad51 foci	0 foci	1 foci	Row totals
PSF1	5429 (5399.90) [0.16]	9 (38.10) [22.23]	5438
psf1-100	3499 (3528.10) [0.24]	54 (24.90) [34.02]	3553
Column totals	8928	63	8991 (grand total)

The χ 2 statistic is 56.6504. The *p*-value is < 0.00001. The result is significant at *p* < 0.05.

Figure 2C Rad52 foci	0 foci	1 foci	Row totals
PSF1	3106 (3056.33) [0.81]	35 (84.67) [29.14]	3141
psf1-100	4619 (4668.67) [0.53]	179 (129.33) [19.07]	4798
Column totals	7725	214	7939 (grand total)
T I O I I			

The χ 2 statistic is 49.5449. The *p*-value is < 0.00001. The result is significant at *p* < 0.05.

¹ Contingency table and χ^2 test was used to determine *p*-values

Table S2. *p*-values associated with data presented in Figure S5¹.

psf1-100 pol3-5DV	psf1-100 pol3-5DV	Figure S5A	psf1-100 msh6∆	pol3-5DV msh6∆	psf1-100 pol3-5DV msh6∆	Figure S5B
0.0017453 0.0006364	0.0001210	WT	0.0014510	0.0000374	0.0000483	msh6∆
0.0034150	0.0002419	psf1-100		0.0000197	0.0006841	psf1-100 msh6∆
	0.0310448	pol3-5DV			0.6117559	pol3-5DV msh6∆

¹ Mann–Whitney U test was used to determine *p*-values.

Table S3. *p*-values associated with data presented in Figure 2F and G¹.

		psf1-100	rad51∆	psf1-100 rad51∆	Figure 2F
		0.0017230	0.000177000	0.000176866	WT
			0.000007000	0.000000300	psf1-100
				0.00000002	rad51∆
psf1-100	mms2∆	psf1-100 mms2∆	pif1∆	psf1-100 pif1∆	Figure 2G
0.0003858	0.0000030	0.0000001	0.0000016	0.00000014	WT
	0.0109250	0.0002505	0.0007828	0.00004534	psf1-100
		0.0006611	Х	Х	mms2∆
			Х	Х	psf1-100 mms2∆

¹ Mann–Whitney U test was used to determine *p*-values.

	UV 5		UV 15		
	WT	psf1-100	WT	psf1-100	
rev3	0.0000000000102	х	0.0000000000051	х	
G2-REV3	0.81053233151601	х	0.20953104506541	х	
psf1-100 rev3	x	0.09267807	X	0.002038145	
psf1-100 G2-REV3	X	0.10514368	X	0.590307505	

Table S4. *p*-values associated with data presented in Figure 3B¹.

¹ Statistical T-test was used to determine *p*-values. Statistically significant differences between strains are indicated by **bold face**

Table S5. *p*-values associated with data presented in Figure 3C¹.

	UV 0		יט	V 5	UV 15	
	WT	psf1-100	WT	psf1-100	WT	psf1-100
rev3	0.000032	Х	0.000011	Х	0.000011	Х
G2-REV3	0.063509	х	0.050035	х	0.768134	х
psf1-100 rev3	Х	0.000032	Х	0.000076	х	0.000032
psf1-100 G2-REV3	Х	0.128305	х	0.125673	Х	0.723155

¹ Mann–Whitney U test was used to determine *p*-values. Statistically significant differences between strains are indicated by **bold face**

Viable cells (p	propidium iod	ide staining of	dead cells) ¹			
rev3∆	rad51∆	psf1-100	psf1-100 rev3∆	psf1-100 rad51∆	psf1-100 rev3∆ rad51∆	
0.0395	0.1168	0.0439	<.0001	0.0671	0.0046	WT
			<.0001	0.023	0.3349	psf1-100
					0.6629	rev3∆ rad51∆
						r.
Colony forming	ng units ²					
rev3∆	rad51∆	psf1-100	psf1-100 rev3∆	psf1-100 rad51∆	psf1-100 rev3∆ rad51∆	
0.1306056	0.8913413	0.0586689	0.6834744	0.0015049	0.0000478	WT
			0.0333595	0.1824849	0.0018775	psf1-100
					0.0000007	rev3∆ rad51∆
Colony formi	ng units/viable	e cells ²				
rev3∆	rad51∆	psf1-100	psf1-100 rev3∆	psf1-100 rad51∆	psf1-100 rev3∆ rad51∆	
0.2769818	0.5122757	0.0218567	0.1061510	0.0018961	0.0000485	WT

0.2360034

Table S6. *p*-values associated with data presented in Figure 4A.

¹ Contingency table and χ^2 test was used to determine *p*-values

² Statistical T-test was used to determine *p*-values.

Statistically significant differences are indicated by **bold face**

0.3802150

0.0017477 *psf1-100*

0.0000006 *rev3∆ rad51∆*

Type of mutations	rev3∆¹		psf1-100 re	v3∆¹	pol2-4 rev	βΔ¹	psf1-100 pol2-4	l rev3∆
Base substitutions	24 ² (56.5%) ³	[1] ⁴	22 (51%)	[0.9]	148 (77.5%)	[6.2]	238 (65%)	[9.9]
Transitions	16 (37%)	[1]	16 (36.5%)	[1.0]	48 (25%)	[3.0]	69 (19%)	[4.3]
AT→GC	3.5 (9%)	[1]	4 (8%)	[1.1]	15 (8%)	[4.3]	5 (1%)	[1.4]
GC→AT	12.5 (28%)	[1]	12 (28.5%)	[1.0]	33 (17%)	[2.6]	64 (18%)	[5.1]
Transversions	8 (19.5%)	[1]	6 (14.5%)	[0.8]	100 (52.5%)	[12]	169 (46%)	[21.1]
AT→CG	1 (2%)	[1]	1 (2.5%)	[1.0]	4 (2%)	[4.0]	5 (1%)	[4.8]
AT→TA	1.5 (3%)	[1]	< 0.5 (< 1)	[< 1]	25 (13%)	[16]	74 (20%)	[49.2]
GC→TA	3.5 (9%)	[1]	5 (12%)	[1.4]	69 (36.5%)	[19]	88 (24%)	[25.1]
GC→CG	2 (5.5%)	[1]	< 0.5 (< 1)	[< 1]	2 (1%)	[1.0]	2 (1%)	[1.2]
Indels	18.5 (43.5%)	[1]	21 (49%)	[1.1]	43 (22.5%)	[2.3]	126 (35%)	[6.8]
Insertions	6.5 (15%)	[1]	6 (14%)	[0.9]	13 (7%)	[2.0]	86 (24%)	[13.2]
+1	3 (7.5%)	[1]	2.5 (6%)	[0.8]	13 (7%)	[4.3]	86 (24%)	[28.5]
+2	< 0.5 (< 1)		0.5 (1%)		< 2 (< 1)		< 0.5 (< 1)	
≥+ 3	3 (7.5%)		3 (7%)		< 2 (< 1)		< 0.5 (< 1)	
Deletions	12 (28%)	[1]	15 (35%)	[1.3]	30 (15.5%)	[2.5]	40 (11%)	[3.4]
-1	7 (16%)	[1]	9 (21%)	[1.3]	18 (9%)	[2.6]	33 (9%)	[4.8]
-2	2 (4.5%)		3.5 (8%)		10 (5.5%)		7 (2%)	
≥ -3	3 (7.5%)		2.5 (6%)		2 (1%)		< 0.5 (< 1)	
Complex⁵	< 0.5 (< 1)	[1]	< 0.5 (< 1)		< 2 (< 1)		< 0.5 (< 1)	
Total	42.5 (100%)	[1]	43 (100%)	[1.0]	191 (100%)	[4.5]	364 (100%)	[8.6]

Table	S7.	Rates,	percentage	and	relative	rates	of	various	types	of	base	subst	itutions,
		insertio	ons, deletions	s and	complex	muta	tior	ns for the	e psf1-1	100	pol2-	4 rev3	∆ strain.

¹ Data for isogenic *rev3* Δ , *psf1-100 rev3* Δ and *pol2-4 rev3* Δ were previously shown [2].

² Rates [Can^R (x10⁻⁸)] for particular types of mutations were calculated according to the equation MRi
= (Mi/MT) x MR, where Mi is the number of mutations of a particular type, MT is the total number of mutations, and MR is the overall rate of Can^R mutations in the strain determined by fluctuation analysis (for reference see [2]). Associated *p*-values are shown in Table S8.

³ Percentage of events for specific classes of mutations are shown in brackets.

⁴ The relative rate presents the increase in mutability [the rate of mutagenesis of a particular type in a given strain is divided by the rate of mutagenesis in $rev3\Delta$].

⁵ Complex mutations are defined as multiple changes within short (up to 6 nt) DNA stretches.

Spontaneous Can ^R mut	Spontaneous Can ^R mutagenesis							
psf1-100 rev3∆	pol2-4 rev3∆	psf1-100 rev3∆ pol2-4						
0.4479946282	0.0000004150	0.000000023	rev3∆					
	0.0000015383	0.000000296	psf1-100 rev3∆					
		0.0139249353	pol2-4 rev3∆					
Base substitution								
psf1-100 rev3∆	pol2-4 rev3∆	psf1-100 rev3∆ pol2-4						
0.1806811	0.0000282	0.0000046	rev3∆					
	0.0002256	0.0000228	psf1-100 rev3∆					
		0.1165457	pol2-4 rev3∆					
Insertions/deletions (in	ndels)		-					
psf1-100 rev3∆	pol2-4 rev3∆	psf1-100 rev3∆ pol2-4						
0.732726	0.022053	0.000029	rev3∆					
	0.076283	0.000588	psf1-100 rev3∆					
		0.035932	pol2-4 rev3∆					

Table S8. *p*-values associated with data presented in Figure 5 and Table S7¹.

¹ contingency table and the χ 2 test were used to determine *p*-values

Plasmid	Repeat tract	Allele	Rate x 10 ⁻⁶	95% CI	<i>p-</i> value
	Dandom convence	PSF1	0.77	0.70 - 1.00	0.00000000000
ρκκΖ	Random sequence	psf1-100	2.40	2.22 - 2.80	0.000000000004
-MD29	(6)	PSF1	9.30	8.19 - 10.94	
ρινισ28	(0)18	psf1-100	28.00	27.30 - 39.20	0.00000001972
	(GT)	PSF1	8.60	8.61 - 13.18	0 00000000000
psigi	(01)25	psf1-100	54.00	48.73 - 68.16	0.0000000000
pMD/1		PSF1	8.00	7.38 - 8.78	0.000000000000000
piviD41	(AACGCAATGCG)4	psf1-100	17.00	17.13 - 21.99	0.000000000034
pEAS20		PSF1	86.00	76.73 - 96.39	0 0000000011
	(CAACGCAATGCGTTGGATCT)3	psf1-100	370.00	360.20 - 607.20	0.00000000011

Table S9. Statistical analysis of results presented in Figure 6¹.

¹ Mann–Whitney U test was used to determine *p*-values

Table S10. Yeast strains used in this study.

Strain	Genotype/description	Source
	Parent strains	
SC765ª	MATa CAN1 his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG ura3Δ trp1-289 ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899-2900	[2]
Y1000ª	MATα CAN1 his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG ura3Δ trp1-289 ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899-2900	This work
MAB1 ^b	MATα can1-100 V9229::HPH V261553::HIS3	[3]
MAB4 ^b	MATa can1Δ::SUP4-o V9229::kanMX V261553::LEU2	[3]
	Counting of Rfa1 foci	
Y1025	As SC765, but (RFA1-YFP, LEU2) (PSF1, CaURA3)	This work
Y1026	As SC765, but (RFA1-YFP, LEU2) (psf1-100, CaURA3)	This work
	Counting of Rad52 foci	
Y1027	As SC765, but (PSF1, CaURA3) [pWJ1344]	This work
Y1028	As SC765, but (psf1-100, CaURA3) [pWJ1344]	This work
	Counting of Rad51 foci	
Y1029	As SC765, but (PSF1, CaURA3) [pSFP119]	This work
Y1030	As SC765, but (psf1-100, CaURA3) [pSFP119]	This work
	Construction of diploid strains	
Y1001	As Y1000, but <i>rad52::HPH</i>	This work
Y1002	As Y1000, but <i>rad51::HPH</i>	This work
Y1003	As Y1000, but <i>mms2::HPH</i>	This work
Y1004	As Y1000, but pif1::HPH	This work
Y1005	As Y1000, but pol32::HPH	This work
SC778	As SC765, but (psf1-100, CaURA3)	[2]
SC803	MATa CAN1 his7-2 leu2Δ::kanMX4 ura3Δ trp1-289 ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899-2900 (psf1-100, LEU2)	[2]
Y1006	As SC765, but rev3::NAT1 (psf1-100, LEU2)	This work
Y1037	As SC765, but pol3-5DV	This work
Y1038	As Y1000, but pol3-5DV	This work
Y1039	As Y1000, but msh6::HPH	This work
Y1041	As SC765, but pol3-5DV (psf1-100, CaURA3)	This work
	Diploid strains	
Y1007	MATa/α CAN1/CAN1 his7-2/his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG/leu2Δ::hisG ura3Δ/ura3Δ trp1-289/trp1-289 ade2-1/ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899- 2900/lys2ΔGG2899-2900 rad52::HPH/RAD52 (psf1-100, CaURA3)/PSF1 Cross of SC778 and Y1001	This work
Y1008	MATa/α CAN1/CAN1 his7-2/his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG/leu2Δ::kanMX4 ura3Δ/ura3Δ trp1-289/trp1-289 ade2-1/ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899-2900/lys2ΔGG2899-2900 rad51::HPH/RAD51 (psf1-100, LEU2)/PSF1 Cross of SC803 and Y1002	This work
Y1009	MATa/α CAN1/CAN1 his7-2/his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG/leu2Δ::hisG ura3Δ/ura3Δ trp1-289/trp1-289 ade2-1/ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899- 2900/lys2ΔGG2899-2900 rad51::HPH/RAD51 rev3::NAT1/REV3 (psf1-100, LEU2)/PSF1 Cross of Y1006 and Y1002	This work
Y1010	MATa/α CAN1/CAN1 his7-2/his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG/leu2Δ::kanMX4 ura3Δ/ura3Δ trp1-289/trp1-289 ade2-1/ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899-2900/lys2ΔGG2899-2900 mms2::HPH/MMS2 (psf1-100, LEU2)/PSF1 Cross of SC803 and Y1003	This work
Y1011	MATa/α CAN1/CAN1 his7-2/his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG/leu2Δ::kanMX4 ura3Δ/ura3Δ trp1-289/trp1-289 ade2-1/ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899-2900/lys2ΔGG2899-2900 pif1::HPH/PIF1 (psf1-100, LEU2)/PSF1 Cross of SC803 and Y1004	This work
Y537	MATa/α CAN1/CAN1 his7-2/his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG/leu2Δ::hisG ura3Δ/ura3Δ trp1-289/trp1-289 ade2-1/ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899- 2900/lys2ΔGG2899-2900 pol32::HPH/POL32 (psf1-100, CaURA3)/PSF1 Cross of SC778 and Y1005	This work
Y1042	MATa/α CAN1/CAN1 his7-2/his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG/leu2Δ::hisG ura3Δ/ura3Δ trp1-289/trp1-289 ade2-1/ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899- 2900/lys2ΔGG2899-2900 msh6::HPH/MSH6 pol3-5DV/POL3 Cross of Y1039 and Y1037	This work
Y1040	MATa/α CAN1/CAN1 his7-2/his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG/leu2Δ::hisG ura3Δ/ura3Δ trp1-289/trp1-289 ade2-1/ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899- 2900/lys2ΔGG2899-2900 pol3-5DV/POL3 (psf1-100, CaURA3)/PSF1 Cross of Y1038 and SC778	This work
Y1043	MATa/α CAN1/CAN1 his7-2/his7-2 leu2Δ::hisG/leu2Δ::hisG ura3Δ/ura3Δ trp1-289/trp1-289 ade2-1/ade2-1 lys2ΔGG2899- 2900/lys2ΔGG2899-2900 pol3-5DV/POL3 msh6::HPH/MSH6 (psf1-100, CaURA3)/PSF1 Cross of Y1039 and Y1041	This work

	Measurement of spontaneous mutagenesis in <i>psf1-100</i> derivatives defective in Pol ζ, template s	witch or HR
Y1012	As SC765, but (PSF1, LEU2)	This work
Y1013	As SC765, but (psf1-100, LEU2)	This work
Y1014	As SC765, but rad51::HPH (PSF1, LEU2)	This work
Y1015	As SC765, but pif1::HPH (PSF1, LEU2)	This work
Y1016	As SC765, but mms2::HPH (PSF1, LEU2)	This work
Y1017	As SC765, but rev3::NAT1 (PSF1, LEU2)	This work
Y1018	As SC765, but rad51::HPH rev3::NAT1 (PSF1, LEU2)	This work
Y1019	As SC765, but rad51::HPH (psf1-100, LEU2)	This work
Y1020	As SC765, but rad51::HPH rev3::NAT1 (psf1-100, LEU2)	This work
Y1021	As SC765, but pif1::HPH (psf1-100, LEU2)	This work
Y1022	As SC765, but mms2::HPH (psf1-100, LEU2)	This work
Y1006	As SC765, but rev3::NAT1 (psf1-100, LEU2)	This work
	Measurement of spontaneous mutagenesis in <i>psf1-100</i> derivatives defective in Pol δ proofreading	ng / MMR
SC766	As SC765, but (PSF1, CaURA3)	[2]
SC778	As SC765, but (psf1-100, CaURA3)	[2]
Y999	As SC765, but msh6::HPH	This work
Y1048	As SC765, but msh6::HPH (PSF1, CaURA3)	This work
Y1049	As SC765, but pol3-5DV (PSF1, CaURA3)	This work
Y1044	As SC765, but msh6::HPH (psf1-100, CaURA3)	This work
Y1041	As SC765, but pol3-5DV (psf1-100, CaURA3)	This work
Y1045	As SC765, but pol3-5DV msh6::HPH	This work
Y1046	As SC765, but pol3-5DV msh6::HPH (PSF1, CaURA3)	This work
Y1047	As SC765, but pol3-5DV msh6::HPH (psf1-100, CaURA3)	This work
	Analysis of recombination events	
Y1031	As MAB1, but (PSF1, CaURA3)	This work
Y1032	As MAB1, but (psf1-100, CaURA3)	This work
Y1033	As MAB4, but (PSF1, CaURA3)	This work
Y1034	As MAB4, but (psf1-100, CaURA3)	This work
Y1035	MATα/MATa can1-100/ can1Δ::SUP4-o V9229::HPH/ V9229::kanMX V261553::HIS3/V261553::LEU2 [PSE1 calIRA3/PSE1 calIRA3] Cross of V1031 and V1033	This work
¥1036	MATα/MATa can1-100/ can1Δ::SUP4-o V9229::HPH/ V9229::kanMX V261553::HIS3/V261553::LEU2	This work
11050	(psf1-100, CaURA3/psf1-100, CaURA3) Cross of Y1032 and Y1034	
66766	Measurement of spontaneous and induced mutagenesis in <i>psf1-100</i> cells with <i>G2-REV3</i>	[2]
SC/66	As SC765, but (PSF1, CaURA3)	[2]
SC/78	As SC765, but (psf1-100, CaukA3)	[2]
Y514	As SC/65, but rev3::LEU2 (PSF1, COURA3)	[4]
1522	As SC765, but rev3::LEU2 (psg1-100, COURAS)	[4] This work
¥1023	As SC/65, but (G2 REV3, Nativiz) (PSF1, CaURA3)	
¥1024	As SC/65, but (G2-REV3, Nativiz) (psj1-100, CaURA3)	This work
66665	Analyzis of the CANI mutation spectrum	[2]
50005	MATE CANT his7-2 leu22:::KanMX4 ura32 trp1-289 ade2-1 lys22GG2899-2900 rev3::LEU2 (PSF1, CAURA3)	[2]
SCELO	WATA CANT 1157-2 RUZDRUTIWA4 UTU3D UTP1-209 UU22-1 IV52DGG2899-2900 RUS:LEU2 (p5J1-100, CUURA3)	[2] [2]
30030	V and V a	[2]
30000	Marcu Carvi 11157-2 leuzakullivin4 ulusa lipi-209 uluz-1 19520662899-2900 poiz-4 revs::LEOZ (psj1-100, COURAS)	[2]
50001	WE assure that the interval of the constraints of	[2]
20002	WATa CAN1 his r-2 leuzakullivia ulusa ulus-200 uucz-1 lyszaggzeses 2300 (PSF1, LEUZ)	[2] [2]
30003	יאורים כרויד וווגדיב וכמצםגמווויזאיז מומשם נו 1200 ממצביד ואגצםטטבסשיבשטט (אג)דידמט, גבטבן	[4]

^a This strain is a derivative of Δ I(-2)I-7B-YUNI300 [5]

^b This strain is a derivative of W303 [3]

Primer name	Sequence 5'→3'/application			
	PCR amplification and DNA sequencing of	CAN1 locus		
MGCANFF	AAGAGTGGTTGCGAACAGAG			
MGCANRR	GGAGCAAGATTGTTGTGGTG			
Can_1666	ATATTTGACAGGGAACAAGT			
Can_1963	GATGGCTCTTGGAACGGA			
Can_2241	TGTCAAGGACCACCAAAG			
Can_2465	GTAACTCGTCACGAGAGA			
	Gene disruptions			
REV3_UPTEF	CAATACAAAACTACAAGTTGTGGCGAAATAAAATGTTTG	GAAATGAGATCTGTTTAGCTTGCC		
REV3_DNTEF	ATAACTACTCATCATTTTGCGAGACATATCTGTGTCTAGATTATTCGAGCTCGTTTTCGACAC			
RAD52_UPTEF	ACGAAAAATATAGCGGCGGGCGGGTTACGCGACCGGTATCGAATGGAGATCTGTTTAGCTTGCC			
RAD52_DNTEF	ATAATGATGCAAATTTTTTATTTGTTTCGGCCAGGAAGCO	GTTTCAATTCGAGCTCGTTTTCGACAC		
RAD51_UPTEF	ACGTAGTTATTTGTTAAAGGCCTACTAATTTGTTATCGTC	ATATGGAGATCTGTTTAGCTTGCC		
RAD51_DNTEF	AAGTAAACCTGTGTAAATAAATAGAGACAAGAGACCAAATACCTAATTCGAGCTCGTTTTCGACAC			
MMS2_UPTEF	ATTCTGTATATGCAACGTAGAAGAAAGCAGCGTTTACAC	CAAAAATGAGATCTGTTTAGCTTGCC		
MMS2_DNTEF	TGGCTTGGAATGCTGCAAATACTGTTTAGGAAAAAGTAG	GATAACTATTCGAGCTCGTTTTCGACAC		
PIF1_UPTEF	TTATCCATTGAGCGATTAGCTTACTTGTATCAATCAATTT	TACATGAGATCTGTTTAGCTTGCC		
PIF1_DNTEF	ATAGCAGTTTGTATTCTATATAACTATGTGTATTAATATG	TACTTATTCGAGCTCGTTTTCGACAC		
POL32_UPTEF	ATAATATTTCACATTAACTAACAACCAGAAATAGGCTTTA	AGTTAACTCAATCGGTAATTA		
POL32_DNTEF	CATTTGTATTATACATTACATCACAATTAGTAATGGAAAG	GTGTTTGGAAAAAAAAAAAGAAG		
MSH6_UPTEF	CAGATAAGATTTTTAATTGGAGCAACTAGTTAATTTTGA	ACAAAGCCAATTTGAACTCCAAAAGATCTGTTTAGCTTGCC		
MSH6_DNTEF	CAACGACCAAAACTTTAAAAAAAAAAAGTAAAAATCTTA	CATACATCGTAAATGAAAATATTCGAGCTCGTTTTCGACAC		
	Verification of gene disruptions			
HPH UO	ACAGACGTCGCGGTGAGTTCAG			
HPH DO	TCGCCGATAGTGGAAACCGACG			
NAT1 UO	ACCGGTAAGCCGTGTCGTCAAG			
NAT1 DO	GCTTCGTGGTCGTCTCGTACTC			
msh6UP2	GAATCCTTGGAGGAAGAC			
msh6UO	TAAAGTCGCTGGAGTAGG			
REV3 A	AATTCTGCCAATCTATTTGATCTTG			
REV3 B	TCTGATTTAGAGGATGATCTAACCG			
REV3 C	TAAATGAAGACCATAGAGCAGAACC			
REV3 D	CACCAGATAGAGTTTTGAACGAAAT			
RAD52 A	GATTCAACAACTCCCTTGGCGTC			
RAD52 B	CAACCTTCGATGTATGCAATCCTG			
RAD52 C	CGCGTGAAACCACACCAA			
RAD52 D	TACGACACATGGAGGAAAGAAAAAC			
RAD51 A	CCAATCTAGTTTAGCTATCCTGCAA			
RAD51 B	AAAGTGTGACATAGCTGGGACTTAC			
RAD51 C	GTAAGTCCCAGCTATGTCACACTTT			
RAD51 D	ΑΑΤΤΤΤΤΟΤΟΤΟΑΟΤΟΟΟΤΑΑΑΑ			
PIF1 A	AAAGGCGCGTCTTAATTTTCTTCACT	Saccharomyces cerevisiae Genome Deletion Project		
PIF1 B	GTGCGATACGTTTTTGAGTAAAAGAAA			
PIF1 C	ATCAAGTTCATTCATTGGTTTCCGAC			
PIF1 D	CTTTTTCTATCGAAGGAGGTTCACC			
MMS2 A	CACCACTATTGCTCATTTTGTACTG			
MMS2 B	TAATATCGTCGCTATCAGCTAAACC			
MMS2 C	AAGATAAATCTACCATGCGTCAATC			
MMS2 D	TATTTATTATTGGCTTGGACTGGAG			
POL32 A	AATTCTCGATCAGTATGCCTCAATA			
POL32 B	TTTGTCTAGAGGTTTCCTTGTCATC			
	PCR amplification and DNA sequencing of	PSF1 or psf1-100 locus		
InProm	AGCTAGGTTCCAAGAAGGCT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
dwPSF1	CCAGCTTGAAAGCATCGATA			
	PCR amplification and DNA sequencing of	pol2-4 locus		
nol2-1		poiz - 10003		
pol2-4 1	ATCAGTTATTCGAGGCCAGG			

Table S11. Primers used in this study.

	PCR amplification and DNA sequencing of <i>pol3-5DV</i> locus
pol3-1	GAGTCTGTGTTCTCTCG
pol3-2	CCATTAGGTGTTATGACG
	Construction of the G2-REV3, natNT2 fusion cassette
S1_REV3	GTATTTGAGTCAATACAAAACTACAAGTTGTGGCGAAATAAAATGTTTGGAAATGCGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC
S4_REV3	ATTTAGAGGATGATCTAACCGTATCGCTCTGTATTGTGTCGTTCGACTCCCTCGACATCGATGAATTCTCTGTCG
	PCR amplification and DNA sequencing of G2-REV3, natNT2 locus
Rev3 A	AATTCTGCCAATCTATTTGATCTTG
Rev3-R3	TGACCACTCACATGGCGCTTTG
Rev3up	GATAAGTATTCACTAACACC
Rev3_R1	CTTTCACCGTGCGATGGGTC
prCLB2	TCGCTCGTTTGTCAGAAG
	Confirmation of the RFA1-YFP fusion cassette
RFA6231R	ACGGTTCACAATCCCTACAG
RFA7367F	GCCGCAACGCAAACTTCATC
YFP9451R	CTTCGGGCATGGCACTCTTG

REFERENCES

- 1. Goldstein, A.L.; McCusker, J.H. Three new dominant drug resistance cassettes for gene disruption in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast* **1999**, *15*, 1541–1553, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(199910)15:14<1541::AID-YEA476>3.0.CO;2-K.
- 2. Grabowska, E.; Wronska, U.; Denkiewicz, M.; Jaszczur, M.; Respondek, A.; Alabrudzinska, M.; Suski, C.; Makiela-Dzbenska, K.; Jonczyk, P.; Fijalkowska, I.J. Proper functioning of the GINS complex is important for the fidelity of DNA replication in yeast. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2014**, *92*, 659–680, doi:10.1111/mmi.12580.
- 3. Barbera, M.A.; Petes, T.D. Selection and analysis of spontaneous reciprocal mitotic cross-overs in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2006**, *103*, 12819–12824, doi:10.1073/pnas.0605778103.
- Szwajczak, E.; Fijalkowska, I.J.; Suski, C. The CysB motif of Rev3p involved in the formation of the four-subunit DNA polymerase ζ is required for defective-replisome-induced mutagenesis. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2017**, *106*, 659–672, doi:10.1111/mmi.13846.
- 5. Pavlov, Y.I.; Newlon, C.S.; Kunkel, T.A. Yeast origins establish a strand bias for replicational mutagenesis. *Mol. Cell* **2002**, *10*, 207–213, doi:10.1016/S1097-2765(02)00567-1.