



Article SPInDel Analysis of the Non-Coding Regions of cpDNA as a More Useful Tool for the Identification of Rye (Poaceae: *Secale*) Species

Lidia Skuza 1,2,*, Ewa Filip 1,2, Izabela Szućko 1,2 and Jan Bocianowski 3

- ¹ Institute of Biology, University of Szczecin, 13 Wąska, 71-415 Szczecin, Poland; ewa.filip@usz.edu.pl (E.F.); dyrektor.bio@usz.edu.pl (I.S.)
- ² The Centre for Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Szczecin, 13 Wąska, 71-415 Szczecin, Poland
- ³ Department of Mathematical and Statistical Methods, Faculty of Agronomy and Bioengineering, Poznań University of Life Sciences, 28 Wojska Polskiego, 60-637 Poznań, Poland; jan.bocianowski@up.poznan.pl
- * Correspondence: lidia.skuza@usz.edu.pl

Received: 21 November 2020; Accepted: 8 December 2020; Published: 10 December 2020

Abstract: Secale is a small but very diverse genus from the tribe Triticeae (family Poaceae), which includes annual, perennial, self-pollinating and open-pollinating, cultivated, weedy and wild species of various phenotypes. Despite its high economic importance, classification of this genus, comprising 3-8 species, is inconsistent. This has resulted in significantly reduced progress in the breeding of rye which could be enriched with functional traits derived from wild rye species. Our previous research has suggested the utility of non-coding sequences of chloroplast and mitochondrial DNA in studies on closely related species of the genus Secale. Here we applied the SPInDel (Species Identification by Insertions/Deletions) approach, which targets hypervariable genomic regions containing multiple insertions/deletions (indels) and exhibiting extensive length variability. We analysed a total of 140 and 210 non-coding sequences from cpDNA and mtDNA, respectively. The resulting data highlight regions which may represent useful molecular markers with respect to closely related species of the genus Secale, however, we found the chloroplast genome to be more informative. These molecular markers include non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA: *atpB-rbcL* and *trnT -trnL* and non-coding regions of mitochondrial DNA: *nad1B-nad1C* and rrn5/rrn18. Our results demonstrate the utility of the SPInDel concept for the characterisation of Secale species.

Keywords: Secale; cpDNA; mtDNA; SPInDel; hypervariable regions

1. Introduction

Rye (*Secale cereale* L.) is commonly grown in the fields of Northern and Eastern Europe. *S. cereale* L. is highly tolerant to diverse environmental stresses, as drought and frost [1,2]. It contains genes conferring biotic stress resistance against various diseases (e.g., stem rust, leaf rust, and yellow rust [3] or powdery mildew [4]. In view of that the wild and weedy forms may crossbreed with cultivated rye [5], these taxa, along with the landraces, constitute gene pools for desirable genes. They can be regarded as genetic resource reservoirs for new niches and future breeding programs of wheat, triticale and other crops [6].

In spite of the high economic value of rye, its huge genome size $(2n = 2 \times = 14, 1Cx \sim 7.9 \text{ Gbp})$ [7] (the largest among the diploid species of Poaceae family) and highly repetitive genome 92% [8] have prevented the utilization of its genome information for crop improvement. Hence understanding genetic structuring of the genus *Secale* and distribution of genetic diversity within the genus is extremely important.

Unfortunately, the phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Secale* remain unclear. A division of the genus *Secale* into 15 different species has even been adopted [9,10], while Frederiksen and Petersen [11,12] recognized only three *Secale* species: *Secale sylvestre, Secale strictum* and *S. cereale*. According to the newest classification [13] the genus *Secale* is classified into four species: *S. cereale* – an annual allogamous species, *S. sylvestre* and *vavilovii* – an annual autogamous species and *S. strictum* – a perennial wild-type allogamous species [14]. Moreover, *S. cereale* also comprises eight subspecies, *S. strictum* –5. *S. cereale* ssp. *cereale* is the only cultivated species, although *S. strictum* may have been used as a forage crop [15]. Probably the most distant species is *Secale sylvestre* [15–23] due to its low crossability with other species, the lowest amount of t-heterochromatin [16] and the smallest genome (7.23 pg) [17]. For rye breeding *S. vavilovii* is attractive. It is characterized by high self-fertility, sprouting and sterilising cytoplasm, high protein content, resistance to diseases such as fusarium ear blight, septoria leaf blotch. Chromosomes of *S. strictum* are sources for resistance to yellow rust, Russian wheat aphid, grain hardness, increased protein and arabinoxylan content.

A number of studies on rye genetic diversity have been conducted using different marker systems, which include AFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism) [24], SSR (Simple Sequence Repeats) [16,25–29], DArT (Diversity Arrays Technology) [30,31], and recently SNPs (Single nucleotide polymorphism) [32] and GWAS (Genome-wide association study) [33]. These markers tested individually or as a set of loci were unsuccessful in the study of the genetic variability of the genus *Secale*. The conducted studies only confirmed the lack of monophyletism in the *Secale* sp. subspecies, which resulted from a similar inter- and intraspecific distance. This proves that gene flow continues between rye species and the recent evolution, which does not allow the full formation of isolation mechanisms [34].

The results of several studies on the genus *Secale* indicate non-coding sequences as a source of markers for the differentiation of rye species. The non-coding sequences evolves faster than the coding region and due to this provides more information for phylogenetic analysis because of the higher variation rate [35–37]. The SpinDel program is often used for this type of analysis.

The theoretical basis of SPInDel (Species Identification by Insertions/Deletions) is the analysis of hypervariable regions with variable lengths having a large number of insertions/deletions (indels) interspersed with significantly conserved regions (Figure 1). A unique numeric profile for each species is defined by the combination of fragment lengths, allowing its identification.



Figure 1. Schematic illustration presenting the strategy used in the species identification by the insertions/deletions method (SPInDel). Illustration shows the sequence alignment for four hypothetical species (1 to 4). Three hypervariable domains (dotted lines) are defined by four conserved regions (blue). The compartent of the alignment is developed to indicate the presence of a large number of gaps in hypervariable regions. Subsequent species are identified using the numerical profile caused by the combination of lengths in the hypervariable regions (blue numeric codes).

Similarly, our previous research, which relied on analyzes of the coding and non-coding sequences of the mitochondrial, chloroplast and nuclear genomes, supports these results [38]. These studies demonstrated a better suitability of cpDNA for the analysis of *Secale* species. This has also been confirmed by several other authors in other land plants [39–45]. The cpDNA of plants is particularly suitable for the application of the SPInDel concept by having several coding regions (usually conserved) interspersed with large non-coding domains such as introns or intergenic spacers (usually rich in indels). Unfortunately, there are no data on the mitochondrial genome so far. Here we tested the use of the SPInDel concept for the identification of 35 accessions of the genus *Secale*, representing 13 most often distinguished species and subspecies, originating from various seed collections in the world. We also checked which organelle genome is more informative for the genus *Secale*.

2. Results

2.1. Analysis of Variable-Length cpDNA Sequences

A total of 3031 bp from 140 sequences were analyzed. The species and characteristic of each target region is described in Table S1.

The potential use of SPInDel profiles for species identification purposes requires the existence of 'species-specific SPInDel profiles': those that are only found in one species within a taxonomic group and allow their unequivocal identification. The mean number of species-specific profiles (Nsp) was 2.5 (from 1 to 4), while the mean number of species with shared profiles (N(species)sh) was 3.25 (varied from 2 to 4) (Table 1), i.e., almost all regions in this group had specific profile. If all profiles were specific, Nsp will be equal to the number of different profiles (N). If some profiles are shared,

then N > Nsp. A profile can be shared between two or more species, therefore the number of species with shared profile can be higher than the number of species-shared profiles.

The frequency of species-specific profiles is 1.00, indicating that all species have a unique SPInDel profile. The mean frequency of species-specific profiles (f_{sp}) was 0.83, ranging from 0.72 to 0.91 (Table 1). This result suggests that all cpDNA regions had almost unique combination of fragments lengths.

All species from the *Secale* genus have different profiles, and the average numbers of pairwise differences among hypervariable ranging from 0.44 (*S. s.* ssp. *africanum; atpB-rbcL* and *S. c.* ssp. *afghanicum; trnT*(UGU)-*trnL*(UAA)) to 4.17 (*S. c.* ssp. *segetale; trnL*(UAA) (Tables S2–S4). The discrimination of all species is possible with maximum four hypervariable regions (*S. sylvestre atpB-rbcL; S. c.* ssp. *ancestrale, S. c.* ssp. *segetale, S. s.* ssp. *kuprijanovii trnL*(UAA); *S. s.* ssp. *anatolicum trnD-trnT*) (Tables S2–S5). Most species have one hypervariable region: six species in 3 cpDNA regions and five in 1 (*trnD-trnT*) (Table S5), average from 1.77 in *atpB-rbcL* to 2.08 in *trnD*[tRNA-Asp(GUC)]-*trnT*[tRNA-Thr(GGU)] (Tables S2–S5).

If we consider species analysis, the mean number of species-specific profiles (Nsp) was 11.69 (from 4 to 16), and the mean number of species with shared profiles (N(species)sh) was 0 (Tables S2–S5), i.e., no species in this group had shared profile. All analyzed sequences were species specific, whether or not they represented a subspecies group, therefore the mean frequency of species-specific profiles (f_{sp}) was 1.

The four non-coding cpDNA regions from 35 accessions were concatenated. The maximum frequency of species-specific profiles of the concentration (f_{sp} =0.35) was reached with the use of hypervariable regions trnT(UGU)-trnL5'exon (Figure 2A). The average number of pairwise differences for the concatenated regions was 7.73, a value close to the maximum that can be obtained with four hypervariable regions. A total of 455 pairwise comparisons yielded differences in the four hypervariable regions, while 384 cases (84%) had four differences. Only 116 cases were different by less than two hypervariable regions (Figure 2B). Figure 2C shows the 'region by region' analysis for the concatenated for cpDNA. The regions trnL(UAA) intron vs. trnD[tRNA-Asp(GUC)]-trnT [tRNA-Thr(GGU)] had the highest average pairwise differences, with p=0.73.

Genomic Region	Number of Conserved Regions	Number of Hypervariable Regions (n)	Average Number of Pairwise Differences (p ^G n)	Average Number of Pairwise Differences Per Hypervariable Region	Number of Species- Specific Profiles (Nsp)	Frequency of Species- Specific Profiles (f _{sp})	Number of Species- Shared Profiles	Number of Minimum Hypervariable Regions for Discrimination of All Species
				cpDNA				
<i>atpB-rbcL</i> intergenic spacer	6	2	1.02	0.91	1	0.91	4	4
<i>trnT</i> (UGU)- <i>trnL</i> (UAA)5'exon	5	2	0.97	0.62	2	0.83	4	4
intergenic spacer <i>trnL</i> (UAA) intron intergenic spacer	4	2	0.51	0.41	4	0.87	2	1
<i>trnD</i> [tRNA- Asp(GUC)]- <i>trnT</i> [tRNA- Thr(GGU)] intergenic	4	1	0.07	0.02	3	0.72	3	2
spacer				mtDN A				
undlower P undlower				IIIIDNA				
C intron intergenic	4	1	0.06	0.01	2	0.89	2	2
spacer nad4/1-2 intergenic spacer	4	2	0.27	0.23	1	0.72	2	2
nad4L-orf25 intergenic spacer	5	2	1.23	0.99	7	0.45	4	3
<i>rps12-1/nad3-2</i> intergenic spacer	4	1	0.07	0.05	2	0.67	2	1
<i>rps12-2/nad3-1</i> intergenic spacer	3	1	0.01	0.01	1	0.81	1	1
<i>rrn5/rrn18-1</i> intergenic spacer	5	2	0.73	0.23	3	0.86	2	2

Table 1. Main SPInDel analyses performed for each cpDNA and mtDNA target region for 35 accessions of 13 Secale species.

cpDNA - chloroplast DNA; mtDNA – mitochondrial DNA





all pairs of SPInDel profiles in a taxonomic group; (C) The discriminatory potential of each hypervariable cpDNA region individually (region by region analyses).

2.2. Analysis of Variable-Length mtDNA Sequences

A total of 4.171 bp from 210 sequences were analyzed. The species and characteristic of each target region is described in Table S1.

The mean number of species-specific profiles (Nsp) was 2.67 (from 1 to 7), while the mean number of species with shared profiles (N(species)sh) was 2.17 (varied from 1 to 4) (Table 1). The mean frequency of species-specific profiles (f_{sp}) was 0.73, ranging from 0.45 to 0.89 (Table 1). i.e., almost all regions in this group had specific profile.

If we consider species analysis, the mean number of species-specific profiles (Nsp) was 17.54 (from 6 to 24), and the mean number of species with shared profiles (N(species)sh) was 0 (Tables S6–S11), i.e., no species in this group had shared profile. All analyzed sequences were species specific, whether or not they represented a subspecies group, therefore the mean frequency of species-specific profiles (f_{sp}) was 1.

All species from the *Secale* genus have different profiles, and the average numbers of pairwise differences among hypervariable ranging from 0.42 (*S. s.* ssp. *ciliatoglume; nad4/1-2*) to 5.54 (*S. c.* ssp. *ancestrale; rps12-2/nad3-1*) (Tables S7 and S10).

The discrimination of all species is possible with maximum seven hypervariable regions (*S. s.* ssp. *kuprijanovii*, *S. vavilovii*; *nad*1exonB-*nad*1exonC) (Table S6).

Most species have more than one hypervariable region (about 74%), average from 2.62 in *nad4*/1-2 to 3.69 in *nad1*exonB-*nad1*exonC (Tables S6 and S5).

The six non-coding mtDNA regions from 35 accessions were concatenated. The maximum frequency of species-specific profiles of the concentration for mtDNA ($f_{sp} = 0.23$) was reached with the use of hypervariable regions *rps12-1/nad3-2*, *rps12-2/nad3-1* and *rrn5/rrn18-1* (Figure 3A). The average number of pairwise differences for the concatenated regions was 6.61, a value close to the maximum that can be obtained with five hypervariable regions. A total of 595 pairwise comparisons yielded differences in the five hypervariable regions, while 455 cases (76%) had three differences. Only 122 cases were different by less than two hypervariable regions (Figure 3B). Figure 3C shows the 'region by region' analysis for the concatenated for mtDNA. The regions *nad4L-orf25* vs. *rps12-1/nad3-2* and *nad4/1-2* vs. *nad4L-orf25* had the highest average pairwise differences, with p equal, respectively, 0.72 and 0.71.





The cpDNA and mtDNA regions are widely used as markers in phylogenetic and phylogeographic studies [46,47]. Additionally, for most species, including rye, the data is incomplete. So far, *S. cereale* chloroplast genome sequence has been published [48], while rye mtDNA has not been fully sequenced.

The cpDNA appears to have much more stable structure than the mitochondrial genome of plants in case of intramolecular rearrangement. The plastid genome substitution rate is 3–4 times higher than that of plant mtDNA [49]. In cpDNA noncoding regions most of the variability observed concerns insertion-deletion (indel) mutations. As stated by other authors, however, they should be treated with caution as they may indicate heteroplasmy [50]. Nevertheless, indels were analyzed in the following studies due to the fact that they were found to be common and often phylogenetically informative [51–53].

The cpDNA shows a much more stable structure in case of intramolecular rearrangement than the mitochondrial genome of plants. The rate of plastid genome substitution is 3–4 times higher than that of plant mtDNA [49]. Most of the variability observed in cpDNA noncoding regions concerns insertion-deletion (indel) mutations, but, as stated by other authors, they should be treated with caution as they may indicate heteroplasmy [50]. However, indels were analyzed in the following studies due to the fact that they were found to be common and often phylogenetically informative [51–53].

The multiple insertions and deletions (indels), which are present in the target genomic regions is generally regarded as a problem, as it introduces ambiguities in sequence alignments. However, in a few recent works a high level of species discrimination is attainable in all taxa of life by considering the length of hypervariable regions defined by indel variants has been shown [54]. Each species is tagged with a numeric profile of fragment lengths.

Indels are less prone to back and recurrent mutations, and thanks to that the probability of misclassifications is greatly reduced. Genomic regions with have multiple indels was used for species-identification procedures with high efficiency not only in plants chloroplast *trnL*(UAA) intron [55], but also in bacteria [56], fungi [57] and animals [58,59]. Unfortunately, there is no such information on the species of rye.

For indel detection several software tools are available [60,61]. In the present study a multifunctional computational workbench (named SPInDel for SPecies Identification by Insertions/Deletions) was used. The SPInDel approach relies on the analysis of multiple loci, which presents a clear advantage over methods targeting a single locus, therefore the occurrence of intraspecific or intraindividual variability in hypervariable regions does not pose serious problems [62–64]. A correct identification is still possible based on the information from the remaining loci even in cases where one (or more) SPInDel hypervariable region(s) have a different from the reference length.

In our previous studies the phylogenetic indels context were not analysed because algorithms describing substitution models are not able to model indel-type changes and they are removed by tree-creating programs. However, basic data on length and number of indels were obtained [38]. The number of identified indels in cpDNA was not very high compared to the results presented by other authors [65]. While the number of identified indels in mtDNA was comparable to the results of other authors in mtDNA of plants [66,67].

The occurrence of deletions and insertions as well as numerous nucleotide substitutions is a common phenomenon in the *atpB-rbcL* region. The majority of non-coding regions rich in these base pairs show a low number of functions [68]. SPinDel analysis of this regions showed the highest frequency of species-specific profiles ($f_{sp} = 0.91$) (Table 1), which means that almost all species have a unique profile.

The region *trnT*(UGU)-*trnL*(UAA)5'exon shows a high frequency of insertions or deletions, depending on the species, which makes it possible to use them as genetic markers [38].

The mean f_{sp} of the species analysed for this region ($f_{sp} = 0.83$) was very close to the mean f_{sp} for the *atpB-rbcL* region (Table 1).

One of analysed regions, the chloroplast *trnL*(UAA) intron, is known for its potential as speciesspecific marker due to low intra- and higher inter-specific genetic variation [32,55]. Our results show that *trnL* does not represent the most variable non-coding region of chloroplast DNA (Table 1).

We detected maximum diversity values in the intraspecific data sets for all target regions (Figure 2C). This is the first analysis performed using the SPInDel program, indicating the usefulness of the analyzed regions in *Secale* species.

Sequences from these cpDNA regions are often used in combination with other sequences in order to obtain additional data and a better resolution for phylogenetic studies [37,69–72]. Our results also showed that the regions *trnL*(UAA) intron vs. *trnD*[tRNA-Asp(GUC)]-*trnT*[tRNA-Thr(GGU)] had the highest average pairwise differences (Figure 2B). Moreover, the *trnD*[tRNA-Asp(GUC)]- *trnT*[tRNA-Thr(GGU)] region in our previous studies showed the greatest variability of rye species compared to the entire pool of analyzed cpDNA regions, which predisposed it to study closely related species.

Indels polymorphisms have a sufficiently rapid evolutionary rate of accumulation despite the low intra-specific diversity in cpDNA genes, that allows for discrimination between closely related taxa [73]. The frequency of species-specific SPInDel cpDNA profiles reached the maximal possible value ($f_{sp} = 1$) in the intraspecific level (Tables S2–S5) and 0.91 for the *atpB-rbcL* region (Table 1). The real potential of the SPInDel concept was revealed by the concatenation of the cpDNA target regions (Figure 2, Table 1). The results of this study confirmed this, because they focus on the high variability of the studied regions of the chloroplast genome in the majority of taxa (Table 1). The *trnT*(UGU)-*trnL*(UAA)5'exon and *trnD*[tRNA-Asp(GUC)]-*trnT*[tRNA-Thr(GGU)] regions were used to the greatest extent for the analysis of closely related species species, which gave the best results in combination.These regions should be a useful tool as molecular markers for the study of closely related species, especially at the interspecies level of the genus *Secale*.

The mitochondrial genomes of plants are characterized by the presence of a relatively large number of group II introns compared to fungal and baterial mtDNA [74,75]. Group I introns, which are located in the *coxI* gene, are also contained in several plant genera, including *Peperomia* and *Marchantia* [76]. However, there is no correlation between phylogenesis and the presence of this intron, indicating that it was introduced by horizontal gene transfer. Fungal species were probably the donor.

In our previous study, a total of 45 indels in mtDNA have been identified [38]. It is comparable to the results of other authors in plant mtDNA. Rye mtDNA sequence data are not available. Only the winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Chinese Yumai) mtDNA sequence was was published and was found to be very similar to the spring wheat sequence (*T. aestivum* cv. Chinese Spring) [77]. We determined 0 to 40 (in nad4/1-2) indels with sizes ranging from 1 bp to 5 bp in the *rps12-nad3*(2) and *nad4/1-2* regions, respectively [38].

Mitochondrial *nad1B-nad1C* region, which is located in exon b and c [78], has highly conserved nature whithin this group of introns [38]. Nevertheless, the *nad1* intron region may serve as a useful molecular marker in population studies. SPinDel analysis of this regions showed the highest frequency of species-specific profiles ($f_{sp} = 0.89$) (Table 1), meaning that almost all species have a unique profile.

The region located within subunit 4 of the *nad4* gene is considered to be a slowly evolving mitochondrial marker. Its evolution occurs 23 times slower than that of the ITS rDNA sequence [79]. Thus, it could be a useful insightful tool in deliberating on phylogenetic relationships. The research has shown that among all tested mtDNA sequences *nad4/1-2* region proved to be the most informative [38]. Unfortunately, our analysis showed that frequency of insertions or deletions is not very high ($f_{sp} = 0.72$) (Table 1).

Another analyzed intergenic region, *nad4L-orf25* shows the lowest frequency of species-specific profiles ($f_{sp} = 0.45$) among all analyzed regions, both mtDNA and cpDNA (Table 1). This confirms our earlier research [38] and the data on sugar beet [80].

Two different combinations of primers were used to amplify the intergenic sequences of the *rps12-nad3* region: the first amplified only the intergenic region, the second–the intergenic region and

the *nad3* gene. These regions were described as variable regions, while the first region proved conserved in *Secale* species and subspecies [38]. Similarly, SPinDel analysis of this regions showed great differences between them: frequency of species-specific profiles was lower in the *rps12-1/nad3-2* region than in the *rps12-2/nad3-1* ($f_{sp} = 0.67$ and $f_{sp} = 81$, respectively) (Table 1).

The mean f_{sp} of the species analysed for this the *rrn5-rrn18* region ($f_{sp} = 0.86$) was very close to the mean f_{sp} for the *nad1B-nad1C* region (Table 1). It was confirmed that sequences of this regions proved to be the most informative among all tested mtDNA sequences.

The frequency of species-specific SPInDel cpDNA profiles reached the maximal possible value ($f_{sp} = 1$) in the intraspecific level (Tables S6–S11) and 0.91 for the *atpB-rbcL* region (Table 1).

The concatenated analysis showed slightly lower frequency of species-specific profiles of the concentration for mtDNA ($f_{sp} = 0.23$), than for cpDNA ($f_{sp} = 0.35$) (Figure 2A). The maximum frequency was reached with the use of 3 regions *rps12-1/nad3-2*, *rps12-2/nad3-1* and *rrn5/rrn18-1* (Figure 3A). The average number of pairwise differences for the concatenated regions was also lower compared to cpDNA (6.61 and 7.73, respectively). The concatenated mtDNA analysis of other values were also lower than in cpDNA (Figure 2B,C), despite the greater number of analyzed regions.

4. Materials and Methods

The plant material consisted of 35 accessions of the genus *Secale*, 13 cultivated and non-cultivated species and subspecies of rye. They were obtained from several world collections (Center for Biological Diversity Conservation in Powsin–Warsaw, Poland; United States Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service in Beltsville, Maryland, USA; Nordic Genetic Resource Center in Alnarp, Sweden). The list of species, as well as the accession numbers for each sample, is given in Table S1.

4.1. DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and DNA Sequencing

For the amplification of the cpDNA 6 non-coding (intron) regions and mtDNA 6 non-coding (intron) regions, genomic DNA was isolated and amplified as described in previous study [38].

The sequences analysed in this paper have been deposited in the NCBI Genbank nucleotide sequence database with the accession numbers MH893827-MH894176 [38] (Table S1).

4.2. SPInDel Analyses

The analysis for cpDNA and mtDNA regions were performed independently. The sequence alignments of each family for the four different cpDNA regions (atpB-rbcL intergenic spacer, trnT(UGU)-trnL5'exon intergenic spacer, trnL(UAA) intron intergenic spacer and trnD[tRNA-Asp(GUC)]-trnT[tRNA-Thr(GGU)]) as well as six different mtDNA regions (nad1 exon B-nad1 exon C intron intergenic spacer, nad4/1-2 intergenic spacer, nad4L-orf25 intergenic spacer, rps12-1/nad3-2 intergenic spacer, rps12-2/nad3-1 intergenic spacer and rrn5/rrn18-1 intergenic spacer) were submitted to the SPInDel workbench in order to perform diverse calculations [81]. 35 species were selected for the assessment of intra-species diversity (Table S1). The alignments were analysed in the SPInDel workbench using the same conserved regions defined previously for the family of each species. The SPInDel concept is based on the combination of sequence lengths from different genomic regions. Therefore, in order to perform the diverse statistical analyses available on the SPInDel workbench, the alignments were concatenated of different cpDNA and mtDNA regions. The concatenated alignments were exported to the SPInDel workbench and analysed as previously described using the conserved regions defined for the individual regions. In these analyses, we have excluded the hypervariable regions defined by the peripheral conserved region of adjacent targets since they are not close to each other in the cpDNA and mtDNA. Therefore, the obtained profiles are only composed of the hypervariable regions inside each target region.

5. Conclusions

The results obtained in this study clearly indicated disproportions in the available information regarding various non-coding cpDNA and mtDNA regions used in phylogenetic studies, and some of them—due to high variability—can be successfully used in the analyses of closely related species.

The results indicate regions that may be useful molecular markers in studies on closely related species of the genus *Secale*. These include the non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA: *atpB-rbcL* and *trnT* (UGU)-*trnL*(UAA)5'exon and non-coding regions of mitochondrial DNA: *nad1*exonB-*nad1*exonC and *rrn5/rrn18-1*.

In general, our method was able to unambiguously discriminate closely related species in wellsupported monophyletic clades.

In summary, the SPInDel approach can be used for the identification of *Secale* species, however the chloroplast genome is more informative. These results suggest that this method can be used for taxonomic classification of *Secale* species as long as appropriate conserved regions are selected.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/21/24/9421/s1. Table S1, The list of species, along with the accession numbers for each sample. Table S2, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *atpB-rbcL* cpDNA region. Table S3, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *trnT*(UGU)-*trnL*(UAA)5'exon cpDNA region. Table S4, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *trnL*(UAA) intron cpDNA region. Table S5, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *trnD*[tRNA-Asp(GUC)]-*trnT*[tRNA-Thr(GGU)] cpDNA region. Table S6, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*1exon B-*nad*1exon C intron mtDNA region. Table S7, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S8, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S9, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S9, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S9, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S9, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S9, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S9, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S9, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S9, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *nad*4/1-2 mtDNA region. Table S9, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *rps*12-2/*nad*3-1 mtDNA region. Table S11, General description of standard SPInDel profiles from the *rrn5*/rrn18-1 mtDNA region.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.S.; performing the experiments, E.F. and I.S.; data analysis, J.B.; software, J.B.; writing—original draft preparation, L.S.; writing—review and editing, L.S. and E.F.; visualization, J.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Alptekin, B.; Langridge, P.; Budak, H. Abiotic stress miRNomes in the Triticeae. *Funct. Integr. Genom.* 2017, 17, 145–170.
- Madej, L.J. Worldwide trends in rye growing and breeding. In *Vortraege Fuer Pflanzenzuechtung*; 1996, 35,1–6.
- Mago, R.; Spielmeyer, W.; Lawrence, G.; Lagudah, E.; Ellis, J.; Pryor, A. Identification and mapping of molecular markers linked to rust resistance genes located on chromosome 1RS of rye using wheat-rye translocation lines. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2002, 104, 1317–24, doi:10.1007/s00122-002-0879-3.
- Hurni, S.; Brunner, S.; Buchmann, G.; Herren, G.; Jordan, T.; Krukowski, P.; Wicker, T.; Yahiaoui, N.; Mago, R.; Keller, B. Rye *Pm8* and wheat *Pm3* are orthologous genes and show evolutionary conservation of resistance function against powdery mildew. *Plant J.* 2013, *76*, 957–969, doi:10.1111/tpj.12345.
- Broda, Z.; Tomkowiak, A.; Mikolajczyk, S.; Weigt, D.; Górski, F.; Kurasiak-Popowska, D. The genetic polymorphism between the wild species and cultivars of rye *Secale cereale* L. *Acta Agrobot.* 2016, 69, doi:10.5586/aa.1652.
- Schlegel, R. Rye (Secale cereale L) A younger crop plant with bright future. In Genetic Resources, Chromosome Engineering, and Crop Improvement: Vol II Cereals; Sing, R.J., Jauhar, P., Eds.; CRC Press, USA: 2006; pp. 365– 394.
- Bartoš, J.; Paux, E.; Kofler, R.; Havránková, M.; Kopecký, D.; Suchánková, P.; Šafář, J.; Šimková, H.; Town, C.D.; Lelley, T. et al. A first survey of the rye (*Secale cereale*) genome composition through BAC end sequencing of the short arm of chromosome 1R. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2008, *8*, 95, doi:10.1186/1471-2229-8-95.

- 8. Flavell, R.B.; Bennett, M.D.; Smith, J.B.; Smith, D.B. Genome size and the proportion of repeated nucleotide sequence DNA in plants. *Biochem. Genet.* **1974**, *12*, 257–269, doi:10.1007/BF00485947.
- 9. Roshevitz, R.Y. A monograph of the wild, weedy and cultivated species of rye. *Acta Inst. Bot. Nomine Acad. Sci. USSR Ser.* **1947**, *1*, 105–163.
- Delipavlov, D. Secale rhodopaeum Delipavlov—A new species of rye from the Rhodope Mountains. Dokl. Bolg. Akad. Nauk 1962, 15, 407–411.
- Frederiksen, S.; Petersen, G. Morphometrical analyses of *Secale* (Triticeae, Poaceae). *Nord. J. Bot.* 1997, 17, 185–198, doi:10.1111/j.1756-1051.1997.tb00309.x.
- Frederiksen, S.; Petersen, G. A taxonomic revision of *Secale* (Triticeae, Poaceae). *Nord. J. Bot.* 1998, 18, 399–420, doi:10.1111/j.1756-1051.1998.tb01517.x.
- GRIN National Plant Germplasm System ARS. Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN-Taxonomy); National Germplasm Resources Laboratory: Beltsville, MD, USA, 2019. Available online: http://npgsweb.ars-grin.gov/gringlobal/taxon/ taxonomysearchcwr.aspx (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- Shang, H.-Y.; Wei, Y.-M.; Long, H.; Yan, Z.-H.; Zheng, Y.-L. Identification of LMW Glutenin-Like Genes from Secale sylvestre Host. *Russ. J. Genet.* 2005, *41*, 1372–1380, doi:10.1007/s11177-006-0009-4.
- Hammer, K.; Skolimowska, E.; Knüpffer, H. Vorarbeiten zur monographischen Darstellung von Wildpflanzensortimenten: Secale L. Die Kult. 1987, 35, 135–177, doi:10.1007/BF02113274.
- Singh, R.J.; Röbbelen, G. Identification by Giemsa technique of the translocations separating cultivated rye from three wild species of *Secale*. *Chromosoma* 1977, *59*, 217–225, doi:10.1007/BF00292779.
- Bennett, M.D.; Gustafson, J.P.; Smith, J.B. Variation in nuclear DNA in the genus Secale. Chromosoma 1977, 61, 149–176, doi:10.1007/BF00327398.
- Murai, K.; Naiyu, X.T.K. Studies on the origin of crop species by restriction endonuclease analysis of organellar DNA. III. Chloroplast DNA variation and interspecific relationships in the genus *Secale*. *Japan J. Genet.* 1989, 64, 36–47.
- Petersen, G.; Doebley, J.F. Chloroplast DNA variation in the genus Secale (Poaceae). Plant Syst. Evol. 1993, 187, 115–125, doi:10.1007/BF00994094.
- Cuadrado, A.; Jouve, N. Evolutionary Trends of Different Repetitive DNA Sequences during Speciation in the Genus Secale. J. Hered. 2002, 93, 339–345, doi:10.1093/jhered/93.5.339.
- Zhou, J.; Yang, Z.; Li, G.; Liu, C.; Tang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Ren, Z. Diversified chromosomal distribution of tandemly repeated sequences revealed evolutionary trends in *Secale* (Poaceae). *Plant Syst. Evol.* 2010, 287, 49–56, doi:10.1007/s00606-010-0288-z.
- Chikmawati, T.; Skovmand, B.; Gustafson, J.P. Phylogenetic relationships among *Secale* species revealed by amplified fragment length polymorphisms. *Genome* 2005, 48, 792–801, doi:10.1139/g05-043.
- 23. Fowler, D.B.; Limin, A.E. Exploitable genetic variability for cold tolerance in commercially grown cereals. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **1987**, *67*, 278.
- Cuadrado, A.; Schwarzacher, T. The chromosomal organization of simple sequence repeats in wheat and rye genomes. *Chromosoma* 1998, 107, 587–594, doi:10.1007/s004120050345.
- Maraci, Ö.; Özkan, H.; Bilgin, R. Phylogeny and genetic structure in the genus *Secale*. *PLoS ONE* 2018, 13, e0200825. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30024916 (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- 26. Hagenblad, J.; Oliveira, H.R.; Forsberg, N.E.G.; Leino, M.W. Geographical distribution of genetic diversity in *Secale* landrace and wild accessions. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2016**, *16*, 23, doi:10.1186/s12870-016-0710-y.
- Santos, E.; Matos, M.; Silva, P.; Figueiras Am Benito, C.; Pinto-Carnide, O. Molecular diversity and genetic relationships in *Secale*. J. Genet. 2016, 95, 273–281, doi:10.1007/s12041-016-0632-3.
- Al-Beyroutiová, M.; Sabo, M.; Sleziak, P.; Dušinský, R.; Birčák, E.; Hauptvogel, P.; Kilian, A.; Švec, M. Evolutionary relationships in the genus *Secale* revealed by DArTseq DNA polymorphism. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 2016, 302, 1083–1091, doi:10.1007/s00606-016-1318-2.
- Jones, J.D.G.; Flavell, R.B. The structure, amount and chromosomal localisation of defined repeated DNA sequences in species of the genus *Secale*. *Chromosoma* 1982, *86*, 613–641, doi:10.1007/BF00285607.
- Doebley, J.; von Bothmer, R.; Larson, S. Chloroplast DNA Variation and the Phylogeny of *Hordeum* (Poaceae). Am. J. Bot. 1992, 79, 576–584, doi:10.1002/j.1537-2197.1992.tb14595.x.
- Fuchs, J.; Kühne, M.; Schubert, I. Assignment of linkage groups to pea chromosomes after karyotyping and gene mapping by fluorescent in situ hybridization. *Chromosoma* 1998, 107, 272–276, doi:10.1007/s004120050308.
- Cuadrado, A.; Jouve, N. Distribution of highly repeated DNA sequences in species of the genus Secale. Genome 1997, 40, 309–317, doi:10.1139/g97-043.

- 34. Tarkowski, C. Biologia Żyta; PWN: Warsaw, Poland, 1983.
- Palmer, J.D.; Jansen, R.K.; Michaels, H.J.; Chase, M.W.; Manhart, J.R. Chloroplast DNA Variation and Plant Phylogeny. *Ann. Missouri. Bot. Gard.* 1988, 75, 1180–1206. Available online: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2399279 (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- Clegg, M.T.; Gaut, B.S.; Learn, G.H.; Morton, B.R. Rates and patterns of chloroplast DNA evolution. *Proc Natl.* Acad. Sci. USA 1994, 91, 6795–6801. Available online: http://www.pnas.org/content/91/15/6795.abstract (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- Wang, X.-R.; Tsumura, Y.; Yoshimaru, H.; Nagasaka, K.; Szmidt, A.E. Phylogenetic relationships of Eurasian pines (*Pinus*, Pinaceae) based on chloroplast *rbcL*, *matk*, *rpl20-rps18* spacer, and *trnv* intron sequences. *Am. J. Bot.* **1999**, *86*, 1742–1753, doi:10.2307/2656672.
- Skuza, L.; Szućko, I.; Filip, E.; Strzała, T. Genetic diversity and relationship between cultivated, weedy and wild rye species as revealed by chloroplast and mitochondrial DNA non-coding regions analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2019, *14*, e0213023, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0213023.
- 39. Chase, M.W.; Fay, M.F. Barcoding of Plants and Fungi. *Science* **2009**, *325*, 682–683. Available online: http://science.sciencemag.org/content/325/5941/682.abstract (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- Ford, C.S.; Ayres, K.L; Toomey, N.; Haider, N.; Van Alphen Stahl, J.; Kelly, L.J.; Wikström, N.; Hollingsworth, P.M.; Duff, R.J.; Hoot, S.B. et al. Selection of candidate coding DNA barcoding regions for use on land plants. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 2009, *159*, 1–11, doi:10.1111/j.1095-8339.2008.00938.x.
- 41. Hollingsworth, P.M.; Graham, S.W.; Little, D.P. Choosing and using a plant DNA barcode. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, 6, e19254. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21637336 (accessed on 26 May 2011).
- Suo, Z.; Zhang, C.; Zheng, Y.; He, L.; Jin, X.; Hou, B.; Li, J. Revealing genetic diversity of tree peonies at micro-evolution level with hyper-variable chloroplast markers and floral traits. *Plant Cell Rep.* 2012, *31*, 2199–2213, doi:10.1007/s00299-012-1330-0.
- Dong, W.; Xu, C.; Li, D.; Jin, X.; Li, R.; Lu, Q.; Suo, Z. Comparative analysis of the complete chloroplast genome sequences in psammophytic Haloxylon species (Amaranthaceae). *PeerJ* 2016, 4, e2699, doi:10.7717/peerj.2699.
- 44. Wang, M.; Xie, X.; Yan, B.; Yan, X.; Luo, J.; Liu, Y.; Qiu, Q. The completed chloroplast genome of *Ostrya trichocarpa*. *Conserv. Genet. Resour.* **2018**, *10*, 579–581, doi:10.1007/s12686-017-0869-z.
- 45. Xu, C.; Dong, W.; Li, W.; Lu, Y.; Xie, X.; Jin, X.; Shi, J.; He, K.; Suo, Z. Comparative analysis of six *Lagerstroemia* complete chloroplast genomes. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 15.
- Scarcelli, N.; Barnaud, A.; Eiserhardt, W.; Treier, U.A.; Seveno, M.; d'Anfray, A.; Vigouroux, Y.; Pintaud, J.-C. A Set of 100 Chloroplast DNA Primer Pairs to Study Population Genetics and Phylogeny in Monocotyledons. *PLoS ONE* 2011, *6*, e19954. Available online: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21637837 (accessed on 26 May 2011).
- 47. Patwardhan, A.; Ray, S.; Roy, A. Molecular markers in phylogenetic studies-a review. *J. Phylogenetics Evol. Biol.* **2014**, doi:10.4172/2329-9002.1000131.
- Middleton, C.P.; Senerchia, N.; Stein, N.; Akhunov, E.D.; Keller, B.; Wicker, T. Sequencing of chloroplast genomes from wheat, barley, rye and their relatives provides a detailed insight into the evolution of the Triticeae tribe. *PLoS ONE* 2014, *9*, e85761, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085761.
- 49. Avise, J.C. Phylogeography: Retrospect and prospect. *J. Biogeogr.* **2009**, *36*, 3–15, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02032.x.
- Yamane, K.; Kawahara, T. Intra- and interspecific phylogenetic relationships among diploid *Triticum-Aegilops* species (Poaceae) based on base-pair substitutions, indels, and microsatellites in chloroplast noncoding sequences. *Am. J. Bot.* 2005, *92*, 1887–1898, doi:10.3732/ajb.92.11.1887.
- 51. Gielly, L.; Taberlet, P. The use of chloroplast DNA to resolve plant phylogenies: Noncoding versus *rbcL* sequences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **1994**, *11*, 769–777, doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040157.
- Golenberg, E.M.; Clegg, M.T.; Durbin, M.L.; Doebley, J.; Ma, D.P. Evolution of a Noncoding Region of the Chloroplast Genome. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol.* 1993, 2, 52–64. Available online: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790383710067 (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- 53. Morton, B.R.; Clegg, M.T. A chloroplast DNA mutational hotspot and gene conversion in a noncoding region near *rbcL* in the grass family (Poaceae). *Curr. Genet.* **1993**, *24*, 357–365, doi:10.1007/BF00336789.

- 54. Schilbert, H.M.; Rempel, A.; Pucker, B. Comparison of read mapping and variant calling tools for the analysis of plant NGS data. *Plants* **2020**, *9*, 439, doi:10.3390/plants9040439.
- Taberlet, P.; Coissac, E.; Pompanon, F.; Gielly, L.; Miquel, C.; Valentini, A.; Vermat, T; Corthier, G.; Brochmann, C.; Willerslev, E. Power and limitations of the chloroplast *trnL* (UAA) intron for plant DNA barcoding. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007, 35, e14, doi:10.1093/nar/gkl938.
- Sogin, M.L.; Morrison, H.G.; Huber, J.A.; Welch, D.M.; Huse, S.M.; Neal, P.R.; Arrieta, J.M.; Herndl, G.J. Microbial Diversity in the Deep Sea and the Underexplored "Rare Biosphere". *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 12115–12120. Available online: http://www.pnas.org/content/103/32/12115.abstract (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- Zinger, L.; Gury, J.; Alibeu, O.; Rioux, D.; Gielly, L.; Sage, L.; Pompanon, F.; Geremia, R.A. CE-SSCP and CE-FLA, Simple and High-Throughput Alternatives for Fungal Diversity Studies. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 2008, 72, 42–53. Available online: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167701207003478 (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- Steinke, D.; Vences, M.; Salzburger, W.; Meyer, A. TaxI: A software tool for DNA barcoding using distance methods. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2005, 360, 1975–1980, doi:10.1098/rstb.2005.1729.
- 59. Vences, M.; Thomas, M.; van der Meijden, A.; Chiari, Y.; Vieites, D.R. Comparative performance of the 16S rRNA gene in DNA barcoding of amphibians. *Front. Zool.* **2005**, *2*, 5, doi:10.1186/1742-9994-2-5.
- Young, N.D.; Healy, J. GapCoder automates the use of indel characters in phylogenetic analysis. BMC Bioinform. 2003, 4, 6, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-4-6.
- 61. Neuman, J.A.; Isakov, O.; Shomron, N. Analysis of insertion–deletion from deep-sequencing data: Software evaluation for optimal detection. *Brief. Bioinform.* **2013**, *14*, 46–55, doi:10.1093/bib/bbs013.
- Hsieh, H.-M.; Chiang, H.-L.; Tsai, L.-C.; Lai, S.-Y.; Huang, N.-E.; Linacre, A.; Lee, J. C.-I. Cytochrome b Gene for Species Identification of the Conservation Animals. *Forensic. Sci. Int.* 2001, 122, 7–18. Available online: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0379073801004030 (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- Parson, W.; Pegoraro, K.; Niederstätter, H.; Föger, M.; Steinlechner, M. Species identification by means of the cytochrome b gene. *Int. J. Legal. Med.* 2000, 114, 23–28, doi:10.1007/s004140000134.
- 64. Hebert, P.D.N.; Cywinska, A.; Ball, S.L.; deWaard, J.R. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. B Biol. Sci.* **2003**, *270*, 313–321, doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2218.
- Shaw, J.; Lickey, E.B.; Schilling, E.E.; Small, R.L. Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: The tortoise and the hare III. *Am. J. Bot.* 2007, 94, 275–288, doi:10.3732/ajb.94.3.275.
- Christensen, A.C. Plant Mitochondrial Genome Evolution Can Be Explained by DNA Repair Mechanisms. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 2013, 5, 1079–1086, doi:10.1093/gbe/evt069.
- Ossowski, S.; Schneeberger, K.; Lucas-Lledó, J.I.; Warthmann, N.; Clark, R.M.; Shaw, R.G.; Detlef Weigel, D.; Lynch, M. The Rate and Molecular Spectrum of Spontaneous Mutations in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science* 2010, 327, 92–94. Available online: http://science.sciencemag.org/content/327/5961/92.abstract (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- 68. Li, W. Molecular Evolution; Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA: 1997.
- Sang, T.; Crawford, D.J.; Stuessy, T.F. Chloroplast DNA Phylogeny, Reticulate Evolution, and Biogeography of *Paeonia* (Paeoniaceae). *Am. J. Bot.* 1997, *84*, 1120–1136, doi:10.2307/2446155 (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- Hardig, T.M.; Soltis, P.S.; Soltis, D.E. Diversification of the North American shrub genus *Ceanothus* (Rhamnaceae): Conflicting phylogenies from nuclear ribosomal DNA and chloroplast DNA. *Am. J. Bot.* 2000, 87, 108–123, doi:10.2307/2656689.
- Schönenberger, J.; Conti, E. Molecular phylogeny and floral evolution of Penaeaceae, Oliniaceae, Rhynchocalycaceae, and Alzateaceae (Myrtales). *Am. J. Bot.* 2003, *90*, 293–309, doi:10.3732/ajb.90.2.293.
- Yamane, K.; Yasui, Y.; Ohnishi, O. Intraspecific cpDNA variations of diploid and tetraploid perennial buckwheat, *Fagopyrum cymosum* (Polygonaceae). Am. J. Bot. 2003, 90, 339–346, doi:10.3732/ajb.90.3.339.
- Pereira, F.; Carneiro, J.; Matthiesen, R.; van Asch, B.; Pinto, N.; Gusmão, L.; Amori, A. Identification of species by multiplex analysis of variable-length sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010, 38, e203, doi:10.1093/nar/gkq865.
- Bonen, L.; Vogel, J. The Ins and Outs of Group II Introns. *Trends Genet.* 2001, 17, 322–331. Available online: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168952501023241 (Accessed on 8 December 2020).

- 75. Lambowitz, A.M.; Zimmerly, S. Mobile Group II Introns. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 2004, *38*, 1–35, doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.38.072902.091600.
- 76. Cho, Y.; Qiu, Y.-L.; Kuhlman, P.; Palmer, J.D. Explosive invasion of plant mitochondria by a group I intron. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1998**, *95*, 14244–14249. Available online: http://www.pnas.org/content/95/24/14244.abstract (Accessed on 8 December 2020).
- Cui, P.; Liu, H.; Lin, Q.; Ding, F.; Zhuo, G.; Hu, S.; Liu, D.; Yang, W.; Zhan, K.; Zhang, A.; Yu, J. A Complete Mitochondrial Genome of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Chinese Yumai), and Fast Evolving Mitochondrial Genes in Higher Plants. J. Genet. 2009, 88, 299–307, doi:10.1007/s12041-009-0043-9.
- Demesure, B.; Sodzi, N.; Petit, R.J. A set of universal primers for amplification of polymorphic non-coding regions of mitochondrial and chloroplast DNA in plants. *Mol. Ecol.* 1995, *4*, 129–134, doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.1995.tb00201.x.
- Yang, Y.-W.; Lai, K.-N.; Tai, P.-Y.; Li, W.-H. Rates of Nucleotide Substitution in Angiosperm Mitochondrial DNA Sequences and Dates of Divergence between Brassica and Other Angiosperm Lineages. J. Mol. Evol. 1999, 48, 597–604, doi:10.1007/PL00006502.
- Kubo, T.; Yamamoto, M.P.; Mikami, T. The *nad4L-orf25* gene cluster is conserved and expressed in sugar beet mitochondria. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2000, 100, 214–220, doi:10.1007/s001220050029.
- Carneiro, J.; Pereira, F.; Amorim, A. SPInDel: A multifunctional workbench for species identification using insertion/deletion variants. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 2012, *12*, 1190–1195, doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12011.

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).