



Supporting Information for

3 Photodynamic inactivation of bacteria with

4 porphyrin derivatives: effect of charge, lipophilicity,

ROS generation and cellular uptake on their
biological activity *in vitro*

7 Adam Sułek ¹+, Barbara Pucelik ²+, Marcin Kobielusz ¹, Agata Barzowska ² and Janusz M.

- 8 Dąbrowski ^{1,*}
- 9 ¹ Faculty of Chemistry, Jagiellonian University, 30-387 Krakow, Poland
- 10 ² Małopolska Center of Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, 30-387 Krakow, Poland
- 11 + These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 12 * Correspondence: jdabrows@chemia.uj.edu.pl; Tel.: +48-12-686-2488; Fax: +48-12-686-2750
- 13

1

2

14 1. Spectroscopic characterization of photosensitizers

Molar absorption coefficients were determined for each compound using PS solutions in DMSO
 in concentrations ranging from 10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁶ M.



17

Figure S1. The absorption spectra for series of studied porphyrins with different concentration. The
 linear curves are based on the absorption value used to determine the molar absorption coefficient.
 The results are given in Table 1.

2. Fluorescence quantum yields and lifetime

Fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_F) were calculated by comparison of the integrated are below each emission spectrum with that of TPP (Φ_F =0.10 in toluene), according to equation S1.

24
$$\phi_{F_{sample}} = \phi_{F_{ref}} \times \frac{F_{sample}}{1 - 10^{-Abs_{sample}}} \times \frac{1 - 10^{-Abs_{ref}}}{F_{ref}} \times \frac{\eta^2_{sample}}{\eta^2_{ref}} \quad (S1)$$

- 25 where:
- 26 F_{sample} stands for the integration area under the emission spectrum,
- 27 *Abs* is the absorbance at the excitation wavelength,
- 28 η is the refractive index of the solvent used 29
- 30 The determined fluorescence lifetime (τ_F) of the first excited singlet state for each porphyrin is

31 found to be better fitted as double exponential. All fits presented χ^2 values smaller than 1.3,

32 indicating good fitness of the model to the data.



33

Figure S2. Fluorescence decay curves in ethanol at room temperature of porphyrins (abs 0.1 at 420 nm) at emission wavelength 650 nm. All the measurements were carried out at an excitation wavelength of 420 nm.

37 *3. Theoretical calculation*

As a first step of the work was optimized the structures of the *meso*-tetraphenyl porphyrins, their chlorinated derivatives and sulfo-derivatives. The calculations are in accordance with other reported for TPP and TPP metallo-derivatives [1, 2]. The mixed electronic transitions between the two highest occupied orbitals (HOMO and HOMO-1) and the two lowest unoccupied orbitals (LUMO and LUMO+1) are mainly responsible for both the strong Soret bands (B) and weaker Q bands in the UV-Vis region. The singlet and triplet excited states with oscillator strength and molecular orbital contribution have been computed using the B3LYP exchange-correlation functionals (Table S1).



46 Figure S3. The graphical representation of calculated porphyrins ground geometry calculated at
 47 M06/6-31G(d) level of theory with torsion angel value highlighted.

48 **Table. S1.** Main vertical excitation energies (ΔE), absorption wavelengths (λ), oscillator strengths (f), 49 and transitions (Molecular Orbital contribution in %) for the studied compounds in PCM theory 50 (water environment), computed at the M06/6–31 + G * level of theory.

Compound	Excited	$\lambda_{ ext{theo}}$	ΔΕ	f	MO contribution (>10%)
-	state	(nm)	(eV)		
	S_1	577	2.15	0.06	H→L 70%; H-1→L+1 30%
	S ₂	541	2.29	0.08	H→L+1 69%; H-1→L 32%
TPP	S ₃	399	3.15	1.35	H-1→L+1 62%; H→L 26%
	S ₄	392	3.28	1.68	H-1→L 65%; H→L+1 33%
	T 1	889	1.40	0.00	H→L+1 87%; H-1→L 13%
	T2	760	1.63	0.00	H→L 98%
	S 1	558	2.22	0.01	H→L+1 62%; H-1→L+1 37%
	S2	523	2.37	0.01	H→L 58%; H-1→L 42%
CITDD	S ₃	393	3.15	1.10	H-1→L 56%; H→L 29%; H-3→L 16%
CIIFF	S_4	382	3.24	1.59	H-1→L+1 56%; H→L+1 42%
	T 1	860	1.44	0.00	H→L 83%; H-1→L 19%
	T2	716	1.73	0.00	H→L+1 96%
	S_1	559	2.22	0.01	H→L+1 60%; H-1→L+1 38%
	S ₂	524	2.36	0.01	H-5→L 56%; H-1→L 42%
CLTDD	S ₃	395	3.13	1.06	H-5→L+1 53%; H→L 30%; H-3→L 17%
Cl21FF	S_4	383	3.23	1.54	H-1→L 55%; H→L+1 42%
	T 1	862	1.44	0.00	H→L 80%; H-1→L 21%
	T2	708	1.75	0.00	H-1→L 93%
	S_1	580	2.14	0.07	H→L 70%; H-1→L+1 29%
	S ₂	544	2.27	0.11	H→L+1 70%; H-1→L 31%
	S ₃	403	3.07	1.54	H-1→L 63%; H→L 26%
TPPS	S4	397	3.12	1.80	H-1→L+1 65%; H→L+1 30%
	T ₁	889	1.39	0.00	H→L 85%; H-1→L 13%
	T ₂	760	1.63	0.00	H→L+1 95%
	S ₁	561	2.21	0.01	H→L+1 60%; H-1→L+1 38 %

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, x FOR PEER REVIEW

	S ₂	526	2.35	0.01	H→L 58%; H-1→L 41%
Cl ₂ TPPS	S ₃	397	3.12	1.14	H-1→L 53%; H→L 30%; H-11→L 16%
	S_4	386	3.21	1.61	H-1→L+1 56%; H→L+1 41%
	T_1	868	1.43	0.00	H→L 80 %; H-1→L 20 %
	T2	714	1.73	0.00	H→L+1 93%
	S_1	562	2.21	0.00	H-1→L+1 34%; H→L 57%
	S ₂	529	2.34	0.00	H-1→L 50%; H→L+1 45%
	S ₃	502	2.47	0.01	H→L+2 85%
TMPyP	S11	410	3.02	0.71	H-3→L 30%; H-1→L+1 33%; H→L 21%
	S13	394	3.15	1.24	H-1→L 35%; H→L+1 37%
	T_1	823	1.51	0.00	H-1→L 36%; H→L+1 50%
	T ₂	696	1.78	0.00	H-1→L+1 12%; H→L 78%

51



53 **Figure S4.** Normalized electronic absorption spectra of porphyrins calculated at B3LYP/cc-54 pVDZ/M06/6-31G(d) level of theory.



57 Figure S5. Orbital-correlation diagram of HOMO and LUMO for porphyrins with blue shifted 58 highlighted.

59 4. Cyclic voltammetry

60 The measurement of Bu₄NClO₄ in DMSO (pure solvent with electrolyte 0.1 M) shows an 61 electrochemical window in range -2.5 V up to 1.0 V without reduction or oxidation of solvent.



62

63 Figure S6. The cyclic voltammograms of porphyrins. The solvent is DMSO and the concentration is 64 ca. 0.5 mM in 0.2 M TBAP 20 mV/s. vitreous carbon working electrode.

	Ered	Eox	E1/2	Ered	Ere	d E	ox	E 1/2	Ered	Ered	Eox	E 1/2	Ered	Eox
TPP	-1.75			-1.49) -1.3	33 –1	.31 -	-1.32		-0.89	-0.86	-0.88		
CITPP	-1.72				-1.3	30 -1	.22 -	-1.26		-0.84	-0.78	-0.81		
Cl ₂ TPP	-1.83			-1.60) -1.3	38			-1.24	-0.85			-0.77	
TPPS	-1.69					-1	.35		-1.15	-0.80				-0.74
Cl ₂ TPPS	-1.74	-1.65	-1.70) -1.53	;	-1	.33		-1.06	-0.68				
66														
	I	ered	Eox	E1/2	Ered	Eox	Ered	Eox	E1/2	Ered	Ered	Eox	E1/2	Ered
TP	P –	0.55				-0.13	0.25			0,49				
CITI	- PP	0.61 -	-0.55	-0.58			0.08			0.38	0.84	0.90	0.87	1.14
Cl ₂ T	PP –	0.49					0.08							
Cl2T TPF	PP – PS –	0.49 0.58 -	-0.48	-0.53	-0.29	-0.15	0.08 0.21	0.27	0.24	0.55	0.73	0.94	0.83	



68

69 **Figure S7.** The absorbance change as a function of applied potential (vs Ag/Ag⁺) and wavelength.

Table S3. The excited state potential calculated with spectroelectrochemical method and cyclic
 voltametry.

CV		
measurment		
-0.55		
-0.61		
-0.49		
-0.58		
-0.68		

72 5. Singlet oxygen quantum yields

73

$$\phi_{\Delta} = \phi_{\Delta Std} \frac{RI_{Std}}{R_{Std}I} (S2)$$

- 74 where:
- 75 $\phi_{\Delta Std}$ is the quantum yields of singlet oxygen formation for the standard,
- R is the DMA photobleaching rates in the presence of porphyrins and R_{std} in the present of thestandard (TPPS),
- 78 I and Istd are the rates of light absorbed by the sample and the standard

79 6. Photostability

- 80 The stability of tested compound and their photobleaching rates should be measured under
- 81 photoinactivation conditions (420 nm LED) but also under whole visible range of irradiation. For this
- 82 reason we also check theire photobleaching with >380 nm of irradiation.



83

Figure S8. The photostability of porphyrins: TPP, CITPP, CLTPP, TPPS, CLTPPS and TMPyP in PBS
solution. Irradiation of the solution was carried out using 75 W xenon lamp through a water filter and
380 cut-off filters.

87 7. Photodynamic inactivation of microorganisms

88 For better visualization of the bactericidal effect, aliquots of control (Figure S9) or 89 photodynamically-treated *E. coli* (Figure S10-S15) and *S. aureus* (Figure S16-S21) suspensions on agar 90 plates are presented below. It clearly shows colony forming units in the absence of PDI or when 91 exposed to the photosensitizer (dark toxicity), but not when exposed to high blue light dose with PS 92 and PS+Ver.



- 95 Figure S9. The control aliquots samples of *E. coli* (upper part) and *S. aureus* (lower part) untreated,
- 96 treated with PS alone and treated with light (100 J/cm²) alone.



97

98

Figure S10. The aliquots samples of *E. coli* treated with TmPyP with various light dose 10 - 100 J/cm².



99

Figure S11. The aliquots samples of *E. coli* treated with TPPS with various light dose 10 - 100 J/cm².



Figure S12. The aliquots samples of *E. coli* treated with TPP with various light dose 10 - 100 J/cm².



103

104

Figure S13. The aliquots samples of *E. coli* treated with CITPP with various light dose 10 - 100 J/cm².



105

Figure S14. The aliquots samples of *E. coli* treated with Cl₂TPP with various light dose 10 - 100 J/cm².



Figure S15. The aliquots samples of *E. coli* treated with Cl₂TPPS with various light dose 10 - 100 J/cm².



109

110Figure S16. The aliquots samples of *S. aureus* treated with TMPyP with various light dose 10 - 100111J/cm².









Figure S18. The aliquots samples of *S. aureus* treated with TPP with various light dose 10 - 100 J/cm².



116

Figure S19. The aliquots samples of *S. aureus* treated with CITPP with various light dose 10 - 100 J/cm².



118

119Figure S20. The aliquots samples of *S. aureus* treated with Cl₂TPP with various light dose 10 - 100120J/cm².



122Figure S21. The aliquots samples of *S. aureus* treated with Cl²TPPS with various light dose 10 - 100123J/cm².

124 Reference

125	1.	Simone, B. C. D.; Mazzone, G.; Russo, N.; Sicilia, E.; Toscano, M. J. M., Metal atom effect on the
126		photophysical properties of Mg (II), Zn (II), Cd (II), and Pd (II) tetraphenylporphyrin complexes
127		proposed as possible drugs in photodynamic therapy. 2017, 22, (7), 1093.

Cook, L. P.; Brewer, G.; Wong-Ng, W. J. C., Structural aspects of porphyrins for functional materials
 applications. 2017, 7, (7), 223.