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(Salen)Mn(III) Catalyzed Asymmetric Epoxidation Reactions by Hydrogen Peroxide in Water: A Green Protocol
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Abstract: Enantioselective epoxidation reactions of some chosen reactive alkenes by a chiral Mn(III) salen catalyst were performed in H2O employing H2O2 as oxidant and diethyltetradecylamine N-oxide (AOE-14) as surfactant. This procedure represents an environmentally benign protocol which leads to e.e. values ranging from good to excellent (up to 95%).
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, there is a growing requirement to design green synthetic protocols to reduce or to eliminate the use and generation of hazardous substances [1–3]. Metal-Salen complexes are a wide class of organometallic compounds that have been found applications in several fields, such as catalysis [4,5], imaging [6], solar cells [7,8] and sensing [9–13]. In particular, asymmetric epoxidation of unfunctionalized alkenes catalyzed by chiral (salen)Mn(III) complexes has proved to be a reaction of industrial and pharmacological importance, leading to the desired products via the synthetically versatile epoxy function [14–17]. Since the employment of water as reaction medium is particularly appealing for achieving mild, cheap and environmentally benign reaction conditions, in a previous work, we have developed an aqueous reaction medium, based on aqueous surfactant solutions, for the enantioselective epoxidation of 1,2-dihydronaphthalene and various cis-β-alkyl styrenes using the Jacobsen chiral (salen)Mn(III) as catalyst, but bleach as oxidant [18]. Nevertheless, the oxidation processes are going to abandon the use of hazardous oxidants (e.g., bleach) preferring greener ones, such as hydrogen peroxide [2,19,20].

Hydrogen peroxide represents the oxidant of choice because it has many attractive properties, such as its high active oxygen content (47%), its reduction product that is water and the availability of an inexpensive oxidant aqueous solution (30%) that is easy to handle [21]. By way of the contrast, the main problem in using aqueous hydrogen peroxide in the (salen)Mn(III) catalyzed epoxidations is related to the hydrogen peroxide decomposition (homolitic cleavage of O–O bond) catalyzed by the salen itself [22] and to the concomitant deactivation of the catalyst by hydrogen peroxide [22–25].

In the literature, the examples of enantioselective epoxidations utilizing manganese salen complexes in association with hydrogen peroxide as the primary oxidant are not very numerous [2,26–30]. Most of them employ manganese salen catalysts, bearing a covalently bound internal pyridine or an N-methyl-imidazole ligand, an ureido group, or tertiary amine N-oxides as cocatalysts [24,25]. In fact, the heterolytic O–O bond cleavage yielding the oxene, (salen)Mn(V)=O,
which is the real oxidant species \([31,32]\), is favoured by these bases \([33–36]\). However, in all these studies, the main solvent is not water but an organic solvent (e.g., \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \ 1/1\), \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{DMF} \ 1/3\) and \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\)) to prevent potential solubility problems \([24,37,38]\). An environmentally friendly protocol for salen catalyzed enantioselective epoxidation reactions would envisage hydrogen peroxide as oxidant and water as a reaction medium. In such a case, the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide, the degradation/deactivation of the catalyst, and the lack of solubility of organic substrates in water are the disadvantages to face.

These drawbacks might be minimized under reaction conditions where the alkene epoxidation reaction is quite fast to compete with oxidant decomposition (reactive alkenes) and, at the same time, the catalyst is stabilized by suitable coligands. In addition, hydrogen peroxide, in excess with respect to the stoichiometric ratio, can counterbalance its decomposition.

Herein, we report a green protocol for the (salen)Mn(III) catalytic enantioselective epoxidation of non-functionalized alkenes (see Figure 1), which utilizes aqueous \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) as terminal oxygen donor and water as a reaction medium under homogeneous conditions.

![Figure 1. Alkenes, Mn(III) catalyst and surfactant with relative acronyms used in this work.](image)

**2. Results**

To overcome the solubility problems of alkenes in water, we selected diethyltetradecylamine \(N\)-oxide (AOE-14) as surfactant because, as we already reported \([18]\), it solubilizes organic reagents in water, but it also is able to work as cocatalyst, binding the metal of the chiral catalyst (Equation (1)) and improving the catalyst stability, the reaction rate, as well as the enantioselectivity:

\[
\text{L + Cat} \leftrightarrow \text{L – Cat}
\]

With \(L = \text{AOE-14}, \text{Cat} = (\text{salen})\text{Mn(III)}\), where the catalyst \(\text{L-Cat}\) bearing the coligand \(L\) is more stable and more efficient than the catalyst without coligand. As far as \(\text{L-Cat}\) identity is concerned, we have determined by LC-MS a molecular ion with \(m/z = 885\), which is the expected mass of \(\text{L-Cat}\) (see Figure S1).

As a matter of fact, Jacobsen et al. \([39]\) have reported that amine \(N\)-oxide additives have a dramatic impact on the outcome of the epoxidation reaction, affecting the rate, yield, \(\text{cis}/\text{trans}\) ratio and enantioselectivity, suggesting that the additive stabilizes the highly reactive oxene \(\text{O=Mn(salen)}\) species by ligation after the initial oxidation \([31,32]\). In addition, X-ray crystal structures demonstrated that \(N\)-oxides additives function as axial ligands. Furthermore, Senanayake et al. \([40,41]\) reported a study to explore the reactivity of Jacobsen catalyst in the presence of various \(N\)-oxides, such as \(\text{P}_3\text{NO}\) (i.e., 4-(3-Phenylpropyl)pyridine \(N\)-oxide). They noted that \(\text{P}_3\text{NO}\) binds the catalyst (C=N stretching
at 1613 cm \(^{-1}\) is shifted to 1623 cm \(^{-1}\), reduces the catalyst decomposition, exhibits rate acceleration depending on the concentration ratio additive/catalyst, increases e.e. values.

Therefore, the epoxidation reactions of some reactive standard alkenes (Figure 1), using \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) as oxidant and catalyzed by Jacobsen (salen)Mn(III), were carried on at 25 °C in water in the presence of AOE-14, as both surfactant and cocatalyst. Preliminary experiments were performed to set up optimal reaction conditions (Table 1).

### Table 1. Enantioselective epoxidation of 6-CN-2,2-dimethylchromene with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) catalyzed by (salen)Mn(III) in H\(_2\)O in the presence of AOE-14 at 25 °C.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>[AOE-14] (M)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Conv. (^b) (%)</th>
<th>e.e. (^b) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10 (^d)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) In all experiments [alkene] = 0.05 M, [\(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\)] = 0.4 M, [catalyst] = 2.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) M (4%); \(^b\) Determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase column; \(^d\) After 5 h a further amount of catalyst (2.3 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) M) was added, 10 min after the addition of the second aliquot of catalyst.

Entries 1–5 indicate that the enantioselective epoxidation of 6-CN-2,2-dimethylchromene is quite fast but, after 20 min, the reaction stops since the same value of conversion both at 20 min and after 5 h is observed. The addition of a second aliquot of catalyst induces the restarting of the epoxidation reaction (entry 6) and, 10 min after this further addition, a high conversion value (81%) is detected. On the other hand, the e.e. values are quite good and not depending on the conversion degree as expected. The presence of probable deactivation processes of catalyst, competing with the epoxidation reaction, might be responsible of this observed behavior.

It is reported that both the demetalation and the ligand degradation cause the instability of the salen complex, particularly when the catalytic reaction requires the presence of strong acids or of oxidizing/reducing reagents [23]. The salen ligand can undergo attack by oxidant at the imine site or at other sites suffering degradation [23]. Demetalation leads to the metal-free salen ligand, that is very prone to undergo hydrolysis to the corresponding salicylaldehyde and diamine.

Check experiments seem to support the salen complex degradation by \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) in water. In fact, a solution of 0.002 M catalyst in water, in the presence of 0.1 M AOE-14, after 24 h, does not show the formation of degradation products (i.e., 3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylaldehyde). However, degradation products appear only after addition of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) to the mixture. \(^1\)H NMR spectra confirm the presence of free salen ligand and trace of 3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylaldehyde (see Figure S2). Under these experimental conditions, the catalyst degradation process is quite clear after 4 hours. However, the doubling of the AOE-14 concentration (0.2 M) makes the epoxidation reaction rate able to efficiently compete with the deactivation reaction (Table 1, entries 7–9).

Therefore all reactions were performed under these experimental conditions using [alkene] = 0.05 M, [\(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\)] = 0.4 M, [catalyst] = 0.002 M and [AOE-14] = 0.2 M, and pertinent results are reported in Tables 2 and 3. For all studied chromenes, e.e. values are very good (>80% up to 95%) as well as conversion values, except for nitro derivative, which shows a lower conversion value of 61%. On the other hand, it can be observed that the conversion values are lower also for cis-\(\beta\)-methylstyrene, 1,2-dihyronaphthalene, indene and 2-methylindene, probably because the epoxidation rates for these alkenes, under the adopted experimental conditions, are lower than those of chromenes and therefore catalyst deactivation processes are competing more efficiently with the epoxidation reactions.
Table 2. Enantioselective epoxidation of alkenes with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} catalyzed by (salen)Mn(III) in H\textsubscript{2}O in the presence of AOE-14 as surfactant at 25 °C. \textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alkene</th>
<th>Conv. \textsuperscript{d} (%)</th>
<th>e.e. \textsuperscript{b} (%)</th>
<th>Conf. \textsuperscript{c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6-CN-2,2-dimethylchromene</td>
<td>81 \textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>83 \textsuperscript{b,d}</td>
<td>3R,4R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6-NO\textsubscript{2}-2,2-dimethylchromene</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3R,4R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6-H-2,2-dimethylchromene</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3R,4R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6-CH\textsubscript{3}-2,2-dimethylchromene</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3R,4R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} In all experiments: [alkene] = 0.05 M, [catalyst] = 2 \times 10^{-3} M, [H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}] = 0.4 M, [AOE-14] = 0.2 M, reaction time = 30 min; \textsuperscript{b} Determined by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR using chiral shift reagent (+)Eu(hfc); \textsuperscript{c} Determined by measuring the optical rotation; \textsuperscript{d} Determined by GC on chiral column (see Materials and Methods); \textsuperscript{e} Isolated yield 76%.

Table 3. Enantioselective epoxidation of alkenes with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} catalysed by (salen)Mn(III) in H\textsubscript{2}O in the presence of AEO-14 as surfactant at 25 °C. \textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alkene</th>
<th>[Cat.] (10^{-3} M)</th>
<th>[AOE-14] (M)</th>
<th>Conv. \textsuperscript{b} (%)</th>
<th>e.e. \textsuperscript{b} (%)</th>
<th>Ratio \textsuperscript{d}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>cis-β-methylstyrene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80 \textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>cis-β-methylstyrene</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80 \textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>cis-β-methylstyrene</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80 \textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,2-dihydropyrene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1,2-dihydronaphthalene</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1,2-dihydronaphthalene</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>100 \textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>indene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>indene</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2-methylindene</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2-methylindene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2-methylindene</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} In all experiments: [alkene] = 0.05 M, [H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}] = 0.4 M, reaction time = 30 min; \textsuperscript{b} Determined by GC on chiral column (see Materials and Methods); In all cases, configurations are (1R, 2S); \textsuperscript{c} Enantiomeric excess (e.e.) value is referred to the to the major cis epoxide (cis/trans = 4); \textsuperscript{d} [AOE-14]/[Catalyst]; \textsuperscript{e} Isolated yield 89%.

In order to verify whether it is possible to obtain a reactivity increase for these alkenes toward the oxidant and, therefore, to compete and to overcome the parallel degradation reactions, we performed new experiments with cis-β-methylstyrene, 1,2-dihydronaphthalene, indene and 2-methylindene increasing the concentrations of the catalyst, as well as of the coligand. The pertinent results are reported in Table 3.

It can be seen that, in the case of cis-β-methylstyrene, employing a catalyst double concentration and increasing the coligand concentration up to 4 times (from 0.2 M to 0.8 M), an increase of conversion from 50% to 73% is observed (Table 3, entries 14–16). Likewise, in the case of 1,2-dihydronaphthalene (Table 3, entries 17–19), a doubling of catalyst concentration and an increase of coligand concentration up to 4 times leads to a 100% of conversion. Additionally, in the case of indene (Table 3, entries 20–21), a double concentration of the catalyst and an increase of coligand concentration up to 3 times leads to a conversion value two times higher (66%). In the case of 2-methylindene (entries 22–24), again, the increase of the catalyst concentration, as well as of the coligand, leads to a higher conversion value of 87%. Therefore, it is interesting to observe that conversion values are correlated to the [AOE-14]/[catalyst] ratio, that increase on increasing this ratio and also for the less reactive alkenes are from good to excellent. This behavior can be rationalized considering that the surfactant, which works also as coligand, at concentration values larger than 1.1 \times 10^{-4} M (AOE-14 c.m.c., (see Materials and Methods) forms micelles, whose polar heads, i.e., the N-oxides groups, bind molecules of catalyst. Therefore, our system might be envisaged as constituted by nanoreactors [42] in which the active catalyst is located, through binding of the N-oxides polar heads to the metal site, in the micelles. Epoxidation reaction would occur within these nanoreactors in the Stern layer catalyzed by the salen molecules bound to the micelles themselves (Figure 2) and the shielding effect of hydrophilic micelle shell would give a more efficient and selective catalysis (e.g., local reactant concentration higher than bulky concentration) [42].
these nanoreactors in the Stern layer catalyzed by the salen molecules bound to the micelles themselves (Figure 2) and the shielding effect of hydrophilic micelle shell would give a more efficient and selective catalysis (e.g., local reactant concentration higher than bulky concentration) [42].

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the catalytic cycle.

The larger the [AOE-14]/[catalyst] ratio the higher the number of micelles, acting as reaction reactors, bearing the bound catalyst molecules. A relationship between the conversion values and the [AOE-14]/[catalyst] ratios can be observed in Table 3 for some of the alkenes undergoing epoxidation reactions. When most catalyst molecules are bound to the micelles, a saturation effect trend can be observed (see Figure S3).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General

NMR experiments were carried out at 27 °C on a Varian Unity Inova 500 MHz spectrometer (1H NMR at 499.88 MHz, 13C NMR at 125.7 MHz) equipped with pulse field gradient module (Z axis) and a tunable 5 mm Varian inverse detection probe (ID-PFG, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The chemical shifts (ppm) were referenced to TMS (1H, 0.0 ppm) or CDCl3 (13C, 77.0 ppm). ESI mass spectra were acquired on an ES-MS Thermo-Finnigan spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with an ion trap analyzer.

Enantiomeric excesses were determined by GC analysis using a Perkin Elmer Capillary (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) and HPLC (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) analysis using a Varian Pro-Star-RI Detector, equipped with dual cell refractometer using a column packed with an appropriate optical active material, as described below. TLC analysis was performed on silica gel 60 F254-aluminium sheets (0.25 mm, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

The absolute configuration of the obtained epoxides were determined by measuring the optical rotation with a polarimeter. Absolute configurations were assigned by comparison of the measured [α]D2° values with those reported in the literature [43]. (Salen)Mn(III) was synthesized following the procedure reported in the literature [44,45]. Critical micelle concentration of AOE-14 was determined by surface tension measurements (private communication by Raimondo Germani, Department of Chemistry, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy).

3.2. Preparation of Alkenes

6-CN-2,2-dimethylchromene, 6-NO2-2,2-dimethylchromene, 6-H-2,2-dimethylchromene, 6-CH3-2,2-dimethylchromene were synthesized as reported in literature [46]. cis-β-methylstyrene is obtained...
from the corresponding commercial alkyne by hydrogenation with the Lindlar catalyst in cyclohexane according to the following procedure [47].

3.3. Enantioselective Epoxidation in Surfactant Solutions

In a typical run, alkenes were added to a stirred solution of surfactant and catalyst in distilled water (2 mL); after the complete solubilization of the alkene, H$_2$O$_2$ was added to the mixture and the reaction was kept in a round-bottom flask at 25 °C in a thermostatic bath. After a certain reaction time, the aqueous solution was extracted with 1 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$, reduced to a small volume, and analyzed by GC or HPLC as described above. Isolation of 6-CN-2,2-dimethylchromene epoxide, as representative example, was carried out by the following procedure: after a certain reaction time, the aqueous solution was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$, and the epoxide was isolated by chromatography on silica gel (N-hexane/EtOAc 9/1). The identity of the compound was confirmed by $^1$H NMR and ESI-MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

3.4. Product Analysis

Gas chromatographic analyses of the reaction mixtures were carried out on a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector and program capability. The e.e., yields and conversions values were determined employing the chiral column DMePeBETAODC (25 m × 0.25 mm ID × 0.25 µm film; MEGA, Legnano, Italy) for 1,2-dihyronaphthalene, indene and 2-methylindene (isotherm 150 °C), the chiral column DMeTButiSiliBETA-086 (25 m × 0.25 mm ID × 0.25 µm film; MEGA) for cis-β-methyl styrene (column conditions: 50 °C (0 min) to 120 °C (1 min) at 2 °C/min). The injector and detector temperatures were maintained at 250 °C for all columns, N-dodecane was used as an internal standard throughout. For chromene epoxides, e.e. and conversion values were determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase column (Lux 5µ cellulose-3, PHENOMENEX; N-hexane/iPrOH 9:1) and by $^1$H NMR spectroscopic analysis using chiral shift reagent (+)Eu(hfc)$_3$.

4. Conclusions

This enantioselective epoxidation protocol of alkenes by hydrogen peroxide in water in the presence of AOE-14, in the dual role of surfactant and cocatalyst, gives good to excellent results in terms of conversion values and enantiomeric selectivities. The protocol seems suitable for a large variety of alkenes of different reactivity because it is possible the tuning of the reaction conditions by an appropriate choice of the [AOE-14]/[catalyst] ratio. In addition, allowing the use of water as reaction medium and hydrogen peroxide as oxidant, it represents an environmentally and ecologically benign procedure which contributes to enrich the library of asymmetric epoxidation reactions green chemistry.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be found at http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/17/7/1112/s1.
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