Supplementary Materials: Functional Studies and In Silico Analyses to Evaluate Non-Coding Variants in Inherited Cardiomyopathies

Giulia Frisso, Nicola Detta, Pamela Coppola, Cristina Mazzaccara, Maria Rosaria Pricolo, Antonio D'Onofrio, Giuseppe Limongelli, Raffaele Calabrò and Francesco Salvatore



Figure S1. Pedigrees of the family with Brugada syndrome (**A**) or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (**B**). Open symbols represent subjects with a negative phenotype. Black symbols represent clinically affected subjects. Circles with solid centers indicate unaffected female mutation carriers. The diagonal line indicates a deceased family member. The arrows indicate the proband. The ages of subjects are reported in brackets. N.A.: not analyzed; WT: wild type.

Table S1. Effect on the splicing process of 10 previously reported intron mutations, verified by in vitro/in vivo assay, compared with the outcome of Alamut analysis. Parentheses show the score range for each algorithm; —, the splice site is not detected; NE, splice site not evaluated by the algorithm; §, first nucleotide of the splice site; * natural splice site; * effect verified on patient's mRNA; ** effect verified on minigene construct. WT: wild type sequence; MUT: mutated sequence.

Como	Nucleotide	cDNA	Splic	e Site	Max	x Ent	NNS	PLICE	Gene	Splicer	Humar	n Splicing	In Vitro Splicing	Alamut Bradistad Change
Gene	Variation	Position §	Finder	(0–100)	Scan	(0–16)	(0	-1)	(0-	-15)	Finde	r (0–100)	Studies	Alamut Fredicted Change
			WT	MUT	WT	MUT	WT	MUT	WT	MUT	WT	MUT		
МҮВРС3	c.821+5G>A	c.821 *	82.33	70.18	9.30	—	0.94	_	10.87	_	87.83	75.66	exon 7 skipped #	Donor splice site: -67%
МҮВРС3	c.927-9G>A	c927 *	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	81.91	81.79	exon 11 skipped ##	Acceptor splice site: -26%
МҮВРС3	c.1624+4A>T	c.1624 *	80.59	70.42	7.75	3.55	0.90	_	9.87	3.17	90.86	82.05	exon 17 skipped #	Donor splice site: -51%
МҮВРС3	c.1928-2A>G	c.1928 *	89.30	—	9.92	—	0.75	—	13.48	—	89.60	—	inclusion intron 20 #	Acceptor splice site: -100% Skipping of exon 21 very likely
МҮВРС3	c.3190+5G>A	c.3190 *	72.21	—	6.18	—	NE	NE	6.34	1.42	83.30	71.14	exon 29 skipped ##	Donor splice site: -71%
SCN5A	c.1140+1G>A	c.1140 *	85.46	—	6.99	—	0.90	—	5.10	—	90.04	_	exon 9 skipped ##	Donor splice site: -100% Skipping of exon 9 very likely
KCNQ1	c.477+5G>A	c.477 *	80.40	—	9.89	4.52	0.97	—	11.44	6.41	85.49	73.33	use of a cryptic 5'ss c.477+80 ^{##}	Donor splice site: -48%
KCNQ1	c.478-2A>T	c.478 *	90.02	—	11.78	—	0.83	_	9.23	—	94.27	—	exon 3 skipped #	Acceptor splice site: -100% Skipping of exon 3 very likely
KCNQ1	c.1032+5G>A	c.1032 *	77.95	_	9.00	3.46	0.97	_	13.86	7.74	85.15	72.98	exon 7 skipped ##	Donor splice site: -47%
TNNT2	c.821+1G>A	c.821 *	78.12		8.46	_	0.99	_	6.85		83.32	_	exon 15 skipped #	Donor splice site: -100% Skipping of exon 15 very likely

Table S2. List of primers u	used to amplify the targ	et genomic sequences	of inserts that will b	e cloned
in the pMG vector.				

Gene	Primer Direction	KpnI Tail (Uppercase)	Primer Sequence (5'-3') (Lowercase)		
MYDDC2	Forward	CGGGGTACC	cctggctcccttcatccta		
NI I BPC3	Reverse	CGGGGTACC	cacccccagatccaaagag		
ACTC2	Forward	CGGGGTACC	gcatccccaaggagaataca		
ACTC2	Reverse	CGGGGTACC	ccctttaatgagccatcagg		
SCNE A	Forward	CGGGGTACC	taccagaaaggcaggacagg		
SCNSA	Reverse	CGGGGTACC	ttaggcaggacagggagaaa		