

Article

Functional Cross-Talk between the α_1 - and β_1 -Adrenergic Receptors Modulates the Rapidly Activating Delayed Rectifier Potassium Current in Guinea Pig Ventricular Myocytes

Di Xu *, Sen Wang [†], Ting-Ting Wu [†], Xiao-Yan Wang, Jin Qian and Yan Guo

Department of Geriatric Cardiology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, China; E-Mails: ws20041087@hotmail.com (S.W.); cecilywoo@163.com (T.-T.W.); amy_njmu@126.com (X.-Y.W.); qianjinxxg@163.com (J.Q.); guoyan51@hotmail.com (Y.G.)

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: lnxxgk@163.com; Tel.: +86-139-5180-5973; Fax: +86-25-8371-8836.

Received: 19 May 2014; in revised form: 1 August 2014 / Accepted: 5 August 2014 /

Published: 15 August 2014

Abstract: The rapidly activating delayed rectifier potassium current (I_{Kr}) plays a critical role in cardiac repolarization. Although I_{Kr} is known to be regulated by both α_1 - and β_1 -adrenergic receptors (ARs), the cross-talk and feedback mechanisms that dictate its response to α_1 - and β_1 -AR activation are not known. In the present study, I_{Kr} was recorded using the whole-cell patch-clamp technique. I_{Kr} amplitude was measured before and after the sequential application of selective adrenergic agonists targeting α_1 - and β_1 -ARs. Stimulation of either receptor alone (α_1 -ARs using 1 μ M phenylephrine (PE) or β_1 -ARs using 10 μ M xamoterol (Xamo)) reduced I_{Kr} by 0.22 ± 0.03 and 0.28 ± 0.01 , respectively. The voltage-dependent activation curve of I_{Kr} shifted in the negative direction. The half-maximal activation voltage ($V_{0.5}$) was altered by -6.35 ± 1.53 and -1.95 ± 2.22 mV, respectively, with no major change in the slope factor (k). When myocytes were pretreated with Xamo, PE-induced reduction in I_{Kr} was markedly blunted and the corresponding change in $V_{0.5}$ was significantly altered. Similarly, when cells were pretreated with PE, Xamo-induced reduction of I_{Kr} was significantly attenuated. The present results demonstrate that functional cross-talk between α_1 - and β_1 -AR signaling regulates I_{Kr} . Such non-linear regulation may form a protective mechanism under excessive adrenergic stimulation.

Keywords: adrenergic receptors; potassium current; cross-talk; arrhythmia

1. Introduction

The human ether-a-go-go related gene (*hERG*) encodes the pore-forming subunit of the voltage-dependent potassium channel that conducts the rapidly activating delayed rectifier potassium current (I_{Kr}) [1,2]. I_{Kr} exhibits slow activation and deactivation kinetics, coupled with rapid voltage-dependent inactivation and recovery from inactivation. These unique features of I_{Kr} make it a critical repolarizing current in ventricular myocytes. Indeed, disruptions in I_{Kr} have been shown to underlie abnormal action potential repolarization and to promote arrhythmogenic early afterdepolarization triggers, leading to sudden death in congenital and acquired cardiovascular disorders, including the long QT syndrome [3].

Numerous studies have documented the regulation of ion currents by neurotransmitters and hormones. Acute activation of β_1 -adrenergic receptors (β_1 -ARs), classically coupling with G_s -proteins, results in adenylate cyclase and thereby increases cyclic AMP (cAMP). This promotes protein kinase A (PKA)-mediated phosphorylation of four recognized serine residues (S283, S890, S895, and S1137) on the *hERG* channel. This, in turn, reduces I_{Kr} density [4–7]. On the other hand, acute stimulation of α_1 -adrenergic receptors (α_1 -ARs) leads to activation of phosphatidyl inositol-specific phospholipase C (PLC). The PLC substrate phosphatidyl-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP_2), a membrane phospholipid, is hydrolyzed to generate the intracellular second messengers, 1,4,5-inositol trisphosphate (IP_3) and diacylglycerol (DAG). IP_3 induces mobilization of intracellular calcium, while DAG acts as a physiological activator of protein kinase C (PKC), a serine-threonine-dependent kinase known to phosphorylate the *hERG* channel and reduce I_{Kr} density [7–10].

The complexity of the adrenergic regulation of *hERG* is underscored by the fact that during emotional or physical stress, catecholamines bind to multiple adrenoreceptors rather than act selectively on specific ones. Rorabaugh *et al.* demonstrated that stimulation of α_1 -ARs could down-regulate β_1 -AR-mediated inotropy in the mouse heart [11]. Moreover, cross-activation of PKA, PIP_2 and PKC can regulate I_{Na} [12], the slowly activating delayed rectifier K^+ current (I_{Ks}) [13,14] and I_{Kr} [4,9,15,16]. Indeed, adrenergic signal transduction pathways may exert complex inhibitory effects on cardiac *hERG*/ I_{Kr} currents via multiple mechanisms that potentially involve the intracellular second messenger cAMP, protein kinases A and C, and possibly other regulatory components of the *hERG* macromolecular complex, including minK, MiRP1, and 14-3-3. Signaling “cross talk” between α_1 - and β_1 -adrenergic cascades might also be involved, although direct experimental evidence is lacking.

We hypothesized that due to complex cross-talk between α_1 - and β_1 -adrenergic cascades, I_{Kr} would exhibit non-linear responses to combined adrenergic stimulation. In other words, the combined activation of both pathways in terms of I_{Kr} inhibition would not reflect the sum of the individual effects of stimulating either axis alone. To address this hypothesis, we designed two experimental groups, which are referred to as $P_{pre} + X$ and $X_{pre} + P$. In the $P_{pre} + X$ group, 1 μ M Phenylephrine (PE) was applied for 9 min resulting in a steady state I_{Kr} inhibition, followed by co-application of 10 μ M xamoterol (Xamo) and 10 μ M PE for another 9 min. Conversely, in the $X_{pre} + P$ group, 10 μ M Xamo


was pre-applied for 9 min, followed by simultaneous application of both 1 μ M PE and 10 μ M Xamo for another 9 min. Hence, we were able to compare the reductions and shifts in activation curves of I_{Kr} caused by exposure to PE alone, Xamo alone, and PE plus Xamo. More importantly, we compared PE-induced I_{Kr} reduction in the presence of Xamo, and Xamo-induced I_{Kr} reduction in the presence of PE, as well as shifts in the activation curve of I_{Kr} under varying conditions.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Confirmation of the Rapidly Activating Delayed Rectifier Potassium Current (I_{Kr}) Identity and Stability

When 1 μ M dofetilide, a specific I_{Kr} blocker, was applied to the extracellular solution, the I_{Kr} tail current was almost completely eliminated (Figure 1A). The I_{Kr} tail current at the return pulse of -40 mV after depolarizing to $+40$ mV, exhibited a marked time-dependent decrease to negligible levels within 10 min (Figure 1B). We plotted the tail current-voltage relationship at 0 and 20 min during application of dofetilide (Figure 1C) and found that the I_{Kr} tail current was completely abolished by dofetilide. These data indicated that no other contaminating currents contributed to the I_{Kr} tail current under our experimental conditions.

Figure 1. Confirmation and stability of I_{Kr} current. (A) Representative original I_{Kr} tail currents recorded from the same left ventricular guinea pig myocyte before and 20 min after application of dofetilide (1 μ M); (B) shows the representative trace of time-dependence of the relative current reduction by dofetilide; (C) The curve of I_{Kr} tail currents at different test pulse potential (I–V curve) before and after dofetilide application. (A–C) demonstrate the lack of contamination of the tail current by non- I_{Kr} currents under our experimental conditions; (D) Typical original I_{Kr} tail currents recorded over a 20-min period from a myocyte; (E,F) show the representative traces of time-dependence of the relative current and the I–V curve during myocyte superfusion with control extracellular solution. (D–F) demonstrate that the I_{Kr} tail currents were stable within a timeframe of 20 min. Protocol I: holding potential -40 mV, test pulses from -40 to $+40$ mV in 20 mV increments (duration 225 ms), return pulse to -40 mV (duration 775 ms) to measure I_{Kr} tail currents. Unless specified otherwise, all I_{Kr} tail currents were induced by protocol I.




The I_{K_r} tail current at the return pulse of -40 mV from a test potential of $+40$ mV, was stable for at least 20 min of perfusion with control extracellular solution (Figure 1D,E). The tail current-voltage curves at 0 and 20 min were almost identical (Figure 1F) indicating presence of minimal tail current rundown under our experimental conditions.

2.2. Cell Capacitance and Basic Gating Data in Different Groups

The two groups ($P_{pre} + X$ and $X_{pre} + P$) that were defined above were studied. Myocyte size, measured as cell capacitance, was comparable ($p = 0.82$, Figure 2A) between groups (161.80 ± 9.23 pF for $P_{pre} + X$ and 165.70 ± 13.93 pF for $X_{pre} + P$, $n = 7$ each). Two key characteristics of the activating curve ($V_{0.5}$ and k) were measured in both groups during exposure of myocytes to the control bath solution. As shown in Figure 2B, $V_{0.5}$ was not significantly different between the $P_{pre} + X$ (-1.93 ± 2.58 mV, $n = 7$) and the $X_{pre} + PE$ (-5.22 ± 1.73 mV, $p = 0.31$, $n = 7$) groups ($p = 0.31$). Similarly, k was also comparable ($p = 0.82$, Figure 2C) between groups (11.92 ± 1.33 for $P_{pre} + X$ and 12.35 ± 1.54 for $X_{pre} + P$).

Figure 2. Cell capacitance and basic gating data in different groups. (A) Cell capacitance in the $P_{pre} + X$ and $X_{pre} + P$ groups, showing no significant difference ($n = 7$); (B,C) The half-maximal activation voltage ($V_{0.5}$) and the slope factor (k) of myocytes at basal conditions in the two groups also exhibit no significant difference ($n = 7$). These data indicate that myocytes used in either group exhibit comparable properties at baseline. “ns” indicates “not significant”.



2.3. Cellular Electrophysiology Data of the $P_{pre} + X$ Group

When myocytes were exposed to $1 \mu\text{M}$ PE alone, the I_{K_r} tail current decreased rapidly, reaching a steady-state nadir within 4–6 min (Figure 3A,B). After 9 min of PE exposure, I_{K_r} tail current density measured at $+40$ mV decreased from 0.80 ± 0.04 to 0.62 ± 0.01 pA/pF ($n = 7$; $p < 0.001$; Figure 3C). Voltage-dependent activation of I_{K_r} exhibited a trend towards a shift in the negative direction (Figure 3D), with the half-maximal activation voltage ($V_{0.5}$) changing from -1.93 ± 2.58 to -8.24 ± 1.88 mV ($n = 7$; $p = 0.06$; Figure 3E). Similarly, the change in slope factor (k) from 11.92 ± 1.33 to 10.63 ± 1.10 ($n = 7$; $p = 0.42$; Figure 3F) did not reach statistical significance. Co-application of Xamo decreased I_{K_r} tail current density even further to 0.54 ± 0.01 pA/pF. This additional reduction in current density was significant when compared to baseline control levels ($n = 7$; $p < 0.001$; Figure 3C) as well as to those achieved with PE alone ($n = 7$; $p < 0.001$; Figure 3C). As such, during α_1 -AR activation, the

Xamo-mediated decrease was only 0.13 ± 0.01 ($n = 7$; Figure 7). The voltage-dependent activation curve of I_{Kr} remained unchanged compared with PE alone ($n = 7$, Figure 3D), with $V_{0.5}$ changing to -11.15 ± 2.15 mV, ($n = 7$; $p < 0.05$, compared to the basal $V_{0.5}$; Figure 3E), and k changing to 10.82 ± 0.80 ($n = 7$; $p = 0.49$, compared to the basal k ; Figure 3F).

Shown in Figure 4 are I_{Kr} tail current density measurements obtained over a wide range of test voltages before and after treatment of myocytes with PE alone or combined PE + Xamo. Neither PE alone nor PE + Xamo were sufficient to alter I_{Kr} tail current density compared to pre-treatment levels at -40 , -20 , and 0 mV. Interestingly, at $+20$ mV, the combined treatment (PE + Xamo) but not PE alone was associated with a significant reduction in tail current density ($n = 7$; $p < 0.05$; Figure 4E). Finally, at $+40$ mV, both PE alone and PE + Xamo were sufficient to elicit significant reductions in tail current density as compared to basal pre-treatment levels ($n = 7$; each p value was less than 0.001; Figure 4F).

Figure 3. Cellular electrophysiology data of the $P_{pre} + X$ group; (A) shows the representative traces of time-dependence of the relative current reduction by phenylephrine (PE, 1 μ M) and combined PE plus Xamo (Xamo, 10 μ M); (B) Typical original I_{Kr} tail currents recorded at return pulse after depolarizing to $+40$ mV at basal conditions and after application of PE and PE + Xamo; (C) Comparison of the relative current at baseline, following 9-min exposure to PE, and following 9-min exposure to PE + Xamo ($n = 7$; *** $p < 0.001$, vs. basal; # $p < 0.05$, vs. PE); (D) The plots of $I_{tail}/I_{tail,max}$ vs. membrane voltage at three different conditions, fit with the single-power Boltzmann equation: $I_{tail} = I_{tail,max}/[1 + \exp(V_{0.5} - V)/k]$, reflecting the activation kinetics. Here, I_{Kr} tail currents were induced by protocol II: holding potential -40 mV, test pulses from -40 to $+40$ mV in 10 mV increments (duration 225 ms), return pulse to -40 mV (duration 775 ms); (E,F) $V_{0.5}$ and k of the myocytes measured at three different conditions ($n = 7$). “ns” indicates “not significant”; * indicates $p < 0.05$).





Figure 4. I_{Kr} tail currents at different depolarization levels in group $P_{pre} + X$ group. (A) I_{Kr} tail current densities (pA/pF) measured at different membrane voltages before and after treatment with PE alone or PE + Xamo; (B–F) Comparison of I_{Kr} tail current densities at baseline and following PE alone and PE + Xamo treatment. I_{Kr} tail currents were separately measured when the test pulse was at -40 , -20 , 0 , $+20$, and $+40$ mV ($n = 7$). “ns” indicates “not significant”; * $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.001$.




2.4. Cellular Electrophysiological Data of the $X_{pre} + P$ Group

When exposed to 10 μ M Xamo alone, the I_{Kr} tail current decreased rapidly, reaching steady-state after 4–6 min (Figure 5A,B). At the end of 9 min of drug exposure, I_{Kr} tail current density was reduced from 1.09 ± 0.07 to 0.80 ± 0.05 pA/pF at $+40$ mV ($n = 7$; $p < 0.001$; Figure 5C). In other words, the Xamo-induced decrease was about 0.28 ± 0.01 ($n = 7$; Figure 7). The voltage-dependent curve of I_{Kr} activation exhibited a modest shift in the negative direction (Figure 5D), with $V_{0.5}$ changing from -5.22 ± 1.73 to -7.17 ± 2.43 mV ($n = 7$; $p = 0.50$; Figure 5E), while k shifted from 12.35 ± 1.54 to 11.93 ± 1.57 ($n = 7$; $p = 0.85$; Figure 5F). Co-application of 1 μ M PE decreased the I_{Kr} tail current further within 5 min (Figure 5A,B). The I_{Kr} tail current density changed to 0.69 ± 0.06 pA/pF at $+40$ mV ($n = 7$; $p < 0.001$; Figure 5C), and was about 0.86 \pm 0.03 of the I_{Kr} tail current measured with 10 μ M Xamo alone ($n = 7$; $p < 0.001$; Figure 5C). As such, under conditions of β_1 -adrenergic activation, the PE-induced decrease in tail current amplitude was only 0.14 ± 0.03 ($n = 7$; Figure 7) of the basal level. The voltage-dependent curve of I_{Kr} activation almost remained unchanged ($n = 7$, Figure 5D), with $V_{0.5}$ changing to -5.19 ± 1.83 mV ($n = 7$; $p = 0.99$ vs. basal group and $p = 0.496$ vs. Xamo group; Figure 5E), and k changing to 12.52 ± 1.44 ($n = 7$; $p = 0.937$ vs. basal group and $p = 0.785$ vs. Xamo group; Figure 5F).

Furthermore, the I_{Kr} tail currents at different depolarization levels were tested and compared before and after exposure of myocytes to PE alone or PE + Xamo (Figure 6A). As shown in Figure 6B,C, the I_{Kr} tail currents measured at -40 and -20 mV did not exhibit statistical differences across groups

($n = 7$). At 0 mV, exposure of myocytes to combined PE + Xamo but not PE alone resulted in a significant reduction in current density compared to basal pretreatment levels ($n = 7$; $p < 0.05$; Figure 6D). In contrast, at +20 and the I_{Kr} tail current was statistically different to basal one at +20 and +40 mV, both PE alone as well as PE + Xamo were sufficient to elicit significant decreases in current density as compared to basal pretreatment levels ($n = 7$; all p value was less than 0.05; Figures 6E,F).

Figure 5. Cellular electrophysiology data of the $X_{pre} + P$ group. (A) shows the representative traces of time-dependence of the relative current reduction by xamoterol (Xamo, 10 μ M) and combined Xamo plus phenylephrine (PE, 1 μ M); (B) Typical original I_{Kr} tail currents recorded at return pulse after depolarizing to +40 mV at basal conditions and after application of Xamo and Xamo + PE; (C) Comparison of the relative current at baseline, following 9-min exposure to Xamo, and 9-min exposure to Xamo + PE ($n = 7$; *** $p < 0.001$, vs. basal; ### $p < 0.001$, vs. Xamo); (D,E,F) The plots of $I_{tail}/I_{tail,max}$ vs. membrane voltage under three different conditions, fitting with Boltzmann equation, and $V_{0.5}$ and k of the myocytes measured under three different conditions ($n = 7$). “ns” indicates “not significant”.




2.5. Comparison of Effects by Different Adrenergic Activation

As shown in Figure 7A, α_1 -adrenergic activation-induced inhibition of I_{Kr} tail current was less than β_1 -adrenergic activation-induced inhibition (0.22 ± 0.03 & 0.28 ± 0.01), but the difference between the two groups did not reach statistical significance ($n = 7$; $p = 0.08$). Similarly, the minor activation shifts in terms of $V_{0.5}$ and k values were comparable across groups ($n = 7$; Figure 7B,C). However, the α_1 -AR induced inhibition of I_{Kr} tail current significantly differed in cells that were pre-incubated with the β_1 -AR agonist compared to control (*i.e.*, otherwise unstimulated myocytes ($n = 7$; 0.22 ± 0.03 & 0.14 ± 0.03 , $p < 0.05$; Figure 7A). Moreover, while the activation shift in terms of $V_{0.5}$ induced by concomitant α_1 - and β_1 -AR stimulation was significantly different ($n = 7$; -6.35 ± 1.53) &

(1.98 ± 1.52) mV, $p < 0.01$; Figure 7B) compared to α_1 -AR stimulation alone, the corresponding k values did not show statistical differences between the two groups ($n = 7$; -1.13 ± 1.42 & 0.60 ± 0.73 ; Figure 7C). Similarly, the β_1 -AR activation-induced inhibition of I_{K_r} tail current significantly differed between unstimulated (control) vs. α_1 -AR stimulated myocytes ($n = 7$; 0.28 ± 0.01 & 0.13 ± 0.01 , $p < 0.001$; Figure 7A). Finally, the activation shift in terms of $V_{0.5}$ and k values did not show any statistically significant differences ($n = 7$; (-1.95 ± 2.22) & (-2.82 ± 1.28) mV, and -0.42 ± 1.26 & -0.07 ± 0.54 ; Figure 7B,C).


Figure 6. I_{K_r} tail currents at different depolarization level in group $X_{pre} + P$ group. (A) The I_{K_r} tail current densities (pA/pF) at different membrane voltages under three different conditions; (B–F) Comparison of I_{K_r} tail current densities under three different conditions, at return pulse after depolarizing to -40 , -20 , 0 , $+20$, and $+40$ mV separately ($n = 7$). “ns” indicates “not significant”; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$).



2.6. Discussion

The main findings of the present report are as follows: (1) acute activation of α_1 -ARs produce comparable effects on I_{K_r} tail current density to β_1 -ARs; (2) acute activation of α_1 -AR in the presence of β_1 -AR activation elicits a minor decrease in I_{K_r} tail current, which is statistically different from that achieved by α_1 -AR activation alone; (3) similarly, acute activation of β_1 -AR in the presence of α_1 -AR activation induces a very small decrease in I_{K_r} tail current, which again is statistically different from that achieved by β_1 -AR activation alone. The blunted I_{K_r} response to concomitant adrenergic activation is suggestive of a protective feedback regulatory mechanism that acts to maintain the I_{K_r} tail current density in the wake of excessive catecholamine stress, modeled *in vitro* in our study as combined PE and Xamo exposure.

Figure 7. Comparison of effects by different adrenergic activation. **(A)** Comparison of the decrease in I_{Kr} tail current after application of various AR agonists. Column α_1 represents the percent decrease in I_{Kr} tail current by application of α_1 -AR agonist alone; and column $(\alpha_1)\beta_1$ represents the β_1 -AR mediated percent decrease of I_{Kr} after pre-activation of α_1 -AR; similarly, column β_1 stands for β_1 -AR alone; column $(\beta_1)\alpha_1$ stands for α_1 -AR mediated percent inhibition of I_{Kr} after pre-stimulation of β_1 -AR ($n = 7$); **(B,C)** Comparison of activation shifts in corresponding $V_{0.5}$ and k under different conditions. The four columns, α_1 , $(\alpha_1)\beta_1$, β_1 , and $(\beta_1)\alpha_1$ represent conditions of α_1 -AR activation alone, β_1 -AR activation in presence of α_1 -AR activation, β_1 -AR activation alone, and α_1 -AR activation in presence of β_1 -AR, respectively ($n = 7$). “ns” indicates “not significant”; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$.



In the present study, the finding that PE or Xamo is able to decrease the I_{Kr} tail current via stimulation of α_1 -ARs or β_1 -ARs is consistent with previous reports [5,7,9,17–20], including our own published work [21] in HEK-293 cells, CHO cells, *Xenopus* oocytes, and native ventricular cardiomyocytes. The I_{Kr} tail current exhibits a concentration-dependent decrease after acute stimulation of α_1 -ARs or β_1 -ARs within 4–6 min, reaching steady-state levels within 7–9 min. Our choice of drug concentrations was guided by previous work, in which we determined the IC_{50} values of PE and Xamo to be approximately 0.9 and 6.4 μ M, respectively [22]. The I_{Kr} tail current induced by depolarization to +40 mV was 0.78 ± 0.03 or 0.72 ± 0.01 of the basal I_{Kr} tail current after application of 1 μ M PE or 10 μ M Xamo, respectively ($p < 0.05$). The I_{Kr} tail current activation curve exhibited a minor shift in the repolarizing direction in response to α_1 -AR stimulation that was comparable to that achieved by β_1 -AR activation (Figure 5B,C), suggesting that the gating kinetics of the *hERG* channel was not markedly affected by acute α_1 -AR or β_1 -AR stimulation separately. Characterized by slow activation and deactivation kinetics, and rapid voltage-dependent inactivation and recovery from inactivation kinetics, I_{Kr} encoded by *hERG* is indeed a critical component of action potential repolarization in both atrial and ventricular myocytes of most species, including humans [2,3,23,24]. Thus, excessive I_{Kr} inhibition causes marked repolarization delays that result in prolongation of the action potential at the cellular level, and the QT-interval of the electrocardiogram at the body surface level; thereby promoting the incidence of arrhythmogenic early afterdepolarizations, and polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. As such, our *in vitro* findings have direct relevance to clinical scenarios, in which patients with inherited or acquired long QT syndrome (LQTS) experience stress-related arrhythmias, in many cases leading to sudden cardiac death.

Of note, co-application of both β_1 - and α_1 -AR agonists resulted in a relatively small additional inhibitory effect on I_{Kr} tail current compared to the selective activation of either receptor alone. Importantly, however, the decrease in I_{Kr} tail current produced by PE in the presence of Xamo was significantly different from that achieved by α_1 -AR activation alone (0.14 ± 0.03 vs. 0.22 ± 0.03 , $p < 0.05$). Similarly, the decrease in I_{Kr} tail current by β_1 -AR stimulation in the wake of α_1 -AR activation was significantly different from that produced by β_1 -AR activation alone (0.13 ± 0.01 vs. 0.28 ± 0.01 , $p < 0.001$). This suggests that pre-activation of β_1 -ARs markedly suppresses the inhibitory effect of α_1 -AR activation on I_{Kr} tail current, and that pre-activation of α_1 -ARs produces an even stronger modulatory effect on the inhibition of I_{Kr} by β_1 -AR. Indeed, pre-activation of one adrenoceptor subclass dramatically restricts the inhibitory effect of the other subclass on I_{Kr} tail current. As such, there appears to be significant cross-talk in the regulation of I_{Kr} by acute adrenergic stimulation of AR receptors. We propose that this tight regulatory mechanism acts to protect against excessive I_{Kr} inhibition, and therefore action potential prolongation, under conditions of extreme stress and associated catecholamine release.

Acute nonselective α_1 -AR activation suppresses the positive inotropic effect of β_1 -AR activation and associated cAMP generation [25]. Under certain conditions, α_1 - and β -AR signaling pathways exhibit synergistic effects [26]. Moreover, α_1 - and β -AR interactions modulate the L-type calcium current [27], and sustained activation of PKC-epsilon leads to a blunted response of the current to α_1 - and β -AR signaling [28]. In addition, PKC activation cross-activates PKA to modulate the cardiac Na^+ current [12]. Moreover, both PKA and PKC regulate the cardiac IKs in a mutually exclusive manner [13], and the channel phosphorylation by PKA cross-activates PLC-dependent regulation, which activates downstream PKC [14]. Therefore, the linear signaling paradigm has given way to a complex multidimensional “signalome” in which an individual adrenoceptor can dynamically couple to multiple signaling proteins in a temporally and spatially regulated manner resulting in pharmacologically and functionally distinct receptor populations [29–32]. Remarkably, mechanistic studies focusing on the regulation of ionic currents by AR signaling have been rapidly translated to the bedside [33]. We have previously demonstrated that I_{Kr} tail current is inhibited by acute stimulation of α_1 -ARs using PE [21]. Furthermore, PE-mediated inhibition of the current was significantly attenuated by the PKC inhibitor chelerythrine and the PKA inhibitor KT5720, suggesting that activation of PKA and/or PKC may play an important role in mediating the effects of α_1 -ARs on native I_{Kr} current in guinea-pig ventricular myocytes. Coincidentally, Thomas and colleagues [9] reported similar phenomena in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes heterologously coexpressing *hERG* channels and human α_{1A} -ARs. In contrast, Bian *et al.* [17] found that pretreatment with chelerythrine augmented the inhibitory effects of α_1 -ARs on I_{Kr} current. In β_1 -AR regulation of I_{Kr} , Karle *et al.* found that inhibiting PKA may attenuate the reduction effect of xamotorol on I_{Kr} [5], which is consistent with our previous study. Moreover, we also found that PKC inhibition effectively attenuated the effect of Xamo on I_{Kr} [34]. This suggests that, in addition to PKA, PKC is also activated as part of the β_1 -AR signaling pathway in the regulation of I_{Kr} tail current. Despite that, both PKA and PKC are among the most important signal transduction pathways that modulate the $I_{Kr}/hERG$ current, and they may act in a cross-activation manner, an important issue which will require further investigation.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Guinea Pig Ventricular Myocyte Isolation and Electrophysiological Recordings

All experiments were performed in accordance with Animal Care Protocols approved by the Nanjing Medical University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (SCXK2002-0031, Experimental animal production license of Jiangsu Province). Single left ventricular myocytes were isolated from the hearts of healthy male adult guinea pigs (300 ± 50 g) as described previously [21]. Cells were transferred to a temperature controlled recording chamber in which they were continuously perfused with the bath solution. Temperature was maintained at 37 ± 0.5 °C by a temperature control system (TC-324B, Warner, Hamden, CT, USA). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed using an EPC-9 amplifier (HEKA Electronics, Lambrecht/Pfalz, Germany). Pipettes, filled with the pipette solution, had resistances of 1–3 M Ω . The flow rate of the bath solution through the chamber was maintained at 1–2 mL/min.

3.2. Cellular Electrophysiology Protocols

$I_{Kr}/hERG$ currents were measured using a two-step protocol, referred to as Protocol I. From a holding potential of -40 mV, currents were activated by a variable test pulse from -40 to $+40$ mV (in 20 mV steps, 225 ms duration), followed by a return pulse to -40 mV (duration 775 ms) to evoke outward tail currents. Signals were analog-filtered at 2380 Hz, and the sampled interval was 10,000 Hz. The effects of α_1 - and β_1 -AR agonists were investigated on peak tail currents after the return pulse to $+40$ mV.

An additional two-step protocol (Protocol II) was also used. Specifically, from a holding potential of -40 mV, currents were activated by a variable test pulse from -40 to $+40$ mV (in 10 mV steps, 225 ms duration), followed by a return pulse to -40 mV (duration 775 ms) to evoke outward tail currents. This protocol was applied to measure each peak tail current at repolarization after different test voltages. Activation curves were fit to a single-power Boltzmann equation: $I_{tail} = I_{tail,max}/[1 + \exp(V_{0.5} - V)/k]$, where I_{tail} indicates the tail current, V represents the test pulse potential, $V_{0.5}$ refers to the half-maximal activation voltage, and k is the slope factor.

3.3. Solutions and Reagents

For I_{Kr} recordings, the pipette solution contained (in mmol/L) KCl 140, CaCl₂ 1, MgCl₂ 2, HEPES 10, EGTA 11, Na₂-ATP 5, creatine phosphate (disodium salt) 5, pH 7.2 adjusted with 8 M KOH. The bath solution contained (in mmol/L) NaCl 140, KCl 3.5, CaCl₂ 1.5, MgSO₄ 1.4, HEPES 10, pH adjusted 7.4 with 10 M NaOH. Nifedipine (10 μ M) and Chromanol 293B (10 μ M) were added to the bath solution to block the L-type calcium and delayed rectifier potassium (I_{Ks}) currents, respectively. Na₂-ATP, EGTA, creatine phosphate, L-glutamic acid, HEPES, taurine, bovine serum albumin (BSA), nifedipine, chromanol 293B, PE, and dofetilide were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA), collagenase II from Worthington (Lakewood, NJ, USA), and Xamol-hemifumarate from Santa Cruz (Dallas, TX, USA). All other reagents were obtained from Amresco (Solon, OH, USA).

For stock solutions, nifedipine and chromanol 293B were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (10 mM concentration); Xamo in distilled water (10 mM concentration); PE and dofetilide in distilled

water (1 mM). All stock solutions were stored at -20°C except nifedipine which was stored at 4°C . Before experiments, aliquots of the stock solutions were diluted with the extracellular solution to the desired test concentrations of 1 μM (PE and dofetilide) and 10 μM (Xamo, nifedipine and chromanol 293B). The final concentration of DMSO was less than 0.5% in extracellular solution, which was determined to have no confounding effects on the currents of interest.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

Currents were acquired with Pulse + Pulsefit V8.53 (HEKA Electronics, Lambrecht/Pfalz, Germany) and analyzed by SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were expressed as mean \pm S.E.M. Statistical significance was evaluated using the unpaired Student's *t* test. Multiple comparisons were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with a *post-hoc* comparison using a Newman–Keuls test. A *p* value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4. Conclusions

hERG channel activation is strongly modulated by acute stimulation of α_1 -ARs and β_1 -ARs. Remarkably, stimulation of either receptor class markedly attenuates the inhibitory effects of the other class on I_{K_r} . Further investigations are required to elucidate the molecular mechanisms that underlie the functional cross-talk or to identify putative intermediate proteins in the signal transduction pathways involved in modulation of I_{K_r} current via adrenergic activation.

Acknowledgments

This study is supported by the National Scientific Foundation of China (NSFC No. 81100123). We gratefully thank Xiang-Jian Chen and Yan-hong Chen, and the Research Institute of Cardiovascular Disease of the first Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University for their help.

Author Contributions

Participated in research design: S.W. and D.X. Conducted experiments: S.W., T.-T.W., X.-Y.W. and J.-Q. Performed data analysis: T.-T.W., X.-Y.W. and Y.G. Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: S.W., T.-T.W. and D.X.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Charpentier, F.; Merot, J.; Loussouarn, G.; Baro, I. Delayed rectifier K^+ currents and cardiac repolarization. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **2010**, *48*, 37–44.
2. Vandenberg, J.I.; Perry, M.D.; Perrin, M.J.; Mann, S.A.; Ke, Y.; Hill, A.P. *hERG* K^+ channels: Structure, function, and clinical significance. *Physiol. Rev.* **2012**, *92*, 1393–1478.

3. Sanguinetti, M.C.; Tristani-Firouzi, M. *hERG* potassium channels and cardiac arrhythmia. *Nature* **2006**, *440*, 463–469.
4. Heath, B.M.; Terrar, D.A. Protein kinase C enhances the rapidly activating delayed rectifier potassium current, I_{Kr} , through a reduction in C-type inactivation in guinea-pig ventricular myocytes. *J. Physiol.* **2000**, *522*, 391–402.
5. Karle, C.A.; Zitron, E.; Zhang, W.; Kathofer, S.; Schoels, W.; Kiehn, J. Rapid component I_{Kr} of the guinea-pig cardiac delayed rectifier K^+ current is inhibited by β_1 -adrenoreceptor activation, via cAMP/protein kinase A-dependent pathways. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2002**, *53*, 355–362.
6. Thomas, D.; Zhang, W.; Karle, C.A.; Kathofer, S.; Schols, W.; Kubler, W.; Kiehn, J. Deletion of protein kinase A phosphorylation sites in the *hERG* potassium channel inhibits activation shift by protein kinase A. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1999**, *274*, 27457–27462.
7. Zankov, D.P.; Yoshida, H.; Tsuji, K.; Toyoda, F.; Ding, W.G.; Matsuura, H.; Horie, M. Adrenergic regulation of the rapid component of delayed rectifier K^+ current: Implications for arrhythmogenesis in LQT2 patients. *Heart Rhythm* **2009**, *6*, 1038–1046.
8. Bian, J.; Cui, J.; McDonald, T.V. *hERG* K^+ channel activity is regulated by changes in phosphatidyl inositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *Circ. Res.* **2001**, *89*, 1168–1176.
9. Thomas, D.; Wu, K.; Wimmer, A.B.; Zitron, E.; Hammerling, B.C.; Kathofer, S.; Lueck, S.; Bloehs, R.; Kreye, V.A.; Kiehn, J.; *et al.* Activation of cardiac human ether-a-go-go related gene potassium currents is regulated by α_{1A} -adrenoceptors. *J. Mol. Med.* **2004**, *82*, 826–837.
10. Thomas, D.; Zhang, W.; Wu, K.; Wimmer, A.B.; Gut, B.; Wendt-Nordahl, G.; Kathofer, S.; Kreye, V.A.; Katus, H.A.; Schoels, W.; *et al.* Regulation of *hERG* potassium channel activation by protein kinase C independent of direct phosphorylation of the channel protein. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2003**, *59*, 14–26.
11. Rorabaugh, B.R.; Gaivin, R.J.; Papay, R.S.; Shi, T.; Simpson, P.C.; Perez, D.M. Both α_{1A} - and α_{1B} -adrenergic receptors crosstalk to down regulate β_1 -ARs in mouse heart: Coupling to differential PTX-sensitive pathways. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **2005**, *39*, 777–784.
12. Shin, H.G.; Murray, K.T. Conventional protein kinase C isoforms and cross-activation of protein kinase A regulate cardiac Na^+ current. *FEBS Lett.* **2001**, *495*, 154–158.
13. Lo, C.F.; Numann, R. Independent and exclusive modulation of cardiac delayed rectifying K^+ current by protein kinase C and protein kinase A. *Circ. Res.* **1998**, *83*, 995–1002.
14. Lopes, C.M.; Remon, J.I.; Matavel, A.; Sui, J.L.; Keselman, I.; Medei, E.; Shen, Y.; Rosenhouse-Dantsker, A.; Rohacs, T.; Logothetis, D.E. Protein kinase A modulates PLC-dependent regulation and PIP₂-sensitivity of K^+ channels. *Channels* **2007**, *1*, 124–134.
15. Cockerill, S.L.; Tobin, A.B.; Torrecilla, I.; Willars, G.B.; Standen, N.B.; Mitcheson, J.S. Modulation of *hERG* potassium currents in HEK-293 cells by protein kinase C. Evidence for direct phosphorylation of pore forming subunits. *J. Physiol.* **2007**, *581*, 479–493.
16. Kiehn, J.; Karle, C.; Thomas, D.; Yao, X.; Brachmann, J.; Kubler, W. *hERG* potassium channel activation is shifted by phorbol esters via protein kinase A-dependent pathways. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 25285–25291.
17. Bian, J.S.; Kagan, A.; McDonald, T.V. Molecular analysis of PIP₂ regulation of *hERG* and I_{Kr} . *Am. J. Physiol.* **2004**, *287*, H2154–H2163.

18. Harmati, G.; Banyasz, T.; Barandi, L.; Szentandrassy, N.; Horvath, B.; Szabo, G.; Szentmiklosi, J.A.; Szenasi, G.; Nanasi, P.P.; Magyar, J. Effects of β -adrenoceptor stimulation on delayed rectifier K^+ currents in canine ventricular cardiomyocytes. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2011**, *162*, 890–896.
19. Jensen, B.C.; O'Connell, T.D.; Simpson, P.C. α -1-adrenergic receptors: Targets for agonist drugs to treat heart failure. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **2011**, *51*, 518–528.
20. Kawakami, K.; Nagatomo, T.; Abe, H.; Kikuchi, K.; Takemasa, H.; Anson, B.D.; Delisle, B.P.; January, C.T.; Nakashima, Y. Comparison of *hERG* channel blocking effects of various β -blockers—Implication for clinical strategy. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2006**, *147*, 642–652.
21. Wang, S.; Xu, D.J.; Cai, J.B.; Huang, Y.Z.; Zou, J.G.; Cao, K.J. Rapid component I_{Kr} of cardiac delayed rectifier potassium currents in guinea-pig is inhibited by α_1 -adrenoreceptor activation via protein kinase A and protein kinase C-dependent pathways. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2009**, *608*, 1–6.
22. Wu, T.T.; Wang, S.; Zou, J.G.; Cao, K.J.; Xu, D. Cross effects of acute adrenergic stimulation on rapid component of delayed rectifier potassium channel current in guinea pig left ventricular myocytes. *Chin. J. Card. Arrhythm.* **2014**, *2*, 146–152.
23. Sanguinetti, M.C. *hERG1* channelopathies. *Pflüg. Arch.* **2010**, *460*, 265–276.
24. Tseng, G.N. I_{Kr} : The *hERG* channel. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **2001**, *33*, 835–849.
25. Danziger, R.S.; Sakai, M.; Lakatta, E.G.; Hansford, R.G. Interactive α - and β -adrenergic actions of norepinephrine in rat cardiac myocytes. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **1990**, *22*, 111–123.
26. Zhang, Y.Z.; Zhang, Y.Y.; Chen, M.Z.; Han, Q.D. β -Adrenoceptors potentiate α_1 -adrenoceptor-mediated inotropic response in rat left atria. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* **2004**, *25*, 29–34.
27. Boutjdir, M.; Restivo, M.; Wei, Y.; El-Sherif, N. α_1 - and β -adrenergic interactions on L-type calcium current in cardiac myocytes. *Pflüg. Arch.* **1992**, *421*, 397–399.
28. Yue, Y.; Qu, Y.; Boutjdir, M. β - and α -adrenergic cross-signaling for L-type Ca current is impaired in transgenic mice with constitutive activation of epsilon PKC. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2004**, *314*, 749–754.
29. Park, P.S.; Palczewski, K. Diversifying the repertoire of G protein-coupled receptors through oligomerization. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **2005**, *102*, 8793–8794.
30. Perino, A.; Ghigo, A.; Scott, J.D.; Hirsch, E. Anchoring proteins as regulators of signaling pathways. *Circ. Res.* **2012**, *111*, 482–492.
31. Sroubek, J.; McDonald, T.V. Protein kinase A activity at the endoplasmic reticulum surface is responsible for augmentation of human ether-a-go-go-related gene product (*hERG*). *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, *286*, 21927–21936.
32. Xiao, R.P.; Zhu, W.; Zheng, M.; Cao, C.; Zhang, Y.; Lakatta, E.G.; Han, Q. Subtype-specific α_1 - and β -adrenoceptor signaling in the heart. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2006**, *27*, 330–337.
33. Woo, A.Y.; Xiao, R.P. β -Adrenergic receptor subtype signaling in heart: From bench to bedside. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* **2012**, *33*, 335–341.
34. Wang, S.; Xu, D.; Wu, T.T.; Guo, Y.; Chen, Y.H.; Zou, J.G. β_1 -adrenergic regulation of rapid component of delayed rectifier K^+ currents in guinea-pig cardiac myocytes. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2014**, *9*, 1923–1928.