

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Enhanced Recovery of Natural Antioxidants from Grape Waste Using Natural Eutectic Solvents-Based Microwave-Assisted Extraction

**Raquel Cañadas, Blanca Sáenz de Miera, Paloma Méndez, Emilio J. González
and María González-Miquel ***

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Higher Technical School
of Industrial Engineering, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid. C/José Gutiérrez
Abascal 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain

* Correspondence: maria.gonzalezmiquel@upm.es

Supplementary data includes: 3 Tables

Table S1. Total phenolic content (TPC, mg GAE/g WGW) and total flavonoid content (TFC, mg Q/g WGW) extracted by the solvents evaluated from a white grape waste sample (WGW) and antioxidant activity (% DPPH inhibition) of the solvent extracts. Results are expressed as mean values \pm relative standard deviation (RSD, %). OSE conditions: 100 min at 900 rpm, 60 °C and 1:10 F:S ratio.

SOLVENTS	TPC (mg GAE/g WGW) \pm RSD (%)	TFC (mg Q/g WGW) \pm RSD (%)	Antioxidant activity (% DPPH inhibition) \pm RSD (%)
H ₂ O	7.89 \pm 0.19	35.62 \pm 1.78	80.65 \pm 2.03
Et30DW	19.92 \pm 0.96	49.40 \pm 2.27	83.81 \pm 2.19
ChCl: 2But [1:3]	8.643 \pm 0.14	78.81 \pm 2.94	68.28 \pm 2.41
Pro: 2But [1:3]	29.26 \pm 1.46	69.39 \pm 2.47	57.38 \pm 1.87
Bet: 2But [1:3]	36.02 \pm 1.10	78.07 \pm 3.90	62.00 \pm 2.10
ChCl: 2But [1:4]	26.06 \pm 1.20	84.27 \pm 2.21	65.85 \pm 2.29
Pro: 2But [1:4]	29.31 \pm 1.47	73.04 \pm 3.65	53.58 \pm 1.68
Bet: 2But [1:4]	34.36 \pm 1.72	86.46 \pm 2.32	71.71 \pm 3.58
ChCl: Gly [1:4]	5.94 \pm 0.29	69.51 \pm 2.48	75.09 \pm 2.75
Pro: Gly [1:4]	30.78 \pm 1.54	36.65 \pm 0.83	40.30 \pm 1.01
Bet: Gly [1:4]	35.32 \pm 1.77	51.97 \pm 1.60	65.62 \pm 2.28
ChCl: Prop [1:4]	10.76 \pm 3.54	99.50 \pm 0.12	61.26 \pm 1.06
Pro: Prop [1:4]	35.99 \pm 1.79	57.17 \pm 1.86	19.26 \pm 0.96
Bet: Prop [1:4]	43.73 \pm 2.19	107.88 \pm 0.15	48.33 \pm 1.42
ChCl: 3But [1:4]	35.25 \pm 1.76	78.13 \pm 1.91	52.92 \pm 1.64

Table S2. Mass of each phenolic compounds quantified by HPLC after the conventional extraction process with each solvent evaluated. Results are expressed as mean values (mg phenolic compounds/g WGW) \pm RSD (%). Phenolic compounds: gallic acid (GA), protocatechuic acid (PA), *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid (HA), caffeic acid (CA), *p*-coumaric acid (*p*CA), ferulic acid (FA), cinnamic acid (CiA) and quercetin (Q). OSE conditions: 100 min at 900 rpm, 60 °C and 1:10 F:S ratio.

SOLVENTS	Mass (mg) of phenolic compound recovered / g WGW \pm RSD (%)							
	GA	PA	HA	CA	<i>p</i> CA	FA	CiA	Q
H ₂ O	0.0522 \pm 0.0026	0.0019 \pm 0.0001	0.0135 \pm 0.0007	0.0037 \pm 0.0002	0.0029 \pm 0.0001	0.0016 \pm 0.0001	0.0090 \pm 0.0005	0.0351 \pm 0.0018
Et30DW	0.0377 \pm 0.0019	0.0011 \pm 0.0001	0.0228 \pm 0.0010	0.0034 \pm 0.0002	0.0035 \pm 0.0002	0.0010 \pm 0.0000	0.0061 \pm 0.0003	0.0353 \pm 0.0018
ChCl: 2But [1:3]	0.0275 \pm 0.0014	0.0004 \pm 0.0000	0.0183 \pm 0.0007	0.0049 \pm 0.0002	0.0046 \pm 0.0002	0.0036 \pm 0.0002	0.0161 \pm 0.0008	0.0397 \pm 0.0020
Pro: 2But [1:3]	0.0246 \pm 0.0012	0.0194 \pm 0.0001	0.0140 \pm 0.0012	0.0045 \pm 0.0002	0.0039 \pm 0.0002	0.0026 \pm 0.0001	0.0175 \pm 0.0009	0.0356 \pm 0.0018
Bet: 2But [1:3]	0.0230 \pm 0.0012	0.0002 \pm 0.0000	0.0241 \pm 0.0002	0.0042 \pm 0.0002	0.0039 \pm 0.0002	0.0044 \pm 0.0002	0.0182 \pm 0.0009	0.0352 \pm 0.0018
ChCl: 2But [1:4]	0.0273 \pm 0.0014	0.0004 \pm 0.0000	0.0157 \pm 0.0008	0.0048 \pm 0.0002	0.0073 \pm 0.0002	0.0034 \pm 0.0002	0.0321 \pm 0.0016	0.0365 \pm 0.0018
Pro: 2But [1:4]	0.0234 \pm 0.0012	0.0003 \pm 0.0000	0.0130 \pm 0.0006	0.0048 \pm 0.0002	0.0077 \pm 0.0004	0.0026 \pm 0.0001	0.0325 \pm 0.0016	0.0352 \pm 0.0018
Bet: 2But [1:4]	0.0298 \pm 0.0015	0.0004 \pm 0.0000	0.0184 \pm 0.0009	0.0039 \pm 0.0002	0.0064 \pm 0.0004	0.0022 \pm 0.0001	0.0310 \pm 0.0003	0.0348 \pm 0.0017
ChCl: Gly [1:4]	0.0246 \pm 0.0012	0.0007 \pm 0.0000	0.0184 \pm 0.0009	0.0033 \pm 0.0002	0.0031 \pm 0.0003	0.0012 \pm 0.0001	0.0060 \pm 0.0006	0.0329 \pm 0.0016
Pro: Gly [1:4]	0.0189 \pm 0.0009	0.0033 \pm 0.0002	0.0112 \pm 0.0006	0.0034 \pm 0.0002	0.0036 \pm 0.0002	0.0023 \pm 0.0001	0.0115 \pm 0.0006	0.0356 \pm 0.0018
Bet: Gly [1:4]	0.0310 \pm 0.0016	0.0020 \pm 0.0001	0.0128 \pm 0.0006	0.0035 \pm 0.0002	0.0039 \pm 0.0002	0.0025 \pm 0.0001	0.0124 \pm 0.0008	0.0385 \pm 0.0019
ChCl: Prop [1:4]	0.0293 \pm 0.0015	0.0016 \pm 0.0001	0.0172 \pm 0.0009	0.0059 \pm 0.0003	0.0074 \pm 0.0002	0.0041 \pm 0.0002	0.0164 \pm 0.0005	0.0421 \pm 0.0021

Pro: Prop [1:4]	0.0165 ± 0.0008	0.0016 ± 0.0001	0.0107 ± 0.0005	0.0060 ± 0.0003	0.0073 ± 0.0004	0.0017 ± 0.0001	0.0103 ± 0.0001	0.0375 ± 0.0019
Bet: Prop [1:4]	0.0255 ± 0.0013	0.0012 ± 0.0001	0.0172 ± 0.0009	0.0035 ± 0.0002	0.0060 ± 0.0003	0.0016 ± 0.0001	0.0112 ±0.0006	0.0353 ± 0.0018
ChCl: 3But [1:4]	0.0260 ± 0.0013	0.0005 ± 0.0000	0.0060 ± 0.0003	0.0033 ± 0.0002	0.0019 ± 0.0001	0.0234 ± 0.0002	0.0215 ± 0.0011	0.0355 ± 0.0018

Table S3. Phenolic compounds extracted (mg phenolic compounds/g WGW) and antioxidant activity (% DPPH Inhibition) of the extracts according to the orbital shaker extraction (OSE) or micro-wave-assisted extraction (MAE) method used and as a function of the solvent evaluated (ethanol with 30 % (v/v) distilled water (Et30DW) or Bet: 2But [1:4]). Results are expressed as mean values ± RSD.

SOLVENTS	EXTRACTION METHOD	TEMPERATURE (°C)	TIME (min)	Mass (mg) of phenolic compounds recovered / g WGW ± RSD (%)	Antioxidant activity (% DPPH inhibition) ± RSD (%)
Et30DW	OSE	60	100	0.111 ± 0.008	83.811 ± 1.191
	MAE	60	3	0.048 ± 0.006	92.834 ± 2.642
			6	0.063 ± 0.004	91.508 ± 1.575
			9	0.158 ± 0.008	87.303 ± 2.365
		100	3	0.018 ± 0.008	66.390 ± 3.319
			6	0.017 ± 0.008	80.604 ± 2.030
			9	0.021 ± 0.002	73.834 ± 3.692
Bet: 2But [1:4]	OSE	60	100	0.127 ± 0.005	71.710 ± 2.585
	MAE	60	3	0.023 ± 0.002	44.180 ± 1.209
			6	0.017 ± 0.001	56.828 ± 2.841

			9	0.021 ± 0.002	25.505 ± 1.275
		100	3	0.147 ± 0.007	91.279 ± 2.564
			6	0.066 ± 0.002	90.785 ± 2.539
			9	0.088 ± 0.004	90.245 ± 3.512