

Article

CuFe₂O₄/Polyaniline (PANI) Nanocomposite for the Hazard Mercuric Ion Removal: Synthesis, Characterization, and Adsorption Properties Study

Saad S. M. Hassan ^{1,*}, Ayman H. Kamel ^{1,*}, Amr A. Hassan ^{1,2}, Abd El-Galil E. Amr ^{3,4,*}, Heba Abd El-Naby ¹, Mohamed A. Al-Omar ³ and Ahmed Y. A. Sayed ³

- ¹ Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Abbasia, Cairo 11566, Egypt; amr_hassan@sci.asu.edu.eg (A.A.H.); hoba_science@hotmail.com (H.A.E.-N.)
- ² Department of Chemistry, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23284, USA
- ³ Pharmaceutical Chemistry Department, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia; malomar1@ksu.edu.sa (M.A.A.-O.); ahmedyahia009@gmail.com (A.Y.A.S.)
- ⁴ Applied Organic Chemistry Department, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt
- * Correspondence: saadsmhassan@sci.asu.edu.eg (S.S.M.H.); ahkamel76@sci.asu.edu.eg (A.H.K.); aamr@ksu.edu.sa (A.E.-G.E.A.); Tel.: +20-12-2216-2766 (S.S.M.H.); +20-10-0074-3328 (A.H.K.); +966-565-148-750 (A.E.-G.E.A.)

Academic Editors: Chiara Bisio and Monica Pica Received: 11 May 2020; Accepted: 9 June 2020; Published: 12 June 2020

Abstract: Copper ferrite nano-particles ($CuFe_2O_4$) were synthesized, characterized, modified with polyaniline to form CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite. They were used as new adsorbents for the removal of the hazardous mercuric ions from aqueous solutions. High resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) were used for the characterization of the synthesized CuFe₂O₄ nano-particles (NPs) in presence and absence of PANI nano-composite. The synthesized CuFe₂O₄NPs were of spherical shape with an average size of 10.8 nm. XRD analysis displayed crystal peaks for CuFe₂O₄NPs and amorphous peaks CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite due to the existence of polyaniline layer. Contact time, adsorbent dose, solution pH, adsorption kinetics, adsorption isotherm and recyclability were studied. The method at the optimum conditions exhibited high performance with high mercury removal percentage of up to 99% with a maximum adsorption capacity 12.5 and 157.1 mg/g for CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI, respectively. The adsorption processes were fitted to Langmuir isotherms. The adsorption behavior of CuFe₂O₄@PANI composite towards Hg²⁺ ions is attributed to the soft acid-soft base strong interaction between PANI and Hg(II) ions. High stability and enhanced re-usability are offered using CuFe2O4@PANI composite due to its enhanced removal efficiency. No significant removal decrease was noticed after five adsorption-desorption cycles. In addition, it possesses an easy removal from aqueous solutions by external magnetic field after adsorption experiments. These indicated the enhancement of polyaniline to the surface of CuFe₂O₄ toward the adsorption of mercury from aqueous solutions.

Keywords: CuFe₂O₄ nano-particles; CuFe₂O₄/PANI composite; mercury (II) removal; adsorption

1. Introduction

A clean water resource is a vital and necessary goal for the whole world. Toxic heavy metals like Hg, Pb, Cd, and Ni are considered the most dangerous environmental pollutants in the water, thus becoming of prior anxiety because of their toxicity and non-biodegradability to plants, animals and human [1,2]. Mercury is one of these heavy metals that can cause serious environmental and health problems as chronic and acute poisoning. It exists in different forms such as metallic Hg, Hg⁺, Hg²⁺,



MDPI



and organic mercury containing phenyl, methyl, and ethyl groups, etc. It causes different diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, and damaging of the immune system and kidneys. Mercury is considered as prior hazardous pollutant by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry [3]. One of its natural sources is the volcanoes that produce almost half of the mercury emissions released in atmosphere. It is also produced from different industrial sources such as pharmaceuticals, chloralkali, plastic, textile, paint, rubber, paper, cement, electronic industry, coal combustion, fertilizers, oil refining, and rubber processing [4,5]. The other half is generated by humans by various means including 65% in combustion, 11% in the production of gold, 6.8% in the production of non-ferrous metal, 6.4% in the production of cement, 3.0% in the waste disposal including municipal waste, and 3.0% in the production of caustic soda [6]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 1 μ g/L is the maximum permissible concentration of Hg(II)in drinking water [7]. According to the European Union (EU), the maximum acceptable level of Hg(II) is $5 \,\mu$ g/L for wastewater discharge [8–10]. River and lake water in the nearby industries may contain mercuric discharges which are fatal for aquatic as well as for human life. These discharges could accumulate in the stomach and remain non digestible resulting in the formation of cancerous diseases. Long-term exposure to mercury could cause serious damage to nerves, brain, kidney, lung irritation, eye irritation, skin rashes, vomiting, and diarrhea [11]. Researchers have been used a lot of techniques to get rid of heavy metals in particularly mercury ion from waste water such as sorption and filtration [12], ion exchange [13,14], chemical precipitation [6], adsorption [15–17], solid phase extraction [18], and adsorption process using nano-materials [19–27]. The adsorption technique is the most effective and commonly used due to its high removal efficiency and cheapness.

Recently, there is a focus on the application of nano-materials in the removal of different environmental pollutants. This is based on their distinctive properties such as high surface area, high adsorption, and special photoelectric property. However, they are suffering from difficulty of their separation from aqueous solutions due to their small particle size which restricts the application in water treatment. So, it is preferable using magnetic nano-materials that can be easily separated from solution with external magnetic field [28–30].

Magnetic nano-materials possess adsorbent properties that qualify them for use as promising adsorbent materials, which open up a wide field for engineering separation applications. These magnetic nano-particles can be separated based on their nanostructures due to the easy direction of magnetization, which will vary depending on the arrangement of the atoms in the magnetic structure [31–33]. Applying a low density magnetic field stimulates the magnetization of the material and therefore makes the use of magnetic force possible, but when the magnetic field is cut off, the magnetization immediately decreases to zero. This last point is important for the release of particles after adsorption of the waste [34,35]. The main drawback of using magnetic nano-particles is the low potential pollutant removal ability. To invade this defect, the surface of magnetic nano-particles has been modified. The surface properties of nano-particles can be greatly enhanced after this modification. This is preferred through the Van der Waals interaction between the modified material and the reduced solvent shielding of the ions in the interlamellar environment.

Polyaniline (PANI) has attracted much attention because of its several unique properties [36–38]. It is highly stable in air and soluble in various solvents and exhibits dramatic changes in its electronic structure and physical properties in the protonated state. It also shows magnetic behavior because of its high spin density [39,40].

In the present work, modification of $CuFe_2O_4$ nano-particles (NPs) with polyaniline was used as a novel adsorbent for mercury removal in aqueous solutions. The nano-particles were synthesized, characterized, and used as an adsorbent for mercury removal under optimum conditions. The removal efficiency of the prepared adsorbents was investigated, and their adsorption and desorption behaviors towards mercury species were studied.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Adsorbent Characterization

2.1.1. X-ray Diffraction Pattern

The phase identification of $CuFe_2O_4$ and $CuFe_2O_4/PANI$ nano-composites was illustrated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) as shown in Figure 1. All of the high intensity peaks are indexed and refined as tetragonal structure with I41/amd space group, which is consistent with standard Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) card no. 34-0425.The obtained XRD pattern exhibits good crystallinity for $CuFe_2O_4$. The reflection plans (101), (112), (211), (220), (303), and (224) coincide with the tetragonal spinel phase for $CuFe_2O_4$ with a characteristic peak appears at 2θ 35.5°. The reflection plans (010), (100), and (110) coincide with the amorphous phase of standard data for polyaniline. It is apparent that the broad diffraction peak centered at 2θ value 25.3° (110) in Figure 1 is the characteristic peak of the PANI layer. This can be ascribed to the periodicity parallel and perpendicular to the polymer chains, respectively [41]. The characteristic peak of $CuFe_2O_4$ still appears at 35.5° and little shift for the other peaks when doped with PANI. The average crystalline size of the prepared nano-composite was calculated using Scherrer's equation [42]:

$$\mathbf{D} = 0.9 \ \lambda/\beta \cos \theta \tag{1}$$

where D is the average crystalline size, λ is the wavelength of CuK α , β is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of most intense diffraction peak (211), and θ is the Bragg's angle. The average particle size is estimated to be 10.8 and 23.4 nm for CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composites, respectively.



Figure 1. Pattern of both CuFe2O4 and CuFe2O4/PANI nano-composites.

2.1.2. High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM)

TEM images for $CuFe_2O_4$ nano-particles showed spherical shaped nano-particles with small agglomeration and nano sizes of 10.8 nm that coincides with the XRD result. The particles are dense and regularly distributed with clear boundary between neighboring particles as observed in Figure 2a. TEM images of $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI nano-composite revealed the light shell nature of PANI in which dark core copper ferrite particles are embedded as shown in Figure 2b.

(A)



100 nm

Figure 2. Images of (A) CuFe₂O₄ and (B) CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composites.

X30000

2.1.3. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET)

The N₂ adsorption–desorption experiment at 77 K for CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composites are shown in Figure 3. The figure shows an adsorption isotherm of the type IV with a hysteresis loop that is associated with capillary condensation within the mesoporous regions [43], with a hysteresis loop type H3, which is usually indicative of aggregates of platelet particles or adsorbents containing slit pores. The initial part of the isotherm (until $p/p^{0} \approx 0.4$) can be attributed to monolayer/multilayer adsorption because it follows the same path of desorption, which demonstrates weak adsorbate–adsorbent interactions. The hysteresis loop begins at $p/p^{0} = 0.4$ and it ends at $p/p^{0} = 0.95$; the hysteresis loop exhibits limited adsorption. This phenomenon is related to the presence of particles that are not rigidly joined together. The BET surface area and pore volume of the nano-composite are recorded in Table 1. The pore size of CuFe₂O₄ nano-particles was about 9.9 nm. It was regarded as a mesoporous material of surface area 44.7 m²/g and pore volume of 0.11 cm³/g. For CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composites, the BET surface area is lower, around 30.8 m²/g, due to the lower cumulative volume of pores (0.06 cm³/g).



Figure 3. N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms of coupled CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI (polyaniline) nano-composites.

Table 1. General surface characteristics of $CuFe_2O_4$ and $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI nano-composites obtained by N_2 adsorption at 77 K.

Sample	Surface Area(m ² /g)	Average Pore Volume(cm ³ /g)	Average Pore Diameter (nm)
CuFe2O4/PANI	30.8	0.06	17.8
CuFe2O4 NP	44.7	0.11	9.9

200 kV X30000

2.1.4. Fourier Transforms-Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

The FTIR spectra of $CuFe_2O_4$ and $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI nano-composites are shown as supplementary material in Supplementary Materials Figure S1. $CuFe_2O_4$ spectrum has only a characteristic peak at 574.9 cm⁻¹ of M–O bond while $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI spectrum has several characteristics peaks corresponding to polyaniline. These include peaks at 3419.1 cm⁻¹ assigned for N–H stretching, 1561.1 cm⁻¹ assigned to stretching vibration of C=C, 1469.7 cm⁻¹ assigned to stretching vibration of C–C, 1298.7 cm⁻¹ C–N stretching vibrations, 1135.8 cm⁻¹ for C–H bending mode and 777 cm⁻¹ assigned to the wagging of =C–H. Hence the obtained results confirm the presence of copper ferrite nano-particles doped PANI.

2.1.5. Thermal Analysis

Thermal-gravimetric analysis (TGA) of CuFe₂O₄/PANI is presented in Figure S2. It showed an overall weight loss of 35% in the range of 25–800 °C. A weight loss before 100 °C is noticed in the TGA curve due to residual water evaporation. Another weight loss is noticed within the ranging from 310 to 480 °C and 480 to 630 °C due to the thermal degradation of the lower and the higher weight PANI chains, respectively.

2.2. Adsorption Study

Nano-composite particles consisting of $CuFe_2O_4$ and that doped with PANI were prepared and tested as adsorbing substances to remove mercuric ions from aqueous solutions and some industrial waste water.

2.2.1. Effect of Mercury Concentration

The removal efficiency of mercury ions using $CuFe_2O_4NPs$ was 82% beginning from 10 up to 120 µg/mL. The adsorption performed at pH value 7 for 30 mL of the adsorbent solution stirred for 120 min. While $CuFe_2O_4/PANI$ nano-composites exhibit higher removal efficiency of 99.5% when varying the concentration of Hg^{2+} from 10 to 32 µg/mL. It begins to decrease to 92.3% upon increasing the concentration of mercury up to 120 µg/mL (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Effect of Hg²⁺ concentration on adsorption optimization using CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composites sorbents; Conditions: (V = 30 mL, contact time = 2 h for CuFe₂O₄ and 1 h for CuFe₂O₄/PANI, adsorbent amount = 0.1 g CuFe₂O₄ and 0.2 g CuFe₂O₄/PANI and pH 7).

2.2.2. Effect of Contact Time

As shown in Figure 5, the concentration of Hg(II) ions was studied relative to the contact time of each adsorbent. It was found that the time required to obtain more than 80% of Hg(II) removal was 2 h for $CuFe_2O_4$. However, in case of $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI composite, the time required to achieve the equilibrium was one hour with a removal percentage of 99.5%. To examine the adsorption mechanism,

kinetics is the vital feature. Pseudo first order and second order models were fitted as the practical kinetics data. The obtained results were presented in Table 2. The adsorption process for both $CuFe_2O_4$ and $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI composite obeyed the second order model.



Figure 5. Effect of contact time on adsorption optimization using $CuFe_2O_4$ and $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI nano-composites sorbents (V = 30 mL, Hg²⁺ concentration = 25 µg/mL, adsorbent amount = 0.1 g CuFe₂O₄ and 0.2 g CuFe₂O₄/PANI and pH 7).

Table 2. Adsorption kinetics parameters.

Adapathant	Pseudo-First Order		Second Order				
Adsorbent	$k_1(min^{-1})$	q _{e1} (mg/g)	R ²	k ² (g/(mg. min))	ng. min)) q _{e2} (mg/g)	qe ^{exp}	R ²
CuFe ₂ O ₄ CuFe ₂ O ₄ /PANI	0.0056 0.0732	1.571 2.2134	0.942 0.953	5.3×10^{-3} 0.1121	5.8922 8.3356	7.1086 8.4123	0.991 0.998

2.2.3. Effect of Adsorbent Amount

To optimize the amount of adsorbent NPs, different amounts from each adsorbent in the range of 0.05 to 0.3 g were put in contact with 30 mL of 25 μ g/mL Hg²⁺ solutions of pH 7 and 60 min contact time. As shown in Figure 6, it was observed that the maximum adsorption (i.e., 99.5% removal efficiency) was attained after using 0.2 and 0.1 g for CuFe₂O₄NPs and CuFe₂O₄/PANI composite, respectively.



Figure 6. Effect of CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite sorbents amount on adsorption optimization (V = 30 mL, Hg²⁺ concentration = 25 μ g/mL, contact time =2 h for CuFe₂O₄ and 1 h for CuFe₂O₄/PANI and pH 7).

2.2.4. Effect of pH

The pH is an essential parameter for Hg^{2+} adsorption due to its relevance to Hg speciation, as well as the interactions between Hg species and adsorbent surfaces. When the feed water pH was

varied from 6.0 to 9.0, Hg^{2+} removal efficiency of $CuFe_2O_4$ /polyaniline remained at ~99.5% (Figure 7A). For $CuFe_2O_4NPs$ the removal percentage of Hg(II) ions became constant until pH reaches 7. This can be explained that at higher pH values, oxygen-containing groups (e.g., –OH) are ionized to –O–, forming negative charges on the $CuFe_2O_4$ surface.



Figure 7. (A) Effect of pH on adsorption optimization using $CuFe_2O_4$ and $CuFe_2O_4/PANI$ nano-composite sorbents (V= 30 mL, Hg²⁺ concentration= 25 µg/mL, adsorbent amount = 0.1 g $CuFe_2O_4$ and 0.2 g $CuFe_2O_4/PANI$ and contact time = 2 h for $CuFe_2O_4$ and 1 h for $CuFe_2O_4/PANI$). (B) Plots of the zeta potential as a function of pH for $CuFe_2O_4/PANI$ and PANI.

Based on zeta potential results (Figure 7B),the Point of Zero Charge for both PANI and CuFe₂O₄/PANI composite, is around 4–6 and 6, respectively. CuFe₂O₄/PANI composite had net negative charges at pH > 6.0 and positive charges at pH < 6.0. At low pH values (e.g., pH < 5.0 for PANI-HCl), nitrogen atoms of imine groups were preferentially bound by protons, causing the PANI surfaces carrying positive charges.

2.3. Adsorption Isotherms

Langmuir (Equation (2), Freundlich (Equation (3), and Temkin (Equation (4) models were applied to calculate the sorption of Hg^{2+} ions for both $CuFe_2O_4$ and $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI nano-composite (Figures 8 and 9).

$$1/Q_t = 1/X_m b C_t + 1/X_m$$
(2)

$$Log Q_t = (1/n) \log C_t + \log k_F \tag{3}$$

$$Q_t = (RT/B_T) \ln C_t + (RT/B_T) \ln K_T$$
(4)

where: Q_t is adsorption capacity at equilibrium (mg/g), C_t is equilibrium concentration of the Hg²⁺ solution (µg/mL), t (min) is contact time, X_m (mg/g) is maximum monolayer adsorption capacity and b (L/mg) is the adsorption equilibrium constant. Relative adsorption capacities and sorption intensities n and K_f (mg/g), and the constants of Freundlich model, were calculated. Temkin constants, B_T (kJ/mol) and K_T (L/mg) whose are constants of heat of sorption and maximum binding energy were estimated. A 30 mL of different mercury concentrations ranging from 10 to 200 µg/mLwere tested under the optimum conditions and the adsorption was expressed by three equilibrium models: Langmuir, Freundlich, and Temkin to illustrate the adsorption capacity and adsorption behavior. The theory of Langmuir assumes that the adsorption occurs by monolayer on the surface of the adsorbent with the same adsorption sites (homogeneous surface), while Freundlich is an empirical theory at which the adsorption occurs by multilayer on the surface of the adsorbent with different adsorption sites (heterogeneous surface). Temkin assumed that there are indirect interactions between adsorbate molecules and the heat of adsorption of all molecules decrease linearly with increasing

surface coverage [44]. The results are summarized in Table 3 and confirm the reasonable adsorption capacity of the used nano-composite material and follows Langmuir isotherm model.



Figure 8. (**A**) Langmuir, (**B**) Freundlich, and (**C**) Temkin isotherms for mercury removal using CuFe₂O₄ nano-particles (NPs).



Figure 9. (**A**) Langmuir, (**B**) Freundlich, and (**C**) Temkin isotherms for mercury removal using CuFe₂O₄/PANi nano-composite.

Model	Parameters	CuFe ₂ O ₄	CuFe ₂ O ₄ /PANI	Unit
Langmuir	Xm	12.5	157.1	mg/g
	В	0.561	0.153	L/mg
	\mathbb{R}^2	0.998	0.999	
	Ν	1.06	1.34	mg/g
Freundlich	K _f	2.75	5.24	mg/g
	\mathbb{R}^2	0.997	0.995	0.0
	K _T	0.34	0.744	L/mg
Temkin	b_{T}	0.371	0.162	K J/mol
	R ²	0.980	0.997	

Table 3. Isotherm constants for the adsorption of mercury onto $CuFe_2O_4$ and $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI nano-composites.

2.4. Competitive Adsorption of Different Heavy Metals

The adsorption of some metal ions such as Hg⁺, Hg²⁺, Fe²⁺, Cu²⁺, Cr⁶⁺, Pb²⁺, and Ag⁺ was investigated. CuFe₂O₄NPs revealed an affinity order: Fe²⁺ > Hg²⁺ > Hg⁺ ~ Cr⁶⁺ > Ag⁺ >> Pb²⁺. No remarkable adsorption for Cu²⁺ ions using CuFe₂O₄NPs. For CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite, the affinity order was: Hg²⁺ > Hg⁺ > Fe²⁺ ~ Cr⁶⁺ > Cu²⁺ > Pb²⁺. No remarkable adsorption for Ag⁺ ions using CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite. The removal percentage of the studied ions using CuFe₂O₄NPs and CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite is shown in Figure 10. From the mentioned results, CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite revealed an enhanced removal power towards inorganic mercury than CuFe₂O₄NPs only.



Figure 10. Removal of different metal ions with CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite.

2.5. Regeneration

The adsorbent material was regenerated after each adsorption cycle of mercury by washing with 0.1 M acetic acid. After five cycles of regeneration, the efficiency of $CuFe_2O_4$ NPs for the removal of Hg⁺ ions remains 82.0% however, there was a decrease in the removal efficiency of the $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI sorbent reached to 85.3% as shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11. Mercury removal by CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composites after regeneration.

2.6. Comparison with Other Sorbents for Mercury Removal

Water pollution becomes a critical issue around the world, and heavy metals contribute to major pollution in water. The application of nano-materials for the removal of mercuric ions from water has attracted significant attention. Table 4 summarizes some of reported sorbents used for mercury removal included the present work. The prepared copper ferrite loaded by polyaniline provides higher maximum adsorption capacity [12,17,18,20,26], short contact time [12,20,23,27], and higher removal percentage [12,18–21,24–27].

Adsorbent Type	Maximum Adsorption Capacity mg/g	Contact Time	Removal %	Ref.
Poly(vinylalcohol)/poly(vinylimidazole) complexing membrane	120	125 min	99.4	[12]
Dithiocarbamate-incorporated mono size polystyrene	33.2	30 min	NR	[17]
Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles modified with 2-mercaptobenzothiazole	0.59	4 min	98.6	[18]
Thiolated multi-walled carbon nanotubes	204.64	40 min	98	[19]
Amidoamine functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT-AA)	101.35	180 min	80	[20]
Mercaptopropyl-coated cobalt ferrite (CoFe ₂ O ₄) magnetic nanoparticles	NR	30 min	97	[21]
Poly(aniline-co-5-sulfo-2-anisidine) nanoparticles	2063	48 h	99.8	[23]
Gold Nanoparticle–Aluminum Oxide	676	30 min	>97	[24]
Mercaptoamine-functionalised silica-coated magnetic nanoparticles (MAF-SCMNPs)	355	120 min	NR	[25]
Polyaniline Nanotubes	0.8239	60 min	90	[26]
Iron oxide nanoparticles	NR	24 h	87	[27]
CuFe ₂ O ₄	12.5	120 min	82	This
CuFe ₂ O ₄ /PAN	157.1	60 min	99.5	work

Table 4. Some materials used for the removal of mercuric ion.

2.7. Mechanism of Adsorption

The adsorption mechanism of Hg^{2+} ions using $CuFe_2O_4$ and $CuFe_2O_4$ /PANI composite is shown in Figure 12. The adsorption mechanism can be explained in two ways. Physical adsorption can be occurred on the surface of PANI layer or in the porosity of the adsorbent or chemical adsorption through the interaction between the PANI base layers with mercuric ions. In addition, at the working pH value, oxygen-containing groups (e.g., –OH) in $CuFe_2O_4$ can be ionized to –O⁻, forming negative charges on the $CuFe_2O_4$ surface and enhance the favorable adsorption of Hg^{2+} ions.



Figure 12. Schematic of Hg²⁺ adsorption mechanism.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

For the experimental purpose, all chemicals used were of analytical reagent grade, 98–99%. Metal nitrates, chloride, and sulfate were of the highest purity and supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Polyethylene glycol 6000 (PEG), potassium hydroxide, and ammonium peroxydisulfate were purchased from Fluka (Ronkonoma, NY, USA). Aniline was purchased from Central Drug House Ltd. (New Delhi, India) and distilled prior to use. All the chemicals were used as received without any further purification.

3.2. Apparatus

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were taken by JEOL-JEM-2100 electron microscope instrument (Osaka, Japan). The prepared adsorbents were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) which were carried out by BRUKER D2 PHASER 2nd generation X-ray diffractometer (Berline, Germany) using CuK α , β radiation ($\lambda = 0.154$ nm) in the angular region of $2\theta = 4-80^{\circ}$. Operation conditions were 40KV, 40 mA and scanning speed of 8°/min. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area measurements were carried out by N₂ adsorption–desorption at 77 K using Nova 3200 s (Florida, FL, USA) unite instrument, in the relative pressure (p/p°) at 0.25104. Fourier Transform Infrared (ATR-FTIR) was used to obtain the spectra in a spectral range of 4000–500 cm⁻¹. Inductively coupled argon plasma (ICAP 6500 Duo, Thermo Scientific, Abingdon, UK) as used for mercury ion evaluation.

3.3. Preparation of CuFe₂O₄Nano-Particles

The synthesis of the nano-particles was done by using the co-precipitation technique [45]. Briefly 11.7 mmol CuSO₄ and 14.98 mmol FeCl₃ were dissolved in 200 mL 1 wt.% PEG solution. The solution was kept under stirring for about one hour to insure the equilibrium between all the components. To the above mixture, 4M KOH was added drop-wise with vigorous stirring until reaching a pH 9. The mixture was kept under magnetic stirring for another two hours then aged overnight. The precipitate was filtered, washed with distilled water until it was free from Cl⁻ and SO₄²⁻ ions and dried at 70 °C for two h. The precipitated was then calcined at 600 °C in air for 3 h and then ground using agate motor to obtain a fine powder.

3.4. Preparation of CuFe₂O₄/PANI Nano-Composite

The polyaniline copper ferrite nano-composite was prepared using chemical polymerization method by dispersing 2 g of the previously prepared $CuFe_2O_4$ nano-particles in 200 mL of 2M HCl and stirred vigorously at room temperature for 10 min. A 4.5 mL aliquot of distilled aniline monomer was added under continuous stirring for 30 min. To the above suspension, 20 mL of 19.7 mmol (NH₄)₂S₂O₈ solution was added drop-wisely, as a polymerization initiator. An immediate color change of the

solution to blue green was observed. The suspension was stirred to complete the polymerization process for about 1 h. The copper ferrite doped PANI was separated on a filter paper, rinsed with distilled water, and finally dried at 100°C in an electrical oven. The produced powder has a green color which represents emeraldine salt of polyaniline.

3.5. Removal of Mercury from Waste Water

A range of mercury (II) ion $(10-120 \ \mu g/mL)$ was prepared. For the adsorption studies different amounts of either CuFe₂O₄ or CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite (ranged from 0.05 to 0.3 g) were added to 30 mL of the prepared solution at room temperature and pH 7. These solutions were stirred for a contact time varied from 15 min to 2 h. After adsorption, the solutions were filtered and the adsorbent material was separated. The concentration of Hg²⁺ ion was evaluated before and after the removal of mercury by inductively coupled argon plasma.

The removal percentage of mercury was calculated using the following equation:

$$Removal\% = ((C_0 - C_t))/C_0 \times 100$$
(5)

where, C_0 and C_t are the mercury concentration in μ g/mL at initial and after time t, respectively.

4. Conclusions

CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite was successfully prepared and its adsorption properties towards Hg^{2+} ions removal were checked. An X-ray diffractometer, TEM, and BET were used to characterize the prepared nano-composites. The crystallite size of the synthesized CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI nano-composite was 10.2 and 23.4 nm, respectively. Under the optimum conditions, CuFe₂O₄/PANI offer higher removal efficiency than CuFe₂O₄ for Hg⁺/Hg⁺² ions which were 95.3 and 99.5%, respectively. Both adsorbents followed the second order model and Langmuir model with adsorption capacity of 12.5 and 157.1 mg/g for CuFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄/PANI composite, respectively. After five cycles of regeneration, the efficiency of CuFe₂O₄NPs for the removal of Hg⁺ ions remains 82.0% however, there was a decrease in the removal efficiency of the CuFe₂O₄/PANI sorbent reached to 85.3% with lower efficiency and good performance when used again after five cycles. These materials were successfully applied for the removal of Hg²⁺ ions with a high efficiency over other studied heavy metals.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online, Figure S1: FTIR spectra of (A) CuFe₂O₄ and (B) CuFe₂O₄/PANI nanocomposites; Figure S2: Thermal-gravimetric analysis (TGA) of CuFe₂O₄/PANI.

Author Contributions: The listed authors contributed to this work as described in the following: H.A.E.-N., A.A.H., S.S.M.H., and A.H.K. gave the concepts of the work, interpretation of the results, the experimental part and prepared the manuscript; A.H.K., S.S.M.H., and A.E.-G.E.A. cooperated in the preparation of the manuscript and A.H.K. and S.S.M.H. performed the revision before submission. A.E.-G.E.A., M.A.A.-O., A.Y.A.S. revealed the financial support for the work. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by King Saud University, Project No. RSP-2020/66.

Acknowledgments: Authors are grateful to King Saud University for funding the work through Researchers Supporting Project (Project No. RSP-2020/66).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Mahmud, H.N.M.E.; Huq, A.K.O.; Yahya, R.B. The removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater/aqueous solution using polypyrrole-based adsorbents: A review. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 14778–14791. [CrossRef]
- Gautam, R.K.; Sharma, S.K.; Mahiya, S.; Chattopadhyaya, M.C. Contamination of heavy metals in aquatic media: Transport, toxicity and technologies for remediation. In *Heavy Metals in Water: Presence, Removal and Safety*; RSC Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2014.
- 3. Wang, J.; Feng, X.; Anderson, C.W.N.; Xing, Y.; Shang, L. Remediation of mercury contaminated sites—A review. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2012**, 221–222, 1–18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 4. Basha, S.; Murthy, Z.V.P.; Jha, B. Sorption of Hg(II) onto *Carica papaya*: Experimental studies and design of batch sorber. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2009**, 147, 226–234. [CrossRef]
- Fu, X.; Feng, X.; Sommar, J.; Wang, S. A review of studies on atmospheric mercury in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2012, 421–422, 73–81. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). *CERCLA Priority List of Hazardous Substances;* US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2001.
- 7. Caner, N.; Sarı, A.; Tuzen, M. Adsorption characteristics of mercury(II) ions from aqueous solution onto chitosan-coated diatomite. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2015, *54*, 7524–7533. [CrossRef]
- 8. Saleh, T.A. Isotherm, kinetic, and thermodynamic studies on Hg(II) adsorption from aqueous solution by silica-multiwall carbon nanotubes. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2015**, *22*, 16721–16731. [CrossRef]
- 9. Danmaliki, G.I.; Saleh, T.A.; Shamsuddeen, A.A. Response surface methodology optimization of adsorptive desulfurization on nickel/activated carbon. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *313*, 993–1003. [CrossRef]
- 10. Danmaliki, G.I.; Saleh, T.A. Effects of bimetallic Ce/Fe nanoparticles on the desulfurization of thiophenes using activated carbon. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2017, 307, 914–927. [CrossRef]
- Ekinci, E.; Budinova, T.; Yardim, F.; Petrov, N.; Razvigorova, M.; Minkova, V. Removal of mercury ion from aqueous solution by activated carbons obtained from biomass and coals. *Fuel Proce. Technol.* 2002, 77–78, 437–443. [CrossRef]
- 12. Bessboussea, H.; Rhlalou, T.; Verchère, J.F.; Lebrun, L. Mercury removal from wastewater using a poly(vinylalcohol)/poly(vinylimidazole) complexing membrane. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2010, *164*, 37–48. [CrossRef]
- 13. Chiarle, S.; Ratto, M.; Rovatti, M. Mercury Removal from Water by Ion Exchange Resins Adsorption. *Water Res.* **2000**, *34*, 2971–2978. [CrossRef]
- Oehmen, A.; Vergel, D.; Fradinho, J.; Reis, M.A.M.; Crespo, J.G.; Velizarov, S. Mercury removal from water streams through the ion exchange membrane bioreactor concept. *J. Hazar. Mat.* 2014, 264, 65–70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Li, Y.; Li, W.; Liu, Q.; Meng, H.; Lu, Y.; Li, C. Alkynyl carbon materials as novel and efficient sorbents for the adsorption of mercury(II) from wastewater. *Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *68*, 169–176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Hadi, P.; To, M.; Hui, C.; Lin, C.S.K.; McKay, G. Aqueous Mercury Adsorption by Activated Carbons. *Water Res.* **2015**, *15*, 37–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Denizli, A.; Kesenci, K.; Arica, Y.; Piskin, E. Dithiocarbamate-incorporated monosize polystyrene microspheres for selective removal of mercury ions. *Reactive Funct. Poly.* **2000**, *44*, 235–243. [CrossRef]
- Mahmoud, M.E.; Hassan, S.S.M.; Kamel, A.H.; Elserw, M.I.A. Development of microwave-assisted functionalized nanosilicas for instantaneous removal of heavy metals. *Powder Technol.* 2018, 326, 454–466. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hadavifar, M.; Bahramifar, N.; Younesi, H.; Rastakhiz, M.; Li, Q.; Yu, J.; Eftekhari, E. Removal of mercury(II) and cadmium(II) ions from synthetic wastewater by a newly synthesized amino and thiolated multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *67*, 397–405. [CrossRef]
- Deb, A.S.; Dwivedi, V.; Dasgupta, K.; Ali, S.M.; Shenoy, K.T. Novel Amidoamine Functionalized Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes for removal of Mercury(II) Ions from Wastewater: Combined Experimental and Density Functional Theoretical. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2017, *313*, 899–911. [CrossRef]
- 21. Viltužnik, B.; Lobnik, A.; Košak, A. The removal of Hg(II) ions from aqueous solutions by using thiol-functionalized cobalt ferrite magnetic nanoparticles. *Sol Gel Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *74*, 199–207. [CrossRef]
- 22. Vélez, E.; Campillo, G.E.; Morales, G.; Hincapié, C.; Osorio, J.; Arnache, O.; Uribe, J.I.; Jaramillo, F. Mercury removal in wastewater by iron oxide nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2016**, *687*, 012050. [CrossRef]
- 23. Li, X.; Feng, H.; Huang, M. Strong Adsorbability of Mercury Ions on Aniline/Sulfoanisidine Copolymer Nanosorbents. *Chem. A Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 4573–4581. [CrossRef]
- 24. Lo, S.; Chen, P.; Huang, C.; Chang, H. Gold Nanoparticle–Aluminum Oxide Adsorbent for Efficient Removal of Mercury Species from Natural Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 2724–2730. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Baoa, S.; Lia, K.; Ninga, P.; Peng, J.; Jina, X.; Tang, L. Highly effective removal of mercury and lead ions from wastewater by mercaptoamine-functionalised silica-coated magnetic nano-adsorbents: Behaviours and mechanisms. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2017**, *393*, 457–466. [CrossRef]
- 26. Morsi, R.E.; Elsabee, M.Z. Polyaniline Nanotubes: Mercury and Competative Heavy Metals Uptake. *Am. J. Polym. Sci.* **2015**, *5*, 10–17.

- Parham, H.; Zargar, B.; Shiralipour, R. Hazardous Materials, Fast and efficient removal of mercury from water samples using magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles modified with 2-mercaptobenzothiazole. *J. Hazard Mat.* 2012, 205–206, 94–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Homaeigohar, S. The Nanosized Dye Adsorbents for Water Treatment. Nanomaterials 2020, 10, 295. [CrossRef]
- 29. Homaeigohar, S.; Botcha, N.K.; Zarie, E.S.; Elbahri, M. Ups and downs of water photodecolorization by nanocomposite polymer nanofibers. *Nanomaterials* **2019**, *9*, 250. [CrossRef]
- 30. Homaeigohar, S.; Elbahri, M. An amphiphilic, graphitic buckypaper capturing enzyme biomolecules from water. *Water* **2019**, *11*, 2. [CrossRef]
- 31. Mahmoodi, N.M. Photocatalyticozonation of dyes using copper ferrite nanoparticle prepared by co-precipitation method. *Desalination* **2011**, *279*, 332–337. [CrossRef]
- 32. Sun, J.; Xu, R.; Zhang, Y.; Ma, M.; Gu, N. Magnetic nanoparticles separation based on nanostructures. *J. Magn. Magn. Mat.* 2007, *312*, 354–358. [CrossRef]
- 33. Ngomsik, A.F.; Bee, A.; Draye, M.; Cote, G.; Cabuil, V. Magnetic nano- and microparticles for metal removal and environmental applications: A review. *Comptes Rendus Chimie*. **2005**, *8*, 963–970. [CrossRef]
- 34. Song, Y.; Lu, M.; Huang, B.; Wang, D.; Wang, G.; Zhou, L. Decoration of defective MoS₂ nanosheets with Fe₃O₄nano particles as superior magnetic adsorbent for highly selective and efficient mercury ions (Hg²⁺) removal. *J. Alloys Comp.* **2018**, *737*, 113–121. [CrossRef]
- 35. Ngwenya, S.; Guyo, U.; Zinyama, N.P.; Chigondo, F.; Nyamunda, B.C.; Muchanyereyi, N. Response surface methodology for optimization of Cd (II) adsorption from wastewaters by fabricated tartaric acid-maize tassel magnetic hybrid sorbent. *Bioint. Res. in Appl. Chem.* **2019**, *9*, 3996–4005.
- Sarma, M.K.; Abdul Quadir, M.G.; Bhaduri, R.; Kaushik, S.; Goswami, P. Composite polymer coated magnetic nanoparticles based anode enhances dye degradation and power production in microbial fuel cells. *Biosens.Bioelectr.* 2018, 119, 94–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gonzalez-Casamachin, D.A.; De la Rosa, J.R.; Lucio–Ortiz, C.J.; Sandoval-Rangel, L.; García, C.D. Partial oxidation of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural to 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid using O₂ and a photocatalyst of a composite of ZnO/PPy under visible-light: Electrochemical characterization and kinetic analysis. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, 393, 124699. [CrossRef]
- Kim, J.; Wainaina, J.; Na, J.S. Synthesis of amphiphilic silica/polymer composite nanoparticles as water-dispersible nano-absorbent for hydrophobic pollutants. *J. Indust. Eng. Chem.* 2011, 17, 681–690. [CrossRef]
- 39. Wan, M.X.; Zhou, W.X. Studies on magnetic properties of film of polyaniline. *Acta Phys. Sin.* **1992**, *41*, 347–352.
- 40. Wu, K.H.; Chao, C.M.; Liu, C.H.; Chang, T.C. Characterization and corrosion resistance of organically modified silicate–NiZn ferrite/polyaniline hybrid coatings on aluminum alloys. *Corrosion Sci.* **2007**, *49*, 3001–3014. [CrossRef]
- 41. Pouget, J.P.; Jozefowicz, M.E.; Epstein, A.J.; Tang, X.; Macdiarmid, A.G. X-ray structure of polyaniline. *Macromolecules* **1991**, 24, 779–789. [CrossRef]
- 42. Zhou, G.; Guo, K. *Diffraction of Crystal and Pseudo Crystal*; Beijing Universities Public House: Beijing, China, 1999.
- 43. Gregg, S.J.; Sing, K.S.W. Adsorption, Surface Area and Porosity, 2nd ed.; Academic Press: London, UK, 1982.
- Dada, A.O.; Olalekan, A.P.; Olatunya, A.M.; Dada, O. Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubinin-Radushkevich Isotherms Studies of Equilibrium Sorption of Zn²⁺ unto Phosphoric Acid Modified Rice Husk. *IOSR J. Appl. Chem. (IOSR-JAC)* 2012, *3*, 38–45.
- 45. Balaji, M.; Raja, M.M.; Asokan, K.; Kanjilal, D.; Rajasekaran, T.R.; Padiyan, D.P. Effect of thermal spike energy created in CuFe2O4 by 150 MeV Ni11+ swift heavy ion irradiation. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Section B Beam Interact. Mater. Atoms* **2011**, *269*, 1088–1093. [CrossRef]

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).