

Article



Insights into the Discovery of Novel Neuroprotective Agents: A Comparative Study between Sulfanylcinnamic Acid Derivatives and Related Phenolic Analogues

Daniel Chavarria^{1,2,+}, Carlos Fernandes^{1,+}, Brandon Aguiar¹, Tiago Silva^{1,2}, Jorge Garrido³, Fernando Remião⁴, Paulo J. Oliveira², Eugenio Uriarte^{5,6} and Fernanda Borges^{1,*}

- ¹ CIQUP/Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal; danielf_chavarria@live.com.pt (D.C.); cevinhas@gmail.com (C.F.); brandonlageaguiar@gmail.com (B.A.); ntb.silva@gmail.com (T.S.)
- ² CNC–Center for Neuroscience and Cell Biology, University of Coimbra, UC Biotech, Biocant Park, 3060-197 Cantanhede, Portugal; pauloliv@cnc.uc.pt
- ³ Department of Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering (ISEP), Polytechnic of Porto, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal; jjg@isep.ipp.pt
- ⁴ UCIBIO-REQUIMTE, Laboratory of Toxicology, Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Porto, 4050-313 Porto, Portugal; remiao@ff.up.pt
- ⁵ Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Farmacia, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; eugenio.uriarte@usc.es
- ⁶ Instituto de Ciencias Químicas Aplicadas, Universidad Autónoma de Chile, Santiago 8900000, Chile
- * Correspondence: fborges@fc.up.pt
- + Both authors contributed equally to this work.

Academic Editor: Dimitra Hadjipavlou-Litina

Received: 23 October 2019; Accepted: 28 November 2019; Published: 2 December 2019

Abstract: Exogenous antioxidants may be beneficial therapeutic tools to tackle the oxidative damage in neurodegenerative diseases by regulation of the redox state that is critical for cell viability and organ function. Inspired by natural plant polyphenols, a series of cinnamic acid-based thiophenolic and phenolic compounds were synthesized and their antioxidant and neuroprotective properties were studied. In general, our results showed that the replacement of the hydroxyl group (OH) by a sulfhydryl group (SH) increased the radical scavenging activity and enhanced the reaction rate with 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH•) and galvinoxyl radical (GO•). These results correlated well with the lower oxidation potential (E_P) values of thiophenols. However, a lower peroxyl radical (ROO[•]) scavenging activity was observed for thiophenols in oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC-FL) assay. Furthermore, the introduction of 5-methoxy and 5-phenyl groups in the aromatic ring of 4-thioferulic acid (TFA) 2 and ferulic acid (FA) 1 did not significantly improve their antioxidant activity, despite the slight decrease of $E_{\rm P}$ observed for compounds 5, 6, and 9. Concerning cinnamic acid amides, the antioxidant profile was similar to the parent compounds. None of the compounds under study presented significant cytotoxic effects in human differentiated neuroblastoma cells. Thiophenolic amide 3 stands out as the most promising thiophenol-based antioxidant, showing cellular neuroprotective effects against oxidative stress inducers (hydrogen peroxide and iron).

Keywords: cinnamic acid; 4-thioferulic acid derivatives; ferulic acid derivatives; antioxidant activity; cytotoxicity; neuroprotection

1. Introduction

antioxidants in favor of the former, with consequential disruption of the redox signaling and/or molecular damage [1]. The harmful effects on human cells associated with the oxidative damage inflicted by reactive species (RS) may ultimately lead to programmed cell death [2]. Several lines of evidence implicate oxidative stress on the neurodegeneration process observed in patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) and Parkinson's disease (PD) [3]. Actually, markers of lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation were detected in individuals with AD and PD [4–8]. Both disorders were also associated with a decline of the pool of antioxidants, and a decrease of the activity of antioxidant enzymes [9–12].

Given the role of oxidative stress on the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases, the use of exogenous antioxidants to assist the antioxidant defense system in upstream (prevention of RS generation) or downstream (radical scavenging) antioxidant pathways may help to maintain neuronal integrity and delay the neurodegenerative processes [13,14].

Ferulic acid (3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoic acid, FA, compound **1**, Figure 1) is a natural hydroxycinnamic acid (HCA) able to act directly by scavenging harmful oxidants, or indirectly by downregulation of pathways involved in cell-death and upregulation of the expression of antioxidant enzymes [15]. Neuroprotective properties in both in vitro and in vivo models, associated with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities, were ascribed to FA [16–18]. However, the therapeutic use of FA is hampered by its poor bioavailability, as a consequence of its low absorption and rapid metabolism and excretion [19,20].



Figure 1. Rational design of new chemical entities based on FA (compound 1) and TFA (compound 2) scaffolds.

Ferulic acid has been used as a scaffold for the design and development of novel antioxidants with potential therapeutic interest [21–23]. Within this framework, 4-thioferulic acid (TFA, compound **2**, Figure 1), a new antioxidant obtained by the isosteric replacement of the hydroxyl group (OH) by a sulfhydryl group (SH) in FA, was reported by our group [24].

To gain insight on the advantages of thiophenol systems, herein we report the synthesis and the biological screening of novel TFA derivatives (compounds **3–6**, Figure 1). The corresponding hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives (compounds **7–10**, Figure 1) were synthesized to directly compare the effect of the OH to SH replacement. Following the studies of the antioxidant activity, we assessed the cytotoxicity of the new derivatives in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells and their protective properties against oxidative stress insults (H₂O₂, iron) in the same cellular model.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemistry

The synthesis of TFA (compound **2**) [24] and derivatives thereof (compounds **3–6**) was performed following the strategy depicted in Scheme 1. First, the reaction between 5-bromovanillin (compound **11**) and phenylboronic acid in the presence of Pd(OAc)² and K₂CO₃ under microwave (MW) irradiation yielded 5-phenylvanillin (compound **12**) (Scheme 1, step *a*). Then, the thiocarbamoylation of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde derivatives **12–14** with dimethylthiocarbamoyl chloride (DMTCl) under alkaline conditions afforded compounds **15–17** (Scheme 1, step *b*). A MW-assisted Newman-Kwart rearrangement under inert atmosphere of compounds **15–17** yielded compounds **18–20** (Scheme 1, step *c*). Cinnamic acid derivatives **21–23** were obtained via Knoevenagel-Doebner condensation between aldehydes **18–20** and malonic acid (Scheme 1, step *d*). The PyBOP-mediated amidation of compound **21** with benzylamine or phenethylamine led to the obtainment of the amides **24** and **25**, respectively (Scheme 1, step *e*). Finally, the alkaline hydrolysis of compounds **21–25** afforded thiophenol derivatives **2–6** (Scheme 1, step *f*).



Scheme 1. Synthetic strategy followed for the synthesis of compounds **2–6**. (**a**) phenylboronic acid, K₂CO₃, Pd(OAc)₂, TBAB, DMF, 150 °C (microwave (MW) irradiation), 15 min; (**b**) DMTCl, DABCO, DMF, 70 °C, 3 h; (**c**) diphenyl ether, argon, 240 °C (MW irradiation), 20 min; (**d**) malonic acid, anhydrous pyridine, piperidine, 70 °C, 4–5 h; (**e**) 1. DMF, DIPEA, PyBOP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 30 min; 2. benzylamine or 2-phenylethylamine, rt, overnight; (f) MeOH, aqueous solution of NaOH 2 M, reflux, 1.5–16 h.

The corresponding hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives (compounds 7–10, Figure 1) were synthesized according to procedures previously reported [21,25].

2.2. Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity

Following the synthesis of TFA and FA derivatives (compounds **1–10**), we evaluated their radical scavenging activity against three synthetic radicals: 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH[•]), 2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical cation (ABTS^{•+}) and galvinoxyl (GO[•]). In these assays, after the generation of the synthetic colored radical, the radical scavenging activity is evaluated by spectrophotometry [26]. Trolox was used as a reference antioxidant. The results are presented as mean IC₅₀ ± SD (n = 3) values (Table 1).

Table 1. Radical scavenging activities and redox potentials (E_P) of thiophenols **2–6** and phenols **1**, **7–10**. The results obtained in DPPH[•], ABTS^{•+}, GO[•] assays are expressed as mean IC₅₀ ± standard deviation (n = 3).

Compound	R_1 R_2 R_3			IC50 (μM)			ORAC-FL Index	E _P (mV)
	R1	R ₂	R 3	DPPH•	ABTS ^{•+}	GO•		
2 (TFA)	К _{sh}	К _н	Кон	47.8 ± 0.9^a	19.9 ± 1.0^a	4.25 ± 0.10^a	0.34 ± 0.04^a	271
3	,∕≺ _{SH}	К _н	A H	49.8 ± 0.7	20.6 ± 0.7	4.50 ± 0.08	0.12 ± 0.02	198
4	K _{SH}	К _н	\sim	47.3 ± 0.7	19.9 ± 0.3	4.41 ± 0.15	0.15 ± 0.01	186
5	,,∕_ _{SH}	Kor	Кон	42.7 ± 2.1	22.9 ± 0.6	6.70 ± 0.07	0.30 ± 0.03	218
6	,,∕_ _{SH}	Ń	Кон	50.7 ± 1.6	27.0 ± 1.8	6.66 ± 0.13	0.34 ± 0.01	245
1(FA)	Кон	К _н	Кон	64.6 ± 1.9^a	16.8 ± 0.4^a	37.5 ± 2.5^{a}	3.2 ± 0.1^{a}	344 ^b
7	Кон	К _н	AL H	61.7 ± 0.8	25.6 ± 1.8	76.4 ± 3.6	4.0 ± 0.4	327
8	Кон	К _н	$\sim 10^{10}$	61.2 ± 0.7	26.0 ± 1.7	70.7 ± 2.5	3.7 ± 0.3	338
9	Кон	Ko	 Кон	43.9 ± 1.6	16.6 ± 0.3	3.90 ± 0.1	3.2 ± 0.3	291
10	Кон	\sim	Кон	69.7 ± 3.8	19.8 ± 0.8	31.2 ± 3.9	2.7 ± 0.1	351
Trolox				24.6 ± 0.9	18.2 ± 0.5	2.98 ± 0.15	1.00 ± 0.02	110

^{*a*} Data from Chavarria et al. [24]. ^{*b*} Data from Garrido et al. [23].

In general, thiophenols **2–6** showed higher scavenging of DPPH[•] and GO[•] radicals than phenols **1**, **7–10**, while the scavenging of ABTS^{•+} radical was similar. The introduction of an additional 5-methoxy group (compound **5**) was proven to be a structural modification with limited benefits, since it only caused slight changes in the antioxidant activity of TFA (compound **2**) (a modest improvement in the antioxidant activity was only observed in DPPH[•] assay). Conversely, the presence of an additional aromatic ring (compound **6**) decreased the antioxidant activity of TFA. Although an additional aromatic ring can increase the electron delocalization and stabilize the generated thiophenoxyl radical by resonance, it may reduce the accessibility of the compound to the center of

the radicals and thereby decrease the radical scavenging activity [27]. The same tendency was observed with the phenolic analogues.

Considering that both 5-methoxy and 5-phenyl groups did not significantly increase the radical scavenging activity of TFA, we then evaluated the effect of the introduction of substituents on the carboxylic function of TFA. As shown in Table 1, benzyl and phenethyl amides of TFA (compounds 3 and 4, respectively) displayed similar IC₅₀ values to the free carboxylic acid. The same tendency was observed for the phenolic analogues (compounds 7 and 8). Therefore, the carboxylic acid amidation with lipophilic substituents maintained the antioxidant activity of TFA.

The kinetic data obtained in DPPH[•], ABTS^{•+}, and GO[•] assays can provide information concerning the reactivity of the compounds under study (Figures S1–S3). As previously reported for TFA [24], thiophenols **3–6** reacted almost instantaneously with the radicals, leading to fast absorbance decays and reaching the steady state within the first minutes of reaction (Figures S1A–E, S2A–E and S3A–E). These results pointed out that the reaction rate was increased by the OH to SH replacement. Compared to TFA, the reactions of compounds **5** and **6** with the radicals occurred slower, requiring more time to reach the steady state (Figures S1D,E, Figures S2D,E and Figures S3D,E). Therefore, the presence of 5-methoxy and 5-phenyl groups in thiophenols delayed the reaction progress, possibly due to increased steric hindrance. On the other hand, compounds **3** and **4** displayed the same kinetic profile of TFA (Figures S1B,C, Figures S2B,C and Figures S3B,C), indicating that the reactivity of TFA was preserved with the functionalization of the carboxylic acid with lipophilic groups. Like ferulic acid **1** [24], phenols **7–10** displayed hyperbolic kinetic curves indicating moderate reactivity towards DPPH[•], ABTS^{•+}, and GO[•] (Figures S1F–J, S2F–J and S3F–J).

We also studied the antioxidant activity toward peroxyl radicals (ROO[•]) using the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC-FL) assay. In this assay, ROO[•] radicals are scavenged by hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) or by radical addition processes [27]. Phenols (compounds **1**, **7–10**) showed ORAC-FL indexes ranging between 2.7–4.0 (Table 1) and displayed higher ORAC-FL indexes than thiophenols (compounds **2–6**). Thiophenols presented ORAC-FL indexes below 1 (Table 1). Therefore, contrary to what was observed in DPPH[•], ABTS^{•+} and GO[•] assays, these results show that phenols are better ROO[•] radical scavengers than thiophenols. The dissimilarities between the two sets of compounds may be related to the differences on the acidity of the phenol and the thiophenol moieties. Since the thiophenol group of TFA **1** is significantly more acidic than the phenol group of FA (pK_a thiophenol = 5.6; pK_a phenol = 9.1) [24], there is a high amount of thiophenolate anions at pH 7.3 while the phenol group remains undissociated. Therefore, in opposition to phenols **1** and 7–**10**, the deprotonated thiophenols **2–6** were poor scavengers of ROO[•] radicals.

2.3. Electrochemical Studies

Voltammetric measurements are particularly useful to estimate the redox properties of bioactive systems, thus enabling a prompt screening of their antioxidant capacity [28]. In this context, to obtain complementary information concerning the antioxidant activity of the compounds under study, differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) experiments were performed to study the electrochemical properties of compounds **2–6** and **7–10**. Experiments were carried out at a physiological pH of 7.4 using a glassy carbon-working electrode. The oxidation potential (E_p) values obtained are depicted in Table 1.

All compounds showed well-defined anodic waves at physiological pH, which may be attributed to the oxidation of the thiophenol or the phenol groups. Thiols and phenols can undergo one-electron oxidation processes with the formation of thiyl and phenoxyl radicals, respectively [29,30]. The E_p values of thiol-containing compounds **2–6** ranged between +186 mV and +271 mV, while phenols **1** and **7–10** displayed higher E_p values, ranging between +291 mV and +351 mV (Table 1). The results obtained showed that the replacement of the OH by an SH group shifted the peak potentials toward less positive values, suggesting that thiophenols are more prone to oxidation than phenols.

The introduction of 5-methoxy (compounds **5** and **9**) or 5-phenyl (compounds **6** and **10**) groups did not significantly change the E_P values when compared to FA and TFA, although a slight decrease was observed for compounds **5**, **6**, and **9**. The lower potential values may result from the increased stabilization of the thiophenoxyl/phenoxyl radicals, which is associated with the electron-donating properties of the methoxy group [31] (compounds **5** and **9**) or the increased electron delocalization provided by the additional aromatic ring (compound **6**).

The voltammetric behavior of the cinnamic acid amides **3**, **4**, **7**, and **8** was similar to that observed for the parent compounds (TFA and FA). The introduction of lipophilic groups at the side chain did not significantly affect the redox properties of phenol and thiophenol groups and, therefore, no major changes in the redox potentials were observed.

2.4. Cellular Studies

2.4.1. Evaluation of Cytotoxicity Profile

The cytotoxicity profile of thiophenols **3–6** and phenols **7–10** was assessed in human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells differentiated into a dopaminergic phenotype [32]. After 24 h of exposure with the compounds at three different concentrations (1, 10 and 50 μ M), the cell viability was evaluated using two methods: the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT) reduction assay, a method that relies on the reduction of MTT into the respective formazan by cells with active metabolism [33], and the neutral red (NR) uptake assay, which is based on the lysosomal uptake of the dye NR [34]. The results, expressed as mean MTT reduction (% of control) ± SEM and NR uptake (% of control) ± SEM (*n* = 3), are depicted in Figure 2.





Thiophenols **3–6** (Figures 2A,B) and phenols **7–10** (Figures 2C,D) did not cause marked decreases in MTT reduction and in NR uptake at concentrations up to 50 μ M (MTT reduction and NR uptake were >85%), which is in accordance with the previous data obtained for FA **1** and TFA **2** [24]. Based on these results, we can conclude that the cytotoxicity profile within the tested concentration range was upheld with the OH to SH replacement, the introduction of 5-methoxy and 5-phenyl substituents, and the functionalization of the carboxylic acid group (benzyl or phenethyl amides).

2.4.2. Protection against H2O2- and Ferric Iron-Induced Oxidative Damage

The protective properties of compounds **1–10** against oxidative stress in the same cellular model were also examined. Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and ferric nitrilotriacetate (FeNTA) were used as inducers of oxidative stress [32]. After the pre-treatment with the test compounds at 10 and 50 μ M for 24 h, differentiated SH-SY5Y cells were exposed to H₂O₂ (1.5 mM) or FeNTA (4 mM) for 30 min and 24 h, respectively. The cellular viability was assessed using the MTT reduction assay. The results obtained are illustrated in Figures 3 and 4.



Figure 3. Protection against H₂O₂-induced damage in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells by thiophenols **2**–**6** (**A**) and phenols **1**, **7–10** (**B**). After a pre-treatment with the compounds under study (10 and 50 μ M) for 24 h, cells were exposed H₂O₂ 1.5 mM for additional 30 min. Cellular viability was evaluated by the MTT reduction assay. Results are expressed as the mean % of untreated controls ± SEM (*n* = 3). Statistical comparisons were estimated using the nonparametric method of Kruskal–Wallis (one-way ANOVA on ranks), followed by Dunn's *post hoc* test (* *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, compared with cells treated only with H₂O₂; ^{\$\$} *p* < 0.01, ^{\$\$\$\$} *p* < 0.001; ^{\$\$\$\$\$} *p* < 0.0001 compared with CTRL). Positive control with caffeic acid (50 μ M) was performed under the same conditions described above. The result is depicted in supplementary information (Figure S4A).



Figure 4. Protection against FeNTA-induced damage in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells by thiophenols **2–6** (**A**) and phenols **1**, **7–10** (**B**). After a pre-treatment with the compounds under study (10 and 50 μ M) for 24 h, cells were exposed FeNTA 4 mM for additional 24 h. Cellular viability was evaluated by the MTT reduction assay. Results are expressed as the mean % of untreated controls ± SEM (*n* = 3). Statistical comparisons were estimated using the nonparametric method of Kruskal–Wallis (one-way ANOVA on ranks), followed by Dunn's *post hoc* test (* *p* < 0.05 compared with cells treated only with FeNTA; ^{\$} *p* < 0.05, ^{\$\$} *p* < 0.01, ^{\$\$\$\$} *p* < 0.001; ^{\$\$\$\$\$} *p* < 0.001 compared with CTRL). Positive control with caffeic acid (50 μ M) was performed under the same conditions described above. The result is depicted in supplementary information (Figure S4B).

The incubation of differentiated SH-SY5Y cells with H₂O₂ (1.5 mM) decreased the MTT reduction to 50.7 ± 1.9% (p < 0.0001) in comparison to control cells (Figure 3A,B). The damage inflicted by H₂O₂ was attenuated by thiophenols and phenols containing benzyl amide (compounds **3** and **7**, p < 0.05) and 5-methoxy groups (compound **5**, p < 0.05, and compound **9**, p < 0.01) at 10 µM (Figure 3A,B). A mild protection against H₂O₂-induced cell death was also observed with pre-treatment of neuroblastoma cells with TFA (10 µM, p < 0.01; 50 µM, p < 0.05, Figure 3A) and compound **10** (p < 0.05, Figure 3A) at both tested concentrations. The data obtained for TFA **2** and FA **1** at 50 µM were previously reported by Chavarria et al. [24].

Transition metals like iron accumulate with age in specific brain areas, such as the *substantia nigra* and the hippocampus [35]. FeNTA was used to mimic iron overload conditions in SH-SY5Y cells. Treatment with FeNTA 4 mM decreased the MTT reduction to $47.2 \pm 1.2\%$ (p < 0.0001) in comparison to control cells (Figure 4A,B). Remarkably, TFA derivative **3** significantly protected neuroblastoma cells from the deleterious effects of FeNTA at both tested concentrations (p < 0.05, Figure 4A). The FeNTA-induced decrease in MTT reduction was also attenuated in neuroblastoma cells pre-treated with TFA **2** (p < 0.05, Figure 4A) and compound **10** (p < 0.05, Figure 4B) at 50 μ M.

Taking these data together, we concluded that TFA **2**, TFA benzyl amide **3**, and FA derivative **10** significantly protected neuroblastoma cells against oxidative stress, both by the direct action of H₂O₂ and by high concentrations of iron. In addition, 5-methoxy derivatives (compounds **5** and **9**) and FA benzyl amide (compound **7**) were able to prevent the damage induced by H₂O₂, but were ineffective against the harmful effects caused by high concentrations of iron.

2.5. Estimation of Drug-Like Properties

In early drug discovery, the balancing of key physicochemical properties within optimal ranges may improve the compounds' quality and thereby increase the likelihood of therapeutic success [36]. In this context, we calculated several physicochemical parameters of TFA (compound **2**) and compounds **3** and **10** to predict their "drug-likeness". These compounds were considered the most promising cinnamic acid derivatives because they displayed significant neuroprotective activity against two oxidative stressors in neuroblastoma cells. The predicted physicochemical properties included topological polar surface area (TPSA in Å), number of hydrogen acceptors (HBA), number of hydrogen donors (HBD), number of rotatable bonds (RB), and logarithm of the ratio of the concentration of a drug in the brain and in the blood (logBB). The results obtained are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Predicted physicochemical properties of TFA (compound 2) and compounds 3 and 10.

Compound	MW ^a	cLogP ^b	TPSA ^a	HBA ^a	HBD ^a	RB	LogBB
2	210.25	1.97	46.53	4	2	3	-0.9373
3	299.39	3.25	38.33	4	2	6	0.02948
10	270.28	2.76	66.76	4	2	4	-1.511
CNS⁺ drugs	< 500 °	2–5 ^c	< 90 °	< 7 ^d	< 3 ^{<i>d</i>}	< 8 ^d	<-1

^{*a*} Properties predicted using the Stardrop software. MW: molecular weight; TPSA: topological polar surface area; HBA: number of H-bond acceptor atoms; HBD: number of H-bond donor atoms; RB: number of rotatable bonds; logBB: logarithm of the ratio of the concentration of a drug in the brain

and in the blood. ^b Properties predicted using the SwissADME (http://swissadme.ch/index.php); clogP: logarithm of the octanol/water partition coefficient. ^c Data from Hitchcock et al. [37]. ^d Data from Pajouhesh et al. [38].

The values obtained for compound **3** are within the optimal ranges of drugs able to act in the central nervous system (CNS). On the other hand, TFA and compound **10** presented cLogP and LogBB values below or close to the recommended limits. Therefore, TFA **2** and compound **10** are less likely to cross the blood-brain barrier by passive diffusion and thereby attain the CNS. The presence of a free carboxylic acid may restrict the access to the brain, as previously observed for similar systems [21].

Overall, these results suggest that TFA benzyl amide (compound **3**) presents the most favorable drug-like properties among the selected candidates.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Chemistry

3.1.1. Synthesis

I. Synthesis of 6-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carbaldehyde (12).

Synthesis and structural characterization are described in literature [21].

II. Thiocarbamoylation of Phenols.

In a bottom flask with the phenol (1 mmol) dissolved in DMF, DABCO (4 mmol), and DMTCl (2 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated at 70 °C for 3 h. Upon completion, the mixture was poured onto water (15 mL) cooled on an ice bath and acidified with HCl 6 M. The product was collected by filtration under reduced pressure and washed with water. The general procedure was adapted from Nowakowska et al. [39].

O-(4-Formyl-2-methoxyphenyl) dimethylcarbamothioate (**15**). Synthesis and structural analysis are described in literature [24,39].

O-(4-Formyl-2,6-dimethoxyphenyl) dimethylcarbamothioate (**16**). The reaction mixture was poured onto water (15 mL) cooled on an ice bath and acidified with HCl 6 M. The product was collected by filtration under reduced pressure and washed with water. $\eta = 93\%$. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = 3.40 (*s*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.49 (*s*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.93 (*s*, 6H, 2 × OC<u>H</u>₃), 7.19 (*s*, 2H, H2, H6), 9.94 (*s*, 1H, C<u>H</u>O). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = <u>38.9</u> (NCH₃), <u>43.5</u> (NCH₃), <u>56.5</u> (2 × OCH₃), <u>106.3</u> (C2, C6), 134.2 (C1), 136.9 (C4), 153.4 (C3, C5), 186.7 (CSO), <u>191.1</u> (CHO). EI/MS *m*/*z* (%): 269.0 (M^{•+}, 69), 88.0 (99), 72.0 (100).

O-(5-Formyl-3-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl) dimethylcarbamothioate (**17**). The reaction mixture was poured onto water (15 mL) cooled on an ice bath and acidified with HCl 6 M. The product was collected by filtration under reduced pressure and washed with water. η = 75%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃-d₁): δ (ppm) = 3.17 (*s*, 3H, NC<u>H₃</u>), 3.34 (*s*, 3H, NC<u>H₃</u>), 3.94 (*s*, 3H, OC-<u>H₃</u>), 7.39 (*m*, 3H, H3', H4', H5'), 7.52 (*m*, 4H, H2, H6, H2', H6'), 9.98 (*s*, 1H, C<u>H</u>O). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃-d₁): δ (ppm) = <u>38.7</u> (NCH₃), <u>43.3</u> (NCH₃), <u>56.4</u> (OCH₃), <u>109.7</u> (C2), <u>126.6</u> (C6), <u>128.0</u> (C4'), <u>128.2</u> (C2', C6'), <u>129.1</u> (C3', C5'), 134.5 (C5), 136.4 (C1), 137.2 (C1'), 145.0 (C4), 153.1 (C3), 186.4 (CSO), <u>191.2</u> (CHO). EI/MS *m*/*z* (%): 315.1 (M^{•+}, 38), 243.0 (29), 88.0 (100), 72.0 (89).

III. Microwave-Assisted Newman-Kwart Rearrangement.

O-phenyl-*N*,*N*-dimethylthiocarbamates **15–17** were placed onto a 5 mL microwave glass vial. The vial was sealed with a septum and the content was maintained under an argon atmosphere for 30 min at room temperature. After the addition of diphenyl ether, the vial was placed into the microwave cavity. The reaction took place under microwave irradiation at 240 °C for 20 min.

S-(4-Formyl-2-methoxyphenyl) dimethylcarbamothioate (**18**). Synthesis and structural analysis are described in the literature [24].

S-(4-Formyl-2,6-dimethoxyphenyl) dimethylcarbamothioate (**19**). Compound **19** was isolated by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/dichloromethane (3:7)) and recrystallized in dichloromethane/petroleum ether. $\eta = 91\%$. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = 3.00 (*bs*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.18 (*bs*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.94 (*s*, 6H, 2 × OC<u>H</u>₃), 7.16 (*s*, 2H, H2, H6), 9.97 (*s*, 1H, C<u>H</u>O). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = <u>37.1</u> (2 × NCH₃), <u>56.7</u> (2 × OCH₃), <u>105.0</u> (C2, C6), 112.7 (C4), 138.7 (C1), 161.8 (C3, C5), 164.5 (COS), <u>191.5</u> (CHO). EI/MS *m/z* (%): 269.0 (M^{•+}, 48), 72.1 (100).

S-(5-Formyl-3-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl) dimethylcarbamothioate (**20**). Compound **20** was isolated by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/dichloromethane (3:7)) and recrystallized in dichloromethane/petroleum ether. $\eta = 83\%$. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) = 2.96 (*bs*, 6H, 2 × NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.98 (*s*, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 7.38 (*m*, 5H, H2', H3', H4', H5', H6'), 7.46 (*d*, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H2), 7.48 (*d*, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H6), 10.01 (*s*, 1H, C<u>H</u>O). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) = <u>37.2</u> (2 × N<u>C</u>H₃), <u>56.8</u> (O<u>C</u>H₃), <u>108.2</u> (C2), 124.1 (C4), <u>125.8</u> (C6), <u>127.7</u> (C4'), <u>127.8</u> (C2', C6'), <u>129.4</u> (C3',), 137.8 (C5), 140.3 (C1), 149.6 (C1'), 161.0 (C3), 165.0 (<u>COS</u>), <u>191.7</u> (<u>C</u>HO). EI/MS *m/z* (%): 315.3 (M^{•+}, 21), 243.2 (6), 200.1 (7), 171.1 (9), 84.0 (13), 72.1 (100).

IV. Knoevenagel-Doebner Condensation

In a round bottom flask with the aldehyde (1 mmol) dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (11.0 mmol), malonic acid (1.5 mmol) and piperidine (0.35 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 4–5 h. The general procedure was adapted from Teixeira et al. [40] with some modifications.

(*E*)-3-(4-((Dimethylcarbamoyl)thio)-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylic acid (**21**). Synthesis and structural analysis are described in literature [24].

(*E*)-3-(4-((Dimethylcarbamoyl)thio)-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)acrylic acid (**22**). Once the reaction was complete, the reaction mixture was poured onto water (15 mL), cooled on an ice bath and acidified with HCl 6 M. The product was collected by filtration under reduced pressure and washed with water. After suspension in methanol, the solid was centrifuged at 3000 *g* for 20 min. The supernatant was discarded, yielding the purified product. $\eta = 88\%$. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) = 2.88 (*bs*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.06 (*bs*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.80 (*s*, 6H, 2 × OC<u>H</u>₃), 6.71 (*d*, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H, H α), 7.05 (*s*, 2H, H2, H6), 7.59 (*d*, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H, H β), 12.46 (*bs*, 1H, COO<u>H</u>). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) = <u>36.9</u> (2 × NCH₃), <u>56.8</u> (2 × OCH₃), <u>104.7</u> (C2, C6), 107.0 (C4), <u>121.3</u> (C α), 138.0 (C1), <u>144.2</u> (C β), 161.6 (C3, C5), 164.6 (COS), 168.0 (COOH). EI/MS *m/z* (%): 311.1 (M^{•+}, 40), 84.0 (9), 72.1 (100).

(*E*)-3-(6-((Dimethylcarbamoyl)thio)-5-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)acrylic acid (**23**). Once the reaction was complete, the reaction mixture was poured onto water (15 mL), cooled on an ice bath, and acidified with HCl 6 M. The product was collected by filtration under reduced pressure and washed with water. After suspension in methanol, the solid was centrifuged at 3000 *g* for 20 min. The supernatant was discarded, yielding the purified product. $\eta = 59\%$. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) = 2.88 (*bs*, 6H, 2 × NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.87 (*s*, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 6.72 (*d*, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H, Hα), 7.24 (*d*, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H2), 7.28 (*m*, 2H, H2', H6'), 7.39 (*m*, 3H, H3', H4', H5'), 7.45 (*d*, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.63 (*d*, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H, Hβ), 12.46 (*bs*, 1H, COO<u>H</u>). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) = <u>36.9</u> (2 × NCH₃), <u>57.0</u> (OCH₃), <u>110.0</u> (C2), 118.3 (C4), <u>121.5</u> (Cα), <u>123.1</u> (C6), <u>127.9</u> (C4'), <u>128.2</u> (C2', C6'), <u>129.0</u> (C3', C5'), 137.2 (C5), 140.9 (C1), <u>143.6</u> (Cβ), 149.0 (C1'), 161.1 (C3), 165.0 (<u>C</u>OS), 167.9 (<u>C</u>OOH). EI/MS *m/z* (%): 357.3 (M^{•+}, 46), 268.2 (28), 72.1 (100).

V. PyBOP-Mediated Cinnamic Acid Amidation.

In a round bottom flask, compound 21 (1 mmol) was dissolved in DMF and DIPEA (1.01 mmol). The mixture was cooled at 0 °C on an ice bath, and a solution of PyBOP (1.01 mmol) in dichloromethane (2.25 mL) was added slowly. The mixture was stirred for 30 min, and the amine (1.01 mmol) was added. The general procedure was adapted from Gaspar et al. [41] with some modifications.

(*E*)-*S*-(4-(3-(Benzylamino)-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)-2-methoxyphenyl) dimethylcarbamothioate (24). Flash column chromatography was performed in ethyl acetate/dichloromethane (3:7). Recrystallization in dichloromethane/petroleum ether yielded a white solid. $\eta = 95\%$. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = 2.90 (*bs*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.10 *bs*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.86 (*s*, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 4.53 (*d*, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H, NHC<u>H</u>₂Ph), 6.24 (*d*, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H, Hα), 6.44 (*t*, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H, CON<u>H</u>), 6.96 (*d*, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H2), 7.04 (*dd*, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.30 (m, 5H, H2', H3', H4', H5', H6'), 7.39 (*d*, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, H5), 7.53 (*d*, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H, Hβ). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = <u>37.0</u> (2 × NCH₃), <u>43.9</u> (NHCH₂Ph), <u>56.1</u> (OCH₃), <u>110.9</u> (C2), 118.1 (C4), <u>120.2</u> (Cα), <u>122.0</u> (C6), <u>127.4</u> (C4'), <u>128.0</u> (C2', C6'), <u>128.6</u> (C3', C5'), <u>138.0</u> (C5) 138.3 (C1), 138.4 (C1'), <u>140.03</u> (Cβ), 160.1 (C3), 165.5 (COS), 166.3 (CONH). EI/MS *m/z* (%): 370.3 (M^{•+}, 59), 281.2 (22), 91.1 (32), 72.1 (100).

(*E*)-*S*-(2-Methoxy-4-(3-oxo-3-(phenethylamino)prop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl) dimethylcarbamothioate (25). Flash column chromatography was performed in ethyl acetate/dichloromethane (3:7). Recrystallization in dichloromethane/petroleum ether yielded a white solid. $\eta = 80\%$. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = 2.87 (*t*, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H, NHCH₂C<u>H</u>₂Ph), 3.00 (*bs*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.13 (*bs*, 3H, NC<u>H</u>₃), 3.62 (*m*, 2H, NHC<u>H</u>₂CH₂Ph), 3.86 (*s*, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 5.93 (*t*, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 1H, N<u>H</u>), 6.22 (*d*, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H, Hα), 6.98 (*d*, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H2), 7.06 (*dd*, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.28 (*m*, 5H, H2', H3', H4', H5', H6'), 7.42 (*d*, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H, H5), 7.52 (*d*, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H, Hβ). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = <u>35.0</u> (NHCH₂C<u>H</u>₂Ph), <u>37.0</u> (2 × NCH₃), <u>40.8</u> (NHCH₂CH₂Ph), <u>56.1</u> (OCH₃), <u>110.8</u> (C2), 118.3 (C4), <u>120.1</u> (Cα), <u>122.1</u> (C6), <u>126.5</u> (C4'), <u>128.7</u> (C2', C6'), <u>128.8</u> (C3', C5'), <u>138.1</u> (C5) 138.25 (C1), 139.0 (C1'), <u>140.0</u> (Cβ), 160.1 (C3), 165.6 (<u>C</u>OS), 166.1 (<u>C</u>ONH). EI/MS *m/z* (%): 384.3 (M^{•+}, 47), 295.2 (13), 105.1 (16), 72.1 (100).

VI. Alkaline Hydrolysis

To a solution of the *S*-phenyl-*N*,*N*-dimethylthiocarbamate (1 mmol) in methanol (1.5 mL), aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide 2 M (5–17.5 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C until the TLC analysis showed maximal substrate consumption.

(*E*)-3-(4-Sulfanyl-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylic acid (TFA, **2**). Synthesis and structural analysis is described in literature [24].

(*E*)-*N*-Benzyl-3-(4-sulfanyl-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylamide (**3**). Compound **3** was obtained by an alkaline hydrolysis of compound **24** with the following conditions: compound **24** (0.82 g, 2.20 mmol), methanol (4.5 mL), and aqueous solution of NaOH 2 M (5.50 mL, 11.0 mmol). The mixture was protected from the light and stirred at 90 °C for 1.5 h. Upon completion, the mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature, then poured onto cooled aqueous saturated solution of NH₄Cl (15 mL) and acidified with aqueous solution of acetic acid 5 M added dropwise. The solid was filtered under reduced pressure and washed with water. TLC analysis was performed in ethyl acetate/dichloromethane/formic acid (3:7:0.01). $\eta = 53\%$. ¹H NMR (MeOD-*d*₄): δ (ppm) = 3.91 (*s*, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 4.49 (*s*, 2H, NHC<u>H</u>₂Ph), 6.60 (*d*, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1H, H α), 7.05 (*dd*, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 8.0 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.10 (*d*, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H2), 7.24 (*m*, 2H, H5 H4'), 7.32 (*m*, 4H, H2', H3', H5', H6'), 7.51 (*d*, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1H, H β). ¹³C NMR (MeOD-*d*₄): δ (ppm) = <u>42.9</u> (NHCH₂Ph), <u>55.0</u> (OCH₃), <u>109.2</u> (C2), <u>119.4</u> (C α), <u>120.5</u> (C6), 124.3 (C4), <u>126.9</u> (C4'), <u>127.2</u> (C2', C6'), <u>128.2</u> (C3', C5'), <u>128.7</u> (C5) 133.0 (C1), 138.5 (C1'), <u>140.4</u> (C β), 155.1 (C3), 167.2 (CONH). ESI/MS *m/z* (%): 300 (M⁺ + 1, 100), 284 (55).

(*E*)-3-(4-Sulfanyl-3-methoxyphenyl)-*N*-phenethylacrylamide (**4**). Compound **4** was obtained by an alkaline hydrolysis of compound **25** with the following conditions: compound **25** (0.42 g, 1.1 mmol), methanol (1.6 mL), and an aqueous solution of NaOH 2 M (2.7 mL, 5.5 mmol). The mixture was protected from the light and stirred at 90 °C for 1.5 h. Upon completion, the mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature, then poured onto a cooled aqueous saturated solution of NH₄Cl (15 mL) and acidified with aqueous solution of acetic acid 5 M added dropwise. The solid was filtered under reduced pressure and washed with water. TLC analysis was performed in ethyl acetate/dichloromethane/formic acid (3:7:0.01). $\eta = 45\%$. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = 2.89 (*t*, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H, NHCH₂C<u>H</u>₂Ph), 3.66 (*m*, 2H, NHC<u>H</u>₂CH₂Ph), 3.90 (*s*, 3H, OC<u>H</u>₃), 5.66 (*m*, 1H, N<u>H</u>), 6.29 (*d*, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H, H α), 6.92 (*d*, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H2), 6.99 (*dd*, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 8.0 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.23 (*m*, 4H, H5, H3', H4', H5'), 7.32 (*m*, H2', H6'), 7.53 (*d*, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H, H β). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃-*d*₁): δ (ppm) = <u>35.7</u> (NHCH₂CH₂Ph), <u>40.8</u> (NHCH₂CH₂Ph), <u>55.9</u> (OCH₃), <u>109.5</u> (C2), <u>119.9</u> (C α), <u>120.8</u> (C6), 123.4 (C4), <u>126.6</u> (C4'), <u>128.7</u> (C2', C6'), <u>128.8</u> (C3', C5'), <u>129.1</u> (C5), 133.2 (C1), 138.9 (C1'), <u>140.7</u> (Cβ), 154.8 (C3), 165.9 (<u>C</u>ONH). ESI/MS *m/z* (%): 314 (M⁺ + 1, 100).

(E)-3-(4-Sulfanyl-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)acrylic acid (5). Compound 5 was obtained by an alkaline hydrolysis of compound 22 with the following conditions: compound 22 (0.64 g, 2.05 mmol), methanol (2 mL) and aqueous solution of NaOH 2 M (12.5 mL, 2.5 mmol). The mixture was protected from the light and stirred at 90 °C for 9 h. Upon completion, the mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature, then poured onto a cooled aqueous saturated solution of NH₄Cl (15 mL) and acidified with aqueous solution of acetic acid 5 M added dropwise. The solid was filtered under reduced TLC pressure and washed with water. analysis was performed in ethyl acetate/dichloromethane/formic acid (3:7:0.01). η = 79.6%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃-d₁): δ (ppm) = 3.94 (s, 6H, 2 × OC<u>H</u>₃), 4.19 (s, 1H, S<u>H</u>), 6.40 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1H, Hα), 6.74 (s, 2H, H2, H6), 7.72 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H, Hβ). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃- d_1): δ (ppm) = 56.3 (2 × OCH₃), 103.8 (C2, C6), 114.2 (C4), 116.3 (C α), 131.0 (C1), <u>147.1</u> (Cβ), 155.4 (C3, C5), 172.2 (<u>C</u>OOH). ESI/MS *m*/*z* (%): 241 (M⁺ + 1, 100).

(*E*)-3-(6-Sulfanyl-5-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)acrylic acid (6). Compound **6** was obtained by an alkaline hydrolysis of compound **23** the following conditions: compound **23** (0.36 g, 1.01 mmol), methanol (2 mL) and aqueous solution of NaOH 2 M (8.75 mL, 17.5 mmol). The mixture was protected from the light and stirred at 90 °C for 16 h. Upon completion, the mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature, then poured onto cooled aqueous saturated solution of NH4Cl (15 mL) and acidified with aqueous solution of acetic acid 5 M added dropwise. The solid was filtered under reduced pressure and washed with water. TLC analysis was performed in ethyl acetate/dichloromethane/formic acid (3:7:0.01). $\eta = 73\%$. ¹H NMR (MeOD-*d*₄): δ (ppm) = 3.99 (*s*, 3H, OCH₃), 6.47 (*d*, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1H, H α), 7.03 (*d*, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H, H2), 7.20 (*d*, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.40 (*m*, 5H, H2' H3', H4', H5', H6'), 7.63 (*d*, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1H, H β). ¹³C NMR (MeOD-*d*₄): δ (ppm) = <u>55.6</u> (O<u>C</u>H₃), <u>107.7</u> (C2), <u>117.5</u> (C α), <u>122.6</u> (C6), 124.3 (C4), <u>127.6</u> (C4'), <u>128.1</u> (C2', C6'), <u>128.7</u> (C3', C5'), 131.5 (C5), 140.2 (C1), 141.2 (C1'), <u>144.4</u> (C β), 154.5 (C3), 169.2 (<u>C</u>OOH). ESI/MS *m*/*z* (%): 287 (M⁺ + 1, 100).

3.2. Radical Scavenging Activity

3.2.1. Spectrophotometric Methods

I. DPPH[•] Radical Assay

DPPH[•] radical scavenging activity was performed as described by Teixeira et al. [40] (see supplementary information).

II. ABTS^{•+} Radical Cation Assay

 $ABTS^{\bullet+}$ scavenging activity was evaluated as described by Teixeira et al. [40] (see supplementary information).

III. GO[•] Radical Assay

GO[•] radical scavenging protocol was adapted from the literature [42–44] and described in supplementary information.

3.2.2. Fluorometric Methods (ORAC-FL Assay)

The ORAC-FL analyses were performed using an experimental protocol adapted from the literature [45,46] and described in supplementary information.

3.3. Electrochemical Measurements

DPV experiments were performed as described elsewhere [23] (see supplementary information).

3.4. In Vitro Toxicology

3.4.1. SH-SY5Y Cell Culture

Human SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). SH-SY5Y cell culture and differentiation were performed as previously described by Fernandes et al. [32] and in supplementary information.

3.4.2. Cytotoxicity

Stock solutions of the test compounds (1, 10 and 50 mM) were freshly prepared in ethanol absolute. Final test concentrations of the compounds under study were obtained by diluting into culture medium immediately before use, giving a final maximum concentration of 0.1% ethanol.

In cytotoxicity experiments, differentiated SH-SY5Y cells were exposed to the test compounds (1, 10 and 50 μ M) for 24 h. Controls were treated with culture media containing 0.1% ethanol. Cell viability was estimated using the MTT reduction assay [32] and the NR uptake assay [47] (see supplementary information).

3.4.3. Protection against Oxidative Stress Inducers

To evaluate the ability of phenols and thiophenols to protect neuronal cells from oxidative stress, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and ferric nitrilotriacetate (FeNTA) were used as inducers of oxidative damage. Differentiated SH-SY5Y cells were pre-treated with the compounds under study for 24 h and exposed to the oxidative stressors.

I. Cytoprotective Properties against H2O2-Induced Damage

Differentiated SH-SY5Y cells were pretreated with the test compounds (10 and 50 μ M) for 24 h. Then, the culture medium was removed and replaced with medium containing H₂O₂ 1.5 mM, and cells were incubated for additional 30 min at 37 °C in a humidified, 5% CO₂–95% air atmosphere. Cell viability was estimated by the MTT reduction assay [32].

II. Cytoprotective Properties against FeNTA-Induced Damage

Nitrilotriacetic acid disodium salt solution (500 mM) and nitrilotriacetic acid trisodium salt solution (500 mM) were mixed until pH 7 was achieved and diluted to obtain a concentration of 250 mM. This solution was added to the required amount of iron(III) chloride to obtain the NTA:iron(III) molar ratio of 2.5:1 ([Fe(III) = 100 mM). Then, the ferric nitrilotriacetic acid (FeNTA) solution was diluted in culture medium to obtain a final iron(III) solution with concentration of 4 mM.

Differentiated SH-SY5Y cells were pretreated with the test compounds (10 and 50 μ M) for 24 h. Then, the culture medium was removed and replaced with medium containing FeNTA 4 mM. Cells were incubated for additional 24 h at 37 °C in a humidified, 5% CO₂–95% air atmosphere. Cell viability was estimated by the MTT reduction assay [32].

3.4.4. Statistical Analysis

Data analysis for all the studies are specified in supplementary information.

3.5. Estimation of Drug-Like Properties

The calculation of molecular weight (MW), topological polar surface area (TPSA), number of hydrogen bond donors (HBD) and acceptors (HBA), number of rotatable bonds (RB) and logarithm of the ratio of the concentration of a drug in the brain and in the blood (logBB) was performed using the Stardrop software. The calculation of octanol/water partition coefficient (clogP) was performed using the SwissADME (http://swissadme.ch/index.php).

4. Conclusions

In this work, we successfully obtained a series of TFA and FA derivatives, and evaluated their antioxidant activity, as well as their cytotoxicity and cytoprotective profiles. Structural diversity was attained by incorporating 5-methoxy or 5-phenyl groups at the aromatic ring, or benzyl and phenethyl amide groups at the carboxylic acid group.

Thiophenols displayed remarkable radical scavenging properties. Compared to phenols, thiophenols reacted faster and more efficiently with DPPH[•] and GO[•] radicals, and presented lower E_P values at physiological pH. However, unlike phenols, thiophenols were unable to scavenge ROO[•] radicals in ORAC-FL assay. The presence of different substituents directly attached to the aromatic ring did not improve the antioxidant profile of the parent compounds (TFA and FA). Although a slight decrease of E_P values was observed, 5-methoxy and 5-phenyl derivatives showed similar or lower radical scavenging activity, and slower reaction rates than the unsubstituted analogues. On the other hand, the carboxylic acid amidation was proven to be a beneficial structural modification of the cinnamic acid scaffold, since it preserved the antioxidant profile of the parent acids while improving the drug-like properties of the antioxidants. The cytotoxicity of FA and TFA derivatives in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells was similar to that of the parent compounds at concentrations up to 50 μ M. Among the tested compounds, only TFA **2**, TFA benzyl amide **3**, and 5-phenylferulic acid **10** attenuated the oxidative damage inflicted by H₂O₂ and iron overload. Compound **3** is highlighted as the best antioxidant of the series with favorable physicochemical properties.

Overall, the results obtained in this work emphasized the dissimilar biological outline of thiophenols and phenols, as well as the effect of the structural modification of FA and TFA on their antioxidant properties. The data may be useful for the development of new drugs able to tackle the events that lead to the progression of neurodegenerative disorders.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online, Experimental details, Statistics, Figure S1: DPPH[•] kinetic curves of thiophenols **2–6** and phenols **1**, **7–10**; Figure S2: ABTS^{•+} kinetic curves of thiophenols **2–6** and

phenols **1**, **7–10**; Figure S3: GO[•] kinetic curves of thiophenols **2–6** and phenols **1**, **7–10**; Figure S4: Protection against (**A**) H₂O₂- and (**B**) FeNTA-induced damage in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells by caffeic acid (CA); Figure S5: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of final compound **3** (NMR spectrum obtained in MeOD-d₄); Figure S6: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of final compound **4** (NMR spectrum obtained in MeOD-d₄); Figure S7: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of final compound **5** (NMR spectrum obtained in MeOD-d₄); Figure S6: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of final compound **5** (NMR spectrum obtained in MeOD-d₄); Figure S6: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of final compound **6** (NMR spectrum obtained in MeOD-d₄).

Author Contributions: Performing of experiments, data acquisition and analysis D.C., C.F., B.A., T.S. and J.G.; D.C., C.F., B.A., T.S., J.G., F.R., P.J.O., E.U. and F.B. contributed for writing and reviewing of manuscript.

Funding: This project was supported by Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) and FEDER/COMPETE research grants (UID/QUI/00081, NORTE-01–0145-FEDER-000028, POCI-01–0145-FEDER-029164). D. Chavarria, C. Fernandes and T. Silva grants are supported by FCT, POPH and FEDER/COMPETE and NORTE-01-0145-FEDER-000028. This article is based upon work from COST Action CA15135.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Sies, H. Oxidative stress: A concept in redox biology and medicine. *Redox Biol.* 2015, 4, 180–183, doi:10.1016/j.redox.2015.01.002.
- Uttara, B.; Singh, A.V.; Zamboni, P.; Mahajan, R.T. Oxidative stress and neurodegenerative diseases: A review of upstream and downstream antioxidant therapeutic options. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 2009, 7, 65–74, doi:10.2174/157015909787602823.
- Bhat, A.H.; Dar, K.B.; Anees, S.; Zargar, M.A.; Masood, A.; Sofi, M.A.; Ganie, S.A. Oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction and neurodegenerative diseases: A mechanistic insight. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 2015, 74, 101–110, doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2015.07.025.
- 4. Lovell, M.A.; Xie, C.; Markesbery, W.R. Acrolein is increased in Alzheimer's disease brain and is toxic to primary hippocampal cultures. *Neurobiol. Aging* **2001**, *22*, 187–194, doi:10.1016/S0197–4580(00)00235–9.

- 5. Markesbery, W.R.; Lovell, M.A. Four-hydroxynonenal, a product of lipid peroxidation, is increased in the brain in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* **1998**, *19*, 33–36, doi:10.1016/S0197–4580(98)00009–8.
- Dexter, D.T.; Carter, C.J.; Wells, F.R.; Javoy-Agid, F.; Agid, Y.; Lees, A.; Jenner, P.; Marsden, C.D. Basal lipid peroxidation in substantia nigra is increased in Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurochem.* 1989, 52, 381–389, doi:10.1111/j.1471–4159.1989.tb09133.x.
- 7. Good, P.F.; Werner, P.; Hsu, A.; Olanow, C.W.; Perl, D.P. Evidence of neuronal oxidative damage in Alzheimer's disease. *Am. J. Pathol.* **1996**, *149*, 21–28.
- Good, P.F.; Hsu, A.; Werner, P.; Perl, D.P.; Olanow, C.W. Protein nitration in Parkinson's disease. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 1998, 57, 338–342, doi:10.1097/00005072–199804000-00006.
- Kim, T.S.; Pae, C.U.; Yoon, S.J.; Jang, W.Y.; Lee, N.J.; Kim, J.J.; Lee, S.J.; Lee, C.; Paik, I.H.; Lee, C.U. Decreased plasma antioxidants in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 2006, 21, 344– 348, doi:10.1002/gps.1469.
- Marcus, D.L.; Thomas, C.; Rodriguez, C.; Simberkoff, K.; Tsai, J.S.; Strafaci, J.A.; Freedman, M.L. Increased peroxidation and reduced antioxidant enzyme activity in Alzheimer's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 1998, 150, 40– 44, doi:10.1006/exnr.1997.6750.
- Venkateshappa, C.; Harish, G.; Mahadevan, A.; Srinivas Bharath, M.M.; Shankar, S.K. Elevated oxidative stress and decreased antioxidant function in the human hippocampus and frontal cortex with increasing age: Implications for neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurochem. Res.* 2012, *37*, 1601–1614, doi:10.1007/s11064–012-0755–8.
- Venkateshappa, C.; Harish, G.; Mythri, R.B.; Mahadevan, A.; Bharath, M.M.; Shankar, S.K. Increased oxidative damage and decreased antioxidant function in aging human *substantia nigra* compared to striatum: Implications for Parkinson's disease. *Neurochem. Res.* 2012, *37*, 358–369, doi:10.1007/s11064-011-0619-7.
- 13. Pocernich, C.B.; Lange, M.L.; Sultana, R.; Butterfield, D.A. Nutritional approaches to modulate oxidative stress in Alzheimer's disease. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* **2011**, *8*, 452–469, doi:10.2174/156720511796391908.
- 14. Ramsay, R.R.; Majekova, M.; Medina, M.; Valoti, M. Key Targets for Multi-Target Ligands Designed to Combat Neurodegeneration. *Front. Neurosci.* **2016**, *10*, 1–24, doi:10.3389/fnins.2016.00375.
- 15. Mancuso, C.; Santangelo, R. Ferulic acid: Pharmacological and toxicological aspects. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2014**, *65*, 185–195, doi:10.1016/j.fct.2013.12.024.
- Ojha, S.; Javed, H.; Azimullah, S.; Abul Khair, S.B.; Haque, M.E. Neuroprotective potential of ferulic acid in the rotenone model of Parkinson's disease. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* 2015, *9*, 5499–5510, doi:10.2147/dddt.S90616.
- Nagarajan, S.; Chellappan, D.R.; Chinnaswamy, P.; Thulasingam, S. Ferulic acid pretreatment mitigates MPTP-induced motor impairment and histopathological alterations in C57BL/6 mice. *Pharm. Biol.* 2015, *53*, 1591–1601, doi:10.3109/13880209.2014.993041.
- Kanski, J.; Aksenova, M.; Stoyanova, A.; Butterfield, D.A. Ferulic acid antioxidant protection against hydroxyl and peroxyl radical oxidation in synaptosomal and neuronal cell culture systems in vitro: Structure-activity studies. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2002, 13, 273–281, doi:10.1016/S0955-2863(01)00215-7.
- 19. Vashisth, P.; Kumar, N.; Sharma, M.; Pruthi, V. Biomedical applications of ferulic acid encapsulated electrospun nanofibers. *Biotechnol. Rep. (Amst)* **2015**, *8*, 36–44, doi:10.1016/j.btre.2015.08.008.
- Yakub, G.; Ignatova, M.; Manolova, N.; Rashkov, I.; Toshkova, R.; Georgieva, A.; Markova, N. Chitosan/ferulic acid-coated poly(ε-caprolactone) electrospun materials with antioxidant, antibacterial and antitumor properties. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2018, 107, 689–702, doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.08.183.
- Silva, T.; Bravo, J.; Summavielle, T.; Remião, F.; Pérez, C.; Gil, C.; Martínez, A.; Borges, F. Biology-oriented development of novel lipophilic antioxidants with neuroprotective activity. *RSC Adv.* 2015, *5*, 15800–15811, doi:10.1039/c4ra15164j.
- Gaspar, A.; Garrido, E.M.; Esteves, M.; Quezada, E.; Milhazes, N.; Garrido, J.; Borges, F. New insights into the antioxidant activity of hydroxycinnamic acids: Synthesis and physicochemical characterization of novel halogenated derivatives. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2009, 44, 2092–2099, doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2008.10.027.
- Garrido, J.; Gaspar, A.; Garrido, E.M.; Miri, R.; Tavakkoli, M.; Pourali, S.; Saso, L.; Borges, F.; Firuzi, O. Alkyl esters of hydroxycinnamic acids with improved antioxidant activity and lipophilicity protect PC12 cells against oxidative stress. *Biochimie* 2012, *94*, 961–967, doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2011.12.015.

- Chen, G.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, X.; Fang, Q.; Wang, Z.; Fu, L.; Liu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Li, X., et al. Discovery of a New Inhibitor of Myeloid Differentiation 2 from Cinnamamide Derivatives with Anti-Inflammatory Activity in Sepsis and Acute Lung Injury. *J. Med. Chem.* 2016, *59*, 2436–2451, doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b01574.
- Floegel, A.; Kim, D.O.; Chung, S.J.; Koo, S.I.; Chun, O.K. Comparison of ABTS/DPPH assays to measure antioxidant capacity in popular antioxidant-rich US foods. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2011, 24, 1043–1048, doi:10.1016/j.jfca.2011.01.008.
- 27. Schaich, K.M.; Tian, X.; Xie, J. Hurdles and pitfalls in measuring antioxidant efficacy: A critical evaluation of ABTS, DPPH, and ORAC assays. *J. Funct. Foods* **2015**, *14*, 111–125, doi:10.1016/j.jff.2015.01.043.
- Pisoschi, A.M.; Cimpeanu, C.; Predoi, G. Electrochemical Methods for Total Antioxidant Capacity and its Main Contributors Determination: A review. *Open Chem.* 2015, 13, 824–856, doi:10.1515/chem-2015–0099.
- 29. Luc, R.; Vergely, C. Forgotten radicals in biology. Int. J. Biomed. Sci. 2008, 4, 255–259.
- Teixeira, J.; Gaspar, A.; Garrido, E.M.; Garrido, J.; Borges, F. Hydroxycinnamic acid antioxidants: An electrochemical overview. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2013, 2013, 1–13, doi:10.1155/2013/251754.
- 31. Brand-Williams, W.; Cuvelier, M.E.; Berset, C. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *28*, 25–30, doi:10.1016/S0023-6438(95)80008-5.
- Fernandes, C.; Pinto, M.; Martins, C.; Gomes, M.J.; Sarmento, B.; Oliveira, P.J.; Remião, F.; Borges, F. Development of a PEGylated-Based Platform for Efficient Delivery of Dietary Antioxidants Across the Blood-Brain Barrier. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2018, 29, 1677–1689, doi:10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.8b00151.
- 33. Belyanskaya, L.; Manser, P.; Spohn, P.; Bruinink, A.; Wick, P. The reliability and limits of the MTT reduction assay for carbon nanotubes-cell interaction. *Carbon* **2007**, *45*, 2643–2648, doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2007.08.010.
- Repetto, G.; del Peso, A.; Zurita, J.L. Neutral red uptake assay for the estimation of cell viability/cytotoxicity. *Nat. Protoc.* 2008, *3*, 1125–1131, doi:10.1038/nprot.2008.75.
- Nunez, M.T.; Gallardo, V.; Munoz, P.; Tapia, V.; Esparza, A.; Salazar, J.; Speisky, H. Progressive iron accumulation induces a biphasic change in the glutathione content of neuroblastoma cells. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2004, *37*, 953–960, doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2004.06.005.
- 36. Arnott, J.A.; Planey, S.L. The influence of lipophilicity in drug discovery and design. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* **2012**, *7*, 863–875, doi:10.1517/17460441.2012.714363.
- 37. Hitchcock, S.A.; Pennington, L.D. Structure-brain exposure relationships. *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *49*, 7559–7583, doi:10.1021/jm060642i.
- Pajouhesh, H.; Lenz, G.R. Medicinal Chemical Properties of Successful Central Nervous System Drugs. *NeuroRx* 2005, 2, 541–553, doi:10.1602/neurorx.2.4.541.
- 39. Nowakowska, Z. The conversion of stilbenols to stilbenethiols via *N*,*N*-dimethylthiocarbamates. *Phosphorus Sulfur* **2006**, *181*, 707–715, doi:10.1080/10426500500270016.
- Teixeira, J.; Silva, T.; Benfeito, S.; Gaspar, A.; Garrido, E.M.; Garrido, J.; Borges, F. Exploring nature profits: Development of novel and potent lipophilic antioxidants based on galloyl-cinnamic hybrids. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2013, 62, 289–296, doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.12.049.
- Gaspar, A.; Silva, T.; Yanez, M.; Vina, D.; Orallo, F.; Ortuso, F.; Uriarte, E.; Alcaro, S.; Borges, F. Chromone, a privileged scaffold for the development of monoamine oxidase inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* 2011, 54, 5165– 5173, doi:10.1021/jm2004267.
- 42. Tai, A.; Sawano, T.; Ito, H. Antioxidative properties of vanillic acid esters in multiple antioxidant assays. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **2012**, *76*, 314–318, doi:10.1271/bbb.110700.
- 43. Feng, J.Y.; Liu, Z.Q. Phenolic and enolic hydroxyl groups in curcumin: Which plays the major role in scavenging radicals? *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2009**, *57*, 11041–11046, doi:10.1021/jf902244g.
- Yang, Y.; Song, Z.G.; Liu, Z.Q. Synthesis and antioxidant capacities of hydroxyl derivatives of cinnamoylphenethylamine in protecting DNA and scavenging radicals. *Free Radic. Res.* 2011, 45, 445–453, doi:10.3109/10715762.2010.540576.
- 45. Ou, B.; Hampsch-Woodill, M.; Prior, R.L. Development and validation of an improved oxygen radical absorbance capacity assay using fluorescein as the fluorescent probe. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2001**, *49*, 4619–4626, doi:10.1021/jf0105860.

- Mura, F.; Silva, T.; Castro, C.; Borges, F.; Zuniga, M.C.; Morales, J.; Olea-Azar, C. New insights into the antioxidant activity of hydroxycinnamic and hydroxybenzoic systems: Spectroscopic, electrochemistry, and cellular studies. *Free Radic. Res.* 2014, 48, 1473–1484, doi:10.3109/10715762.2014.965702.
- Fernandes, C.; Martins, C.; Fonseca, A.; Nunes, R.; Matos, M.J.; Silva, R.; Garrido, J.; Sarmento, B.; Remiao, F.; Otero-Espinar, F.J., et al. PEGylated PLGA Nanoparticles As a Smart Carrier to Increase the Cellular Uptake of a Coumarin-Based Monoamine Oxidase B Inhibitor. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 39557– 39569, doi:10.1021/acsami.8b17224.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).