

Supplementary Material

Functional Impact of the N-terminal Arm of Proline Dehydrogenase from *Thermus thermophilus*

Mieke M.E. Huijbers ¹, Ilona van Alen ¹, Jenny W. Wu ¹, Arjan Barendregt ^{2,3}, Albert J.R. Heck ^{2,3}, and Willem J.H. van Berkel ^{1,*}

¹ Laboratory of Biochemistry, Wageningen University & Research, Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE Wageningen, The Netherlands; willem.vanberkel@wur.nl

² Biomolecular Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics, Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research and Utrecht Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 Utrecht, The Netherlands; a.j.r.heck@uu.nl

³ Netherlands Proteomics Center, Padualaan 8, 3584 Utrecht, The Netherlands; a.jr.heck@uu.nl

* Correspondence: willem.vanberkel@wur.nl; Tel.: +31-6-120-77313

Table S1. Raw kinetic data of the Proline:DCPIP assay of EE, Δ A, Δ AB, Δ ABC and helix α C variants V32D, Y35F and V36D. Each data point provided here is the average of three measurements. This kinetic data was used to determine the kinetic parameters K_m and k_{cat} , which are provided in Table 2.

	EE	ΔA	ΔAB	ΔABC
Proline (mM)	k_{obs} (s ⁻¹)			
0.5	0.07 ± 0.002	0.08 ± 0.018	0.14 ± 0.005	0.00 ± 0.000
2.5	0.33 ± 0.002	0.29 ± 0.012	0.64 ± 0.008	0.00 ± 0.000
5	0.65 ± 0.015	0.56 ± 0.016	1.16 ± 0.020	0.03 ± 0.002
10	1.36 ± 0.069	1.08 ± 0.000	2.06 ± 0.069	0.06 ± 0.002
20	2.11 ± 0.085	1.90 ± 0.044	3.53 ± 0.060	0.11 ± 0.009
35	3.01 ± 0.129	2.91 ± 0.038	4.90 ± 0.066	0.15 ± 0.004
50	4.06 ± 0.262	3.89 ± 0.008	6.20 ± 0.307	0.20 ± 0.001
75	5.54 ± 0.242	4.94 ± 0.043	7.59 ± 0.027	0.25 ± 0.009
100	6.22 ± 0.357	5.66 ± 0.100	8.38 ± 0.234	0.30 ± 0.014
150	6.89 ± 0.445	7.06 ± 0.274	10.09 ± 0.573	0.37 ± 0.011
200	7.00 ± 0.406	8.08 ± 0.121	10.38 ± 0.219	0.42 ± 0.010

	V32D	Y35F	V36D
Proline (mM)	k_{obs} (s ⁻¹)	k_{obs} (s ⁻¹)	k_{obs} (s ⁻¹)
0.5	0.01 ± 0.003	0.09 ± 0.009	0.00 ± 0.001
2.5	0.03 ± 0.005	0.35 ± 0.019	0.01 ± 0.002
5	0.05 ± 0.003	0.66 ± 0.017	0.02 ± 0.002
10	0.10 ± 0.010	1.16 ± 0.004	0.02 ± 0.003
20	0.20 ± 0.008	1.88 ± 0.183	0.03 ± 0.003
35	0.33 ± 0.009	2.82 ± 0.087	0.03 ± 0.005
50	0.45 ± 0.009	3.34 ± 0.137	0.04 ± 0.002
75	0.63 ± 0.020	3.95 ± 0.257	0.04 ± 0.003
100	0.75 ± 0.002	5.14 ± 0.489	0.05 ± 0.002
150	1.01 ± 0.042	6.94 ± 0.836	0.06 ± 0.001
200	1.26 ± 0.029	7.93 ± 0.445	0.07 ± 0.001

Figure S1. Sequence alignment of TtProDH, DrProDH, and the ProDH domains of BjPutA and GsPutA. The alignment was generated using Clustal Omega [1, 2]. The protein sequences were retrieved from the UniProt Knowledgebase (UniProtKB). Sequence accession numbers: TtProDH: Q72IB8, DrProDH: Q9RW55, BjPutA: A0A0H4LBV6, GsPutA: Q746X3. For BjPutA and GsPutA, only the sequence comprising the ProDH domain was used (BjPutA: residues 172 – 465 [3], GsPutA: residues 121 – 430 [4]). In green, the conserved Arg-Glu ion pair (Arg288-Glu65 in TtProDH), which is suggested to act as an active site gate, is shown.

References

1. Goujon, M.; McWilliam, H.; Li, W.; Valentin, F.; Squizzato, S.; Paern, J.; Lopez, R. A new bioinformatics analysis tools framework at EMBL–EBI. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2010**, *38*, W695–W699.
 2. Sievers, F.; Wilm, A.; Dineen, D.; Gibson, T.J.; Karplus, K.; Li, W.; Lopez, R.; McWilliam, H.; Remmert, M.; Söding, J., et al. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2011**, *7*, 1–6.
 3. Srivastava, D.; Schuermann, J.P.; White, T.A.; Krishnan, N.; Sanyal, N.; Hura, G.L.; Tan, A.; Henzl, M.T.; Becker, D.F.; Tanner, J.J. Crystal structure of the bifunctional proline utilization A flavoenzyme from *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 2878–2883.
 4. Singh, H.; Arentson, B.W.; Becker, D.F.; Tanner, J.J. Structures of the PutA peripheral membrane flavoenzyme reveal a dynamic substrate-channeling tunnel and the quinone-binding site. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 3389–3394.