

Article

Asperaculanes A and B, Two Sesquiterpenoids from the Fungus *Aspergillus aculeatus*

Yu-Qi Gao ^{1,2}, Chun-Jun Guo ², Qiang Zhang ¹, Wen-Ming Zhou ¹, Clay C. C. Wang ^{2,*} and Jin-Ming Gao ^{1,*}

- ¹ Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Natural Products Chemical Biology, College of Science, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi, China; E-Mails: gaoyuqi1989@gmail.com (Y.-Q.G.); zerkang@126.com (Q.Z.); zhouwenming2008@nwsuaf.edu.cn (W.-M.Z.)
- ² Department of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA; E-Mail: chunjung@usc.edu
- * Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mails: clayw@usc.edu (C.C.C.W.); jinminggao@nwsuaf.edu.cn (J.-M.G.); Tel.: +86-29-8709-2515 (ext. 123) (J.-M.G.); Fax: +86-29-8709-2226 (J.-M.G.).

Academic Editor: Nancy D. Turner

Received: 27 October 2014 / Accepted: 2 December 2014 / Published: 25 December 2014

Abstract: Six sesquiterpenoids 1–6, including two new ones, an *ent*-daucane-type sesquiterpenoid, asperaculane A (1), and a nordaucane one, asperaculane B (2), and four known nordaucane derivatives, aculenes A–D 3–6, together with the known secalonic acid D (7), were isolated from a fermentation culture of the fungus *Aspergillus aculeatus*. Their structures and absolute configurations were established by analyses of their spectroscopic data, including 1D and 2D-NMR spectra, HR-ESIMS, electronic circular dichroism (ECD) data, and quantum chemical calculations. These metabolites were evaluated for *in vitro* cytotoxic activity against two cell lines, human cancer cell lines (HeLa) and one normal hamster cell line (CHO).

Keywords: *Aspergillus aculeatus*; nordaucane sesquiterpenoids; *ent*-daucanes; natural products; structure elucidation

1. Introduction

Fungi are abundant sources of secondary metabolites with distinct structures and a broad range of intriguing biological activities [1–4]. The genus *Aspergillus* (Moniliaceae), with over 180 species, has attracted considerable attention as a rich source of alkaloids, terpenoids, xanthones, steroids, and polyketides, some of which showed antimicrobial, antifouling, antifeedant, phytotoxic, or other interesting bioactivities [5–10]. For example, *Aspergillus aculeatus* has been found to generate a variety of bioactive natural products, such as aculeacins A–G (antibiotics and antifungal agents) [11,12], CJ-15,183 (squalene synthase inhibitor and antifungal agent) [13], aspergillusol A (α-glucosidase inhibitor) [14], secalonic acids D and F (toxins) [15], asperparaline A [16], cytotoxic aculeatusquinones A–D [17], and two okaramine alkloids okaramines H and I [18].

In order to identify new bioactive natural compounds from various *Aspergillus* fungi, we studied the chemical constituents of the cultures of *A. aculeatus*. Herein we report the isolation and structure elucidation of two new sesquiterpenoids, named asperaculanes A (1) and B (2).

2. Results and Discussion

The CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (1:1) extract of solid cultures of *A. aculeatus* was evaporated, and the resulting residue was extracted with EtOAc. Purification of the EtOAc extracts by silicagel column chromatography and reversed-phase preparative HPLC afforded two new sesquiterpenens 1 and 2, along with the known metabolites 3-7 (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Chemical structures of compounds 1–7 from A. aculeatus.

2.1. Structure Elucidation of Compounds 1-2 and Absolute Configurations of 3-6

Asperaculane A (1) has the molecular formula C₁₅H₂₂O₄, with five degrees of unsaturation, as established by a quasimolecular ion peak at m/z 289.1418 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 289.1416) in HR-ESIMS. The UV spectrum shows absorption for α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acid or ketone at 241 nm. The IR spectrum has characteristic bands of hydroxyls and a conjugated carboxylic acid at 3345 and

1686 cm⁻¹, respectively. The presence of the two hydroxyl groups in **1** was supported by HR-ESIMS fragments at m/z 249.1490 [M+H-H₂O]⁺ and 231.1385 [M+H-2H₂O]⁺.

The ¹³C-NMR and DEPT spectra of **1** (Table 1) exhibited 15 signals due to two methyls, five sp³-hybridized methylenes (including one oxygenated), two sp³-methines (including one oxygenated), one sp²-methine (C-7, δ_C 121.3), one quaternary sp³-carbon (C-1, δ_C 48.2), and four quaternary sp²-carbon. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of **1** (Table 1) displayed signals from two isolated methyls including an allylic one (δ_H 1.81, s, Me-13), two methines (δ_H 3.04, d, J = 11.7 Hz, H-5; 3.82, br d, J = 2.3 Hz, H-10), a hydroxymethylene (δ_H 3.69, s), and an olefinic proton (δ_H 5.65, d, J = 5.9 Hz, H-7). The presence of the carbonyl group and two double bonds accounted for three of five degrees of unsaturation, indicating that **1** possesses two rings in the molecule. Analysis of the ¹H-¹H-COSY spectrum for **1** revealed the connectivity of three substructures; a (C-2 to C-3), b (C-5 to C-7), and c (C-9 to C-10), as shown in Figure 2. The HMBC correlations of H-2 with C-4/C-5, H-3 with C-1/C-5/C-11, H-13 with C-4/C-11/C-12, H-6 and H-9 with C-1/C-7/C-8, H-10 with C-1/C-2/C-8, H-14 with C-7/C-8/C-9, and H-15 with C-1/C-2/C-5/C-10, allowed us to define the planar structure of **1** with a daucane or carotane skeleton.

No.	1 <i>a</i>		6 ^b		2 <i>a</i>		
	δ _H (J in Hz)	δ _C	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (<i>J</i> in Hz)	δ _C	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (<i>J</i> in Hz)	δ _C	
1	—	50.1	_	56.8	—	56.5	
2	1.20, m 1,85 ^c	36.7	_	213.3	_	211.0	
3	2.52 ^{<i>c</i>} 2.72, dd (17.6, 7.0)	34.0	5.79, s	123.5	5.70, s	124.0	
4		162.7		186.2	—	184.1	
5	3.04, d (11.7)	44.8	3.52, d (12.6)	44.3	3.40, d (14.4)	44.0	
6	2.91, m 2.52 ^c	28.9	2.11, d (15.2) 2.53 ^c	25.5	2.03, d (14.8) 2.52 ^c	25.5	
7	5.65, d (5.9)	126.0	5.56, br d (5.7)	122.1	5.70 overlapped	121.3	
8		137.8		132.4	—	137.4	
9	2.34, d (17.0) 2.15, dd (17.0, 2.3)	36.1	2.32, d (18.4) 2.55 ^c	39.5	2.15, d (18.0) 2.32, ddd (18.4, 10.8, 4.4)	36.5	
10	3.82 br. d (2.3)	73.8	4.15, dd (2.6, 4.9)	68.8	4.00, d (2.4)	68.0	
11		122.7	2.4, q (7.3)	23.8	2.35, q (7.3)	24.0	
12	—	173.2	1.19, t (7.3)	10.3	1.08, t (7.3)	11.7	
13	1.81, s	16.7	—	—	—	—	
14	3.69 br. s	71.1	1.74, s	28.3	3.67, d (4.8)	68.9	
15	0.88, s	19.6	0.97, s	17.3	0.80, s	18.3	

1	12					
Fable 1. ¹ H-NMR and	¹³ C-NMR s	pectroscopi	ic data for	compounds	1, 6,	and 2 .

a.b: Data were recorded in DMSO-*d*₆, and MeOH-*d*₄, respectively; ^{*c*}: Signals were overlapped with each other or by solvents.



Figure 2. Key HMBC, COSY, and NOESY correlations of 1, 2, and 6.

The stereochemistry of **1** was deduced by a NOESY experiment in MeOH-*d*₄. The NOE correlations from H-10 α ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.82) and H-9 α ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.34) to Me-15 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 0.88), indicates that the Me-15 group was in the α -position, thereby implying the 10-OH is β -oriented, while the NOESY signal between H-9 β ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.15) and H-5 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.04), together with the absence of a NOE correlation between Me-15 and H-5, established H-5 as β . These data indicated that the bicyclic ring in **1** were *trans*-fused, as illustrated in Figure 1, providing more pieces of evidence that this compound belongs to the *ent*-daucane-type sesquiterpene. Additionally, the geometry for the $\Delta^{4(11)}$ olefin in **1** was assigned *E* on the basis of a marked NOESY cross peak between H-13 and H-5.

Quantum chemical calculations of electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra have been proven to be reliable tools in determining the absolute configurations of organic molecules [19]. The CD spectrum of **1** showed two Cotton effects, including a negative first Cotton effect at 229 nm (–13.3) and the positive Cotton effect at 261 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = +1.56$). To determine the absolute configuration of **1**, a comparison was made between the experimental and calculated CD spectra by the time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) method. Conformational searches were performed by Conflex6.7 with the MMFF94S force field [20]. Conformers within 3 kcal/mol were saved and further optimized at B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level in Gaussian 09 software package [21]. The stable conformers with populations greater than 1% and without imaginary frequencies were submitted to ECD calculation by the TDDFT [CAM-B3LYP/TZVP] method associated with CPCM solvent model in methanol. As a result, the calculated CD spectrum for **1** agreed well with that experimental one for **1** (Figure 3), and the absolute configuration of **1** was assigned as (1*R*,5*R*,10*S*). Thus, the structure of **1** was elucidated as 4*E*(11)-(1*R*,5*R*,10*S*)-10,14-dihydroxydauca-4(11),7-dien-13-carboxylic acid.

Compounds 3–5, and 7 were characterized as aculenes A, B, C, and secalonic acid D, respectively, based on HR-ESIMS, NMR data, Marfey's method [22] and comparison of their spectroscopic data with those reported in the literature [15,23]. Aculene D (6) had the molecular formula $C_{14}H_{20}O_2$, as deduced from HR-ESIMS data (*m*/*z* 243.1362 [M+Na]⁺). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data of 6 (Table 1) had the almost similar signals as 4, except for the absence of the signals of the proline moiety.

Its structure was confirmed by analysis of the COSY, HMQC, and HMBC experiments (Figure 2). The NOESY cross-peaks between H-10 α and Me-14 α indicated that the OH group at C-10 was β -oriented. This compound had been detected previously in the same organism by LC-HRMS [24], but no NMR data were reported.

The molecular formula $C_{14}H_{20}O_3$ of **2** was determined from HR-ESIMS. The NMR data of **2** (Table 1) were very similar to that of **6**. The major difference was that the methyl group at C-13 (H-13, $\delta_H 1.74$, s; $\delta_C 28.3$) in **6** was replaced by a hydroxymethylene one at C-13 (H-13, $\delta_H 3.67$, d, J = 4.8 Hz; $\delta_C 68.9$) in **2**. This deduction was supported by key HMBC correlations of H-13 ($\delta_H 3.67$) to C-7 ($\delta_C 121.3$), C-8 ($\delta_C 137.4$), and C-9 ($\delta_C 36.5$); 13-OH ($\delta_H 4.73$) to C-13 ($\delta_C 68.9$), C-8. The structure of **2** was confirmed by 2D NMR analysis (Figure 2). Biogenetically, the absolute configuration of **2** was concluded to be (-)-(1*R*,5*R*,10*S*)-**2**, the same sterechemistry as **1**. The structure of **2** was thus determined as (1*R*,5*R*,10*S*)-asperaculane B.

However, the absolute stereochemistries of **3**–**6** were unknown. To determine the absolute configuration of these compounds, we employed the experimental and calculated CD spectra. A comparison was made among the experimental and calculated CD spectra of (1R,10S,16S)-**3** and (1S,10R,16S)-**3**. The calculated CD spectrum for **3** agreed well with its experimental one (Figure 3). Thus, the absolute configuration of other chiral centers in **3** was assigned as 1R and 10S, and the structure of **3** was elucidated as (1R,10S,16S)-aculene A. Similarly, the absolute configuration of **5** was assigned as (1R,10S) from its well matched calculated and experimental CD spectra (Figure 3). Thus, the structure of **5** was established as (1R,10S)-aculene C, while the absolute configuration of **6** was assigned as (1R,5R,10S) from its well matched calculated and experimental CD spectra (Figure 3). Thus, the structure of **6** was established as (1R,5R,10S)-aculene D.



Figure 3. Experimental CD spectra of **1**, **3**–**6** in MeOH and theoretical CD spectra of **1**, **3**, **5**, and **6** calculated at CAM-B3LYP/TZVP//B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level, and **4** calculated at CAM-B3LYP/SVP//B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level as Boltzmann average.

Furthermore, theoretical CD spectra of 4 were calculated at CAM-B3LYP/SVP//B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level. The absolute configurations of chiral centers at C-1, C-5, C-10, and C-16 in 4 were established as 1*R*, 5*R*, 10*S*, and 16*S* from its well matched calculated and experimental CD spectra (Figure 3). Thus, the structure of 4 was determined as (1R, 5R, 10S, 16S)-aculene B.

The metabolites 1-6 were possibly biosynthesized from daucenes, [25] which could be sesquiterpenoid natural products of plants and fungi [24,25]. Compound 1 was proposed to be the precusor of the co-existing metabolites 2-6, which was formed via a series of decarboxylation, oxidations, and dehydrogenation of 1.

2.2. Cytotoxicity Assay

The isolated compounds 1–6 were all tested for their *in vitro* cytotoxic activities against one human cancer cell lines HeLa and one normal hamster cell line (CHO) using the SRB colorimetric assay [26]. None of the tested compounds showed any cytotoxicity below IC₅₀ values of 50 μ M.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. General Procedures

Optical rotations were measured on an Autopol III automatic polarimeter. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury Plus 400 spectrometer or a Bruker Avance III 500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to DMSO-*d*₆ (¹H, δ 2.50; ¹³C, δ 40.08) or MeOH-*d*₄ (¹H, δ 3.30; ¹³C, δ 49.1). ¹H-NMR data is reported as: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet), coupling constant, and integration. Infrared (IR) spectra were run on a Bruker Tensor spectrophotometer. High resolution electrospray ionization mass (HR ESIMS) was obtained on an Agilent 6210 time of flight LC-MS. Reactions were monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography on EMD silica gel-60F₂₅₄ plates. Flash chromatography was performed on EMD silica gel 60, 70–230 mesh. All reagents were used without further purification unless otherwise noted.

3.2. Fungal Material and Fermentation

The fungal strain *Aspergillus aculeatus* ATCC16872 v1.1 was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (DOE JGI). The fungus was cultivated at 30 °C on 40 solid YES plates at 2.25×10^6 spores per 15-cm plates (~0.1 mL of medium per plate). After 5 days, agar was cut into small pieces and put in two 2-liter flasks.

3.3. Extraction, Isolation and Purification

The solid agar was chopped into pieces and saturated twice in around 750 mL of 1:1 CH₂Cl₂/MeOH for 24 h. After filtration, the combined extract was evaporated *in vacuo* to obtain a residue, which was suspended in water (400–500 mL) and then partitioned with EtOAc three times. The combined EtOAc layer was evaporated *in vacuo* to yield a crude extract. The crude extract was applied to a silica gel column (Merck, 230 to 400 mesh, ASTM, 20×80 mm) and eluted with 400 mL CH₂Cl₂/MeOH mixtures

of increasing polarity (fraction A, 1:0; fraction B, 19:1; fraction C, 9:1; fraction D, 7:3). The LC-MS profiles of these fractions suggests that fraction C (947.7 mg) had most of the compouds.

Fraction C was further purified by reverse phase HPLC [Phenomenex Luna 5 μ m C18 (2), 250 × 10 mm] with a flow rate of 5.0 mL/min and measured by a UV detector at 254 nm. The gradient system was MeCN (solvent B) in 5% MeCN/H₂O (solvent A) both containing 0.05% TFA. The HPLC gradient condition is 0% to 40% B from 0 to 20 min, 40% to 100% B from 20 to 40 min, maintained at 100% B from 40 to 42 min, 100% to 0% B from 42 to 44 min.

Fraction 3 yielded 14 subfractions (3.1-3.14), among which six subfractions (3.2, 3.3, 3.6, 3.8, 3.10, 3.14) were further purified by semi preparative HPLC. Fractions 3.2, 3.10 and 3.14 afforded compounds **1** (15.7 mg), **6** (25.9 mg) and **7** (6.6 mg), respectively. Compounds **3** (32.6 mg), **4** (57.7 mg), **2** (3.3 mg) and **5** (6 mg) were obtained from subfractions 3.3, 3.6, and 3.8, respectively.

Asperaculane A (1). Yellowish-brown oily liquid; $[\alpha]_D^{12} = +27.0$ (c 0.13, MeOH); UV $\lambda_{max} = 241$ nm; CD (MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta\epsilon$): 229 (-13.3), 261 (+1.56); IR (KBr) v 3416, 2949, 2874, 1678, 1452, 1381, 1276, 1202, 1109, 1058, 1026 cm⁻¹; NMR data: see Table 1; HR-ESIMS (positive): *m/z* 289.1418 ([M+Na]⁺, C₁₅H₂₂O₄Na; calcd. 289.1416), 249.1490 [M+H-H₂O]⁺, 231.1385 [M+H-3×H₂O]⁺, 213.1280 [M+H-3×H₂O]⁺; HR-ESIMS (negative): *m/z* 265.1443 ([M-H]⁻, C₁₅H₂₁O₄; calcd. 265.1445).

Asperaculane B (2). Yellowish oily liquid; $[\alpha]_D^{12} = +94.5$ (c 0.24, MeOH); UV $\lambda_{max} = 236$ nm; CD (MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta\epsilon$): 213 (+8.19), 323 (-0.63); IR (KBr) v 3393, 2969, 2933, 1686, 1603, 1458, 1390, 1270, 1204, 1136, 1029 cm⁻¹; NMR data: see Table 1; HR-ESIMS: *m/z* 237.1484 ([M+H]⁺, C₁₄H₂₁O₃; calcd 237.1485), 259.1302 ([M+Na]⁺, C₁₄H₂₀O₃Na; calc 259.1310), 219.1379 [M+H-H₂O]⁺, 201.1272 [M+H-2×H₂O]⁺, 173.1319 [M+H-2×H₂O-CO]⁺.

Aculene A (**3**). Yellowish solid; $[\alpha]_D^{12} = +0.72$ (c 0.11, MeOH); UV $\lambda_{max} = 241$, 348 nm; CD (MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta\epsilon$): 242 (-12.5), 322 (+1.40), 362 (-5.28) nm; IR (KBr) v 3427, 2976, 2944, 1744, 1685, 1409, 1238, 1203, 1130, 1029, 835, 798, 721 cm⁻¹; HR-ESIMS (positive): *m/z* 316.1917 ([M+H]⁺, C₁₉H₂₆NO₃; calcd 316.1907).

Aculene B (4). Yellowish solid; $[\alpha]_D^{12} = +80.1$ (c 0.12, MeOH); UV $\lambda_{max} = 238$ nm; CD (MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta \epsilon$): 216 (+9.28), 257 (-0.61), 322 (-1.20); IR (KBr) v 3429, 2968, 2934, 1744, 1693, 1606, 1416, 1372, 1267, 1202, 1133, 1098, 864, 827, 799, 721 cm⁻¹; HR-ESIMS *m/z* 318.2073 ([M+H]⁺, C₁₉H₂₈NO₃; calcd 318.2064).

Aculene C (**5**). Yellowish oily liquid; $[\alpha]_D^{12} = -26.4$ (c 0.17, MeOH); UV $\lambda_{max} = 242, 353$ nm; CD (MeOH) λ_{max} ($\Delta \epsilon$): 200 (-1.85), 221 (+4.82), 242 (-1.88), 298 (+1.18), 360 (-1.05) nm; IR (KBr) v 3400, 2972, 2937, 1687, 1581, 1454, 1379, 1275, 1205, 1138, 1067, 1026, 871, 803, 723 cm⁻¹; HR-ESIMS: *m/z* 219.1387 [M+H]⁺ (C₁₄H₁₉O₂; calcd 219.1385).

Aculene D (6). Yellowish oily liquid; $[\alpha]_D^{12} = +112.7$ (c 0.10, MeOH); UV $\lambda_{max} = 241$ nm; CD (MeOH) $\lambda_{max} (\Delta \epsilon)$: 214 (+17.7), 265 (-0.46); 321 (-1.91); IR (KBr) v 3427, 2969, 2937, 1690, 1605, 1457, 1373, 1268, 1204, 1136, 1099, 1028, 866 cm⁻¹; NMR data: see Table 1; HR-ESIMS *m/z* 221.1542 ([M+H]⁺, C₁₄H₂₁O₂; calcd. 221.1542).

3.4. Absolute Configuration Determination

The stereochemistry of the proline residue was determined by the advanced Marfey's method (see the Supporting Information) [22].

3.5. Computational Section

A preliminary conformational search was performed on the basis of a molecular mechanical method by CONFLEX6.7 with the MMFF94S force field [20]. Stable Conformers (within 3 kcal/mol) of compound **3** were saved and further optimized at B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level in Gaussian 09 software package [21]. Other compounds (**1**, **4**, **5** and **6**) conformers were further optimized at B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level. Frequency was calculated at the same level of theory. The stable conformers with populations greater than 1% and without imaginary frequencies were submitted to ECD calculation by the TDDFT (CAM-B3LYP) method associated with CPCM solvent model in methanol. Compound **3** was calculated at SVP level, and the compounds **1**, **4**, **5** and **6** were calculated at TZVP level. The excitation energies (E), oscillator strength (f), rotatory strength in velocity form (Rvel), and rotatory strength in length form (Rlen) of the lowest 30 excited states were calculated. ECD spectra of different conformers were simulated using SpecDis [27] with a half-bandwidth of 0.3 eV. The final ECD spectra were generated according to the Boltzmann-calculated distribution of each conformer.

3.6. Cytotoxicity Assay

In vitro cytotoxicity of these isolated compounds was assessed by the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay as described previously by our group [26].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, six sesquiterpenoids, including a new *ent*-daucane sesquiterpenoid, asperaculane A (1) and five nordaucanes, a new asperaculane B (2) and four aculenes A–D (compounds 3–6), have been identified from the fungus *A. aculeatus*. The absolute configurations of 1-6 were determined for the first time by ECD. The present study revealed the asperaculane or aculene family is the antipode of most daucanes isolated from plant species. Asperaculane A (1) is the first example of an *ent*-daucane-type sesquiterpene found in natural products.

Supplementary Materials

2D-NMR and UV spectra for compounds 1-2 and aculene D (6) as well as the stereochemistry of the proline residue can be accessed at: http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/20/01/0325/s1.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (2015YFJZ0115).

Author Contributions

C.C.C.W. and J.-M.G. designed research; Y.-Q.G., C.-J.G., W.-M.Z. and Q.Z. performed research and analyzed the data; J.-M.G. wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Bugni, T.S.; Ireland, C.M. Marine-derived fungi: A chemically and biologically diverse group of microorganisms. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2004**, *21*, 143–163.
- 2. Gao, J.M.; Yang, S.X.; Qin, J.C. Azaphilones: Chemistry and biology. *Chem. Rev.* 2013, *113*, 4755–4811.
- 3. Bérdy, J. Thoughts and facts about antibiotics: Where we are now and where we are heading. *J. Antibiot.* **2012**, *65*, 385–395.
- 4. Gao, J.M. New biologically active metabolites from Chinese higher fungi. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *10*, 849–871.
- Saleem, M.; Ali, M.S.; Hussain, S.; Jabbar, A.; Ashraf, M.; Lee, Y.S. Marine natural products of fungal origin. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2007, 24, 1142–1152.
- 6. Larsen, T.O.; Smedsgaard, J.; Nielsen, K.F.; Hansen, M.E.; Frisvad, J.C. Phenotypic taxonomy and metabolite profiling in microbial drug discovery. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2005**, *22*, 672–695.
- 7. He, F.; Sun, Y.L.; Liu, K.S.; Zhang, X.Y.; Qian, P.Y.; Wang, Y.F.; Qi, S.H. Indole alkaloids from marine-derived fungus *Aspergillus sydowii* SCSIO 00305. *J. Antibiot.* **2012**, *65*, 109–111.
- Li, X.J.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, A.L.; Gao, J.M. Metabolites from *Aspergillus fumigatus*, an endophytic fungus associated with *Melia azedarach*, and their antifungal, antifeedant, and toxic activities. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 2012, *60*, 3424–3431.
- 9. Zhang, Q.; Wang, S.Q.; Tang, H.Y.; Li, X.J.; Zhang, L.; Xiao, J.; Gao, Y.Q.; Zhang, A.L.; Gao, J.M. Potential allelopathic indole diketopiperazines produced by the plant endophytic *Aspergillus fumigatus* using the one strain-many compounds method. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2013**, *61*, 11447–11452.
- 10. Xiao, J.; Zhang, Q.; Gao, Y.Q.; Shi, X.W.; Gao, J.M. Antifungal and antibacterial metabolites from an endophytic *Aspergillus* sp. associated with *Melia azedarach. Nat. Prod. Res.* **2014**, *28*, 1388–1392.
- 11. Mizuno, K.; Yagi, A.; Satoi, S.; Takada, M.; Hayashi, M. Studies on aculeacin. I. Isolation and characterization of aculeacin A. *J. Antibiot.* **1977**, *30*, 297–302.
- 12. Satoi, S.; Yagi, A.; Asano, K.; Mizuno, K.; Watanabe, T. Studies on aculeacin. II. Isolation and characterization of aculeacins B, C, D, E, F and G. J. Antibiot. **1977**, *30*, 303–307.
- Watanabe, S.; Hirai, H.; Ishiguro, M.; Kambara, T.; Kojima, Y.; Matsunaga, T.; Nishida, H.; Suzuki, Y.; Sugiura, A.; Harwood, H.J., Jr.; *et al.* CJ-15,183, a new inhibitor of squalene synthase produced by a fungus, *Aspergillus aculeatus. J. Antibiot.* 2001, 54, 904–910.
- Ingavat, N.; Dobereiner, J.; Wiyakrutta, S.; Mahidol, C.; Ruchirawat, S.; Kittakoop, P. Aspergillusol A, an α-glucosidase inhibitor from the marine-derived fungus *Aspergillus aculeatus*. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2009, 72, 2049–2052.

- 15. Raymond, A.; George, B.; Brunhilde, K.; Arnold, L.D. Secalonic acids D and F are toxic metabolites of *Aspergillus aculeatus*. J. Org. Chem. **1977**, 42, 352–353.
- 16. Ingavat, N.; Mahidol, C.; Ruchirawat, S.; Kittakoop, P. Asperaculin A, a sesquiterpenoid from a marine-derived fungus, *Aspergillus aculeatus*. J. Nat. Prod. **2011**, 74, 1650–1652.
- Chen, L.; Zhang, W.W.; Zheng, Q.H.; Liu, Q.Y.; Zhong, P.; Hu, X.; Fang, Z.X.; Zhang, Q.Q. Aculeatusquinones A-D, novel metabolites from the marine-derived fungus *Aspergillus aculeatus*. *Heterocycles* 2013, 87, 861–867.
- 18. Hayashi, H.; Furutsuka, K.; Shiono, Y. Okaramines H and I, new okaramine congeners, from *Aspergillus aculeatus*. J. Nat. Prod. **1999**, 62, 315–317.
- Bringmann, G.; Bruhn, T.; Maksimenka, K.; Hemberger, Y. The assignment of absolute stereostructures through quantum chemical circular dichroism calculations. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 2717–2727.
- 20. Goto, H.; Ohta, K.; Kamakura, T.; Obata, S.; Nakayama, N.; Matsumoto T.; Ōsawa, E. *Conflex Software*, Version 6.7; Conflex Corp.: Tokyo Yokohama, Japan, 2010.
- Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G.A.; *et al. Gaussian 09*, Revision D.01; Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2013.
- 22. Marfey, P. Determination of D-amino acids. II. Use of a bifunctional reagent, 1,5-difluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene. *Carlsberg Res. Commun.* **1984**, *49*, 591–596.
- Petersen, L.M.; Hoeck, C.; Frisvad, J.C.; Gotfredsen, C.H.; Larsen, T.O. Dereplication guided discovery of secondary metabolites of mixed biosynthetic origin from *Aspergillus aculeatus*. *Molecules* 2014, 19, 10898–108921.
- Dall'Acqua, S.; Linardi, M.A.; Maggi, F.; Nicoletti, M.; Petitto, V.; Innocenti, G.; Basso, G.; Viola, G. Natural daucane sesquiterpenes with antiproliferative and proapoptotic activity against human tumor cells. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2011, 19, 5876–5885.
- Wawrzyn, G.T.; Quin, M.B.; Choudhary, S.; López-Gallego, F.; Schmidt-Dannert, C. Draft genome of *Omphalotus olearius* provides a predictive framework for sesquiterpenoid natural product biosynthesis in Basidiomycota. *Chem. Biol.* 2012, *19*, 772–783.
- Dong, S.; Tang, J.J.; Zhang, C.C.; Tian, J.M.; Guo, J.T.; Zhang, Q.; Li, H.; Gao, J.M. Semisynthesis and *in vitro* cytotoxic evaluation of new analogues of 1-O-acetylbritannilactone, a sesquiterpene from *Inula britannica*. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2014, *80*, 71–82.
- 27. Bruhn, T.; Schaumloeffel, A.; Hemberger, Y.; Bringmann, G. SpecDis: Quantifying the comparison of calculated and experimental electronic circular dichroism spectra. *Chirality* **2013**, *25*, 243–249.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.

 \bigcirc 2014 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).