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#### Abstract

A series of 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives were designed and synthesized. The biological results showed that most of quinazoline derivatives exhibited potent antiproliferative activities against a panel of three tumor cell lines and a good inhibitory effect against the adhesion and migration of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs). Among these compounds, 11d was the most potent agent, that also exhibited the highest anti-angiogenesis activities in the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay.


Keywords: 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives; synthesis; anti-angiogenesis

## 1. Introduction

Angiogenesis, the physiological process through which new blood vessels form from pre-existing vessels, often provides nutrients and oxygen to energetically proliferating tumor cells to support solid tumor growth [1]. Thus, it plays a key role in tumor progression and metastasis. Recent reports have been shown that without angiogenesis, a solid tumor can only reach the size of $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, which is small enough to be treated with conventional cytotoxic chemotherapeutic drugs [2]. In the past two
decades, a number of angiogenesis inhibitors have been advanced into clinical development in cancer therapy, such as the fumagillin derivative TNP-470 [3], SU-5416 [4], angiostatin [5], and endostatin [6]. Therefore, the search for anti-cancer drugs targeting angiogenesis is a promising strategy for cancer therapy.

Quinazolines, which are heterocyclic compounds consisting of two closed six-membered aromatic rings, represent an important class of scaffolds are found in a number of naturally occurring and synthetic molecules possessing a broad spectrum of biological activities. Astra Zeneca's ZD6474 (vandetanib, Figure 1) is a novel heteroaromatic-substituted anilinoquinazoline that was discovered to be a potent and reversible inhibitor of VEGF receptor-2 (VEGFR-2), EGFR and RET tyrosine kinase (y) [7]. In preclinical trials, ZD6474 was shown to have broad spectrum antitumor activity in mouse models and was found to prevent the growth of tumors by inhibition of angiogenesis [8].

Figure 1. Structure of ZD6474 and 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives.


According to the structural features of ZD6474, we synthesized a series of 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives (Figure 1), hoping to screen for new and better inhibitors of angiogenesis. The approach was divided into two steps: (i) replacement of the C-4 anilino moiety of ZD6474 with a long side chain with a terminal amino group; (ii) introduction of another side chain such as an alkylaminoanilino side chain on the 2-position of the substituted aromatic group. This can significantly increase the ability of these compounds to form hydrogen bonds with the relevant desired receptors such as VEGFR-2, EGFR or RET tyrosine kinase. Their cytotoxicity against normal cell lines (human umbilical vein endothelial cell line, HUVEC) and tumor cell lines (CNE-2, PC-3, and SMMC-7721 cell lines) were evaluated. Furthermore, their anti-angiogenesis activities were examined by biophysical and biochemical assays, including cell adhesion, migration and chorioallantoic membrane of the chick (CAM) embryo assays.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

The synthetic route to the quinazoline derivatives is outlined in Scheme 1. The uncyclized amide intermediate 2 was obtained by amidating 2-nitrobenzoyl chloride with anthranilamide in trichloromethane. The heterocyclic skeleton of quinazolinone $\mathbf{3}$ was formed through one step reaction of substrate 2, with an oxidative ring closure reaction under basic conditions [9]. Then, treatment of the quinazolinone 3 with excess phosphorus oxychloride in refluxing toluene in the presence of
$N, N$-diethylaniline gave compound 4. Coupling of 3-(dimethylamino)-1-propylamine with quinazoline intermediate 4 in THF at $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, afforded compound 5. In order to obtain the amine functionality, compound $\mathbf{6}$ was prepared by treatment of nitro-substituted compound $\mathbf{5}$ with $80 \%$ hydrazine hydrate and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in isopropanol. Treatment of the amine-substituted compound $\mathbf{6}$ with acyl chlorides and potassium carbonate in dichloromethane gave compounds $7-9$ at $69 \%-85 \%$ yield. Finally, the target compounds 10a-i, 11a-e, 12a-e were obtained through aminolysis of the compounds 7, 8, and $\mathbf{9}$ under reflux through the treatment with the appropriate secondary amines.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of quinazoline derivatives.


Reagents and Conditions: (i) 2 equiv. of TEA, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, 2 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethylaniline, $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, toluene, reflux, 6 h ; (iv) 3-aminopropyldimethyl- amine, THF, reflux, 5 h ; (v) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, 80 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, isopropanol, reflux, 2 h ; (vi) $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 24 h ; (vii) $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, KI, ethanol, reflux.

### 2.2. Cytotoxicity Against Tumor Cells and HUVEC

In the early 80s, scientists proposed anti-angiogenesis as a potential target in cancer therapy [10]. Much research in the past 30 years has targeted tumor angiogenesis as a means of inhibiting the process of tumor-caused blood vessel formation. Endothelial cells, which come from the inner lining of blood vessels, makes up a fundamental part of new blood vessels as well as pre-existing ones. A complete angiogenesis process includes the proliferation, migration, and differentiation of endothelial cells, so a great deal of angiogenesis inhibitors discovered to date target endothelial cells. We thus employed a cell proliferation assay to evaluate the cytotoxicity of quinazoline derivatives against both normal (HUVEC) and tumor cell lines (CNE-2, PC-3, and SMMC-7721 cell lines) so we can screen some molecules that have cytotoxicity against tumor cells but low cytotoxicity against HUVECs. Meanwhile, an appropriate concentration range of these compounds could be determined to further study their inhibitive effects against the proliferation, migration, and differentiation of HUVECs. The cytotoxic activities of quinazoline derivatives against human tumor cell lines CNE-2 (human nasopharyngeal
cancer), PC-3 (human prostatic carcinoma), SMMC-7721 (human liver cancer) and Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVECs) were determined by using the MTT cytotoxicity assay. The results showed that most of the 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives possessed high cytotoxicity against human tumor cell lines and moderate cytotoxicity against HUVECs. Table 1 showed the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (cytotoxicity potency indexes) of all the derivatives against four types of cells lines.

Table 1. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ cytotoxicity values $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ of the quinazoline derivatives against tumor cells and HUVEC.

|  | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ompd | CNE-2 | PC-3 | $\mathbf{7 7 2 1}$ | HUVEC | Compd | CNE-2 | PC-3 | $\mathbf{7 7 2 1}$ | HUVEC |
| $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ | $13.6 \pm 0.2$ | $16.8 \pm 0.1$ | $18.1 \pm 0.2$ | $45.7 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 1 d}$ | $9.3 \pm 0.2$ | $9.8 \pm 0.3$ | $10.9 \pm 0.2$ | $47.2 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ | $14.9 \pm 0.2$ | $16.7 \pm 0.2$ | $17.9 \pm 0.4$ | $39.3 \pm 0.3$ | $\mathbf{1 1 e}$ | $20.1 \pm 0.2$ | $19.6 \pm 0.2$ | $20.9 \pm 0.3$ | $84.9 \pm 0.3$ |
| 10c | $17.1 \pm 0.4$ | $17.6 \pm 0.1$ | $19.4 \pm 0.1$ | $56.2 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ | $17.3 \pm 0.3$ | $17.7 \pm 0.2$ | $16.5 \pm 0.1$ | $41.7 \pm 0.3$ |
| 10d | $10.5 \pm 0.3$ | $11.3 \pm 0.5$ | $10.4 \pm 0.1$ | $38.7 \pm 0.1$ | $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ | $16.4 \pm 0.3$ | $18.9 \pm 0.4$ | $15.3 \pm 0.2$ | $39.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| 10e | $19.7 \pm 0.2$ | $20.5 \pm 0.3$ | $22.6 \pm 0.2$ | $79.8 \pm 0.1$ | $\mathbf{1 2 c}$ | $19.9 \pm 0.2$ | $17.1 \pm 0.3$ | $17.4 \pm 0.1$ | $44.5 \pm 0.2$ |
| 11a | $14.2 \pm 0.1$ | $18.1 \pm 0.2$ | $19.9 \pm 0.4$ | $57.4 \pm 0.5$ | $\mathbf{1 2 d}$ | $11.4 \pm 0.1$ | $10.9 \pm 0.2$ | $10.3 \pm 0.5$ | $40.2 \pm 0.2$ |
| 11b | $14.3 \pm 0.1$ | $17.4 \pm 0.1$ | $15.1 \pm 0.2$ | $46.3 \pm 0.3$ | $\mathbf{1 2 e}$ | $20.6 \pm 0.2$ | $21.9 \pm 0.2$ | $20.8 \pm 0.3$ | $76.3 \pm 0.2$ |
| 11c | $16.8 \pm 0.4$ | $18.7 \pm 0.3$ | $13.8 \pm 0.1$ | $66.8 \pm 0.3$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Data derived from the mean of three independent assays.

The results indicate that the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values on the tested tumor cells are in the range of $10-40 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Among all the derivatives, 11d was the most potent in inhibiting the tumor cell proliferation with the lowest $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $9.3 \pm 0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CNE}-2), 9.8 \pm 0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (PC-3) and $10.9 \pm 0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (SMMC-7721), respectively. Compound 10e exhibited the lowest cytotoxicity to the various cancer cells lines. Comparing with quinazoline derivatives 11d, 12d and 10d, which had the same $R$ group, it was found compound 10d was much less cytotoxic against HUVECs than 11d and 12d, although they had comparative cytotoxicity against tumor cells. As for the structure-activity relationships, the $n=2$ amide side chain analogues of compounds ( $\mathbf{1 1}$ series) show the highest cytotoxicity against human tumor cell lines, while no significant effect on $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values was observed with the extension of the amide side chain $(\mathrm{n}>2)$. On the other hand, alterations of the basic terminus of the amide side chains show that the $N$-methylpiperazino analogues (10d, 11d and 12d) had the most enhanced cytotoxicity for human tumor cell lines compared to other haloalkanamido-substituted compounds. All the above results showed that the cytotoxicity of these quinazoline derivatives was closely related to their R group and the length of the side chains.

### 2.3. Inhibition of HUVEC Adhesion

A perfect angiogenesis inhibitor must be show lower toxicity toward HUVECs, while showing high inhibitive capability towards the proliferation, migration, and differentiation of HUVECs. To assess the inhibitory effects of 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives, three assays were used: HUVEC adhesion assay, HUVEC migration assay and CAM assay. Firstly, to test the inhibitory effects of quinazoline derivatives on the attachment of endothelial cells to type I collagen, cell adhesion assays were carried out.

As shown in Table 2, most compounds inhibited HUVEC adhesion to collagen. Most of the quinazoline derivatives significantly inhibited HUVEC adhesion at both 1 h and 3 h . Compound 11d
showed the most potent inhibition of HUVEC adhesion, with an inhibitory rate of as much as $65.8 \% \pm 0.2 \%$ at a dose of $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $71.2 \% \pm 0.1 \%$ at a dose of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ after 3 h incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound 12d showed similar results with 11d for the experiment, while 10d, with the shortest amide side chains and the same basic terminus as 11d showed an average inhibition of HUVEC adhesion, with a inhibitory rate of $63.7 \% \pm 0.2 \%$ at a dose of $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $63.4 \% \pm 0.3 \%$ at a dose of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ when 3 h incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Alterations of the basic terminus of the amide side chains show that the $N$-methylpiperazino analogues had strongly enhanced inhibition of HUVEC adhesion compared to the piperidino, pyrrolidino and diethylamino analogues, with the less basic morpholino analogues proving favorable to HUVEC adhesion. These results demonstrated the importance of then amide side chain length and basicity for potent inhibition of HUVEC adhesion.

Table 2. The inhibitory effects of compounds on adhesion of HUVEC on collagen at a dose of $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

| Compd. | 1 h Inhibition Rate \% |  | 3 h Inhibition Rate \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dose $\mathbf{1 5} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | Dose 30 $\mathbf{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | Dose $\mathbf{1 5} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ | Dose 30 $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ |
| 10a | $26.7 \pm 0.1$ | $31.6 \pm 0.3$ | $29.2 \pm 0.2$ | $37.6 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ | $28.3 \pm 0.1$ | $33.0 \pm 0.2$ | $27.8 \pm 0.2$ | $34.8 \pm 0.2$ |
| 10c | $18.9 \pm 0.3$ | $27.8 \pm 0.2$ | $16.4 \pm 0.4$ | $29.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| 10d | $34.8 \pm 0.2$ | $44.6 \pm 0.4$ | $32.5 \pm 0.4$ | $47.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| 10e | nd | $14.3 \pm 0.1$ | $7.9 \pm 0.3$ | $17.6 \pm 0.3$ |
| 11a | $37.4 \pm 0.3$ | $49.6 \pm 0.5$ | $38.2 \pm 0.1$ | $59.3 \pm 0.1$ |
| 11b | $35.4 \pm 0.3$ | $54.3 \pm 0.3$ | $35.2 \pm 0.3$ | $51.7 \pm 0.2$ |
| 11c | $29.9 \pm 0.2$ | $38.7 \pm 0.2$ | $29.4 \pm 0.2$ | $36.3 \pm 0.4$ |
| 11d | $47.4 \pm 0.1$ | $65.8 \pm 0.2$ | $53.3 \pm 0.3$ | $71.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| 11e | $27.4 \pm 0.3$ | $32.3 \pm 0.2$ | $25.6 \pm 0.3$ | $36.4 \pm 0.3$ |
| 12a | $33.6 \pm 0.2$ | $57.9 \pm 0.3$ | $40.6 \pm 0.3$ | $61.2 \pm 0.3$ |
| 12b | $29.8 \pm 0.1$ | $49.3 \pm 0.1$ | $38.4 \pm 0.2$ | $60.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| 12c | $24.2 \pm 0.3$ | $44.3 \pm 0.2$ | $27.9 \pm 0.2$ | $49.5 \pm 0.2$ |
| 12d | $46.8 \pm 0.2$ | $63.7 \pm 0.2$ | $47.5 \pm 0.3$ | $63.4 \pm 0.3$ |
| 12e | $26.5 \pm 0.2$ | $34.7 \pm 0.2$ | $17.2 \pm 0.4$ | $39.4 \pm 0.2$ |

Data derived from the mean of three independent assays; nd means no results due to no inhibition.

### 2.4. Inhibition of HUVEC Migration

According to the HUVEC adhesion assay results in which most of the 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives showed better inhibitory effects against HUVEC adhesion, compounds 10d, 11a-e, 12d were chosen to evaluate their inhibition against HUVEC migration in a HUVEC wounding assay which is an important procedure in tube formation and vessel sprouting. Compounds were tested at concentrations of both $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and all seven compounds tested in this assay could inhibit HUVEC migration. Five derivatives, 11a-e, with a longer side chain in the 2-position of the parent structure C, showed a greatly inhibitory potential on HUVEC migration at $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 2), and the inhibitory effects were more significant when the concentration of these compounds was increased to $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Among all these compounds, 11d showed the best inhibitory activity. As for the structureactivity relationship, the results from these migration experiments suggest that quinazoline derivatives
bearing the longer amide chains ( $\mathrm{n} \geq 2$ ) should have potent inhibitory activity against HUVEC migration, which further supported the results of the adhesion studies indicating the importance of amide side chain length.

Figure 2. The inhibitory effects of quinazoline derivatives on HUVEC migration at doses of $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$.


### 2.5. 2,4-Disubstituted Quinazoline Derivatives Inhibits Angiogenesis in Vivo in the CAM Assay

Based on the HUVEC cytotoxicity, migration, and adhesion assay results, some 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives (compounds 10d, 11a-d, 12d) possessing moderate cytotoxicity and potent inhibitive effect against the adhesion and migration of HUVEC, were chosen to assess their anti-angiogenesis activities by an in vivo CAM assay. CAMs treated with $0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ solution (negative control) were surrounded by all antoic vessels as newly-formed capillaries converging radially toward the sponge in a "spoked wheel" pattern (number of vessels $24 \pm 3$ ). As shown in Figure 3, there was a significant reduction of the angiogenic response when quinazoline derivatives were added to the CAMs. Among all CAMs treated with 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives, compounds 11d, 12d show excellent inhibition of angiogenesis in chick embryos, which further supports the cytotoxicity, adhesion and migration results. A comparison of the CAM assay results with the reference thalidomide (a clinical anti-tumor drug targeting tumor angiogenesis) and all the compounds tested revealed that these quinazoline derivatives show better inhibition of angiogenesis than thalidomide [11].

Figure 3. The results of CAM assay. NaCl solution ( $0.9 \%$ ) and thalidomide were used as a negative control and a positive control, respectively. Representative pictures of independent experiments performed in duplicate for the quinazoline derivatives 10d, 11a-d and $\mathbf{1 2 d}$ doses and controls. All filter discs had the same size, and any visual size differences are due to the various distances from which pictures were taken.


## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. General Information

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 400 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 101 MHz ) spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 NMR instrument with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Only new compounds' NMR data is given. MS spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu LC-MS-2010A spectrometer. Melting points were determined on an X-6 microscope melting point instrument and are uncorrected.

N-[2-(Aminocarbonyl)phenyl]-2-nitrobenzamide (2). 2-Nitrobenzoic acid (11.04 g, 54.9 mmol ) and thionyl chloride ( 50 mL ) were mixed and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to cool at room temperature, followed by the evaporation of excess thionyl chloride in vacuo. The resulting wine red solution was taken and added dropwise to a solution of anthranilamide ( $\mathbf{1} ; 9.36 \mathrm{~g}, 76.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $27.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 153.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform ( 200 mL ) and stirred at room temperature for 5 h . The precipitated solid was collected by filtration, washed with ethanol, and dried. Recrystallization from ethanol afforded the product $2(15.1 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%)$ as a white solid; mp 219-221 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}\right.$ ) $\delta=12.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04-7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta=170.63,162.27,148.00,138.95,135.72$, 133.61, $132.35,130.45,129.92,128.68,124.56,123.52,120.63,120.38$. ESI-MS $m / z: 320[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

2-(2-Nitrophenyl)-3H-quinazolin-4-one (3). A mixture of benzamide 2 ( $12 \mathrm{~g}, 37.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{KOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to reflux for 2 h . Ethanol was removed in vacuo, and the aqueous layer was acidified with hydrochloric acid to pH 3 to give a solid residue. The solid was filtered and washed with water, which was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (3:1) to furnish pure $3(11.2 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$ as a white solid; mp $263-264{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \delta=12.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta=161.46,150.60,148.30,148.18,135.66,134.55,133.53,132.99$, 127.70, 127.26, 127.15, 125.86, 124.41, 121.19. ESI-MS $m / z: 302[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

2-(4-Chloro-2-nitrophenyl)quinazoline (4). To a mechanically stirred suspension of $\mathbf{3}(10 \mathrm{~g}, 33.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 100 mL ) was added $N, N$-diethylaniline $(8,2 \mathrm{~g}, 55.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by phosphorus oxychloride ( $6.1 \mathrm{~g}, 39.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was then heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , allowed to cool then washed with iced water ( 200 mL ). The organic layer was then washed sequentially with $20 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, iced water $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The organic solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ (15:1) to give $4(5.1 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%)$ as a colorless powder. The aqueous layers were combined and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was reduced in vacuo to yield a yellow solid, which was purified by column chromatography as above, providing further product to give a total yield of $6.5 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%)$. mp $189-191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta=8.36-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.23-8.17 (m, 2H), $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.1,5.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta=162.69,157.00,151.29,150.32,136.63,135.33,132.94,132.06,130.16,129.47,129.00,125.82$, 124.35, 122.50. ESI-MS $m / z: 321[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

N1-(2-(2-Nitrophenyl)quinazolin-4-yl)-N3,N3-dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine (5). To a suspension of 4 $(5 \mathrm{~g}, 15.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dried THF ( 100 mL ) was slowly added dried 3-(dimethylamino)-1-propylamine $(5.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The mixture was refluxed for 5 h , at which point TLC analysis indicated reaction completion. After concentration in vacuo, the resulting residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(30: 1)$ to give $5(3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%)$ as a white solid. mp $179-181^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=159.58,158.07$, $150.86,149.87,135.18,132.62,132.51,132.45,131.33,128.50,126.17,123.53,121.14,114.15,59.46$, 45.36, 42.16, 24.64. ESI-MS m/z: $386[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

N1-(2-(2-Aminophenyl)quinazolin-4-yl)-N3,N3-dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine (6). A mixture of compound $\mathbf{5}(10 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in isopropanol $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $80 \%$ hydrazine hydrate $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a suspension of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in chilled isopropanol $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the suspension was refluxed for 2 h . After the reaction was complete, the mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, washed with isopropanol and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was then suspended in $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { aq) }}$ solution $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organics dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then removed in vacuo. The solid obtained was dried under vacuum to give $\mathbf{6}$ $(8.7 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a white powder. $\mathrm{mp} 182-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=161.56,158.98,149.96,149.33,136.28,132.59,132.12,127.96,125.05$, $120.95,118.78,116.51,115.96,113.71,59.72,45.48,42.44,24.76$. ESI-MS m/z: $356[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

General acylation procedure. A solution of the appropriate acid halide ( 9.6 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added during to a well-stirred mixture of the amino-substituted compound $6(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.6 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a ice bath. The reaction was then allowed to warm up to RT, then stirred overnight. After cooling to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the precipitate formed was filtered off and was further purified by flash column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (10:1) elution to give the compounds 7-9.

2-Chloro-N-(2-(4-(3-(dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)acetamide (7). Compound 6 was treated with chloroacetyl chloride according to general acylation procedure to afford 7 as a white solid in $85 \%$ yield; mp $227-228{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $8.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ (ddd, $J=8.3,7.0$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (dd, $J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ (dd, $J=11.0,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=165.43,160.27$, $159.32,148.24,139.93,136.52,132.56,131.94,127.54,125.95,123.37,121.10,120.39,113.92,59.82$, 45.44, 43.79, 42.62, 24.47. ESI-MS $m / z: 432[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

3-Chloro-N-(2-(4-(3-(dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)propanamide (8). Using the general acylation procedure compound $\mathbf{6}$ was treated with 3-chloropropanoyl chloride to afford $\mathbf{8}$ as a white solid in $73 \%$ yield; $\mathrm{mp} 181-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.97(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 169.96,160.30,159.12,147.56,139.90$, $133.13,130.85,130.41,126.81,126.00,122.97,122.73,122.17,119.57,113.31,56.93,45.00,44.80$, 34.76, 28.14, 26.12. ESI-MS $m / z: 446[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-Chloro-N-(2-(4-(3-(dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)butanamide (9). Compound $\mathbf{6}$ was treated with 4-chlorobutyryl chloride according to the general acylation procedure to afford $\mathbf{9}$ as a white solid in $69 \%$ yield; mp $156-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=170.56,160.34,159.00,147.86,141.09,136.62,132.59,131.77,127.30,125.93,122.34$, $121.68,121.20,119.78,113.83,59.77,44.66,42.70,35.29,28.29,24.45$. ESI-MS $m / z: 460[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$

General aminolysis procedure. To a stirred refluxing suspension of the chloride compounds 7, $\mathbf{8}$ or $\mathbf{9}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{KI}(0.08 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise the appropriate secondary amine $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 5 h , cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then diluted with distilled water, filtered, and washed with ether and water, then evaporated under vacuum. The crude solid was purified by chromatography with petroleum ether/ EtOAc elution to afford 10a-e, 11a-e and 12a, 12d, 12e.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(piperidin-1-yl)acetamide (10a). Compound 7 was treated with excess piperidine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford 10 a as a white solid in $78 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (20:1) elution; mp $180-182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=13.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46$ (dt, $J=10.9,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=170.12,160.56,159.41,148.66,140.14,136.35$, 132.17, 131.77, 128.41, 125.85, 123.76, 122.69, 121.02, 120.66, 113.84, 65.22, 59.93, 54.81, 45.50, 42.73, 25.28, 24.45, 23.84. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z 479.2326$, found 479.2320. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 62.57$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.07$; N, 16.84. Found: C, 62.46; H , 7.03; N, 16.84.

N-(2-(4-(3-(dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)acetamide (10b). Compound 7 was treated with excess pyrrolidine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ as a white solid in $74 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (20:1) elution; mp 174-175 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=13.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.12 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ (dd, $J=10.0,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43$ (s, 2H), 2.68 (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=170.55,160.50$, $159.38,148.72,140.36,136.42,131.99,131.76,128.01,125.79,123.54,122.66,121.01,120.69$, $113.80,62.22,59.78,54.52,45.44,42.53,24.51,23.93$. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z 465.2170$, found 465.2162. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 61.91$; H, 6.86; N, 17.33. Found: C, 61.88; H, 6.53; N, 17.38.
$N$-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(diethylamino)acetamide (10c). Compound 7 was treated with excess diethylamine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford 10 c as a white solid in $81 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (20:1) elution; mp 10-172 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=13.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=172.03,160.53,159.44,148.88,140.01,136.26,132.04,131.93,128.02$, 125.71, 124.22, 122.73, 121.02, 120.86, 113.85, 59.92, 58.81, 49.09, 45.51, 42.65, 24.53, 11.64. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z$ 467.2326, found 467.2319. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 61.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.24 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.26$. Found: C, $61.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.21 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.04$.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)acetamide (10d). Compound 7 was treated with excess $N$-methylpiperazine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford $\mathbf{1 0 d}$ as a white solid in $66 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) elution; mp 174-175 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DCl}_{3}\right) \delta=13.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.86(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.67-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta=169.36,160.52,159.45,148.55,139.93,136.37,132.42,131.75,128.46,126.01,123.79,122.85$, $121.29,120.67,113.86,64.20,59.29,54.15,53.35,45.80,45.20,42.04,24.31$. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z 494.2435$, found 494.2421. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 60.75; H, 7.06; N, 19.07. Found: C, 60.66; H, 6.97; N, 18.89.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-2-morpholinoacetamide
(10e).
Compound 7 was treated with excess morpholine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford 10 e as a white solid in $78 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (20:1) elution; mp $179-181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=13.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=168.97,160.56,159.40,148.43,140.04,136.45,132.35,131.83,128.02,126.02,123.51$, 122.86, 121.22, 120.55, 113.89, 66.34, 64.81, 59.95, 53.78, 45.51, 42.80, 24.41. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ requires $m / z 481.2119$, found 481.2107. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 62.17; H, 6.47; N, 17.40. Found: C, 62.24; H, 6.36; N, 17.55.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-3-(piperidin-1-yl)propanamide (11a). Compound 8 was treated with excess piperidine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford 11a as a white solid in $69 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) elution; mp $178-179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=170.93,160.60,159.11,148.02,141.16,136.75,132.58,131.74,127.45$, $125.93,122.33,121.79,121.21,120.01,113.86,59.94,54.99,54.42,45.51,42.83,36.52,25.97,24.39$, 24.30. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z$ 493.2483, found 493.2472. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ : C, $65.51 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.13 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.98$. Found: C, $65.70 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.24 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.86$.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-3-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propanamide (11b).
Compound 8 was treated with excess pyrrolidine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ as a white solid in $71 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) elution; mp $163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.9,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta=170.62,160.68,159.16,148.07,141.15,136.84,132.64,131.73,127.50,125.94,122.39,121.81$, $121.19,120.09,113.88,59.96,54.18,52.08,45.51,42.84,38.41,24.40,23.52$. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z$ 479.2326, found 479.2318. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ : C, 64.92; H, 6.91; N, 17.47. Found: C, 65.02; H, 6.68; N, 17.55.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-3-(diethylamino)propanamide (11c). Compound $\mathbf{8}$ was treated with excess diethylamine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford 11c as a white solid in $60 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) elution; mp $158-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,6.1,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09$ (dd, $J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.68$ (m, 2H), $2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=171.05,160.60,159.10,147.99,141.19,136.74,132.56,131.74,127.35$, 125.93, 122.31, 121.74, 121.24, 119.95, 113.87, 59.90, 48.93, 47.01, 45.49, 42.73, 36.64, 24.41, 11.90. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z 481.2483$, found 481.2472. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 62.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.44 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.77$. Found: C, 62.15; H, 7.57; N, 16.63.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propanemide (11d). Compound $\mathbf{8}$ was treated with excess N -methylpiperazine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford $\mathbf{1 1 d}$ as a white solid in $44 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (10:1) elution; mp 167-168 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.07$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.2,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta=170.62,160.71,159.19,148.08,141.12,136.87,132.69,131.77,127.40,125.98,122.46,121.85$, $121.28,120.12,113.92,59.92,55.08,54.20,52.99,46.01,45.51,42.80,36.39,24.42$. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z$ 508.2592, found 508.2588. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.58$; H, 7.11; N, 19.22. Found: C, 63.49; H, 7.26; N, 19.36.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-3-morpholinopropanamide (11e). Compound 8 was treated with excess morpholine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford (11e) as a white solid in $67 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) elution; mp $165-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,5.2,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=170.52,160.59,159.12,147.94,141.04,136.77,132.63,131.77,127.20$, 125.99, 122.46, 121.80, 121.34, 120.02, 113.88, 66.88, 59.72, 54.61, 53.53, 45.46, 42.61, 36.28, 24.44. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ requires $m / z 495.2275$, found 495.2271. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 60.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.32$. Found: C, $60.51 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.36$.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-4-(piperidin-1-yl)butanamide (12a). Compound 9 was treated with excess piperidine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford 12a as a white solid in $73 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) elution; mp $159-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,6.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 2.53-2.46 (m, 2H), 2.46-2.41 (m, 4H), 2.41 (s, 6H), 2.04 (dt, $J=14.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.92 (dt, $J=11.3,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta=171.94,160.74,159.20,148.17,141.29,136.90,132.64,131.77,127.54,125.94,122.33,121.81$, $121.23,120.05,113.92,60.00,58.70,54.56,45.53,42.86,36.90,25.95,24.45,22.94$. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z$ 507.2639, found 507.2629. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 66.06$; H, 7.33; N, 16.51. Found: C, 66.10; H, 7.49; N, 16.32.
$N$-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)propanamide (12b).
Compound 9 was treated with excess pyrrolidine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ as a white solid in $66 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) elution; mp $162-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81-8.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.78-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.2,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.95-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.76,161.30,159.18,148.24,140.35$, $132.45,130.95,130.69,127.42,125.75,123.68,122.26,121.25,120.26,113.77,59.59,55.83,54.07$, 45.45, 42.40, 36.92, 25.19, 24.68, 23.45. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for (M-H) ${ }^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z$ 507.2639, found 507.2629. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 66.06$; H, 7.33; N, 16.51. Found: C, 66.10; H, 7.49; N, 16.32.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-4-(diethylamino)propanamide (12c). Compound 9 was treated with excess diethylamine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford 12c as a white solid in $63 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) elution; mp $159-161{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36$ (dd, $J=11.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.12-7.03$ (m, 1H), 3.81 (dd, $J=9.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 170.79,161.43,159.14$, $148.27,140.27,132.51,131.02,130.64,127.49,125.55,123.73,122.34,121.59,120.34,113.78$, 53.54, 48.96, 46.98, 42.97, 36.53, 24.23, 11.43. HRMS (ESI): Cacld for (M-H) $)^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 475.3185$, found 475.3186 .
$N$-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)butanami-de
12d. The compound 9 was treated with excess $N$-methyl piperazine according to general aminolysis procedure to afford $\mathbf{1 2 d}$ as a white solid in $58 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (10:1) elution; mp $155-157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (ddd, $J=8.2,5.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.75-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $2.36-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta=171.86,160.64,159.12,148.05,141.26,136.80,132.60,131.75,127.42,125.91,122.26,121.71$, $121.26,119.95,113.89,59.89,57.81,55.10,53.11,45.99,45.49,42.76,36.75,24.41,22.93$. HRMS
(ESI): Calcd for $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)$ requires $m / z 522.2748$, found 522.2747. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 64.17$; H, 7.31; N, 18.71. Found: C, 64.03; H, 7.26; N, 18.59.

N-(2-(4-(3-(Dimethylamino)propylamino)quinazolin-2-yl)phenyl)-4-morpholinobutanamide
(12e).
Compound 9 was treated with excess morpholine according to the general aminolysis procedure to afford 12e as a white solid in $65 \%$ yield after column chromatography with petroleum ether/EtOAc (15:1) elution; mp $152-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=14.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ (ddd, $J=8.2,5.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ (dd, $J=10.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=171.82,160.65,159.12,148.02,141.24,136.83$, $132.60,131.77,127.30,125.96,122.32,121.68,121.32,119.93,113.89,66.97,59.81,58.27,53.67$, 45.48, 42.68, 36.62, 24.43, 22.52. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for ( $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-}\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ requires $m / z$ 509.2432, found 509.2429. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 63.45 ; H, 6.90; N, 16.44. Found: C, 63.31; H, 7.05; N, 16.51.

### 3.2. Materials and Biological Assays

Stock solutions of all quinazoline derivatives $(10 \mathrm{mM})$ were made with DMSO and stored at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All tumor cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA). The cell culture was maintained in a RPMI-1640 or DMEM medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, and $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin in $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ culture flasks at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Chick embryos were purchased from South China Agricultural University (SCAU).

### 3.2.1. Methyl Thiazolyl Tetrazolium (MTT) Assay

HUVEC, CNE-2, PC-3, and 7721 cell lines were seeded on 96 -well plates at a concentration of 5,000 cells per well and exposed to various concentrations of quinazoline derivatives. After 48 h of treatment at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ MTT solution ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to each well and further incubated for 4 h . Each well was then added dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and the optical density (OD) was recorded at 490 nm . All measurements were done in triplicate, and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were derived from the mean OD values of the triplicate tests versus drug concentration curves [12,13].

### 3.2.2. Cell Adhesion Assay

Cell adhesion assay was carried out by a reported method [14,15] with slight modification. Wells of a 96-well plate were coated at room temperature overnight with rat trail tendon collagen type I ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) in PBS in a final volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The wells were washed three times with PBS $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then blocked with $0.2 \%$ bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a cell culture incubator. The wells were then washed three times with PBS $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. HUEVCs were detached from the dish, resuspended in DMEM, and added ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10^{4}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ) to each well followed by the related compounds ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) at various concentrations. The plates were incubated for 1 h or 3 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and
then washed three times with PBS $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The attached cells were fixed and stained with $0.2 \%$ crystal violet in $20 \%$ methanol for 10 min , then washed three times with PBS ( 100 mL ). The cells were solubilized by $2 \%$ SDS and the OD was measured at 570 nm . Attachment was determined by both 1 and 3 h as described above. Each compound was tested in triplicate and each experiment was repeated three times.

### 3.2.3. Cell Migration Assay

The HUVEC cell line was seeded on a pre-treated 48 -well culture plate at a concentration of $2 \times 10^{4}$ cells per well in a volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, and a portion of the cell monolayer was scraped off with a sterile disposable rubber policeman [15-17]. After the DMEM medium was removed, the cells in each well were then washed three times with PBS (phosphate-buffer saline), and fresh medium was added to each well. The wells were then tested after incubation for 24 h with or without quinazoline derivatives at various concentrations. Two random pictures were taken for each wound using a microscope. The area of the migrations was measured using Photoshop $\mathrm{CS}_{3}$. All measurements were done in triplicate, and the experiments were done at least three times.

### 3.2.4. CAM Assay

The in vivo CAM angiogenesis model as initially described by Folkman [10] and then modified by Maragoudakis [18-21] was used. Fertilized chicken eggs were used after incubating them for 8 days. A $1 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ window was made in the shell to create a pocket to expose the CAM. A filter disc with $0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ solution or $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of compounds was then placed upon the CAM from 10 eggs per treatment and 48 h later, the CAMs were fixed with $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde and the vessel number from three random fields around the filter disc were pictured using a microscope.

## 4. Conclusions

Tumor angiogenesis is a promising target of cancer therapy. Based on this target, a structure-based drug design plan led us to synthesize a series of 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives that were then subjected to pharmacological evaluation as angiogenesis inhibitors. Cytotoxicity assays indicated that most of 2,4-disubstituted quinazoline derivatives showed potent cytotoxicity against both tumor cells and HUVECs, and that their cytotoxicity toward tumor cells was closely related to the length of side chains and basicity. Among them, compound 11d with a three carbon chain length and the N methylpiperazino group showed a remarkable inhibitive effect against the migration, adhesion of HUVECs and significant anti-angiogenesis activities in the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) assay, which are consistent with those previously reported for effective angiogenesis inhibitors. Finally, this preliminary research on these quinazoline derivatives' in vitro activities and in vivo activities provides us with another new entry into angiogenesis inhibitors and conformation studies for targeting tumor angiogenesis. Additional studies and tests on their mechanism of anti-angiogenesis activity are underway.
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