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#### Abstract

A series of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{II})$ tris-chelate complexes with new bidentate 2-pyridylquinoline ligands have been synthesized and fully characterized by EA, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and FAB-MS techniques. The new ligands are: $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}=4$-p-methoxyphenyl-6-bromo-2-(2'pyridyl)quinoline (mphbr-pq) and $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}=4$-p-hydroxyphenyl-6-bromo-2-(2'-pyridyl)quinoline (hphbr-pq). The complexes studied are: $\left[\operatorname{Ru}(b p y)_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \quad\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$, $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \quad\left(\mathbf{C}_{2}\right), \quad\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{1}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \quad\left(\mathbf{C}_{3}\right), \quad\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \quad\left(\mathbf{C}_{4}\right)$ (bpy $=2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine), $\quad\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{1}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \quad\left(\mathbf{C}_{5}\right), \quad\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \quad\left(\mathbf{C}_{6}\right)$, $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}_{7}\right)$, and $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{8}}\right)$ (dmbpy $=4,4^{\prime}$-dimethyl-2, $2^{\prime}-$ bipyridine). Moreover, new functionalized complexes $\mathbf{C}_{9}-\mathbf{C}_{12}$ were obtained by the basecatalyzed direct alkylation of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}}$, and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{8}}$ with 6-bromo-1-hexene. The complete assignment of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra for the two new ligands ( $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ ), and their $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ or Os(II) complexes has been accomplished using a combination of one- and two-dimensional NMR techniques. The $J_{H, H}$ values have been determined for the majority of the resonances.
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## 1. Introduction

Transition metal complexes have tremendous potential as diagnostic and therapeutic agents. They can be exploited for their modularity, reactivity, imaging capabilities, redox chemistry, and precisely defined three-dimensional structure $[1-5]$. Several $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]$-derived (bpy $=2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine) complexes were synthesized and compared electrochemically and spectroscopically in the search for better luminophores for electrochemiluminescence (ECL)-based analytical applications [6].

Electrochemiluminescence is a kind of sensitive process releasing light during reaction, which has potential applications in biological, pharmaceutical, and chemical land environmental analysis, due to its continuance, sensitivity, low-detection limit, reproducibility and relative easiness to be automatically controlled [7]. However, it is noted that different configurations in metal complexes affect a variety of activities, and most attention has been focused on symmetric aromatic ligands. . On the other hand, only a limited number of ruthenium complexes containing asymmetric ligands have so far been described, and little attention has been paid to the investigation of their DNA-binding properties [8].

Among the factors governing the binding modes, it appears that the most significant is likely to be that of molecular shape. Studies reveal that the ligand modifications in geometry, size, hydrophobicity, planarity, and hydrogen-bonding ability of the complexes, may lead to suitable or spectacular changes in the binding modes, location, affinity, and to a different cleavage effect [9]. Therefore, inspired by Vos studies [10], we became interested in the synthesis of new polypyridyl ruthenium(II)/osmium(II) complexes with asymmetric ligands, with the aim of investigating (i) the effect of asymmetry on the photophysical properties of such compounds; (ii) their interaction properties with DNA [11]; (iii) their potential for the fabrication of new monolayers on both silica and Si substrates, namely to transfer molecular properties to the solid state thus obtaining photoluminescent devices [12-13].

Scheme 1. Ligand moiety.


| Ligand | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | Nomenclature | Initials |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 4-p-methoxyphenyl-6-bromo-2-(2'-pyridyl)quinoline | mphbr-pq |
| $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | -OH | 4-p-hydroxyphenyl-6-bromo-2-(2'-pyridyl)quinoline | hphbr-pq |

By continuing our previous studies in this field [14-17], we have designed two new asymmetric ligands $\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$, shown in Scheme 1, from which twelve aza-bidentate complexes with transition metals like ruthenium or osmium have been derived (See Scheme 2 and Scheme 5). This paper reports, as a first step, the synthesis and characterization of all the new compounds, while preliminary data on their luminescent properties, DNA binding, and solid state photoluminescent devices [18] will be reported elsewhere. All the compounds were characterized by EA, EI/FAB-MS and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy. Complete assignments of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra of the various compounds were accomplished by using a combination of one- and two-dimensional NMR techniques.

## 2. Result and Discussion

### 2.1. Ligands

Following the synthetic pathway previously used for the preparation of the parent ligand 4-phenyl-2-(2'-pyridyl)quinoline (ph-pq) [19], namely the acid-catalyzed condensation of o-aminobenzophenone with 2 - acetylpyridine, we have synthesized ligand $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ in a three synthetic steps as shown in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of $\mathbf{L}_{1}$.


4'-Methoxy-2-amino-5-bromobenzophenone (2) was obtained by condensation of p-nitrobromobenzene with $p$-methoxyphenylacetonitrile in a basic ethanol/tetrahydrofuran medium to give 3-p-methoxyphenyl-5-bromo-2,1-benzisoxazole (1) (66\%), which upon reductive cleavage $\left(\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ of the benzoisoxazole ring was converted to the desired aminoketone 2 ( $70 \%$ ). A subsequent Friedlander reaction [20,21] of the $o$-aminobenzophenone $\mathbf{2}$ with 2-acetylpyridine, using a mixture of $m$-cresol and phosphorous pentoxide gave ligand $\mathbf{L}_{1}(71 \%)$. The subsequent demethylation of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ with boron tribromide [22] afforded a new ligand 4-p-hydroxyphenyl-6-bromo-2-(2'-pyridyl)quinoline (brhph-pq) $\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ as is shown in Scheme 3.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of $\mathbf{L}_{2}$.


The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy proved to be a useful tool to check the structure of the synthesized ligands. By taking advantage of our previous ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ studies on similar compounds [16], we were able to make by comparison the overall proton assignments for our ligands that are reported in Table 1.

Table 1. ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR spectral data for ligands $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{2}$. Coupling constants in italic.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Proton \& 3 \& 5 \& 7 \& 8 \& $3^{\prime}$ \& $4^{\prime}$ \& $5^{\prime}$ \& $6^{\prime}$ \& 2'/6' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ \& $3^{\prime \prime} / 5^{\prime \prime}$ \& $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ \& $\mathbf{O H}$ <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{$\mathbf{L}_{1}$

$L_{2}$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{8.51 s} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8.13 \mathrm{~d} \\
& J=2.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7.80 \mathrm{dd} \\
& J=9.0 \\
& J=2.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8.10 \mathrm{~d} \\
& J=8.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8.60 \mathrm{~d} \\
& J=8.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7.89 \mathrm{dt} \\
& J=8.0 \\
& J=1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
7.38 \mathrm{dt} \\
J=9.0 \\
J=1.5
\end{gathered}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8.73 \mathrm{~d} \\
& J=4.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7.52 \mathrm{~d} \\
& J=9.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
7.09 \mathrm{~d} \\
J=9.0
\end{gathered}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3.92 s} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{-} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 8.61 s \& 8.16 d \& 7.91 \& 8.14 d \& 8.73 d \& 8.01 dt \& 7.50 dt \& 8.74 d \& 7.53 d \& 7.12 d \& - \& 8.79 <br>
\hline \& \& $J=2.5$ \& dd \& $J=9.0$ \& $J=8.0$ \& $J=8.0$, \& $J=8.5$, \& $J=4.0$ \& $J=9.0$ \& $J=9.0$ \& \& bs <br>

\hline \& \& \& $$
J=9.0
$$ \& \& \& \[

J=1.5
\] \& $J=1.5$ \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \& \& $J=2.0$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notes: The spectra were obtained in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, chemical shifts in ppm, and coupling constants $(J)$ in Hz .
Numbering pattern as shown in Scheme 3. Abbreviations used: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ double doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{dt}=$ double triplet, $\mathrm{bs}=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{bd}=$ broad doublet.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of the synthesized ligands show the same trend in the region $7.0-9.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ and in Figure 1 is reported an expanded section of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ of $\mathbf{L}_{2}$, showing the assignments of all peaks as gathered in Table 1. In all cases, the spectra were found to be consistent with the expected structures. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum (Figure 1) of $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ shows the expected pattern for a

2,4",6-trisubstituted 4-phenylquinoline moiety. In fact the two doublets at 8.60 and 8.73 ppm and two double triplets at 7.89 and 7.38 ppm , assigned to $\mathrm{H}^{3 \prime}, \mathrm{H}^{6 \prime}, \mathrm{H}^{4 \prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5 \prime}$, respectively, is of diagnostic value for the presence of an $\alpha$-pyridinyl group linked to the quinoline unit. Furthermore, one $A A^{\prime} X X^{\prime}$ system (two doublets at 7.52 and 7.09 ppm ) -easily recognized because of its symmetry and apparent simplicity- accounts for a 1,4 -disubstituted benzene ring having the $4^{\prime \prime}$ position occupied by the hydroxyl group (broad resonance at 8.79 ppm ). Finally, the lack of any signal for a hypothetic $\mathrm{H}^{6}$ proton along with the multiplicity of $\mathrm{H}^{8}, \mathrm{H}^{7}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ protons is in agreement with the presence of the bromine substituent, as confirmed by elemental analysis.

Figure 1. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ and peak assignments of ligand $\mathbf{L}_{2}$.


### 2.2. Complexes

According to Scheme 4, by crossing the ligands $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ or $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ with the starting cis form of bis-chelate $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmpy})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ complexes where the two bpy or dmbpy (dmbpy $=4,4^{\prime}$-dimethyl-2, ${ }^{\prime}$ bipyridine) units lie on orthogonal planes and the chlorine atoms occupy adjacent coordination sites, we have synthesized eight new tris-chelate complexes $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{8}}$.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of complexes $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{8}}$.



Ethanol


$\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$

| Complex | Ligand | $\mathbf{M e}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Chemical Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Ru | -OCH | H | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Ru | -OH | H | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Os | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Os | -OH | H | $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Ru | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Ru | -OH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Os | -OCH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Os | -OH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |

The syntheses were accomplished by reacting equimolar amounts of the reagents in refluxing ethanol for 8 h , followed by dropwise addition of a $20 \%$ water solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, in order to get the red-orange complexes. These were collected by filtration and purified by crystallization. Owing to the ability of alkene molecules to covalently bond to hydrogen-terminated crystalline silicon (111) by thermally induced hydrosilylation [23], the required cis octahedral coordinated complexes $\mathbf{C}_{9}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ were prepared by direct alkylation of $\mathbf{C}_{2}, \mathbf{C}_{4}, \mathbf{C}_{6}$, and $\mathbf{C}_{8}$, respectively, with 6-bromo-1-hexene in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ mixture, as shown in Scheme 5. These new tris-chelate complexes exhibit, besides the two bpy or dmbpy moieties, the new 4-p-(5-hexen-1-yloxy)phenyl-6-bromo-2-(2'-pyridyl)quinoline ligand ( $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ).

Scheme 5. Synthesis of complexes $\mathbf{C}_{9}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$.


| Complex | Ligand | $\mathbf{M e}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Chemical Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{3}$ | Ru | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ | Os | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | H | $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ | Ru | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ | Os | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |

The synthesized complexes were generally stable, diamagnetic, and kinetically inert. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of $\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bpy })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Os}(\text { bpy })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ show [24,25] eight different signals for the aromatic hydrogens, that become six for $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, consistently with the presence in solution of two non-interconverting enantiomers possessing $C_{2}$ symmetry. Substitution of the two chlorine atoms with ligands $\mathbf{m p h b r} \mathbf{- p q}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ or $\mathbf{h p h b r} \mathbf{- p q}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ yields the corresponding tris-chelate complexes which, in a cis octahedral coordination, are also capable of existing in two enantiomeric propeller conformations, but, in contrast to the above cited bis-chelate complexes, do not possess a $C_{2}$ axis of symmetry.

Figure 2. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ and peak assignments of complex $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$.


The reduced symmetry of these complexes removes the degeneracies associated with the $C_{2}$ axis in the starting $\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bpy })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, giving rise to a structure of the general type $\left[\mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{~L}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$, (being $\mathrm{Me}=\mathrm{Ru}$ or Os ) and L is an unsymmetrical bidentate ligand like $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ or $\mathbf{L}_{2}$. As a consequence, howing to the asymmetry of the complexes, and to the kinetically restricted interconversion of the two enantiomers on the NMR time-scale, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra of complexes $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ are quite complicated showing 16 signals for the diastereomeric protons of the two bpy moieties (Abpy and Bbpy) in addition to 13 signals for the ligand $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ or $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and 18 for $\mathbf{L}_{3}$, as reported in Table 2.

As an example, Figure 2 shows the downfield aromatic section of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of complex $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$. The twentyeight methine resonances, arising from the two bipyridyl units (Abpy and Bbpy) and to the bidentate ligand ( $\mathbf{m p h b r} \mathbf{- p q}$ ) ( $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ) are spread out over a 2.5 ppm interval. As described in the following, each signal has been assigned to the respective proton with the aid of mono and two-dimensional techniques. Chemical shifts and assignments are reported in Table 2 and Figure 3.

The assignment of the sole singlet in the spectrum ( $8.98 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}^{3}$ ) is straightforward. In fact, it is worth to note that the 3 -positioned aromatic proton of the pyridine moiety in $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes displays a remarkable deshielding of ca 0.45 ppm , as compared to the free ligands, that is considered diagnostic for their formation.

In the COSY-45 spectrum of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ (see Figure 3) correlations between ortho, meta and para protons are normally observable; differentiation among ${ }^{1} J,{ }^{2} J$ and ${ }^{3} J$ couplings has been greatly aided by the
careful examination of shape and intensity of the cross-peaks. Starting from the peak at $9.51\left(\mathrm{H}^{3^{\prime}}\right)$, the sequence of signals at $8.29\left(\mathrm{H}^{4^{\prime}}\right), 7.63\left(\mathrm{H}^{5^{\prime}}\right)$ and $8.04 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{H}^{6^{\prime}}\right)$ can be assigned; another set of connectivities (signals at $8.18 / 7.50 / 7.74 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) allows to assign the sequence $\mathrm{H}^{5}, \mathrm{H}^{7}$, and $\mathrm{H}^{8}$ of the ligand mphbr-pq. Unambiguous identification of $\mathrm{H}^{8}(7.74)$ is based on the upfield shift observed for this proton in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ complex $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ with respect to the free ligand $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ (see Table 1), probably due to the shielding effect of a pyridine ring approximately orthogonal to this proton; $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ shows, on the contrary, a negligible downfield shift. The intense peaks at 7.73 and 7.22 ppm indicate the presence of an $A A^{\prime} X X^{\prime}$ system diagnostic of $p$-disubstituted benzene ring, and may be easily attributed to the resonances of four phenyl protons of mphbr-pq.

Figure 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY- 45 spectrum $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$.


Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectral data for complexes $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{C}_{12}$. Coupling constants in italic.

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | C9 | $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{H}^{3}$ | $8.98$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.79 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.94 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $8.75$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.01 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.76 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.97 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.58 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.81 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.80 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.84 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.83 \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.18 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.23 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.12 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.17 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.18 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.21 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.12 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.10 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.18 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.15 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.18 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.15 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=2.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{7}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.50 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=9.0, \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.50 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=9.0, \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.46 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=9.0, \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.46 \\ \text { dd } \\ J=8.5, \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.49 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=9.0, \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.50 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.45 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=9.0, \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.50 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.50 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=9.0, \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.45 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=8.5, \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.49 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=9.0, \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.46 \\ \text { dd } \\ J=9.0, \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.74 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.73 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.69 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.68 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.82 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.11 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.77 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.97 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.73 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.67 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.10 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.84 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.51 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.23 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.46 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.16 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.57 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.18 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.52 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.18 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.23 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.36 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.29 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.42 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.29 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=8.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.32 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.07 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.10 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.23 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=8.0,1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.27 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=8.0, \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.01 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.18 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.32 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=8.0 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.07 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.26 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.01 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.63 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.65 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=7.5, \\ 1.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.61 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.5, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.63 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.62 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.60 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.60 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.62 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.62 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.62 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.61 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=7.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.61 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=7.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.04 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.06 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.04 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.06 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.02 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.02 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.02 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.96 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.05 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.88 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.03 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.86 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{2 \times 1 / 6 "}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.73 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.55 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.72 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.54 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.71 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.55 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.70 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.53 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.70 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.54 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.68 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.52 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{3^{\prime \prime} 5^{\prime \prime}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.22 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.14 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.20 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.12 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.21 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.13 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.19 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.14 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.23 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.15 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.22 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.14 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{3 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.13 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.76 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.08 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.71 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.28 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.62 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.23 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.60 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.77 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.98 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.92 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.13 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{4 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.24 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.26 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.03 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.05 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.24 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=8.0 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.05 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{H}^{5 A}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.53 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.58 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.43 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.48 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.48 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.50 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.38 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.44 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.55 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.46 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.50 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.41 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}^{6 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.48 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.52 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.35 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.39 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.22 \\ \text { bd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.75 \\ \text { bd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.09 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.78 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.50 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.38 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.76 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.64 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{3 / \mathrm{A}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.06 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.68 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.99 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.61 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.19 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.53 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.12 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.48 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.69 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=9.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.87 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.83 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.01 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{4 / 4}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.08 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=8.0, \\ 1.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.11 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.89 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.92 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.11 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.92 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{H}^{5^{\prime \prime}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.52 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.56 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.37 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.41 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.31 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.32 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.16 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.24 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.52 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.44 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.31 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.23 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}^{6 / \mathrm{A}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.87 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.91 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.81 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.85 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.35 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.38 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.29 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.42 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.89 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.76 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.37 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.24 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{3 \mathrm{~B}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.43 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.96 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.39 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.92 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.61 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,78 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.57 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.74 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.97 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.26 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.15 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.44 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{48}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.23 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.28 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.02 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.07 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.27 \mathrm{dt} \\ J=8.0 \\ 1.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.07 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{H}^{5 B}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.53 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.57 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.30 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.44 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.31 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.34 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.18 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.30 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.54 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.43 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.32 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.21 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}^{68}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.93 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.99 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.84 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.90 \\ \text { bd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.66 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.73 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.67 \\ \text { bd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.16 \\ \text { bd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.97 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.85 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.70 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.58 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbf{H}^{3 \mathbf{B}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.41 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.93 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.39 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.91 \\ \text { bd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.60 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.75 \\ \mathrm{bs} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.56 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.70 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.94 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=8.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.24 \\ \text { bd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.13 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.43 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}^{4 \mathrm{~B}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.23 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.30 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.04 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.09 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.28 \mathrm{dd} \\ J=8.0 \\ 1.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.09 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |

Table 2. Cont.

| $\mathbf{H}^{5 \mathrm{~B}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.68 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.70 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=7.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.64 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.66 \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.64 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=7.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.65 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.60 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=7.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.36 \\ m \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.68 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.66 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.64 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.62 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=7.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{H}^{6 \mathrm{~B}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.32 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.36 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.16 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.20 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.22 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.23 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.06 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.70 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.34 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=5.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.21 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.20 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.62 \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ J=6.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~A}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.49 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.45 \\ \mathrm{bs} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.44 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.50 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.48 \\ \mathrm{bs} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.47 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.54 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.53 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.70 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.59 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.53 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~A}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.55 \\ \mathrm{bs} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.53 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.71 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.53 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{\text {B }}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.56 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.53 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.72 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.61 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.53 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\alpha^{\text {CH }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.17 \mathrm{t} \\ & J=6.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.17 \mathrm{t} \\ & J=6.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.17 \mathrm{t} \\ & J=6.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.17 \mathrm{t} \\ J=6.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta C H}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.87 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.86 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.87 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.87 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=6.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\gamma \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.63 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.62 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=6.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.63 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=6.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.63 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ J=6.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.17 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.15 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.17 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.16 \\ \mathrm{dt} \\ J=7.0, \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| £CH2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.00 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=10.0, \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.04 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=10.0, \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.02 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=10.0, \\ 2.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.00 \\ \mathrm{dd} \\ J=10.0, \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| -CH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5.87 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.88 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.87 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.87 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.95 \\ \mathrm{~s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.95 \\ \mathrm{~s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.95 \\ \mathrm{~s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.95 \\ \mathrm{~s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| -OH |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.95 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.96 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.95 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.96 \\ \text { bs } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |

Notes: The spectra were obtained in deuterated acetone $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, chemical shifts in ppm, and coupling constants $(J)$ in Hz. Numbering pattern as shown in Schemes 4 and 5. Abbreviations used: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, dd = double doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{dt}=$ double triplet, $\mathrm{bs}=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{bd}=$ broad doublet.

Following inspection of the COSY spectrum, further sequences of isolated four-spin systems can be analyzed. Using low-field signals as convenient starting points, it is possible to establish the complete set of connectivities for the following sequences of signals: 9.43/8.22/8.54/7.93 ppm, $9.41 / 8.23 / 7.68 / 8.32 \mathrm{ppm}, 9.13 / 8.24 / 7.54 / 8.48 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $9.06 / 8.08 / 7.52 / 7.87 \mathrm{ppm}$. Owing to a combination of inductive and steric effects, the proton $\mathrm{H}^{7}, \mathrm{H}^{8}$, and $\mathrm{H}^{6}$ of the asymmetric ligands experience, upon complexation, an upfield effect with respect to the free ligands as is shown in Table 2. It is also worth noting that in the case of complexes with dmbpy moieties ( $\mathbf{C}_{5}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{8}}$ ) we observe two different signals for the four methyl groups in the ratio 1:3 (with the less intense signal experiencing an upfield effect), suggestive of the presence of a clear steric effect between the big bromo substituent linked to the quinoline moiety of the asymmetric ligands and the methyl group linked to the nearest pyridine ring of the Abpy moiety. (See Scheme 4)

On the basis of this evidence, after inspection of the molecular models and taking into account the resonances of $\mathrm{H}^{6}$ and/or $\mathrm{H}^{6^{\prime}}$ bpy protons, we assume that binding of the asymmetric ligands $\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ is expected to strongly shield these protons and shift them to higher field. In other words, upon complexation the nearest is the proton of the bpy units to the asymmetric ligand, the stronger is the upfield effect experienced. As a consequence, in accord with the numbering pattern shown in Scheme

4 and/or Scheme 5, resonances at $7.87,7.93,8.32$, and 8.48 ppm were assigned to $\mathrm{H}^{6^{\prime} \mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{H}^{6 \mathrm{~B}}, \mathrm{H}^{6 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $H^{6 A}$, respectively. Therefore the former pair of sequence signals is assigned to the protons $H^{3 B}$ and $H^{3 B}$ of the same bpy unit, respectively, and the latter one to $\mathrm{H}^{3 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3^{\prime} \mathrm{A}}$ of the other bpy unit. The assignments of the above cited signals to the sequences from position 3 to position 6 of the bpy ligands are reported in Table 2.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. General

The starting materials, 2-acetylpyridine, 2-aminobenzophenone, p-nitrobromobenzene, p-methoxyphenylacetonitrile, 4,4'-dimethylbpy, and 6-bromo-1-hexene, were purchased from Aldrich. All other chemicals were reagent grade. $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{CL}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathrm{CL}_{2}$ were synthesized by the method of Togano et al. for $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and were used without purification [26]. All reactions were performed under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen except when otherwise stated and the solvents were dried and stored under nitrogen and over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. Melting points are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were determined commercially. The analytical and FAB-MS data of complexes $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ are gathered in Table 3. Proton spectra were performed in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ or $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ by using a Varian INOVA 500 MHz instrument. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were calibrated relative to the solvent resonance considered at 2.05 or 7.26 ppm for residual $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ or $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, respectively. The analysis of the proton spectra was carried out according to the rules for the first-order splitting with the help of integral intensities, and resonance splitting patterns are abbreviated by using s for singlet, d for doublet, dd for doublet of doublets, t for triplet, and m for multiplet. Positive ion FAB mass spectra were obtained on a Kratos MS 50 S double-focusing mass spectrometer equipped with a standard FAB source, using 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol as the matrix. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra with assigned signals are given in Table 2.

Table 3. Analytical and Positive ion FAB-MS Spectral Data for the Complexes $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$.

| Complex. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield (\%) } \\ \text { (XXX) } \\ \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Molecular Formula (M.W.) | $\begin{gathered} \% \mathrm{C} \\ \text { Found } \\ \text { (Calcd) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\% \mathrm{H}$ <br> Found (Calcd) | $\% \mathbf{N}$ <br> Found <br> (Calcd) | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAB - MS } \\ m / z \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 72 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right) \\ (1094.50) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.96 \\ (45.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.00 \\ (2.85) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.56 \\ (7.67) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 949 \\ {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 63 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right) \\ (1080.47) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.33 \\ (44.46) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.85 \\ (2.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.47 \\ (7.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 935 \\ {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 69 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right) \\ (1183.66) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.01 \\ (41.62) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.22 \\ (2.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.37 \\ (7.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1038 \\ {\left[\mathrm{Os}(\text { bpy })_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 71 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right) \\ (1169.63) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.74 \\ (41.41) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.09 \\ (2.50) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.33 \\ (7.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1024 \\ {\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}} \end{gathered}$ |
| C5 | 95 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right) \\ (1150.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.91 \\ (46.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.57 \\ (3.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.94 \\ (7.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1005 \\ {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{1}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 80 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right) \\ (1136.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46.11 \\ (46.49) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.19 \\ (3.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.41 \\ (7.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 991 \\ {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 73 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right) \\ (1239.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.52 \\ (46.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.49 \\ (2.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.74 \\ (6.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1094 \\ {\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}} \end{gathered}$ |

Table 3. Cont.

| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 80 | $\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right)$ | 42.98 <br> $(1225.73)$ | 2.85 <br> $(3.11)$ | 6.92 <br> $(6.89)$ | 1080 <br> $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | 99 | $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right)$ | 47.63 | 3.49 | 6.93 | 1017 |
|  |  | $(1162.61)$ | $(47.52)$ | $(3.38)$ | $(7.23)$ | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{3}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | 94 | $\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right)$ | 44.52 | 3.06 | 6.58 | 1106 |
|  |  | $(1251.77)$ | $(44.13)$ | $(3.14)$ | $(6.71)$ | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{3}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | 83 | $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right)$ | 48.91 | 4.11 | 6.87 | 1099 |
|  |  | $(1218.65)$ | $(49.27)$ | $(3.89)$ | $(6.89)$ | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{3}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | 88 | $\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{BrF}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2}\right)$ | 46.09 | 3.36 | 6.80 | 1188 |
|  |  | $(1307.81)$ | $(45.91)$ | $(3.62)$ | $(6.42)$ | $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{dmbpy})_{2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{3}}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)^{+}$ |

### 3.2. Syntheses

3-p-Methoxyphenyl-5-bromo-2,1-benzoisoxazole (1). To a vigorously stirred solution containing potassium hydroxide ( $17.76 \mathrm{~g}, 310 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 35 mL ) at room temperature, was slowly added $p$-methoxyphenylacetonitrile $(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$. After dissolution was complete, a methanol/tetrahydrofuran $(2: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ solution ( 36 mL ) containing p-nitrobromobenzene ( 3.0 g , 15 mmol ) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting dark mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , at room temperature for 4 h , refluxed overnight, and then poured into ice-water ( 300 mL ) to afford, after filtration, cold water and methanol washings and methanol recrystallization, compound $\mathbf{1}$ as yellow crystals; $2.22 \mathrm{~g}(66 \%) ;$ m.p. $112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.05\left(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right.$ of benzoisoxazole); $7.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{2^{\prime}} / \mathrm{H}^{6^{3}}$ of phenyl), $7.50\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{7}\right.$ of benzoisoxazole); 7.36 (dd, 1 H , $J=9.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{6}$ of benzoisoxazole); $7.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{H}^{3} / \mathrm{H}^{5}\right.$ of phenyl), $3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $304\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrNO}: \mathrm{C}, 56.95$; H, 2.92; N, 5.11. Found: C, 57.19; H, 3.03; N, 4.86.

4'-Methoxy-2-amino-5-bromobenzophenone (2). A solution containing $\mathbf{1}(0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic $\operatorname{acid}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$, was heated on a water-bath, and iron powder ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added over 2.5 h , during which time water ( 12 mL ) was also added. The mixture was filtered while hot and then water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with cold water until the water washings were clear and dried. The product was purified by column chromatography (silica; cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 9:1) followed by recrystallization from ethanol-water to afford $\mathbf{2}$ as a yellow powder; $031 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$; m.p. $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{2^{\prime}} / \mathrm{H}^{6}\right.$ of phenyl); $7.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{6}\right.$ of benzene); $7.35\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}^{4}\right.$ of benzene); $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}^{3^{\prime}} / \mathrm{H}^{5}$ of phenyl); $6.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{H}^{3}\right.$ of benzene) $5.83\left(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, of amino) $3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of methoxy); MS, m/z $406\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrNO}: \mathrm{C}, 56.54$; H, 3.62; N, 5.07. Found: C, 56.28; H, 3.59; N, 4.95

4-p-Ethoxyphenyl-6-bromo-2-(2'-pyridyl)quinoline (brmph-pq, $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ). A mixture of m-cresol ( 25 mL ) and phosphorus pentoxide ( $0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 hours to afford a homogeneous solution. After cooling, 4-methoxy-2-amino-5-bromobenzophenone ( $4.08 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-acetylpyridine ( $2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, followed by $m$-cresol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ to rinse the powder funnel. The reaction mixture was heated at $135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After cooling, the dark solution was
poured into ethanol ( 200 mL ) containing triethylamine ( 20 mL ). The light grey precipitate was collected by filtration, continuosly extracted with a solution of ethanol/triethylamine for 24 h , and recrystallized from $n$-hexane/methylene chloride to give $\mathbf{b r m p h} \mathbf{p q}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ as an off white powder; $3.96 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%) ; \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .=212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . ; \mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 375\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$.

4-p-Hydroxyphenyl-6-bromo-2-(2'-pyridyl)quinoline (brhph-pq, $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ ). A mixture of of $\mathbf{L 1}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.27 \mathrm{mmol}), 1 \mathrm{M}$ boron tribromide in dichloromethane ( $(5.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dichloromethane (dry, 30 mL ) was stirred at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h and room temperature for 24 h . The reaction mixture was poured into ice and cold water $(800 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 0.5 h . The red precipitate was filtrated and suspended in ethanol ( 250 mL ). The turbid red mixture was neutralized by some drops of 1 N NaOH (colour changing from red to light-blue). The light-blue precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with cold water and ethanol until the water washings were clear and dried under vacuum on $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $0.42 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ as a white solid.

The synthesis of complex $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is given below as a general procedure for the synthesis of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{\mathbf { C } _ { 8 }}$ complexes.
$\left[\mathrm{Ru}(b p y)_{2}(b r m p h-p q)\right]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2} .\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right)$. To a refluxing solution of $c i s-\mathrm{Ru}(b p y)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.156 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 20 mL ), was added dropwise a solution of brmph-pq ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was allowed to reflux for 8 h . After concentration and addition of water ( 15 mL ), the mixture was refluxed for 5 min and filtered while hot. After cooling, the complex was precipitated by dropwise addition of a $20 \%$ water solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The red precipitate was collected, washed with cold water and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and purified by gel filtration on a column of Sephadex LH-20 in EtOH followed by recrystallization from acetone-Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$, to give $0.22 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ as red orange crystals.

The synthesis of complex $\mathbf{C}_{9}$ is given below as a general procedure for the synthesis of $\mathbf{C}_{9}-\mathbf{C}_{12}$ complexes.
$\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bpy })_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{L}_{2}\right.\right.$-hexene $\left.)\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$. To a refluxing solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0,2 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bpy })_{2}(\mathbf{b r h p h}-\mathbf{p q})\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}(0.06 \mathrm{~g} .0 .055 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a solution of 6 -bromo-1-hexene ( 0.018 g .0 .111 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was allowed to reflux for 48 h . Then the reaction mixture was filtered while hot to remove carbonate-salt, rotoevaporated to dryness, dissolved with a minumum quantity of acetone and poured into $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The red-brown precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and purified by recrystallization from acetone- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, to give $0.06 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of $\mathbf{C}_{9}$ as dark-red crystals.

## 4. Conclusions

A series of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes with new polyquinoline asymmetric aza-bidentate ligands have been synthesized and characterized by EA, EI-FAB Mass and NMR techniques. Complete ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assignments have been obtained by the use of two-dimensional techniques. The results indicate that steric hindrance on $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ or $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{II})$ metal has to be carefully considered in designing new
bidentate asymmetric ligands. Because of their intrinsic asymmetry, the racemic complexes obtained, when resolved into their enantiomeric forms, will provide interesting species for DNA binding studies, the development of solid state photoluminescent devices, light harvesting compounds, and useful energy traps when inserted into supramolecular arrays.
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