

Interpretation of Entropy Algorithms in the Context of Biomedical Signal Analysis and Their Application to EEG Analysis in Epilepsy

Lampros Chrysovalantis Amarantidis ^{1,2} and Daniel Abásolo ^{1,*}

¹ Centre for Biomedical Engineering, Department of Mechanical Engineering Sciences, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK

² Ericsson, Thames Tower, Station Road, Reading, RG1 1LX, UK

* Correspondence: d.abasolo@surrey.ac.uk; Tel.: +44-(0)1483-682971

Received: 29 June 2019; Accepted: 23 August 2019; Published: date

Table S1. Electroencephalogram and Epilepsy studies (I): p – values from Wilcoxon signed rank tests for group A. Tests that rejected the hypothesis at the $p < 0.01$ level are highlighted in bold.

n	3	4	5	6				
PE _n	7.72e-14	4.11e-14	2.11e-14	1.90e-14	-	-	-	-
MPEn	7.72e-14	4.11e-14	2.11e-14	-	-	-	-	-
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
SE _n	0.0118	0.0120	0.0108	0.0098	2.74e-10	5.92e-10	3.27e-09	4.85e-08
(m,r)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.4)	(1, 0.6)	(1, 0.8)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.4)	(2, 0.6)	(2, 0.8)
QSE _n	0.0089	0.0077	0.0073	0.0057	0.0230	0.2094	0.0131	0.0037
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
FE _n , $n=1$	0.0037	0.0035	0.0033	0.0036	0.0900	0.4151	0.9233	0.6253
FE _n , $n=2$	0.0037	0.0037	0.0036	0.0036	0.4555	0.1496	0.0643	0.0313
FE _n , $n=3$	0.0037	0.0038	0.0041	0.0040	0.0313	0.0142	0.0075	0.0048

Table S2. Electroencephalogram and Epilepsy studies (I): p – values from the Kruskal-Wallis tests for group B. Tests that rejected the hypothesis at the $p < 0.01$ level are highlighted in bold.

n	3	4	5	6				
PE _n	1.46e-14	1.30e-14	7.07e-15	4.22e-15	-	-	-	-
MPEn	1.46e-14	1.30e-14	7.07e-15	-	-	-	-	-
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
SE _n	0.2363	0.6699	0.2932	0.0221	1.73e-12	1.75e-11	3.54e-10	2.89e-08
(m,r)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.4)	(1, 0.6)	(1, 0.8)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.4)	(2, 0.6)	(2, 0.8)
QSE _n	3.64e-07	6.16e-12	4.70e-15	4.29e-18	0.0298	0.0787	6.81e-08	1.44e-14
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
FE _n , $n=1$	2.92e-12	3.13e-15	1.56e-17	3.58e-19	0.1148	0.0033	3.13e-05	2.88e-07

FEn, $n=2$	3.45e-27	3.99e-29	3.25e-30	6.52e-31	2.67e-08	9.97e-13	3.68e-16	6.82e-19
FEn, $n=3$	4.39e-34	5.26e-35	2.06e-35	1.11e-35	5.94e-19	5.43e-24	1.69e-26	3.19e-28

Table S3. Electroencephalogram and Epilepsy studies (I): Mann-Whitney U tests at $p < 0.01$ level for three pairs of data sets in group B: data sets (3,4), data sets (3,5), data sets (4,5). Results of tests for the three pairs are given as x_1, x_2, x_3 where x_i is equal to 1 if the hypothesis was rejected for that test and equal to 0 otherwise. Combinations that rejected the relevant Kruskal-Wallis test are underlined. Combinations that rejected all three Mann-Whitney U tests are highlighted in bold.

n	3	4	5	6				
PEn	<u>1,1,1</u>	<u>1,1,1</u>	<u>1,1,1</u>	<u>1,1,1</u>	-	-	-	-
MPEn	<u>1,1,1</u>	<u>1,1,1</u>	<u>1,1,1</u>	-	-	-	-	-
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
SEn	0,0,0	0,0,0	0,0,0	0,0,0	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>
(m,r)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.4)	(1, 0.6)	(1, 0.8)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.4)	(2, 0.6)	(2, 0.8)
QSEn	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	0,0,0	0,0,0	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
FEn, $n=1$	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	0,0,0	<u>0,0,0</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>
FEn, $n=2$	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>
FEn, $n=3$	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>	<u>0,1,1</u>

Table S4. Electroencephalogram and Epilepsy studies (II): p – values from the Mann-Whitney U tests. Tests that rejected the hypothesis at the $p < 0.01$ level are highlighted in bold.

n	3	4	5	6				
PEn	0.0641	0.0929	0.1476	0.2124	-	-	-	-
MPEn	0.0641	0.0929	0.1476	-	-	-	-	-
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
SEn	5.75e-35	6.51e-35	1.27e-34	2.40e-34	5.90e-33	2.81e-34	9.31e-35	6.59e-35
(m,r)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.4)	(1, 0.6)	(1, 0.8)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.4)	(2, 0.6)	(2, 0.8)
QSEn	1.93e-33	4.29e-32	1.06e-30	2.94e-29	2.89e-34	1.00e-32	3.77e-31	1.37e-29
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
FEn, $n=1$	8.16e-37	2.20e-36	5.70e-36	9.36e-36	9.08e-36	4.08e-36	4.25e-36	4.26e-36
FEn, $n=2$	6.81e-30	1.93e-28	1.61e-27	7.95e-27	2.14e-35	1.85e-34	1.26e-33	6.22e-33
FEn, $n=3$	7.31e-17	2.76e-15	2.56e-14	1.17e-13	1.66e-29	2.68e-27	9.58e-26	1.79e-24

Table S5. Electroencephalogram and Epilepsy studies (I): Classification accuracy results in group A using one of the entropy algorithms realisations as a feature and k-NN with $k=3$. Maximum accuracy achieved is highlighted in bold.

n	3	4	5	6				
PEn	70%	68.5%	73%	64%	-	-	-	-
MPEn	68%	69.5%	73.5%	-	-	-	-	-
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
SEn	56%	60%	64%	59%	57.5%	62%	61.5%	62.5%
(m,r)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.4)	(1, 0.6)	(1, 0.8)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.4)	(2, 0.6)	(2, 0.8)
QSEn	61.5%	58%	64%	69.5%	46%	57%	61.5%	61%
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
FEn, $n=1$	64.5%	59.5%	57%	60%	57.5%	52.5%	59%	55%
FEn, $n=2$	63.5%	63%	62.5%	56.5%	55.5%	58%	58%	66%
FEn, $n=3$	56%	53.5%	52.5%	53%	57.5%	63%	60%	57%

Table S6. Electroencephalogram and Epilepsy studies (I): Classification accuracy results in group B using one of the entropy algorithms realisations as a feature and k-NN with $k=3$. Maximum accuracy achieved is highlighted in bold.

n	3	4	5	6				
PEn	51%	50%	50%	49%	-	-	-	-
MPEn	48%	50%	48%	-	-	-	-	-
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
SEn	40%	41%	36%	37%	41%	41%	40%	50%
(m,r)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.4)	(1, 0.6)	(1, 0.8)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.4)	(2, 0.6)	(2, 0.8)
QSEn	43%	44%	50%	47%	36%	42%	45%	48%
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
FEn, $n=1$	46%	48%	51%	51%	46%	44%	44%	48%
FEn, $n=2$	48%	54%	52%	52%	50%	50%	50%	53%
FEn, $n=3$	58%	57%	62%	63%	46%	51%	55%	50%

Table S7. Electroencephalogram and Epilepsy studies (I): Best classification accuracy results in group A and group B for varying number of features used. Best in group accuracies are highlighted in bold.

<u>1 feature</u>	Features	Group A	Group B
Best in group A	PEn ($n=5$)	73.5%	48%
Best in group B	FEn ($n=3, m=1, r=0.25$)	53%	63%
<u>2 features</u>			
Best in group A	PEn ($n=5$), FEn ($n=1, m=1, r=0.2$)	92.5%	71%
Best in group B	SEn ($m=2, r=0.25$), FEn ($n=2, m=1, r=0.1$)	92.5%	83%
<u>3 features</u>			
Best in group A	PEn ($n=3$), MPEn ($n=3$), FEn ($n=1, m=1, r=0.2$)	93.5%	69%
Best in group B	PEn ($n=4$), SEn ($m=2, r=0.25$), FEn ($n=3, m=2, r=0.15$)	89%	87%
<u>4 features</u>			
Best in group A	MPEn ($n=5$), SEn ($m=2, r=0.15$), QSEn ($m=2, r=0.25$), FEn ($n=3, m=1, r=0.1$)	94%	81%
Best in group B	PEn ($n=3$), SEn ($m=2, r=0.2$), QSEn ($m=2, r=0.25$), FEn ($n=3, m=2, r=0.15$)	89%	87%

Table S8. Electroencephalogram and Epilepsy studies (II): Classification accuracy results using one of the entropy algorithms realisations as a feature and k-NN with $k=3$. Maximum accuracy achieved is highlighted in bold.

n	3	4	5	6				
PEn	50%	58.5%	52%	56%	-	-	-	-
MPEn	50.5%	59.5%	53.5%	-	-	-	-	-
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
SEn	59.5%	58%	56%	61%	52%	61.5%	57.5%	57%
(m,r)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.4)	(1, 0.6)	(1, 0.8)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.4)	(2, 0.6)	(2, 0.8)
QSEn	57%	60%	58%	54%	58%	55.5%	57.5%	56.5%
(m,r)	(1, 0.1)	(1, 0.15)	(1, 0.2)	(1, 0.25)	(2, 0.1)	(2, 0.15)	(2, 0.2)	(2, 0.25)
FEn, $n=1$	63%	62.5%	58%	59%	58.5%	58.5%	57%	57%
FEn, $n=2$	52.5%	55.5%	56.5%	57%	58.5%	59.5%	58%	55%
FEn, $n=3$	51%	51.5%	50%	55%	55%	58%	58.5%	55%

Table S9. Electroencephalogram and epilepsy studies (II): Best classification accuracy results for varying number of entropies used as a feature vector.

<u>Number of features</u>	Features	Best accuracy
<u>1</u>	FEn ($n=1, m=2, r=0.15$)	63%
<u>2</u>	MPEn ($n=5$), SEn ($m=1, r=0.15$)	73%
<u>3</u>	MPEn ($n=3$), QSEn ($m=2, r=0.15$), FEn ($n=2, m=1, r=0.2$)	75%
<u>5</u>	PEn ($n=5$), MPEn ($n=4$), SEn ($m=2, r=0.15$), QSEn ($m=2, r=0.25$), FEn ($n=1, m=1, r=0.15$)	75.5%

