



# Article Varying Constants Entropic-ACDM Cosmology

# Mariusz P. Dąbrowski <sup>1,2,3,\*,†</sup>, Hussain Gohar <sup>1,†</sup> and Vincenzo Salzano <sup>1,†</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Institute of Physics, University of Szczecin, Wielkopolska 15, 70-451 Szczecin, Poland; hunzaie@wmf.univ.szczecin.pl (H.G.); enzo@wmf.univ.szczecin.pl (V.S.)
- <sup>2</sup> National Centre for Nuclear Research, Andrzeja Sołtana 7, 05-400 Otwock, Poland
- <sup>3</sup> Copernicus Center for Interdisciplinary Studies, Sławkowska 17, 31-016 Kraków, Poland
- \* Correspondence: mpdabfz@wmf.univ.szczecin.pl
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Academic Editor: Remo Garattini

Received: 22 December 2015; Accepted: 27 January 2016; Published: 22 February 2016

Abstract: We formulate the basic framework of thermodynamical entropic force cosmology which allows variation of the gravitational constant *G* and the speed of light *c*. Three different approaches to the formulation of the field equations are presented. Some cosmological solutions for each framework are given and one of them is tested against combined observational data (supernovae, BAO, and CMB). From the fit of the data, it is found that the Hawking temperature numerical coefficient  $\gamma$  is two to four orders of magnitude less than usually assumed on the geometrical ground theoretical value of O(1) and that it is also compatible with zero. In addition, in the entropic scenario, we observationally test that the fit of the data is allowed for the speed of light *c* growing and the gravitational constant *G* diminishing during the evolution of the universe. We also obtain a bound on the variation of *c* to be  $\Delta c/c \propto 10^{-5} > 0$ , which is at least one order of magnitude weaker than the quasar spectra observational bound.

Keywords: varying constants; entropic force; gravitational thermodynamics

PACS: 98.80.Jk; 95.36.+x; 04.50.Kd; 04.70.Dy

## 1. Introduction

General Relativity is an established theory which explains the evolution of the universe on a large scale [1]. Although it is not complete because it contains singularities, it explains the dynamics of the universe in a consistent way. Furthermore, the current phase of accelerated evolution of the universe has been discovered [2,3]. In order to obtain this accelerated expansion, one has to put an extra term, the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  or dark energy into the Einstein–Friedmann equations. The  $\Lambda$ CDM models that resulted [4–7] are consistent models to explain this accelerated expansion, but the observational value of  $\Lambda$  is over 120 orders of magnitude smaller than the value calculated in quantum field theory, where it is interpreted as vacuum energy. This motivates cosmologists to look for alternative models which can explain the effect [8,9].

The relation between Einstein's gravity and thermodynamics is a puzzle. In the 1970s, Bekenstein and Hawking [10–13] derived the laws of black hole thermodynamics which emerged to have similar properties as in standard thermodynamics. Jacobson [14] derived Einstein field equations from the first law of thermodynamics by assuming the proportionality of the entropy and the horizon area. A more extensive work in this direction was made by Verlinde and Padmanabhan in [15–18]. Verlinde derived gravity as an entropic force, which originated in a system by the statistical tendency to increase its entropy. He assumed the holographic principle [19], which stated that the microscopic degrees of freedom can be represented holographically on the horizons, and this piece of information

(or degrees of freedom) can be measured in terms of entropy. The approach got criticized on the base of neutron experiments though [20].

Recently, the entropic cosmology based on the notion of the entropic force was developed in a series of papers [21–27] and it was especially compared with supernovae data in [28,29]. However, supernovae tests are not very strong and so the [28] got criticized on the basis of a galaxy formation problem (e.g., [30–33]). Basically, the idea of entropic cosmology is to add extra entropic force terms into the Friedmann equation and the acceleration equation. This force is supposed to be responsible for the current acceleration as well as for an early exponential expansion of the universe. It is pertinent to mention that the entropic cosmology suggested in these references assumes that gravity is still a fundamental force and that it includes extra driving force terms or boundary terms in the Einstein field equations. This is unlike Verlinde [15], who considers gravity as an entropic force, but not as a fundamental force (see also [34–44]). All frameworks were discussed in detail in [45] by Visser. Entropic cosmology is also related to dynamical vacuum energy models which have been discussed and confronted with data in [46–50].

In this paper, we expand entropic cosmology suggested in [21–29] for the theories with varying physical constants: the gravitational constant G and the speed of light c. Although [28] is problematic in the context galaxy formation test, we use it as a starting point for further discussion. We discuss possible consequences of such variability onto the entropic force terms and the boundary terms. As it has been known for the last fifteen years, varying constants cosmology [51–53] was proposed as an alternative to inflationary cosmology, because it can help to solve all the cosmological problems (horizon, flatness, and monopole). In the paper, we try three different approaches to formulate the entropic cosmology with varying constants. In Section 2, we present a consistent set of the field equations which describes varying constants entropic cosmology with general entropic force terms. In Section 3, we derive the continuity equation from the first law of thermodynamics and fit general entropic terms to the field equations derived in Section 2 using explitic definitions of Bekenstein entropy and Hawking temperature. We also discuss the constraints on the models which come from the second law of thermodynamics. In Section 4, we study single-fluid accelerating cosmological solutions to the field equations derived in Section 3. In Section 5, we derive the entropic force for varying constants, define appropriate entropic pressure, and modify the continuity and acceleration equations. We also determine the Friedmann equation and give single-fluid accelerating cosmological solutions. In Section 6, we derive gravitational Einstein field equations using the heat flow through the horizon to which Bekenstein entropy and the Hawking temperature is assigned. Sections 7 and 8 are devoted to observationally testing the many-fluid entropic force models with varying constants. Because of this, the data from supernovae, Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO), and Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) are used. In Section 9, we give our conclusions.

#### 2. Entropic Force Field Equations and Varying Constants

The main idea of our consideration is to follow [21-24,28,29] (assuming homogeneous Friedmann geometry) and generalize field equations which contain the entropic force terms f(t) and g(t) onto the case of varying speed of light c and varying Newton gravitational constant G theories. This can be done by making the entropic force field equations as derived in [21-24,28,29] to have dynamical c and G. The method to obtain such equations is similar as for varying c and G models of [51,52,54-57], which relies on Lorentz symmetry violation and, consequently, allowing some preferred (minimally coupled or cosmological) frame. In this frame, one applies standard variational principles, and so neither new terms (involving the derivatives of a dynamical field) nor dynamical field equations appeared. We follow this simple method as the first step towards perhaps more sophisticated ways of deriving the field equations from variational principles with dynamical fields. The attempts to do so were made in [58]. More recently [59,60], the spontaneous brake of the Lorentz symmetry SO(3,1) into  $O(3) \times R$  with a preferred frame allowing the cosmological co-moving time was considered. The symmetry breaking is due to an extra vector field Mexican

hat type potential, which is included apart from the dynamical field for the speed of light *c*. Some non-minimally coupled dynamical fields corresponding to *c* and *G* have been considered in [61].

In conclusion, our method just relies on having a preferred frame in which we vary the action which also contains the entropic force terms. This leads to a generalized entropic force Equations [21–24,28,29] with dynamical constants *i.e.*, the equations in which we made the replacement  $c \rightarrow c(t)$  and  $G \rightarrow G(t)$  as follows:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G(t)}{3}\rho - \frac{kc^2(t)}{a^2} + f(t), \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G(t)}{3} \left[ \rho + \frac{3p}{c^2(t)} \right] + g(t).$$

$$\tag{2}$$

In fact, the functions f(t) and g(t) in general play the role analogous to bulk viscosity with dissipative term (*cf.* [50–69] of the paper by Komatsu *et al.* [21]), and this is why from Equations (1) and (2), one obtains the modified continuity equation

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\left[\rho + \frac{p}{c^2(t)}\right] + \rho \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} - 3\frac{kc(t)\dot{c}(t)}{4\pi G(t)a^2(t)} = \frac{3H}{4\pi G(t)}\left[g(t) - f(t) - \frac{\dot{f}(t)}{2H}\right],\tag{3}$$

which will further be used in our paper to various thermodynamical scenarios of the evolution of the universe. If the functions f(t) and g(t) are equal and have the value of the  $\Lambda$ -term modified by varying speed of light c(t) *i.e.*,

$$f(t) = g(t) = \frac{\Lambda c^2(t)}{3},$$
 (4)

then they give modified varying *c* and *G* Einstein field equations with the continuity Equation as [53]

$$\dot{\varrho} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(\varrho + \frac{p}{c^2(t)}\right) + \varrho\frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} = \frac{(3k - \Lambda a^2)}{4\pi G(t)a^2}c(t)\dot{c}(t),\tag{5}$$

which finally reduce to the standard  $\Lambda$ -CDM equations for *c* and *G* constant. Another point is that the f(t) and g(t) terms can also be considered as time-dependent (dynamical) vacuum energy [46–50]. It is worth mentioning that there is a derivation of varying *c* models within the framework of Brans–Dicke theory [54–57], but then Equation (3) does not allow for *G*-varying terms  $\varrho \dot{G}/G$ , and the set of equations is also appended by the dynamical Brans-Dicke field equation  $\Phi \propto 1/G$ .

# 3. Gravitational Thermodynamics and Varying Constants

In this section, we start with basic thermodynamics in order to get entropic force varying constants field equations. Please note that the first law of thermodynamics has been widely used to interlink different gravity theories with thermodynamics [34–40,62]. Defining the temperature and entropy on the cosmological horizons, one can use this law of thermodynamics for the whole universe

$$dE + pdV = TdS, (6)$$

where *dE*, *dV*, and *dS* describe changes in the internal energy *E*, the volume *V*, and the entropy *S*, while *T* is the temperature, and *p* is the pressure. The volume of the universe contained in a sphere of the proper radius  $r_* = a(t)r$  (*r* is the comoving radius and a(t) is the scale factor) is

$$V(t) = \frac{4}{3}\pi a^3 r^3 \,. \tag{7}$$

We have

$$\dot{V}(t) = 3V(t)\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = 3V(t)H(t),\tag{8}$$

where dot represents the derivative with respect to time, and the Hubble function is  $H(t) = \dot{a}/a$ . The internal energy *E* and the energy density  $\varepsilon(t)$  of the universe are related by

$$E(t) = \varepsilon(t)V(t), \quad \varepsilon(t) = \rho(t)c^2(t), \tag{9}$$

where  $\rho$  is the mass density of the universe.

Now, we generalize the Hawking temperature *T* [13] and Bekenstein entropy *S* [10–12] of the (time-dependent) Hubble horizon at  $r \equiv r_h = r_h(t)$  onto the varying *c* and *G* theories as follows (we have to correct the latex errors below)

$$T = \frac{\gamma \hbar c(t)}{2\pi k_B r_h(t)} \tag{10}$$

$$S = \frac{k_B}{4\hbar} \left[ \frac{c^3(t)A(t)}{G(t)} \right].$$
(11)

Here,  $A(t) = 4\pi r_h^2(t)$  is the horizon area,  $\hbar$  is the Planck constant,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, and  $\gamma$  is an arbitrary, dimensionless, and non-negative theoretical parameter of the order of unity O(1)which is usually taken to be  $\frac{3}{2\pi}$ ,  $\frac{3}{4\pi}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$  [21–24,28,29]. In fact,  $\gamma$  can be related to a corresponding screen or boundary of the universe to define the temperature and the entropy on that preferred screen. Here, the screen will be the Hubble horizon *i.e.*, the sphere of the radius  $r_h$ . Dividing Equation (6) by time differential dt, we have

$$\frac{dE}{dt} + p\frac{dV}{dt} = T\frac{dS}{dt},\tag{12}$$

which after applying Equations (7) and (9) gives

$$\dot{E} + p\dot{V} = \left[\dot{\rho} + 2\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)}\rho + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2(t)}\right)\right]Vc^2(t).$$
(13)

From Equations (10) and (11) we have

$$T\dot{S} = \frac{\gamma c^4(t)}{2G(t)} r_h \left[ 3\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} + 2\frac{\dot{r}_h}{r_h} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} \right].$$
 (14)

By using Equations (12), (13), and (14) we get the modified continuity equation as follows

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\left[\rho + \frac{p}{c^2(t)}\right] = -2\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)}\rho + \frac{3\gamma H^2}{8\pi G(t)}\left[\left(5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)}\right) - 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H}\right],\tag{15}$$

where we have used the explicit definition of the Hubble horizon modified to varying speed of light models [28,29]

$$r_h(t) \equiv \frac{c(t)}{H(t)}.$$
(16)

If we introduced the non-zero spatial curvature  $k = \pm 1$ , then we would have to apply the entropy and the temperature of the apparent horizon which reads

$$r_A = \frac{c(t)}{\sqrt{H^2 + \frac{kc^2(t)}{a^2(t)}}}.$$
(17)

Simple calculations give that

$$\frac{\dot{r}_A}{r_A} = -\frac{Hr_A^2}{c^2} \left( \dot{H} - \frac{kc^2}{a^2} \right) + \frac{\dot{c}}{c} \left( 1 - \frac{k}{a^2} r_A^2 \right),$$
(18)

Entropy 2016, 18, 60

which for k = 0 case reduces to

$$\frac{\dot{r}_h}{r_h} = \frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{H}}{H}.$$
(19)

In this section, we restrict ourselves to k = 0 case in order to get the general functions f(t) and g(t).

In order to constrain possible sets of varying constant models, we can apply the second law of thermodynamics according to which the entropy of the universe remains constant (adiabatic expansion) or increases (non-adiabatic expansion)

$$\frac{dS}{dt} \ge 0. \tag{20}$$

In fact, Equation (14) gives the condition

$$3\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} \ge -2\frac{\dot{r}_h}{r_h} = -2\left(\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{H}}{H}\right)$$
(21)

or

$$5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} \ge 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H} = 2\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right),\tag{22}$$

which for  $\dot{c} = \dot{G} = 0$  just says that the Hubble horizon must increase  $\dot{r}_h \ge 0$ . For  $\dot{G}(t) = 0$ , and by using Equations (16) and (21), we have

$$c(t) \ge b_1 H^{\frac{2}{5}},$$
 (23)

and for  $\dot{c}(t) = 0$ , we have

$$G(t) \le b_2 H^{-2},\tag{24}$$

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are constants.

#### 4. Gravitational Thermodynamics—Cosmological Solutions

Using the generalized continuity Equation (15), one is able to fit the functions f(t) and g(t) from a general varying constants entropic force continuity Equation (3) as follows

$$f(t) = \gamma H^2 \tag{25}$$

$$g(t) = \gamma H^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left( 5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} \right) H + \frac{4\pi G(t)}{3H} \left( \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} - 2\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} \right) \rho$$
(26)

Having given f(t) and g(t), one is able to write down the Equations (1) and (2) as follows

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G(t)}{3}\rho + \gamma H^2,\tag{27}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \gamma H^2 - \frac{4\pi G(t)}{3} \left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2(t)}\right) + \left(\frac{7\gamma - 2}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} H + \left(\frac{1 - 2\gamma}{2}\right) \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} H,\tag{28}$$

which form a consistent set together with Equation (15). While fitting the functions f(t) and g(t), we set k = 0. If we were to investigate  $k = \pm 1$  models, then the the temperature T (10) and the entropy S (11) should be defined on the apparent horizon (17). A different choice of f(t) and g(t) which is consistent with Equation (15) would be, for example, as follows

$$f(t) = 0, (29)$$

Entropy 2016, 18, 60

$$g(t) = \gamma \dot{H} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left( 5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} \right) H + \frac{4\pi G(t)}{3H} \left( \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} - 2\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} \right) \rho.$$
(30)

However, both choices (25)–(26) and (29)–(30) do not allow for a constant term like the cosmological constant (unless one fine-tunes H = const.) so that an alternative choice which fulfills this requirement would be

$$f(t) = \gamma H^2 + K_1, \tag{31}$$

$$g(t) = \gamma H^2 + K_1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left( 5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} \right) H + \frac{4\pi G(t)}{3H} \left( \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} - 2\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} \right) \rho,$$
(32)

where  $K_1$  is a constant acting on the same footing as the cosmological  $\Lambda$ -term in standard  $\Lambda$ -CDM cosmology securing the model with respect to structure formation tests (*cf.* [21–23,30–33]).

There is a full analogy of varying constants generalized Equations (27), (28), and (15) with the entropic force equation given in [28,29] when one applies the specific ansatz for varying *c* and *G*:

$$c(t) = c_0 a^n \quad \text{and} \quad G(t) = G_0 a^q \tag{33}$$

with n, q = const. which gives  $\dot{c}(t)/c(t) = nH$  or  $\dot{G}(t)/G(t) = qH$ . It is worth emphasizing that our ansatz should be  $c(t) = c_0(a/a_0)^n$  and  $G(t) = G_0(a/a_0)^q$  [63], but the standard approach nowadays picks up  $a_0 = 1$  [64].

We note that the application of the ansatz (33) to the growing entropy requirement (22) gives the bound that

$$n \ge -2/5$$
 and  $q \le 2$ . (34)

As we shall see in Section 8 (or Table 1), these limits are in agreement with the observational values we have obtained. They also allow the Newtonian limit  $c \to \infty$  ( $n \to \infty$ ) or  $G \to 0$  ( $q \to -\infty$ ) [65].

The cosmological solutions of the set of varying constants Equations (27), (28) and (15) are given below.

4.1. G Varying Models Only: 
$$G(t) = G_0 a^q$$
;  $q, G_0 = const., \dot{c}(t) = 0$ .

Defining the barotropic index equation of state parameter w by using the barotropic equation of state,  $p = w\rho c^2$  for varying  $G = G_0 a^q$ , we can integrate the continuity Equation (15) to get

$$\rho = \rho_0 a^{3(1+w)} \left[ \left( \frac{G(t)}{G_0} \right) \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}},\tag{35}$$

where  $\rho_0$  is a constant with the dimension of mass density,  $G_0$  the gravitational constant, and  $H_0$  the Hubble parameter. Using (27) and (28) and then multiplying (27) by (1 + 3w) and (28) by 2, we get

$$\dot{H} = \left(\frac{1-2\gamma}{2}\right)\frac{\dot{G}}{G}H - \frac{3}{2}\left(1+w\right)\left(1-\gamma\right)H^2$$
(36)

or (using the fact that  $\dot{G}/G = qH$ ,  $\ddot{a}/a = \dot{H} + H^2$ ) one has

$$\dot{H} = -\bar{w}H^2,\tag{37}$$

where

$$\bar{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 3(w+1) \left( 1 - \gamma \right) - (1 - 2\gamma)q \right].$$
(38)

Equation (37) solves easily using a new variable  $N = \ln a$  [22] *i.e.*,

$$\left(\frac{dH}{da}\right)a = \frac{dH}{dN} = \left(\frac{dH}{dt}\right)\frac{dt}{da}a = -\bar{w}H,\tag{39}$$

which integrates to give

$$H = H_0 a^{-\bar{w}},\tag{40}$$

where  $H_0$  is constant. The solution of (40) is

$$a(t) = \bar{w}^{\frac{1}{\bar{w}}} \left[ H_0(t - t_0) \right]^{\frac{1}{\bar{w}}},$$
(41)

where  $t_0$  is constant. Bearing in mind the value of (38), we can easily conclude that, without entropic terms, the solution (41) corresponds to a standard barotropic fluid Friedmann evolution  $a(t) \propto (t - t_0)^{(2/3(w+1))}$ . The scale factor for radiation, matter and vacuum (cosmological constant) dominated eras reads as

$$a(t) \propto \begin{cases} [H_0(t-t_0)]^{\frac{2}{(4-q)+2\gamma(q-2)}}; w = \frac{1}{3}, \text{ (radiation)}\\ [H_0(t-t_0)]^{\frac{2}{(3-q)+(2q-3)\gamma}}; w = 0, \text{ (dust)}\\ [H_0(t-t_0)]^{\frac{2}{(2\gamma-1)q}}; w = -1. \text{ (vacuum)} \end{cases}$$
(42)

The solution (42) shows that in varying *G* entropic cosmology even dust (w = 0) can drive acceleration of the universe provided

$$(3-q) + (2q-3)\gamma \le 2.$$
(43)

On the other hand, the solution which includes  $\Lambda$ -term (w = -1) drives acceleration for  $(2\gamma - 1) q \leq 2$ . There is an interesting check of these formulas for the case when one takes the Hawking temperature parameter  $\gamma = 1$ ; in all three cases (radiation, dust, vacuum), the conditions for accelerated expansion fall into one relation  $q \leq 2$ . In fact, this limit is very special, which can be seen from Equation (27) in which the terms involving  $H^2$  cancel and lead to empty universe ( $\varrho = 0$ ) so that it is no wonder that the acceleration does not depend on the barotropic index parameter w. Finally, we conclude that, in all these cases, the entropic terms and the varying constants can play the role of dark energy.

One may also consider a more than one component model *i.e.*, the models which allow matter, radiation as well as other cosmological fluids of negative pressure like the cosmological constant which give a turning point of the evolution compatible with current observational data (early-time deceleration and late-time acceleration). We will consider such models numerically in Section 8, where we test these models with observational data.

# 4.2. c Varying Models Only: $c(t) = c_0 a^n$ ; $c_0, n = const.$ , $\dot{G}(t) = 0$

The solution of the continuity Equation (15) for varying c is

$$\rho = \rho_0 a^{3(1+w)} \left[ \left( \frac{c(t)}{c_0} \right)^{7\gamma-2} \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right)^{-2\gamma} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}},\tag{44}$$

where again  $\rho_0$  is a constant with the dimension of mass density,  $c_0$  the velocity of light, and  $H_0$  the Hubble parameter. Applying (27) and (28), we have

$$\dot{H} = \frac{7\gamma - 2}{2}\frac{\dot{c}}{c}H - \frac{3}{2}\left(1 - \gamma\right)\left(1 + w\right)H^{2},\tag{45}$$

Entropy 2016, 18, 60

or

$$\dot{H} = -\tilde{w}H^2,\tag{46}$$

where

$$\tilde{w} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 3(1+w) \left( 1-\gamma \right) - n \left( 7\gamma - 2 \right) \right].$$
(47)

The solution of (46) is

$$H = H_0 a^{-\tilde{w}},\tag{48}$$

where  $H_0$  is constant. Finally, the solution of (48) for the scale factor gives

$$a(t) = \tilde{w}^{\frac{1}{\tilde{w}}} \left[ H_0 \left( t - t_0 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{\tilde{w}}},$$
(49)

where  $t_0$  is constant. For radiation, dust and vacuum we have, respectively

$$a(t) \propto \begin{cases} [H_0(t-t_0)]^{\frac{2}{(4+2n)-(4+7n)\gamma}}; w = \frac{1}{3}, \text{ (radiation)}\\ [H_0(t-t_0)]^{\frac{2}{(3+2n)-(3+7n)\gamma}}; w = 0, \text{ (dust)}\\ [H_0(t-t_0)]^{\frac{2}{(2-7\gamma)n}}; w = -1. \text{ (vacuum)} \end{cases}$$
(50)

For these three cases, one derives inflation provided

 $(4+2n) - (4+7n)\gamma \le 2$ , (radiation)  $(3+2n) - (3+7n)\gamma \le 2$ , (dust)  $(2-7\gamma)n \le 2$ , (vacuum)

and the entropic force terms play the role of dark energy which can be responsible for the current acceleration of the universe. As in the previous subsection, here also after taking the Hawking temperature parameter  $\gamma = 1$ , in all three cases (radiation, dust, vacuum) the conditions for accelerated expansion fall into one relation  $n \ge -2/5$ , but this is also a special empty universe limit of Equation (27).

As in the previous subsection, one may also consider a more than one component model—the matter we deal with numerically in Section 8. We would like to emphasize again that here we have presented one-component solutions only, while in Section 7, we will be studying multi-component models which allow the transition from deceleration to acceleration.

#### 5. Entropic Pressure Modified Equations

In this section, we start with the formal definition of the entropic force as given in [21–24,28,29]. We assume that the temperature and entropy are given by (10) and (11) and use the definition of the entropic force

$$F = -T\frac{dS}{dr}.$$
(51)

We calculate the entropic force on the horizon  $r = r_h(t)$  by taking

$$dS/dr_h = \dot{S}/\dot{r}_h \tag{52}$$

to obtain

$$F = -\frac{\gamma c^4(t)}{2G(t)} \left[ \frac{5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} - 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H}}{\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{H}}{H}} \right].$$
(53)

For  $\dot{c} = \dot{G} = 0$ , this formula reduces to the value obtained in [22]:  $F = \gamma(c^4/G)$  which is presumably the value of maximum tension in general relativity [66–68]. It has been shown in [69]

that (53) may recover infinite tension thus violating the so-called Maximum Tension Principle [66] in the framework of varying constants theories.

Now, we define the entropic pressure  $p_E$ , as the entropic force per unit area A, and use (16) to get

$$p_E = -\frac{\gamma c^2(t) H^2}{8\pi G(t)} \left[ \frac{5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{G(t)}{G(t)} - 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H}}{\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{H}}{H}} \right].$$
(54)

Out of the set of initial Equations (1)–(3), only two of them are independent. On the other hand, only (2) (acceleration equation) and (3) (continuity equation) contain the pressure. This is why while having (54), we will define the effective pressure

$$p_{eff} = p + p_E \tag{55}$$

and then write down the continuity Equation (3) as

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\left(\rho + \frac{p_{eff}}{c^2(t)}\right) + \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)}\rho = 0, \tag{56}$$

or

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^{2}(t)}\right) + \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)}\rho = \frac{3\gamma H^{3}}{8\pi G(t)} \left[\frac{5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{G(t)}{G(t)} - 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H}}{\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{H}}{H}}\right],$$
(57)

and the acceleration Equation (2) as

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G(t)}{3} \left(\rho + \frac{3p_{eff}}{c^2(t)}\right)$$
(58)

or

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G(t)}{3} \left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2(t)}\right) + \frac{\gamma H^2}{2} \left[\frac{5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)} - 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H}}{\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{H}}{H}}\right].$$
(59)

In order to solve the continuity Equation (57) we have to put f(t) = 0 in the Friedmann equation. Alternatively, we see by comparing (57) and (3) for k = 0, that we need to put f(t) = 0. We then obtain the simplest form of the Friedmann equation to use

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G(t)}{3}\rho,\tag{60}$$

By using (59) and (60), we get for varying  $c(t) = c_0 a^n$  and  $G(t) = G_0 a^q$ :

$$(\dot{H})^{2} - \left(\frac{B_{1} + 2n}{2}\right)\dot{H}H^{2} - \left(\frac{nB_{2} + q\gamma}{2}\right)H^{4} = 0,$$
(61)

where

$$B_1 = -3(1+w) + 2\gamma$$
 (62)

$$B_2 = 3(1+w) - 5\gamma \tag{63}$$

The cosmological solutions are obtained below. We consider two cases.

5.1. *G Varying Models Only:*  $\dot{G}(t) \neq 0$  and  $\dot{c}(t) = 0$ ;  $q \neq 0$ , n = 0.

Equation (61) reduces to

$$\left(\dot{H}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{B_1}{2}\right)\dot{H}H^2 - \left(\frac{q\gamma}{2}\right)H^4 = 0,$$
(64)

Entropy 2016, 18, 60

or we can write

$$\dot{H} - \frac{B_1 H^2}{4} = \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{q\gamma}{2} + \frac{B_1^2}{16}\right)} H^2$$
(65)

or

$$\dot{H} = -WH^2, \tag{66}$$

where

$$W = \mp \sqrt{\left(\frac{q\gamma}{2} + \frac{B_1^2}{16}\right) + \frac{B_1}{4}} \tag{67}$$

Solving (66) for the Hubble parameter, we have

$$H = H_0 a^{-W}, ag{68}$$

where  $H_0$  is a constant of integration. Solving (68) for the scale factor a(t), one gets

$$a(t) = W^{\frac{1}{W}} \left[ H_0(t - t_0) \right]^{\frac{1}{W}}.$$
(69)

5.2. *c Varying Models Only:*  $\dot{G}(t) = 0$  and  $\dot{c}(t) \neq 0$ ;  $q = 0, n \neq 0$ 

From (61) we obtain

$$(\dot{H})^2 - \left(\frac{B_1 + 2n}{2}\right)\dot{H}H^2 - \left(\frac{nB_2}{2}\right)H^4 = 0,$$
 (70)

Following the same procedure as in the subsection A, one can find the Hubble parameter and the scale factor for varying *c* as:

$$H = H_0 a^{-X} \tag{71}$$

and

$$a(t) = X^{\frac{1}{X}} \left[ H_0(t - t_0) \right]^{\frac{1}{X}},$$
(72)

where,  $H_0$  and  $t_0$  are real constants and X is given by

$$X = -\left(\pm\sqrt{\left(\frac{nB_2}{2} + \frac{(B_1 + 2n)^2}{16}\right)} + \frac{B_1 + 2n}{4}\right).$$
(73)

Both of the above cases have the same non-varying constants limit  $(n \rightarrow 0 \text{ or } G \rightarrow 0)$  of  $W = B_1/2$ .

#### 6. Gravitational Thermodynamics—Horizon Heat Flow

In this section, we use yet another approach to derive entropic cosmology which is based on the application of the idea that one can get gravitational Einstein field equations using the heat flow through the horizon to which Bekenstein entropy (11) and Hawking temperature (10) (with  $\gamma = 1$ ) are assigned.

The heat flow dQ out through the horizon is given by the change of energy dE inside the apparent horizon and relates to the flow of entropy TdS as follows [41–43]

$$dQ = TdS = -dE. \tag{74}$$

If the matter inside the horizon has the form of a perfect fluid and c is not varying, then the heat flow through the horizon over the period of time dt is [42]

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = T\frac{dS}{dt} = A(\varrho + \frac{p}{c^2}) = 4\pi r_A^2(\varrho + \frac{p}{c^2}).$$
(75)

However, in our case, c is varying in time, and we have to take this into account while calculating the flow so that, bearing in mind that the rest mass element is dM, we have the energy through the horizon as

$$-dE = c^2 dM + 2Mcdc + pdV.$$
<sup>(76)</sup>

The mass element flow is

$$dM = A(vdt)\varrho = dV\varrho,\tag{77}$$

where vdt = s is the distance travelled by the fluid element, v is the velocity of the volume element, and dV is the volume element. The velocity of a fluid element can be related to the Hubble law of expansion

$$v = Hr_A \tag{78}$$

so that (77) can be written down as

$$dM = AHr_A \varrho dt. \tag{79}$$

We assume that the speed of light is the function of the volume through the scale factor *i.e.*, c = c(V) and since  $a \propto V^{1/3}$ , then c = c(a) [70]. We have

$$\frac{dc}{dV} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{V^{2/3}} \frac{dc}{da}$$
(80)

and besides, by putting  $M = V \varrho$  in (76), we get

$$-dE = c^2 dV \left( \varrho + \frac{p}{c^2} + \frac{2}{3} \varrho \frac{a}{c} \frac{dc}{da} \right).$$
(81)

Using (79), (81) and (14) (replacing  $r_h$  by  $r_A$ ) one has from (74)

$$4\pi r_A^2 H\left(\varrho + \frac{p}{c^2} + \frac{2}{3}\varrho \frac{a}{c}\frac{dc}{da}\right) = \frac{c^2}{2G}\left(3\frac{\dot{c}}{c} + 2\frac{\dot{r}_A}{r_A} - \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right),\tag{82}$$

or after explicitly using (17), we get a generalized acceleration equation

$$\dot{H} = -4\pi G(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}) + \frac{1}{2} \left( 5\frac{\dot{c}}{c} - \frac{\dot{G}}{G} \right) H - \frac{8\pi G}{3} \frac{\dot{c}}{c} \frac{\rho}{H} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{kc^2}{a^2 H} \left( \frac{\dot{c}}{c} - \frac{\dot{G}}{G} + 2H \right),$$
(83)

which for  $\dot{c} = \dot{G} = 0$  gives the Equation (A6) of [28].

In order to get the Friedmann equation, we have to use the continuity Equation (94) but for adiabatic expansion (dS = 0) to obtain

$$H\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}\right) = -\frac{\dot{\rho}}{3} - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\dot{c}}{c}\rho.$$
(84)

By using Equation (83) in (84), we have

$$H\dot{H} = \frac{4\pi G(t)}{3}\dot{\rho} + \frac{1}{2}\left(5\frac{\dot{c}}{c} - \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right)H^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{kc^2}{a^2H}\left(\frac{\dot{c}}{c} - \frac{\dot{G}}{G} + 2H\right).$$
(85)

After integrating (85) one obtains a generalized Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \int G(t)\dot{\rho}dt + \int \left(5\frac{\dot{c}}{c} - \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right)H^{2}dt + \frac{1}{2}\int\frac{kc^{2}}{a^{2}H}\left(\frac{\dot{c}}{c} - \frac{\dot{G}}{G} + 2H\right).$$
(86)

For k = 0 ( $r_A \rightarrow r_h = c/H$ ) by taking the ansatz of the form

$$c(t) = c_0 [H(t)]^m,$$
(87)

 $c_0 = \text{const.}, m = \text{const.}$  (or  $c(t) = c_0 (H/H_0)^m$ ,  $H_0 = \text{const.}$ ; similar ansatz  $c(t) = \dot{a}(t)$  was used in [71]), for varying *c* only (*i.e.*, for  $\dot{G} = 0$ ), we have the following equations

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho + \frac{5m}{2}H^{2} + K,$$
(88)

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2}) + \frac{5m}{2}H^2 + (\frac{3m}{2} + \frac{5m^2}{2})\dot{H} + K + mK\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2},$$
(89)

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2(t)}\right) + 2m\frac{\dot{H}}{H}\rho = 0, \tag{90}$$

where solely *K* is the constant of integration which can be interpreted as the cosmological constant  $\Lambda c^2/3$  [43] provided m = 0 (*cf.* the discussion in Section 2 and the formula (5)). For small *m*, one may expand *c*(*t*) given by (87) in Taylor series

$$c(t) = c_0 [H(t)]^m = c_0 \left[ 1 + m \ln H(t) + \frac{m^2}{2} (\ln H(t))^2 + \dots \right]$$

and use numerical procedures to calculate the consequences of variability of the speed of light, but we keep this beyond the scope of the paper.

Our set of Equations (88)–(90) contains two effects: the entropic force contribution K as well as many new terms related to variability of c (all the terms which involve the parameter m). In fact, there are as many as four such latter terms in Equation (89) (including a cross-term with K) and each of them may play the role in accelerating the universe instead of K-term.

For the K = 0 case, one can easily solve for the Hubble parameter H and the scale factor a for varying c models as

$$H = H_0 a^{-\frac{3(1+w)}{2(1+m)}},$$
(91)

and

$$a(t) \propto \left[H_0(t-t_0)\right]^{\frac{2(1+m)}{3(1+w)}}.$$
(92)

where  $H_0$  is the constant of integration. Besides, the continuity equation solves by

$$\rho = \rho_0 a^{-\frac{3(1+w)}{1+m}},\tag{93}$$

where  $\rho_0$  is a constant with the dimension of mass density. The solutions for  $K \neq 0$  can be found numerically, but we do not present them here.

# 7. Observational Parameters

In this section, we will try to give some more quantitative information about our approach, by applying our model to observational data. We will leave the single-fluid approach we have considered in past section, to move to the more realistic case of a multi-fluid scenario. We will take into account the components which make up the total mass density  $\rho$ , *i.e.*, radiation  $\rho_r$ , matter  $\rho_m$ , and some unknown vacuum energy component  $\rho_v$  (which can also be the cosmological constant). We

take the model with f(t) and g(t) given by (25) and (26) which do not contain the constant  $K_1$ -term as in (31) and (32). However, we will get this constant term effectively as the energy density of vacuum  $\rho_{\Lambda} = (\Lambda c^2)/(8\pi G)$ . With these assumptions, we can write the continuity Equation (15) by applying Friedmann Equation (27) and putting  $\rho = \sum_i \rho_i$  and  $p = \sum_i p_i$  as

$$\sum_{i} \dot{\rho}_{i} + 3H\left[\sum_{i} \rho_{i} + \frac{\sum_{i} p_{i}}{c^{2}(t)}\right] = -2\frac{\dot{c}}{c}\sum_{i} \rho_{i} + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\sum_{i} \rho_{i}\left[\left(5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)}\right) - 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H}\right],\tag{94}$$

where summation on *i* runs for radiation, matter and dark energy. From (94), one can easily check that one can separate the contribution of the three fluids obtaining the number of separate continuity equations. For each individual fluid with the barotropic parameter  $w_i$  and barotropic equation of state  $p_i = w_i \rho_i$ , the continuity equation takes the form:

$$\dot{\rho}_{i} + 3H\rho_{i}\left(1+w_{i}\right) = -2\frac{\dot{c}}{c}\rho_{i}$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\rho_{i}\left[\left(5\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} - \frac{\dot{G}(t)}{G(t)}\right) - 2\frac{\dot{H}}{H}\right],$$
(95)

where, of course,  $w_i = 0$  for matter,  $w_i = 1/3$  for radiation, and  $w_i = -1$  for vacuum. From them, it is easy to check that, on the one hand, no interaction term is present, in the way of exchanging energy among the fluids; however, on the other hand, entropic forces and the varying constants influence the behavior of the fluids, by the same amount and separately. Thus, for each of them, a separate continuity equation holds, and we never have any violation of the mass-energy conservation law.

The solution for each fluid from (95) can be easily found; once we use our ansatz,  $c = c_0 a^n$  and  $G = G_0 a^q$ , we have:

$$\rho_i = \frac{\rho_0}{H_0^{\frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}}} H^{\frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}} a^{f_i^X(\gamma,n,q)}, \tag{96}$$

where, as usual, *H* is the Hubble function,  $H_0$  the Hubble constant, *a* the scale factor, and  $f_i(\gamma, n, q)$  are general functions obtained by solving (95). When considering only a varying *c*, these functions are:

$$f_i^c(\gamma, n) = -3 \left[ 1 + w_i + \frac{n(2 - 7\gamma)}{3(1 - \gamma)} \right] ,$$
(97)

while for a varying *G* we have:

$$f_i^G(\gamma, q) = -3\left[1 + w_i + \frac{q\gamma}{3(1-\gamma)}\right], \qquad (98)$$

and fully agree with our solutions (35) and (44). We can note down that the main changes to the equation of state parameters come for the varying constant assumptions: in the limit of  $n \to 0$  and  $q \to 0$ , we recover the usual behaviors,  $a^{-3}$  for matter,  $a^{-4}$  for radiation, and constancy for the vacuum. However, we still have some dynamical effects on the densities from the entropic forces, through the  $H^{\frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}}$  term. Thus, even in the case of no-varying constant, the entropic forces make the vacuum dynamical.

Starting from the Friedmann Equation (27), after some simple algebra, we can write the Hubble function H, which we explicitly need for observational fitting. In the case of varying c it will be

$$E^{2} = \left(\frac{H}{H_{0}}\right)^{2} = \left[\sum_{i} \frac{\Omega_{i,0}}{1-\gamma} a^{f_{i}^{c}}(\gamma, n)\right]^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2\gamma-1}},$$
(99)

while for varying *G* it will be

$$E^{2} = \left(\frac{H}{H_{0}}\right)^{2} = \left[a^{q}\sum_{i}\frac{\Omega_{i,0}}{1-\gamma}a^{f_{i}^{G}}(\gamma,q)\right]^{\frac{j-1}{2\gamma-1}}.$$
(100)

We have defined the dimensionless density parameters as

$$\Omega_{i,0} = \frac{8\pi G_0 \rho_{i,0}}{3H_0^2},\tag{101}$$

where  $G_0$  is the current value of Newton's gravitational constant. Finally, in order to check if our model (94) allows a transition from deceleration to acceleration during the evolution of the universe at some redshift *z* in a similar way to a "pure"  $\Lambda$ CDM model, we have looked at the deceleration parameter, defined as:

$$q(z) = \frac{(1+z)}{2H^2(z)} \frac{dH^2(z)}{dz} - 1 , \qquad (102)$$

where the cosmological redshift is given by 1 + z = 1/a.

#### 8. Data Analysis

The analysis has involved the largest updated set of cosmological data available so far, and includes: Type Ia Supernovae (SNeIa); Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO); Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB); and a prior on the Hubble constant parameter,  $H_0$ .

#### 8.1. Type Ia Supernovae

We used the SNeIa (Supernovae Type Ia) data from the JLA (Joint-Light-curve Analysis) compilation [72]. This set is made of 740 SNeIa obtained by the SDSS-II (Sloan Digital Sky Survey) and SNLS (Supenovae Legacy Survey) collaboration, covering a redshift range 0.01 < z < 1.39. The  $\chi^2_{SN}$  in this case is defined as

$$\chi_{SN}^2 = \Delta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{SN} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{SN}^{-1} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{SN} , \qquad (103)$$

with  $\Delta \mathcal{F}^{SN} = \mathcal{F}_{theo}^{SN} - \mathcal{F}_{obs}^{SN}$ , the difference between the observed and the theoretical value of the observable quantity  $\mathcal{F}^{SN}$ ; and  $\mathbf{C}_{SN}$  the total covariance matrix (for a discussion about all the terms involved in its derivation, see [72]). For JLA, the observed quantity will be the predicted distance modulus of the SNeIa,  $\mu$ , given the cosmological model and two other quantities, the stretch (a measure of the shape of the SNeIa light-curve) and the color. It will read

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 5\log_{10}[D_L(z,\boldsymbol{\theta}_c)] - \alpha X_1 + \beta \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{M}_B , \qquad (104)$$

where  $D_L$  is the luminosity distance

$$D_L(z, \theta_c) = \frac{c_0}{H_0} (1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{E(z', \theta_c)} , \qquad (105)$$

with  $H(z) \equiv H_0 E(z)$  (following [72], we assume  $H_0 = 70 \text{ km/s} \cdot \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ),  $c_0$  the speed of light here and now, and  $\theta_c$  the vector of cosmological parameters. The total vector  $\theta$  will include  $\theta_c$  and the other fitting parameters, which in this case are:  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , which characterize the stretch-luminosity and color-luminosity relationships; and the nuisance parameter  $\mathcal{M}_B$ , expressed as a step function of two more parameters,  $\mathcal{M}_B^1$  and  $\Delta_m$ :

$$\mathcal{M}_B = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}_B^1 & \text{if } M_{stellar} < 10^{10} M_{\odot}, \\ \mathcal{M}_B^1 + \Delta_m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(106)

Further details about this choice are given in [72]. The formula (107) stands for the constant c cases; when c is varying according to (33), it is modified into [63,73]

$$D_L(z, \theta_c) = \frac{c_0}{H_0} (1+z) \int_0^z \frac{(1+z')^{-n}}{E(z', \theta_c)} dz' .$$
(107)

#### 8.2. Baryon Acoustic Oscillations

The  $\chi^2_{BAO}$  for Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) is defined as

$$\chi^2_{BAO} = \Delta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{BAO} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{BAO}^{-1} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{BAO} , \qquad (108)$$

where the quantity  $\mathcal{F}^{BAO}$  can be different depending on the considered survey. We used data from the WiggleZ Dark Energy Survey [74], evaluated at redshifts  $z = \{0.44, 0.6, 0.73\}$ , and given in Table 1 of [75]; in this case, the quantities to be considered are the acoustic parameter

$$A(z, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c) \equiv 100\sqrt{\Omega_m h^2} \frac{D_V(z, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c)}{c_0 z}, \qquad (109)$$

and the Alcock-Paczynski distortion parameter

$$F(z, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c) \equiv (1+z) \frac{D_A(z, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c) H(z, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c)}{c_0} , \qquad (110)$$

where  $D_A$  is the angular diameter distance

$$D_A(z, \theta_c) = \frac{c_0}{H_0} \frac{1}{1+z} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{E(z', \theta_c)} , \qquad (111)$$

and  $D_V$  is a combination of the physical angular-diameter distance  $D_A$  (tangential separation) and Hubble parameter H(z) (radial separation) defined as

$$D_V(z, \theta_c) = \left[ (1+z)^2 D_A^2(z, \theta_c) \frac{c_0 z}{H(z, \theta_c)} \right]^{1/3}.$$
 (112)

When dealing with varying *c*, Equations (109)–(112) have to be changed into [63,73]:

$$A(z, \theta_c) \equiv 100\sqrt{\Omega_m h^2} \frac{D_V(z, \theta_c)}{c_0 (1+z)^{-n} z},$$
(113)

$$F(z, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c) \equiv (1+z) \frac{D_A(z, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c) H(z, \boldsymbol{\theta}_c)}{c_0 (1+z)^{-n}}, \qquad (114)$$

$$D_A(z, \theta_c) = \frac{c_0}{H_0} \frac{1}{1+z} \int_0^z \frac{(1+z')^{-n}}{E(z', \theta_c)} dz', \qquad (115)$$

$$D_V(z, \theta_c) = \left[ (1+z)^2 D_A^2(z, \theta_c) \frac{c_0 (1+z)^{-n} z}{H(z, \theta_c)} \right]^{1/3}.$$
 (116)

We have also considered the data from SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) DR10-11, described in [76,77]. Data are expressed as

$$D_V(z=0.32) = (1264 \pm 25) \frac{r_s(z_d)}{r_s^{fid}(z_d)},$$
(117)

and

$$D_V(z=0.57) = (2056 \pm 20) \frac{r_s(z_d)}{r_s^{fid}(z_d)},$$
(118)

where  $r_s(z_d)$  is the sound horizon evaluated at the dragging redshift  $z_d$ , and  $r_s^{fid}(z_d)$  is the same sound horizon but calculated for a given fiducial cosmological model used, being equal to 149.28 Mpc [76,77]. The redshift of the drag epoch is well approximated by [78]

$$z_d = \frac{1291(\Omega_m h^2)^{0.251}}{1 + 0.659(\Omega_m h^2)^{0.828}} \left[ 1 + b_1(\Omega_b h^2)^{b_2} \right]$$
(119)

where

$$b_1 = 0.313 (\Omega_m h^2)^{-0.419} \left[ 1 + 0.607 (\Omega_m h^2)^{0.6748} \right],$$
  

$$b_2 = 0.238 (\Omega_m h^2)^{0.223}.$$
(120)

In addition, the sound horizon is defined as:

$$r_s(z) = \int_z^\infty \frac{c_s(z')}{H(z', \boldsymbol{\theta}_c)} \mathrm{d}z', \qquad (121)$$

with the sound speed

$$c_s(z) = \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{3(1 + \overline{R}_b \, (1+z)^{-1})}} \tag{122}$$

and

$$\overline{R}_b = 31500\Omega_b \, h^2 \left( T_{CMB} / 2.7 \right)^{-4} \,, \tag{123}$$

with  $T_{CMB} = 2.726$  K.

We have also added data points from Quasar–Lyman  $\alpha$  Forest from SDSS-III BOSS DR11 [79]:

$$\frac{D_A(z=2.36)}{r_s(z_d)} = 10.8 \pm 0.4$$
  
$$\frac{c_0}{H(z=2.36)r_s(z_d)} = 9.0 \pm 0.3.$$
 (124)

When working with varying *c* models, of course, we will have to change  $D_A$  and  $D_V$  as described above, and also the sound horizon, through the definition of the sound speed, Equation (122), which now will be [63,73]

$$c_s(z) = \frac{c_0(1+z)^{-n}}{\sqrt{3(1+\overline{R}_b\,(1+z)^{-1})}}.$$
(125)

Thus, we will have three different contributions to  $\chi^2_{BAO}$ , e.g.,  $\chi^2_{WiggleZ}$ ,  $\chi^2_{BOSS}$ ,  $\chi^2_{Lyman}$ , depending on the data sets we consider.

## 8.3. Cosmic Microwave Background

The  $\chi^2_{CMB}$  for Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) is defined as

$$\chi^2_{CMB} = \Delta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{CMB} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{CMB}^{-1} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^{CMB} , \qquad (126)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^{CMB}$  is a vector of quantities taken from [80], where *Planck* first data release is analyzed in order to give a set of quantities which efficiently summarize the information contained in the full

power spectrum (at least, for the cosmological background), and can thus be used as an alternative to the latter [81]. The quantities are the CMB shift parameters:

$$R(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c}) \equiv \sqrt{\Omega_{m}H_{0}^{2}} \frac{r(z_{*},\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c})}{c_{0}}$$

$$l_{a}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c}) \equiv \pi \frac{r(z_{*},\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c})}{r_{s}(z_{*},\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c})}, \qquad (127)$$

and the baryonic density parameter,  $\Omega_b h^2$ . Again,  $r_s$  is the comoving sound horizon, but evaluated at the photon-decoupling redshift  $z_*$ , given by the fitting formula [82]:

$$z_* = 1048 \left[ 1 + 0.00124 (\Omega_b h^2)^{-0.738} \right] \left( 1 + g_1 (\Omega_m h^2)^{g_2} \right) , \qquad (128)$$

with

$$g_1 = \frac{0.0783(\Omega_b h^2)^{-0.238}}{1+39.5(\Omega_b h^2)^{-0.763}}$$

$$g_2 = \frac{0.560}{1+21.1(\Omega_b h^2)^{1.81}},$$
(129)

while *r* is the comoving distance defined as:

$$r(z,\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c}) = \frac{c_{0}}{H_{0}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\mathrm{d}z'}{E(z',\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c})} \mathrm{d}z' \,. \tag{130}$$

When considering varying *c* models, again, the sound horizon will change as described above, and the comoving distance will be [63,73]

$$r(z, \theta_c) = \frac{c_0}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{(1+z')^{-n}}{E(z', \theta_c)} dz' , \qquad (131)$$

and the shift parameter R will become

$$R(\theta_{c}) \equiv \sqrt{\Omega_{m} H_{0}^{2}} \frac{r(z_{*}, \theta_{c})}{c_{0}(1 + z_{*})^{-n}}$$
(132)

Moreover, we have added a gaussian prior on the Hubble constant,  $H_0$ 

$$\chi_{H_0}^2 = \frac{(H_0 - 69.6)^2}{0.07^2} \tag{133}$$

derived from [83].

Thus, the total  $\chi^2_{Tot}$  will be the sum of:  $\chi^2_{SN}$ ,  $\chi^2_{WiggleZ}$ ,  $\chi^2_{BOSS}$ ,  $\chi^2_{Lyman}$ ,  $\chi^2_{CMB}$ ,  $\chi^2_{H_0}$ . We minimize  $\chi^2_{Tot}$  using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method.

Finally, we should make a few comments about the parameters which will be constrained. The total parameters vector  $\theta_c$  will be equal to  $\{\Omega_m, \Omega_b, h, q, \gamma, \alpha \beta M_B^1, \Delta_M\}$  when considering the varying *G* cases, and  $\{\Omega_m, \Omega_b, h, n, \gamma, \alpha \beta M_B^1, \Delta_M\}$  when considering the varying *c* ones. The actual observationally fitted components of this vector are given in Table 1.

The parameter *h* is defined in a standard way by  $H_0 \equiv 100 h$ . The density parameters entering H(z) are  $\Omega_m, \Omega_r, \Omega_v$ ; assuming zero spatial curvature, we can express  $\Omega_v = 1 - \gamma - \Omega_m - \Omega_r$ , in order to ensure the condition E(z = 0) = 1. Moreover, the radiation density parameter  $\Omega_r$  will be defined [84] as the sum of photons and relativistic neutrinos

$$\Omega_r = \Omega_\gamma (1 + 0.2271 \mathcal{N}_{eff}), \qquad (134)$$

where  $\Omega_{\gamma} = 2.469 \times 10^{-5} h^{-2}$  for  $T_{CMB} = 2.726$  K, and the number of relativistic neutrinos is assumed to be  $N_{eff} = 3.046$ .

# 8.4. Results

Our main result is presented in Figure 1. The first novelty is that we have found the observational bounds on the Hawking temperature coefficient  $\gamma$  which (on the theoretical basis) was usually taken to the order of unity O(1). Our evaluation gives that it should be of the order of  $10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$ . This difference is not unexpected, because the O(1) estimation was based on purely theoretical considerations, with no previous connection to data. Now, we show that observations are not consistent with such large values of  $\gamma$ . Instead, it is at least two orders of magnitude less. Thus, the entropic force in the model we have considered gives only a small contribution. Similar results were obtained in [46,49]. Another novelty is the bound on the variability of the speed of light *c* and the gravitational constant *G*. According to them, in the entropic scenario we have investigated both *G* (Figure 1, left panel) and *c* (Figure 1, right panel) should be increasing with the evolution of the universe. Bearing in mind that the speed of light is related to the inverse of the fine structure constant defined as

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c},\tag{135}$$

where *e* is the electron charge and  $\hbar$  is the Planck constant, by using (33) and (135) one has

$$\frac{\Delta c}{c} = -\frac{\Delta \alpha}{\alpha} = n \frac{\Delta a}{a} \sim \frac{n}{10},\tag{136}$$

then one can derive from Table 1 and Figure 1 that the change in *c* and so in  $\alpha$  ( $\Delta\alpha/\alpha_0$ ) from our fit is ~ 10<sup>-5</sup> in a redshift range [1;2] ( $n = 4.9 \times 10^{-4} > 0$ ), while other observational bounds, in the same range (see Table II of [85] which is based on [86–89]), give  $\Delta\alpha/\alpha_0 \sim 10^{-6}$ . However, our estimation is still compatible with other cosmological constraints, as the ones derived from CMB *Planck* first release (see [90]). Moreover, recent observations show that both positive and negative values of *n* are possible (the so-called  $\alpha$ -dipole [91]). Similarly, we can evaluate the range of change of *G*. From Table 1, we can also find the evaluation for q = 0.048, and this can be translated onto the constraint from the relation (33) using the current value of the Hubble constant as  $(\dot{G}/G)_0 = qH_0 \sim 3.42 \times 10^{-12} \text{ year}^{-1}$ . This is within the Solar System bound coming from Viking landers on Mars [92]  $(\dot{G}/G)_0 = (2 \pm 4) \times 10^{-12} \text{ year}^{-1}$  though weaker than the bound from the Lunar laser ranging [93]  $(\dot{G}/G)_0 = (4 \pm 9) \times 10^{-13} \text{ year}^{-1}$  (for a more detailed review, see [94]).

Finally, we can enumerate some general conclusions as follows:

- the entropic scenario plus varying *c* and/or *G* is quite indistinguishable from a *pure-*Λ*CDM model*, that is why we call it an *entropic-*Λ*CDM model*. Present data is still unable to differentiate between the two scenarios;
- the model obtained (*entropic*-ACDM cosmology) is a variation of the exchange of energy between vacuum and matter model studied in [46,48,49].
- the best fit for the value of the Hawking temperature coefficient  $\gamma$  is quite different from the theoretical values used in literature, *i.e.*,  $\gamma = 3/(2\pi)$  or 1/2; it should be pointed out that other considered entropic scenarios have the values of O(1) (e.g., [25]);
- the model with small values of the parameter  $\gamma$  is equivalent to a dynamical vacuum model with small variation of the vacuum energy studied in [46,49];
- the value for *γ* is compatible with zero since we were able to put only an upper limit to it. This
  would mean that the Hawking temperature was zero for the models under study;
- it is also clear that we still have the deceleration-acceleration transition, as we show in the plot of the relation for q(z) and also for q(a) in Figure 2, where our models are compared with a standard  $\Lambda$ CDM resulting in being, as said above, barely distinguishable.

The models we have studied here involve a mixture of matter and the dark energy fluid which is typically the energy of vacuums with small modifications due to the variability of *c* and *G*. This means that the discussion of the structure formation problem (perturbation equations, the formation of the structures, linear growth rate) is similar to those of dynamical vacuum models given in [48], with  $\gamma$  parameter here being analogous to  $\nu$  parameter of that reference. In fact, the models the models *III* and *IV* of [48] are indistinguishable from  $\Lambda$ CDM while the models *I* and *II* exhibit some difference what can be seen from Figure 1 of [48], where the density contrast and the linear growth rate of clustering are shown.

id.	$\mathbf{\Omega}_m$	$\Omega_b$	h	q/n	γ	α	β	$\mathcal{M}^1_B$	$\Delta_{\rm m}$
$G=G_0 a^q$	$0.314\substack{+0.009\\-0.008}$	$0.0453\substack{+0.0009\\-0.0009}$	$0.698\substack{+0.007\\-0.007}$	$0.048\substack{+0.042\\-0.033}$	< 0.022	$0.141\substack{+0.007\\-0.006}$	$3.106\substack{+0.077\\-0.087}$	$-19.044\substack{+0.018\\-0.019}$	$-0.071\substack{+0.023\\-0.023}$
$c = c_0 a^n$	$0.311\substack{+0.007\\-0.007}$	$0.046\substack{+0.001\\-0.001}$	$0.696\substack{+0.007\\-0.007}$	$0.00049\substack{+0.00049\\-0.00053}$	< 0.0007	$0.141\substack{+0.007\\-0.007}$	$3.100\substack{+0.080\\-0.080}$	$-19.043\substack{+0.018\\-0.018}$	$-0.070\substack{+0.023\\-0.022}$

Table 1. Observational parameters of the entropic models under study.



**Figure 1.** (Left) Varying *G* scenario: 68% and 95% confidence levels for *q* and  $\gamma$ ; (Right) Varying *c* scenario: 68% and 95% confidence levels for *n* and  $\gamma$ .



**Figure 2.** Transition from deceleration to acceleration for the model (94) as function of redshift (**Left**) and scale factor (**Right**). Solid line is for standard  $\Lambda$ CDM model; dashed line for varying–*G*–entropic– $\Lambda$ CDM model; dotted line is for varying–*c*–entropic– $\Lambda$ CDM model. The values of the parameters are taken from Table I and from the *Planck* data ( $\Lambda$ CDM).

## 9. Conclusions

In this paper, we extended the entropic cosmology onto the framework of the theories with varying gravitational constant G and varying speed of light c. We discussed the consequences

of such variability onto the entropic force terms and the boundary terms using three different approaches which possibly relate thermodynamics, cosmological horizons and gravity. We started with a general set of the field equations which described varying constants' entropic cosmology with a general form of the entropic terms. In the first approach, we derived the continuity equation from the first law of thermodynamics, Bekenstein entropy, as well as Hawking temperature to fit the general entropic terms to this continuity equation. We found appropriate single-fluid accelerating cosmological solutions to these field equations. We also discussed the constraints on the models which come from the second law of thermodynamics. In the second approach, we derived the entropic force for varying constants, defined the entropic pressure, and finally modified the continuity and the acceleration equations. Then, we determined the Friedmann equation and gave single-fluid accelerating cosmological solutions as well. Finally, in the third approach, we got gravitational Einstein field equations using the heat flow through the horizon to which Bekenstein entropy and Hawking temperature were assigned.

We have also examined some of the many-fluid (first accelerating and then decelerating) entropic models against observational data (supernovae, BAO, and CMB). We have used data from JLA compilation of SDSS-II and SNLS collaboration (supernovae), WiggleZ Dark Energy Survey and SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) as well as *Planck* data (CMB). We found that the observational bound on the Hawking temperature coefficient  $\gamma$  was much smaller ( $10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$ ) than it is usually assumed to be on the theoretical basis to be of order of unity O(1). We have also found that in our entropic models *G* should be diminishing while *c* should be increasing with the evolution of the universe. Our bound on the variation of *c* being  $\Delta c/c \sim 10^{-5}$  is at least one order of magnitude weaker than observational bound obtained from analysis of the quasar spectra.

Acknowledgments: This project was financed by the Polish National Science Center Grant DEC-2012/06/A/ST2/00395.

**Author Contributions:** The Authors contributed equally to this work. Mariusz P. Dąbrowski and Hussain Gohar wrote Sections 2–6. Vincenzo Salzano wrote Sections 7,8 and performed observational comparison with data. Mariusz P. Dąbrowski wrote introductory and concluding sections. The idea of Sections 3,5,6 including c and G varying Bekenstein entropy and Hawking temperature came from Hussain Gohar. The form of Section 2 and the suggestion for cosmological solutions of section 4 came from Mariusz P. Dąbrowski. Sections 7,8 were inspired by Vincenzo Salzano. All the authors finally agreed onto Section 7 and contributed equally to the overall idea of the paper. All approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Ellis, G.F.R.; Maartens, R.; MacCallum, M.A.H. *Relativistic Cosmology*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2012.
- Perlmutter, S.; Aldering, G.; Goldhaber, G.; Knop, R.A.; Nugent, P.; Castro, P.G.; Deustua, S.; Fabbro, S.; Goobar, A.; Groom, D.E.; *et al.* Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 high redshift supernovae. *Astrophys. J.* 1999, *517*, 565–586.
- 3. Riess, A.G.; Filippenko, A.V.; Challis, P.; Clocchiattia, A.; Diercks, A.; Garnavich, P.M.; Gilliland, R.L.; Hogan, C.J.; Jha, S.; Kirshner R.P.; *et al.* Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant. *Astron. J.* **1998**, *116*, 1009–1038.
- 4. Peebles, P.J.E. Tests of cosmological models constrained by inflation. Astrophys. J. 1984, 284, 439-444.
- 5. Kofman, L.A.; Starobinsky, A.A. Effect of the cosmological constant on large-scale anisotropies in the microwave background. *Sov. Astron. Lett.* **1985**, *11*, 271–274.
- 6. Dąbrowski, M.P.; Stelmach, J. Analytic Solutions of Friedman Equation for Spatially Opened Universes with Cosmological, Constant and Radiation Pressure. *J. Ann. Phys.* **1986**, *166*, 422–442.
- 7. Weinberg, S. The cosmological constant problem. Rev. Mod. Phys. 1989, 61, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.61.1.
- 8. Miao, L.; Dong, L.X.; Shuang, W.; Yi, W. Dark Energy. Commun. Theor. Phys. 2011, 56, 525–604.
- 9. Bamba, K.; Capozziello, S.; Nojiri, S.; Odintsov, S.D. Dark energy cosmology: The equivalent description via different theoretical models and cosmography tests. *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **2012**, *342*, 155–228.

- 10. Bekenstein, J.D. Black holes and entropy. Phys. Rev. D 1973, 7, 2333–2346.
- 11. Bekenstein, J.D. Generalized second law of thermodynamics in black hole physics. *Phys. Rev. D* 1974, *9*, 3292–3300.
- 12. Bekenstein, J.D. Statistical Black Hole Thermodynamics. Phys. Rev. D 1975, 12, 3077–3085.
- 13. Hawking, S.W. Black hole explosions. *Nature* 1974, 248, 30–31.
- 14. Jacobson, T. Thermodynamics of space-time: The Einstein equation of state. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, 75, 1260–1263.
- 15. Verlinde, E.J. On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton. J. High Energy Phys. 2011, 2011, 1–27.
- 16. Padmanabhan, T. Gravitational entropy of static space-times and microscopic density of states. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2004**, *21*, 4485–4494.
- 17. Padmanabhan, T. Thermodynamical Aspects of Gravity: New Insights .Rep. Prog. Phys. 2010, 73, 046901.
- 18. Padmanabhan, T. Equipartition of energy in the horizon degrees of freedom and the emergence of gravity. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **2010**, *25*, 1129–1136.
- 19. Hooft, G.'t Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity. **1993**, arXiv:gr-qc/9310026.
- 20. Kobakhidze, A. Gravity is not an entropic force. Phys. Rev. D 2011, 83, 021502.
- 21. Komatsu, N.; Kimura, S. Non-adiabatic-like accelerated expansion of the late universe in entropic cosmology. *Phys. Rev. D* 2013, *87*, 043531.
- 22. Komatsu, N.; Kimura, S. Entropic cosmology for a generalized black-hole entropy. *Phys. Rev. D* 2014, *88*, 083534.
- 23. Komatsu, N.; Kimura, S. Evolution of the universe in entropic cosmologies via different formulations. *Phys. Rev. D* 2014, *89*, 123501.
- 24. Komatsu, N. Entropic cosmology from a thermodynamics viewpoint. In Proceedings of the 12th Asia Pacific Physics Conference (APPC12), Kanazawa, Japan, 14–19 July 2013.
- 25. Cai, Y.F.; Liu, J.; Li, H. Entropic cosmology: A unified model of inflation and late-time acceleration. *Phys. Lett. B* **2010**, 690, 213–219.
- 26. Cai, Y.F.; Saridakis, E.N. Inflation in Entropic Cosmology: Primordial Perturbations and non-Gaussianities. *Phys. Lett. B* **2011**, 697, 280–287.
- 27. Qiu, T.; Saridakis, E.N. Entropic Force Scenarios and Eternal Inflation. Phys. Rev. D 2012, 85, 043504.
- 28. Easson, D.A.; Frampton, P.H.; Smoot, G.F. Entropic Accelerating Universe. Phys. Lett. B 2011, 696, 273–277.
- 29. Easson, D.A.; Frampton, P.H.; Smoot, G.F. Entropic Inflation. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 2012, 27, 125066.
- 30. Koivisto, T.S.; Mota, D.F.; Zumalacarrequi, M. Constraining entropic cosmology. J. Cosmol. Astrop. Phys. 2011, 2011, 27.
- 31. Basilakos, S.; Polarski, D.; Solá, J. Generalizing the running vacuum energy model and comparing with the entropic-force models. *Phys. Rev. D* 2012, *86*, 043010.
- 32. Basilakos, S.; Solá, J. Entropic-force dark energy reconsidered. Phys. Rev. D 2014, 90, 023008.
- 33. Gómez-Valent, A.; Solá, J. Vacuum models with a linear and a quadratic term in H: Structure formation and number counts analysis. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **2015**, *448*, 2810–2821.
- 34. Cai, R.G.; Kim, S.P. First law of thermodynamics and Friedmann equations of Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe. *J. High Energy Phys.* 2005, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/02/050.
- 35. Cai, R.G.; Cao, L.M. Unified first law and thermodynamics of apparent horizon in FRW universe. *Phys. Rev. D* 2007, 75, 064008.
- 36. Cai, R.G.; Cao, L.M.; Hu, Y.P. Corrected Entropy-Area Relation and Modified Friedmann Equations. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2008**, 2008, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/08/090.
- 37. Akbar, M.; Cai, R.G. Friedmann equations of FRW universe in scalar-tensor gravity, f(R) gravity and first law of thermodynamics. *Phys. Lett. B* **2006**, *635*, 7–10.
- Akbar, M.; Cai, R.G. Thermodynamic Behavior of Friedmann Equations at Apparent Horizon of FRW Universe. *Phys. Rev. D* 2007, 75, 81003.
- 39. Akbar, M.; Cai, R.G. Thermodynamic Behavior of Field Equations for f(R) Gravity. *Phys. Lett. B* 2007, 648, 243–248.
- 40. Eling, C.; Guedens, R.; Jacobson, T. Non-equilibrium thermodynamics of spacetime. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, *96*, 121301.
- 41. Hayward, S.A.; Mukohyama, S.; Ashworth, M.S. Dynamic black hole entropy. *Phys. Lett. A* **1999**, 256, 347–350.

- 42. Bak, D.; Ray, S.-J. Cosmic holography. Class. Quantum Grav. 2000, 17, L83.
- 43. Danielsson, U.H. Transplanckian energy production and slow roll inflation. Phys. Rev. D 2005, 71, 023516.
- 44. Wang, Y. Towards a Holographic Description of Inflation and Generation of Fluctuations from Thermodynamics. **2010**, arXiv:1001.4786.
- 45. Visser, M. Conservative entropic forces. J. High Energy Phys. 2011, 2011, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2011)140.
- 46. Basilakos, S.; Plionis, M.; Solá, J. Hubble expansion and Structure Formation in Time Varying Vacuum Models. *Phys. Rev. D* 2009, *80*, 083511.
- 47. Grande, J.; Solá, J.; Fabris, J.C.; Shapiro, I.L. Cosmic perturbations with running G and Lambda. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **2010**, *27*, 105004.
- 48. Grande, J.; Solá, J.; Basilakos, S.; Plionis, M. Hubble expansion and structure formation in the "running FLRW model" of the cosmic evolution. *J. Cosmol. Astrop. Phys.* **2011**, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2011/08/007.
- 49. Gómez-Valent, A.; Solá, J.; Basilakos, S. Dynamical vacuum energy in the expanding Universe confronted with observations: A dedicated study. *J. Cosmol. Astrop. Phys.* 2015, 2015, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/01/004.
- 50. Solá, J.; Gómez-Valent, A.; de Cruz Pérez, J. Hints of dynamical vacuum energy in the expanding Universe. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2015**, *811*, L14.
- 51. Barrow, J.D. Cosmologies with varying light speed. Phys. Rev. D 1999, 59, 043515.
- 52. Gopakumar, P.; Vijayagovindan, G.V. Solutions to cosmological problems with energy conservation and varying c, G and Lambda. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **2001**, *16*, 957–962.
- 53. Leszczyńska, K.; Dąbrowski, M.P.; Balcerzak, A. Varying constants quantum cosmology. J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 2015, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/02/012.
- 54. Moffat, J. Superluminary universe: A Possible solution to the initial value problem in cosmology. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **1993**, *2*, 351–366.
- 55. Albrecht, A.; Magueijo, J. A Time varying speed of light as a solution to cosmological puzzles. *Phys. Rev. D* **1999**, *59*, 043516.
- 56. Barrow, J.D.; Magueijo, J. Solutions to the quasi-flatness and quasilambda problems. *Phys. Lett. B* **1999**, 447, 246.
- 57. Magueijo, J. Stars and black holes in varying speed of light theories. *Phys. Rev. D* 2001, 63, 043502.
- 58. Ellis, G.F.R.; Uzan, J.-P. *c* is the speed of light, isn't it? *Am. J. Phys.* **2005**, *73*, 240–247.
- 59. Moffat, J.W. Variable speed of light cosmology, primordial fluctuations and gravitational waves. **2014**, arXiv:1404.5567.
- 60. Moffat, J.W. Nonlinear perturbations in a variable speed of light cosmology. 2015, arXiv:1501.01872.
- 61. Balcerzak, A. Non-minimally coupled varying constants quantum cosmologies. *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2015**, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/04/019.
- 62. Gibbons, G.W.; Hawking, S.W. Cosmological Event Horizons, Thermodynamics, and Particle Creation. *Phys. Rev. D* **1977**, *15*, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.2738.
- 63. Balcerzak, A.; Dąbrowski, M.P. Redshift drift in varying speed of light cosmology. *Phys. Lett. B* 2014, 728, 15–18.
- 64. Amendola, L.; Tsujikawa, S. Dark Energy; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2010.
- 65. Okun, L.B. The fundamental constants of physics. *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **1991**, 34, doi:10.1070/PU1991v034n09ABEH002475.
- 66. Gibbons, G.W. The maximum tension principle in general relativity. Found. Phys. 2002, 32, 1891–1901.
- 67. Schiller, C. General relativity and cosmology derived from principle of maximum power or force. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **2005**, *44*, 1629–1647.
- 68. Barrow, J.D.; Gibbons, G.W. Maximum tension: With and without a cosmological constant. *Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc.* **2014**, 446, 3874–3877.
- 69. Dąbrowski, M.P.; Gohar, H. Abolishing the maximum tension principle. Phys. Lett. B 2015, 748, 428-431.
- 70. Youm, D. Variable speed of light cosmology and second law of thermodynamics. *Phys. Rev. D* 2002, 66, 43506.
- 71. Buchalter, A. On the time variation of c, G, and h and the dynamics of the cosmic expansion. **2004**, arXiv:astro-ph/0403202.

- 72. Betoule, M.; Kessler, R.; Guy, J.; Mosher, J.; Hardin, D.; Biswas, R.; Astier, P.; El-Hage, P.; Konig, M.; Kuhlmann, S.; *et al.* Improved cosmological constraints from a joint analysis of the SDSS-II and SNLS supernova samples. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2014**, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201423413.
- 73. Balcerzak, A.; Dąbrowski, M.P. A statefinder luminosity distance formula in varying speed of light cosmology. *J. Cosmol. Astrop. Phys.* **2014**, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/06/035.
- 74. WiggleZ Dark Energy Survey. Available online: http://wigglez.swin.edu.au/site/ (accessed on 29 January 2016).
- 75. Blake, C.; Brough, S.; Colless, M.; Contreras, C.; Couch, W.; Croom, S.; Croton, D.; Davis, T.M.; Drinkwater, M.J.; Forster, K.; *et al.* The WiggleZ Dark Energy Survey: Joint measurements of the expansion and growth history at z < 1. *Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc.* **2012**, *425*, 405–414.
- 76. Tojeiro, R.; Ross, A.J.; Burden, A.; Samushia, L.; Manera, M.; Percival, W.J.; Beutler, F.; Brinkmann, J.; Brownstein, J.R.; Cuesta, A.J.; *et al.* The clustering of galaxies in the SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: Galaxy clustering measurements in the low-redshift sample of Data Release 11. *Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc.* **2014**, 440, 2222–2237.
- 77. Anderson, L.; Aubourg, E.; Bailey, S.; Beutler, F.; Bhardwaj, V.; Blanton, M.; Bolton, A.S.; Brinkmann, J.; Brownstein, J.R.; Burden, A.; *et al.* The clustering of galaxies in the SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: Baryon acoustic oscillations in the Data Releases 10 and 11 Galaxy samples. *Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc.* 2014, 441, 24–62.
- 78. Eisenstein, D.; Hu, W. Baryonic Features in the Matter Transfer Function. *Astrophys. J.* 1998, 496, doi:10.1086/305424.
- 79. Font-Ribera, A.; Kirkby, D.; Busca, N.; Miralda-Escudé, J.; Ross, N.P.; Slosar, A.; Rich, J.; Aubourg, E.; Bailey, S.; Bhardwaj, V.; *et al.* Quasar-Lyman *α* forest cross-correlation from BOSS DR11: Baryon Acoustic Oscillations. *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2014**, 2014, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/05/027.
- Wang, Y.; Wang, S. Distance priors from Planck and dark energy constraints from current data. *Phys. Rev. D* 2013, *88*, 043522.
- 81. Wang, Y.; Mukherjee, P. Observational constraints on dark energy and cosmic curvature. *Phys. Rev. D* 2007, 76, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.103533.
- 82. Hu, W.; Sugiyama, N. Small-Scale Cosmological Perturbations: An Analytic Approach. *Astrophys. J.* **1996**, 471, doi:10.1086/177989.
- Bennett, C.L.; Larson, D.; Weiland, J.L.; Hinshaw, G. The 1% Concordance Hubble Constant. *Astrophys. J.* 2014, 794, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/794/2/135.
- Komatsu, E.; Dunkley, J.; Nolta, M.R.; Bennett, C.L.; Gold, B.; Hinshaw, G.; Jarosik, N.; Larson, D.; Limon, M.; Page, L.; *et al.* Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe Observations: Cosmological Interpretation. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* 2009, *180*, 330–376.
- 85. Dąbrowski, M.P.; Denkiewicz, T.; Martins, C.J.A.P.; Vielzeuf, P. Variations of the fine-structure constant *α* in exotic singularity models. *Phys. Rev. D* **2014**, *89*, 123512.
- 86. Molaro, P.; Centurion, M.; Whitmore, J.B.; Evans, T.M.; Murphy, M.T.; Agafonova, I.I.: Bonifacio, P.; D'Odorico, S.; Levshakov, S.A.; Lopez, S.; *et al.* The UVES Large Program for Testing Fundamental Physics: I Bounds on a change in α towards quasar HE 2217–2818. *Astron. Astrophys.* 2013, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201321351.
- 87. Molaro, P.; Reimers, D.; Agafonova, I.I.; Levshakov, S.A. Bounds on the fine structure constant variability from Fe II absorption lines in QSO spectra. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **2008**, *163*, 173–189.
- 88. Chand, H.; Srianad, R.; Petitjean, P.; Aracil, B.; Quast, R.; Reimers, D. On the variation of the fine-structure constant: Very high resolution spectrum of QSO HE 0515-4414. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2006**, *451*, 45–56.
- 89. Agafonova, I.I.; Molaro, P.; Levshakov, S.A. First measurement of Mg isotope abundances at high redshifts and accurate estimate of  $\Delta \alpha / \alpha$ . *Astron. Astrophys.* **2011**, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201016194.
- 90. O'Brian, J.; Smidt, J.; de Bernardis, F.; Cooray, A. Constraints on Spatial Variations in the Fine-Structure constant from Planck. *Astrophys. J.* 2015, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/798/1/18.
- 91. Webb, J.K.; King, J.A.; Murphy, M.T.; Flambaum, V.V.; Carswell, R.F.; Bainbridge, M.B. Indications of a spatial variation of the fine structure constant. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2011**, *107*, 191101.
- Hellings, R.W.; Adams, P.J.; Anderson, J.D.; Keesey, M.S.; Lau, E.L.; Standish, E.M.; Canuto, V.M.; Goldman, I. Experimental Test of the Variability of G Using Viking Lander Ranging Data. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1983, 51, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.51.1609.

- 93. Williams, J.G.; Turyshev, S.G.; Boggs, D.H. Progress in lunar laser ranging tests of relativistic gravity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, 93, 26101.
- 94. Uzan, J.-P. Varying constants, gravitation, and cosmology. Liv. Rev. Rel. 2011, 14, doi:10.12942/lrr-2011-2.



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).