



Abstract

Vitamin E and Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors in Adults: Results from the Health Survey of São Paulo with Focus on Nutrition (ISA-Nutrition) [†]

Marcela Larissa Costa ^{1,*}, Cristiane Hermes Sales ¹, Paula Victoria Felix ¹, Jaqueline Lopes Pereira ¹, Marcelo Macedo Rogero ¹, Flavia Mori Sarti ² and Regina Mara Fisberg ¹

- School of Public Health, University of São Paulo, Sao Paulo 01246-904, Brazil; cristianehsales@gmail.com (C.H.S.); paula.victoria@gmail.com (P.V.F.); jaque.lps@gmail.com (J.L.P.); mmrogero@usp.br (M.M.R.); rfisberg@usp.br (R.M.F.)
- School of Arts, Sciences, and Humanities, University of São Paulo, Sao Paulo 03828-000, Brazil; flamori@usp.br
- * Correspondence: costamarcela@usp.br
- † Presented at the 14th European Nutrition Conference FENS 2023, Belgrade, Serbia, 14–17 November 2023.

Abstract: Background and Objectives: Dietary vitamin E intake has high rates of inadequacies in Latin America, which may be potentially associated with increased cardiovascular risk. The objective of this study was to compare vitamin E intake and plasma vitamin E concentrations among cardiovascular disease risk factor (CVDR) groups from adults living in the urban area of São Paulo, Brazil. Methods: Data from 198 individuals aged 18 to 59 years were obtained from the 2015 Health Survey of São Paulo, a population-based cross-sectional study. Dietary intake was measured using two 24 h dietary recalls, and the usual dietary intake of vitamin E was calculated using the Multiple Source Method. Blood samples were analyzed to obtain plasma vitamin E concentration, serum lipid profile, insulin, and fasting glucose. Blood pressure, weight, and height were collected, and body mass index was calculated. CVDR was categorized as having three or more conditions: obesity, elevated systolic or diastolic blood pressure, dyslipidemia, and high fasting plasma glucose or insulin resistance. Student's t-test assessed comparisons between vitamin E values in groups of cardiovascular risk factors. Results: The mean intake of vitamin E was 6.43 mg/d, which was equivalent to 53.65% of the EAR reference values. Ninety eight percent of the sample had dietary inadequacy of vitamin E. Mean plasma α -tocopherol was 19.98 μ mol/L. The majority of the sample was female (57.6%), and 29.1% had three or more CVDR. Plasma values of α -tocopherol differed between individuals with three or more CVDR (mean: 21.86; SD: $9.16 \mu mol/L$) compared to those with less than three CVDR (mean: 29.24; SD: 7.30 μ mol/L), observing t(196) = -1.87, p = 0.003. There were no statistical differences in vitamin E intake between CVDR groups. Discussion: Our findings showed the severe inadequacy of vitamin E intake in the adult population of São Paulo. Moreover, individuals with higher numbers of CVDR had lower plasma values of vitamin E, which may indicate a necessity to increase vitamin E intake in individuals at higher risk. These results are particularly worrying, given the preventive function vitamin E intake may provide for individuals at higher cardiovascular risk.

Keywords: vitamin E; cardiovascular risk factors



Citation: Costa, M.L.; Sales, C.H.; Felix, P.V.; Pereira, J.L.; Rogero, M.M.; Sarti, F.M.; Fisberg, R.M. Vitamin E and Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors in Adults: Results from the Health Survey of São Paulo with Focus on Nutrition (ISA-Nutrition). Proceedings 2023, 91, 356. https://doi.org/10.3390/ proceedings2023091356

Academic Editors: Sladjana Sobajic and Philip Calder

Published: 20 February 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.L.C., C.H.S. and R.M.F.; methodology M.L.C., P.V.F. and J.L.P.; formal analysis M.L.C. and P.V.F.; investigation, M.L.C. and C.H.S.; resources, M.M.R., F.M.S. and R.M.F.; writing—original draft preparation, M.L.C.; writing—review and editing, J.L.P., C.H.S., M.M.R., F.M.S. and R.M.F.; supervision, C.H.S., M.M.R., F.M.S. and R.M.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by São Paulo Research Foundation—FAPESP, grant numbers: 2017/05125-7 and 2022/14601-5.

Proceedings **2023**, 91, 356

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of University of São Paulo (protocol code 5.994.196).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data is available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.