

Supplementary A: Quality Appraisal – CASP Qualitative Checklist

- *Section A: Are the results valid?*
 - Is there a clear statement of aims of research?
 - Are the qualitative methods appropriate?
 - Is the research design appropriate to address aims?
 - Is recruitment strategy appropriate for aims?
 - Has the data been collected appropriately?
 - Is the relationship between researcher and participant considered?
- *Section B: What are the results?*
 - Have ethical issues been considered?
 - Is there a clear statement of findings?
 - Was the data analysis rigorous?
- *Section C: Will the results help locally?*
 - How valuable is the research?
 - What contributions are made to existing knowledge?
 - Have new areas of research been identified?
 - Can findings be transferred to other populations?
 - Can the research be used in other ways?

Supplementary B: Data Extraction

- Section A: Administrative Details
 - A1: Name of Reviewer
 - A2: Date of Review
 - A3: Document Details
 - A 3.1: Unique Identifier
 - A 3.2: Title of Paper
 - A 3.3: Authors of Paper
 - A 3.4: Year of publication
 - A 3.5: Journal name
 - A 3.6: Is the whole paper used for review?
- Section B: Study Background
 - B 1: What are the aims of the study and are they clearly reported?
 - B 2: What is the study's hypothesis and/or research questions?
 - B 3: In which country was the study carried out?
- Section C: Study Sample
 - C 1: Who are the study participants?
 - C 2: What is the total number of participants?
- Section D: Study Method
 - D 1: What qualitative method is used in the study?
 - D 2: How were participants recruited?
 - D 3: Was consent sought from participants?
- Section E: Data Collection
 - E 1: What method was used to collect data?
 - E 2: What tools/instruments were used to collect data?
 - E 3: Do authors discuss ways in which reliability and validity of data collection tools was addressed?
- Section F: Data analysis
 - F 1: What is the method of data analysis?
 - F 2: Do authors address reliability and validity of data analysis?
- Section G: Findings
 - G 1: What are the findings related to inclusive supervision?
 - G 2: Are findings generalisable to target populations?

Supplementary C: Quality Appraisal Results

Author and Year	Are the results valid?	What are the results?	Will the results help locally?	Quality ranking
Alebaikan et al., 2020	✓✓✓✓✓×	✓✓✓	✓✓✓×	Moderate
Elliot & Kobayashi, 2019	✓✓✓✓✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓✓×	Moderate
Kidman et al., 2017	✓✓✓✓✓×	✓✓✓	✓✓✓×	Moderate
Acker and Haque, 2015	✓✓✓✓✓×	✓✓✓	✓✓✓✓	Moderate
Walker, 2020 (book chapter)	✓✓✓✓✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓✓✓	High
Mattocks and Briscoe-Palmer, 2016	✓✓✓✓✓×	✓✓✓	✓✓✓×	Moderate
Nomnian, 2017	✓✓✓✓✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓✓×	Moderate
Pinto et al., 2020	✓✓✓✓✓×	✓✓✓	✓✓✓✓	Moderate
Winchester-Seeto et al., 2014	✓✓✓✓✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓✓×	Moderate