


Proceeding Paper

Addressing Air Pollution in Ulaanbaatar and Evaluating Indoor Air Quality in Gers with Cooking, Heating, and Insulation Packages (CHIP) [†]

Ana Maria Carmen Ilie ^{1,2,*} , Amarjargal Dagvadorj ², Enkhuun Byambadorj ², Ariundelger Ariunsaikhan ², Azjargal Tsogtsaikhan ², Oyun-Erdene Nyamsambuu ² and Matthew Bombyk ^{2,3}

¹ Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Air Pollution Control Division, Denver, CO 80246, USA

² Breathe Mongolia—Clean Air Coalition, Wilmington, DE 19801, USA; amarjargal@breathemongolia.org (A.D.); enkhuun@breathemongolia.org (E.B.); ariunaa@breathemongolia.org (A.A.); aza@breathemongolia.org (A.T.); oyun-erdene@breathemongolia.org (O.-E.N.); bomby001@umn.edu (M.B.)

³ Department of Applied Economics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

* Correspondence: anamcilie@gmail.com

[†] Presented at the 6th International Electronic Conference on Atmospheric Sciences, 15–30 October 2023; Available online: <https://ecas2023.sciforum.net/>.

Abstract: Conducted by the nonprofit organization Breathe Mongolia—Clean Air Coalition, this study investigated ambient air pollution in Ulaanbaatar, focusing on the significant role of coal briquette combustion within ger areas. This combustion not only contributes to outdoor air pollution but also significantly degrades indoor air quality within these traditional dwellings, leading to substantial health concerns. To address this challenge, the study assessed indoor air pollution in gers—traditional Mongolian yurts—that had implemented Cooking, Heating, and Insulation Packages (CHIP), a program offering subsidized electrical heating, cooking, and insulation materials. The study encompassed 28 gers, among which 25 were equipped with CHIP while 3 were not, enabling a comparative analysis. Employing cost-effective technology, carbon monoxide levels were monitored across all 28 gers using Binary System monitors. Fine particulate matter concentrations were measured using AirVisual monitors in 14 of these gers. Data collection occurred during the winter of 2022–2023. To comprehensively assess indoor air quality within gers and ascertain the efficacy of interventions like CHIP in diminishing indoor air pollution, Breathe Mongolia intends to continue monitoring efforts within ger areas. These initiatives strive to address data gaps and inform strategies aimed at enhancing indoor air quality.

Keywords: air pollution; carbon monoxide; ger area; PM_{2.5}



Citation: Ilie, A.M.C.; Dagvadorj, A.; Byambadorj, E.; Ariunsaikhan, A.; Tsogtsaikhan, A.; Nyamsambuu, O.-E.; Bombyk, M. Addressing Air Pollution in Ulaanbaatar and Evaluating Indoor Air Quality in Gers with Cooking, Heating, and Insulation Packages (CHIP). *Environ. Sci. Proc.* **2023**, *27*, 26. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ecas2023-15125>

Academic Editor: Daniele Contini

Published: 14 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Severe winter air quality challenges are prevalent in Mongolia, with Ulaanbaatar experiencing extreme pollution [1,2]. The primary cause of this pollution, as in other countries, is high particulate matter (PM) levels [3,4]. Air pollution's detrimental impact on public health in Ulaanbaatar has been widely acknowledged [5]. Extensive research studies have been conducted to assess the quality of ambient air in Mongolia [6,7]. These studies have examined the chemical and physical properties of atmospheric particulate matter, uncovering insights into its composition [2,8–10] and physical characteristics [4]. Moreover, researchers have worked to identify specific sources of atmospheric pollutants and to analyze the interplay of climatic and socioeconomic factors that impact urban air quality [2,8,10–12]. In an endeavor to address air pollution challenges in Ulaanbaatar, the nonprofit organization Breathe Mongolia—Clean Coalition actively implemented the “Let’s Take Action!” project last winter, 2022/2023 [13]. The central objective of this initiative

was to curb the prevalence of smoke-emitting chimneys in the city while simultaneously fostering citizen involvement in acquiring, gathering, and disseminating crucial air quality data. By equipping individuals with the tools to confront air pollution issues head-on, the project aimed to facilitate positive change on a community level [14–16].

2. Methods

Mongolia accommodates a population of merely 3.36 million. The administrative structure of Mongolia comprises twenty-one provinces and a principal capital city. Notably, urbanization has surged since the mid-1990s, leading to a significant impact on the capital city, Ulaanbaatar, which now has 1.57 million inhabitants, equivalent to 45.4% of the nation's total populace [17]. The pressing environmental concern within Mongolia's urban areas is ambient air pollution, with Ulaanbaatar being particularly affected due to its substantial population, elevated rate of air pollution emissions, and the interplay of geographical and climatic factors [1]. At its core, the "Let's Take Action!" project directed its efforts towards minimizing the exposure of children to chronic carbon monoxide (CO) and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) air pollutants in Ulaanbaatar. This mission was primarily achieved through the implementation of Cooking, Heating, and Insulation Product (CHIP) packages, a comprehensive intervention designed to upgrade traditional gers. This package incorporated enhanced insulation, electric heating systems, and ventilation mechanisms. Notably, Breathe Mongolia assumed half of the financial burden, amounting to USD 500, for the installation of these critical enhancements, with participating families contributing the remainder. Twenty-five families residing in the Bayanzurkh district were part of this project, and an indoor air quality monitoring network was deployed, as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Indoor air quality monitoring network in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia.

The study involved 28 gers, 25 of which had CHIP installed while 3 were without them, serving as basis for comparison. Using cost-effective technology, carbon monoxide levels were measured using the electrochemical MQ-7 gas sensor of the Binary System monitors in all 28 gers, and fine particulate matter was measured using AirVisual monitors in 14 of them. The data were collected during the winter of 2022–2023.

3. Results

Understanding the spatial distribution of carbon monoxide (CO) and fine particulate matter, specifically PM_{2.5} (particulate matter 2.5 µm in diameter or smaller), is crucial for assessing air quality and its potential impacts on public health and the environment. This article presents the results of a comprehensive study that analyzed CO and PM_{2.5}

concentrations across the Bayanzurkh district during the winter of 2022–2023. The focus lies on highlighting areas with both minimal and elevated CO and PM_{2.5} concentrations, shedding light on the air quality patterns prevalent during this period. The study utilized data collected from air quality monitors strategically positioned in each household. These monitors continuously measured CO and PM_{2.5} concentrations in parts per million (ppm) and micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m³), respectively.

The findings are visually represented through a spatial distribution plot, a map that vividly illustrates the varying levels of CO and PM_{2.5} concentrations across the study area. The map employs a color gradient scheme, where lighter colors such as blue indicate lower concentrations, while red colors signify higher concentrations. The spatial distribution plots, as shown in Figures 2 and 3, highlight distinct patterns in CO and PM_{2.5} concentrations during the winter of 2022–2023. Low concentration areas, or regions colored in lighter shades, correspond to areas where CO and PM_{2.5} concentrations were relatively low, measuring around 9.338 ppm and 42.47 ug/m³, respectively. These areas with cleaner air quality can be used as examples for making cities more sustainable and finding better ways to control pollution. Moderate to unhealthy concentrations, moderate concentrations of PM_{2.5}, ranging between 50 and 100 ug/m³, are depicted using intermediate colors on the map. These areas signify that air quality might pose potential health concerns to sensitive populations, necessitating attention and strategies for improvement.

Spatial distribution of CO (ppm)




Figure 2. Carbon monoxide concentrations during winter 2022–2023.

High-concentration hotspots, which the map also identifies with locations colored in red, indicate PM_{2.5} concentrations exceeding 100 ug/m³. These high-concentration hotspots demand immediate action to mitigate pollution sources, safeguard public health, and enhance overall air quality. Although the households utilized the CHIP packages, their results displayed varying concentrations that exceeded Mongolia's standard limits. This variation suggests that each household exhibited a distinct pollution pattern, necessitating individualized intervention approaches. In fact, Ulaanbaatar, recognized as one of the world's most polluted cities, faces a critical issue with elevated inhalable PM_{2.5} concentrations, particularly attributed to its ger areas [1,3]. Consequently, the urban atmosphere experiences significantly elevated levels of particulate matter. Air-quality monitoring sites in Ulaanbaatar exhibit pronounced seasonal variations, with the highest concentrations occurring during cold seasons due to increased pollutant emissions associated with fuel

consumption and specific weather conditions like temperature inversion. In winter, $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations considerably exceed the national limit, while in warmer non-heating periods, they tend to remain near or below this threshold [18]. Some studies underscore coal burning in Ulaanbaatar's ger area as the primary contributor to its pollution crisis [3,19]. Over the last decade, a range of efforts have targeted emissions from various sources, with a notable focus on mitigating coal combustion within ger areas. Despite all of this, it is important to note that PM concentrations still exceed both the WHO guideline values and national standards.

Spatial distribution of $PM_{2.5}$ ($\mu g/m^3$)




Figure 3. Fine particulate matter, $PM_{2.5}$, concentrations during winter 2022–2023.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

Having accurate and current insights into source apportionment is of paramount importance for decision-makers aiming to design effective strategies for managing ambient and indoor air quality. Guttikunda's work in 2008 [20] was the first study focusing on the sources of particulate matter emissions in Ulaanbaatar. Within this contextual framework, another study indicated a prevalence of locally generated sources over long-range transport [9]. The study identified power plants as the predominant contributors to emissions contributing to particulate matter, accounting for 36%, followed by household stoves at 25% and heat-only boilers at 17%. Notably, the primary sources of air pollution within the city's immediate vicinity were stoves and heat-only boilers, which exhibited the most pronounced influence at street-level [3].

Additionally, it is crucial to take into account that Mongolia's Central Northern and Northwestern Mountain regions face extremely cold and dry winters, with temperatures plummeting to $-45\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ due to the Siberian high-pressure system's influence (Wesche and Treiber, 2012) [21]. This climatic pattern leads to weak surface winds, hindering air mixing and fostering clear skies that trigger temperature inversions, thereby contributing to severe winter air pollution [12]. In Mongolian cities, the central environmental concern revolves around ambient air pollution, with Ulaanbaatar being particularly affected due to its high population density, significant emission rates of pollutants, and the interplay of geographic and climatic elements [1] (Cousins, 2019). Our study emphasizes that over the past decade, despite numerous initiatives aimed at reducing emissions from various sources, with a particular focus on mitigating coal combustion in ger areas, air pollutant concentrations persistently exceed both WHO guideline values and national standards. The

spatial distribution plots of CO and PM_{2.5} concentrations during the winter of 2022–2023 provide a clear visual representation of indoor air pollution patterns in the Bayanzurkh district. This information is invaluable for policymakers, urban planners, researchers, and the public at large. By identifying areas with varying levels of CO and PM_{2.5} concentrations, this study empowers decision-makers to formulate targeted interventions to improve air quality and prioritize public health during future winter seasons.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: E.B., A.T., A.M.C.I., A.D. and M.B.; Methodology: A.M.C.I., A.D., E.B., A.T., A.A. and M.B.; Investigation: A.D., A.A. and O.-E.N.; Validation: A.M.C.I. and A.D.; Formal analysis: A.M.C.I.; Data curation: A.M.C.I.; Writing—original draft preparation: A.M.C.I.; Visualization: A.M.C.I.; Project administration: E.B., A.T. and A.D.; Resources and funding acquisition: E.B., A.D. and A.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by AstraZeneca via Lead2030 Challenge for SDG 3.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Derived data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on request. Python scripts for data processing, analysis, and visualization are available at <https://github.com/anamcilie/Air-Quality-Data-Analysis-Mongolia> (accessed on 16 January 2024); data visualization is available at <https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/ana.ilie4688>. (accessed on 16 January 2024).

Acknowledgments: We extend our gratitude to all participating families for their valuable support in contributing to this research; Breathe Mongolia volunteers; UNICEF Mongolia; Public Lab Mongolia; People in Need Mongolia; Magic Mongolia by Lantuun Dohio; local government agencies; and Binary systems LLC.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Cousins, S. Air pollution in Mongolia. World Health Organization. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **2019**, *97*, 79–80. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Davy, P.K.; Gunchin, G.; Markwitz, A.; Trompeter, W.J.; Barry, B.J.; Shagjamba, D.; Lodoysamba, S. Air particulate matter pollution in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia: Determination of composition, source contributions and source locations. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2011**, *2*, 126–137. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Guttikunda, S.K.; Lodoysamba, S.; Bulgansaikhan, B.; Dashdondog, B. Particulate pollution in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. *Air Qual. Atmos. Health* **2013**, *6*, 589–601. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Hasenkopf, C.A.; Veghte, D.P.; Schill, G.P.; Lodoysamba, S.; Freedman, M.A.; Tolbert, M.A. Ice nucleation, shape, and composition of aerosol particles in one of the most polluted cities in the world: Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. *Atmos. Environ.* **2016**, *139*, 222–229. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Allen, R.W.; Gombojav, E.; Barkhasragchaa, B.; Byambaa, T.; Lkhasuren, O.; Amram, O.; Takaro, T.K.; Janes, C.R. An assessment of air pollution and its attributable mortality in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. *Air Qual. Atmos. Health* **2013**, *6*, 137–150. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
6. Byambaa, B.; Yang, L.; Matsuki, A.; Nagato, E.G.; Gankhuyag, K.; Chuluunpurev, B.; Banzragch, L.; Chonokhuu, S.; Tang, N.; Hayakawa, K. Sources and characteristics of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in ambient total suspended particles in Ulaanbaatar City, Mongolia. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 442. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
7. Nirmalkar, J.; Batmunkh, T.; Jung, J. An optimized tracer-based approach for estimating organic carbon emissions from biomass burning in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *20*, 3231–3247. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Nishikawa, M.; Matsui, I.; Batdorj, D.; Jugder, D.; Mori, I.; Shimizu, A.; Sugimoto, N.; Takahashi, K. Chemical composition of urban airborne particulate matter in Ulaanbaatar. *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, *45*, 5710–5715. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Batmunkh, T.; Kim, Y.J.; Jung, J.S.; Park, K.; Tumendemberel, B. Chemical characteristics of fine particulate matters measured during severe winter haze events in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* **2013**, *63*, 659–670. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
10. Amgalan, N.; Narantsetseg, T.; Shagjamva, D. Valuations of elemental concentrations of particle matter in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. *Open J. Air Pollut.* **2016**, *5*, 160–169. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Ganbat, G.; Han, J.Y.; Ryu, Y.H.; Baik, J.J. Characteristics of the urban heat island in a highaltitude metropolitan city, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. *Asia Pac. J. Atmos. Sci.* **2013**, *49*, 535–541. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Ganbat, G.; Baik, J.J. Wintertime winds in and around the Ulaanbaatar metropolitan area in the presence of a temperature inversion. *Asia Pac. J. Atmos. Sci.* **2016**, *52*, 309–325. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

13. Dagvadorj, A.; Ilie, A.M.C.; Byambadorj, E.; Tsogtsaikhan, A.; Let's Take Action! Breathe Mongolia's Air Pollution Reduction Project now Underway. Breathe Mongolia–Clean Air Coalition, United States–Mongolia. 2022. Available online: <https://breathemongolia.org/en/news/3394> (accessed on 16 January 2024).
14. Ilie, A.M.C.; McCarthy, N.; Velasquez, L.; Moitra, M.; Eisl, H.M. Air pollution exposure assessment at schools and playgrounds in Williamsburg Brooklyn NYC, with a view to developing a set of policy solutions. *J. Environ. Stud. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 838–852. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Dagvadorj, A.; Ilie, A.M.C.; Gudur, D.; Byambadorj, E.; Tsogtsaikhan, A. What Needs to be Done to Lower Long-Term Exposure to Carbon Monoxide? Breathe Mongolia–Clean Air Coalition, United States–Mongolia. April 2023. Available online: <https://breathemongolia.org/en/news/3743> (accessed on 16 January 2024).
16. Dagvadorj, A.; Ilie, A.M.C.; Bombyk, M.; Byambadorj, E.; Tsogtsaikhan, A. Is “Improved Coal” Working? Breathe Mongolia–Clean Air Coalition, United States–Mongolia. January 2023. Available online: <https://breathemongolia.org/en/news/3747> (accessed on 16 January 2024).
17. NSOM. National Statistical Office of Mongolia. August 2023. Available online: <https://globaledge.msu.edu/global-resources/resource/2383> (accessed on 16 January 2024).
18. Ganbat, G.; Baik, J.J. Local circulations in and around the Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia, metropolitan area. *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.* **2015**, *127*, 393–406. [CrossRef]
19. Lodoysamba, S.; Pemberton-Pigott, C. Mitigation of Ulaanbaatar city's air pollution—from source apportionment to ultra-low emission lignite burning stoves. *Environ. Sci. J.* **2011**, 131405419.
20. Guttikunda, S. Urban Air Pollution Analysis in Ulanbaatar Mongolia. 2008. Available online: <https://urbanemissions.info/wp-content/uploads/docs/SIM-05-2008.pdf> (accessed on 16 January 2024).
21. Wesche, K.; Treiber, J. Abiotic and biotic determinants of steppe productivity and performance—A view from Central Asia, Eurasian steppes. In *Ecological Problems and Livelihoods in a Changing World*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2012; pp. 3–43. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.