

Editorial

Statement of Peer Review †

Ana Luísa De Sousa-Coelho ^{1,2,*} , Mónica T. Fernandes ^{1,2} , M. Dulce Estêvão ^{1,2} , Margarida Espírito-Santo ^{1,2} ,
Luis Braz ^{1,3}  and Tânia Nascimento ^{1,2} 

¹ Escola Superior de Saúde, Universidade do Algarve (ESSUAlg), 8005-139 Faro, Portugal; mafernandes@ualg.pt (M.T.F.); mestevao@ualg.pt (M.D.E.); mfsanto@ualg.pt (M.E.-S.); lvbraz@ualg.pt (L.B.); tinascimento@ualg.pt (T.N.)

² Algarve Biomedical Center Research Institute (ABC-RI), Universidade do Algarve, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

³ Center of Marine Sciences (CCMAR), Universidade do Algarve, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

* Correspondence: alcoelho@ualg.pt

† All proceeding papers published in this volume are presented at the VII Poster Sunset Session—ESSUAlg 2024, Faro, Portugal, 30 January 2024.

In submitting conference proceedings to *Proceedings*, the volume editors of the proceedings certify to the publisher that all papers published in this volume have been subjected to peer review administered by the volume editors. The reviews were conducted by expert referees, and to the professional and scientific standards expected of a proceedings journal.

- Type of peer review: single-blind.
- Conference submission management system: Google Forms and e-mail.
- Number of submissions sent for review: 9.
- Number of submissions accepted: 9.
- Acceptance rate (number of submissions accepted/number of submissions received): 100%.
- Average number of reviews per paper: 2.
- Total number of reviewers involved: 6.
- Peer-review process and regulations: All submitted manuscripts were subjected to peer review. Two reviewers were attributed to each abstract randomly. The abstracts were reviewed, and Review Report Forms were issued by each reviewer. Abstracts requiring minor or major revisions were sent back to the authors, who altered the abstracts according to the reviewers' feedback and then resubmitted them. A final reviewer report for each accepted abstract was finally issued. COPE ethical guidelines for peer reviewers were followed throughout the process.



Citation: De Sousa-Coelho, A.L.; Fernandes, M.T.; Estêvão, M.D.; Espírito-Santo, M.; Braz, L.; Nascimento, T. Statement of Peer Review. *Proceedings* **2024**, *99*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2024099001>

Published: 10 April 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.