



Article On Blow-Up Solutions for the Fourth-Order Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with Mixed Dispersions

Huiling Niu ^{1,2,*}, Abdoulaye Ali Youssouf ³ and Binhua Feng ³

- ¹ School of Mathematics and Physics, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, China
- ² School of Mathematics and Information Science, North Minzu University, Yinchuan 750021, China
- ³ Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, China; abdoulay229@gmail.com (A.A.Y.); binhuaf@nwnu.edu.cn (B.F.)
- * Correspondence: niuhl@lzjtu.edu.cn

Abstract: In this paper, we consider blow-up solutions for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersions. We study the dynamical properties of blow-up solutions for this equation, including the \dot{H}^{γ_c} -concentration and limiting profiles, which extend and improve the existing results in the literature.

Keywords: fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation; blow-up behavior; minimizers; \dot{H}^{γ_c} -critical

MSC: 35Q55; 35A15; 35B44

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersions

$$\begin{cases} i\psi_t - \Delta^2 \psi + \mu \Delta \psi + |\psi|^p \psi = 0, \ (t, x) \in [0, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \psi(0, x) = \psi_0(x), \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi : [0, T^*) \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ is a complex valued function, $0 < T^* \le \infty$, $0 (where <math>4^* = +\infty$ if N = 1, 2, 3, 4 and $4^* = \frac{8}{N-4}$ if $N \ge 5$). Karpman in [1] first introduced the fourth-order Schrödinger Equation (1) to stabilize soliton instabilities. Karpman and Shagalov in [2] also proposed a small fourth-order dispersion term to describe the propagation of intense laser beams in a bulk medium with Kerr nonlinearity. In recent years, there has been a great deal of interest in using higher-order operators to model physical phenomena (see [3–8]).

When $\mu = 0$, Equation (1) entails the scaling invariance

$$\psi_{\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda^{\frac{4}{p}} \psi(\lambda^4 t, \lambda x), \ \lambda > 0.$$

This implies that if ψ solves (1) with $\mu = 0$, then ψ_{λ} solves the same equation with the initial data $\psi_{\lambda}(0, x) = \lambda^{\frac{4}{p}} \psi_0(\lambda x)$. A direct computation shows

$$\|\psi_{\lambda}(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma}} = \lambda^{\gamma + \frac{4}{p} - \frac{N}{2}} \|\psi_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma}}.$$

This implies that the Sobolev \dot{H}^{γ_c} -norm and Lebesgue L^{p_c} -norm are invariant under the scaling $\psi \mapsto \psi_{\lambda}$, where

$$\gamma_c := rac{N}{2} - rac{4}{p} ext{ and } p_c := rac{2N}{N-2\gamma_c} = rac{Np}{4}.$$



Citation: Niu, H.; Youssouf, A.A.; Feng, B. On Blow-Up Solutions for the Fourth-Order Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with Mixed Dispersions. *Axioms* 2024, *13*, 191. https:// doi.org/10.3390/axioms13030191

Academic Editor: Behzad Djafari-Rouhani

Received: 23 January 2024 Revised: 3 March 2024 Accepted: 11 March 2024 Published: 13 March 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Although there is not any scaling invariance for Equation (1) with $\mu \neq 0$, γ_c and p_c are referred to as the critical Sobolev and Lebesgue exponents of (1), respectively. When $0 \leq \gamma_c \leq 2$, i.e., $\frac{8}{N} \leq p \leq 4^*$, Equation (1) is referred to as \dot{H}^{γ_c} -critical. In particular, when $\gamma_c = 0$ and $\gamma_c = 2$, Equation (1) is referred to as L^2 -critical (or mass-critical) and \dot{H}^2 -critical (or energy-critical), respectively.

If the initial data $\psi_0 \in H^2$, then Equation (1) reflects the mass and energy conservation laws:

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\psi_0\|_{L^2}, \ E(\psi(t)) = E(\psi_0),$$

where the energy *E* is defined by

$$E(\psi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta\psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla\psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|\psi(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2}.$$
(2)

If the initial data $\psi_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ with $\gamma_c \leq 1$, then the equation only assumes energy conservation. The conservation of mass is no longer available in this setting.

Recently, Equation (1) was investigated extensively in [9–18]. The local well-posedness in H^2 was studied in [9,13,15]. The global well-posedness for (1) in H^2 was studied by Fibich, Ilan, and Papanicolaou in [19]. The global properties, including the sharp threshold of scattering and blow-up, asymptotical behavior, and scattering were investigated in [12,15–18,20]. When $0 , it follows that all the solutions of (1) exist globally using the mass conservation. Boulenger and Lenzmann in [21] proved the existence of radial blow-up solutions for (1) with <math>\frac{8}{N} \leq p \leq 4^*$. When $\mu = 0$, the dynamical properties of the blow-up solutions of (1) were investigated in [22–28]. However, when $\mu \neq 0$, the dynamical properties of the blow-up solutions of (1) have not yet been discussed.

The aim of this paper is to consider the dynamical properties of the blow-up solutions of (1) with $\mu \neq 0$. However, compared with the case $\mu = 0$ considered in [25,26,28], there are two major difficulties in the analysis of the blow-up solutions of (1). One is the loss of mass conservation due to the initial data $\psi_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$; the other is the loss of scaling invariance to (1) with $\mu \neq 0$. Since there is no scaling invariance for $\mu \neq 0$, we choose the ground states of the equations

$$\Delta^2 Q + (-\Delta)^{\gamma_c} Q - |Q|^p Q = 0, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\Delta^2 R + |R|^{p_c - 2} R - |R|^p R = 0, \tag{4}$$

to describe some of the concentration properties and limiting profiles of the blow-up solutions to (1), respectively, where (3) and (4) arise in the study of the optimal constants of inequalities (12) and (14) (see [25]).

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we provide some preliminary information, including the local well-posedness of (1), the profile decomposition of the bounded sequences in $\dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$, and the localized virial to (1). In Section 3, we investigate the dynamical properties of the blow-up solutions of (1) with $\mu \neq 0$ in the L^2 -critical and L^2 -supercritical cases, including the concentration properties and limiting profiles.

2. Preliminaries

First, we recall the local well-posedness for the Cauchy problem (1).

Lemma 1 ([14]). Let $0 and <math>\psi_0 \in H^2$. Then, there exists $T = T(\|\psi_0\|_{H^2})$, such that (1) admits a unique solution $\psi \in C([0, T), H^2)$. If $T^* < \infty$, then $\|\Delta\psi(t)\|_{L^2} \to \infty$ as $t \uparrow T^*$, where

 T^* is the maximal existence time of solution $\psi(t)$. Moreover, for all $[0, T^*)$, the following mass and energy conservation laws follow:

$$M(\psi(t)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi(t,x)|^2 dx = M(\psi_0),$$
(5)

$$E(\psi(t)) = E(\psi_0), \tag{6}$$

where $E(\psi(t))$ is defined by (2).

Next, in order to study the existence of the blow-up solutions, we recall the localized virial to (1) established in [21]. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a radial function which satisfies $\nabla^j \varphi \in L^{\infty}$, for $1 \leq j \leq 6$,

$$\varphi(r) := \begin{cases} \frac{r^2}{2} & \text{for } r \leq 1\\ \text{const.} & \text{for } r \geq 10, \end{cases} \text{ and } \varphi''(r) \leq 1, \text{ for } r \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

For R > 0, we define $\varphi_R(r) := R^2 \varphi(\frac{r}{R})$. When $\psi \in C([0, T^*); H^2)$, we define the localized virial of $\psi(t)$ by

$$M_{\varphi_R}(\psi(t)) := 2Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \overline{\psi}(t, x) \nabla \varphi_R(x) \nabla \psi(t, x) dx.$$
⁽⁷⁾

Boulenger and Lenzmann in [21] obtained the following time evolution of $M_{\varphi_R}(\psi(t))$.

Lemma 2 ([21], Lemma 3.1). Let 0 and <math>R > 0. Let $\psi \in C([0, T^*); H^2)$ be a radial solution to (1), then,

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} M_{\varphi_R}(\psi(t)) &\leq 2Np E(\psi(t)) - (Np-8) \|\Delta \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - (Np-4)\mu \|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + X_{\mu}[\psi(t)] \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{R^4} + \frac{\|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2}{R^2} + \frac{\|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^{p/2}}{R^{\frac{(N-1)p}{2}}} + \frac{|\mu|}{R^2}\right) \\ &= 4Q(\psi(t)) + X_{\mu}[\psi(t)] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{R^4} + \frac{\|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2}{R^2} + \frac{\|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^{p/2}}{R^{\frac{(N-1)p}{2}}} + \frac{|\mu|}{R^2}\right), \end{split}$$

for any $t \in [0, T^*)$, where

$$X_{\mu}[\psi(t)] \leq \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } \mu \leq 0, \\ A_{0}|\mu| \|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \text{ for } \mu < 0 \end{cases}$$

with some constant $A_0 > 0$.

Lemma 3 ([29], Proposition 1.32). Let $s_0 \leq s \leq s_1$. Then, $\dot{H}^{s_0} \cap \dot{H}^{s_1}$ is included in \dot{H}^s , and

$$\|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s}} \leq \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s_{0}}}^{1-\theta} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s_{1}}}^{\theta}, \text{ for all } v \in \dot{H}^{s_{0}} \cap \dot{H}^{s_{1}},$$
(8)

where $s = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1$ *.*

Lemma 4 ([26], Theorem 1.1). *If* 0 < *p* < 4^{*}, *then*

$$\|v\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \le \frac{4(p+2)}{4(p+2) - Np} \left(\frac{4(p+2) - Np}{Np}\right)^{\frac{Np}{8}} \frac{1}{\|R\|_{L^2}^p} \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4(p+2) - Np}{4}} \|\Delta v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{Np}{4}}, \tag{9}$$

for all $v \in H^2$, where $R \in H^2$ is a ground state of the equation

$$\Delta^2 R + R - |R|^p R = 0. (10)$$

Moreover, the following Pohozaev's identities follow.

$$\|\Delta R\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{Np}{4(p+2)} \|R\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = \frac{Np}{8-p(N-4)} \|R\|_{L^2}^2.$$
 (11)

Lemma 5 ([25], Proposition 3.2). Let $\frac{8}{N} . Then, for all <math>v \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$

$$\|v\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \le \frac{p+2}{2} \frac{1}{\|Q\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}}^p} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}}^p \|\Delta v\|_{L^2}^2,$$
(12)

where $Q \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ is a ground state of (3). Moreover, the following Pohozaev's identities follow.

$$\|Q\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 = \frac{2}{p+2} \|Q\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = \frac{2}{p} \|Q\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}}^2.$$
(13)

Lemma 6 ([25], Proposition 3.2). Let $\frac{8}{N} . Then, for all <math>v \in L^{p_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$

$$\|v\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \le \frac{p+2}{2} \frac{1}{\|R\|_{L^{p_c}}^p} \|v\|_{L^{p_c}}^p \|\Delta v\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{14}$$

where $R \in L^{p_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ is a ground state solution of the elliptic Equation (4). Moreover, the following Pohozaev's identities hold true:

$$\|R\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 = \frac{2}{p+2} \|R\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = \frac{2}{p} \|R\|_{L^{p_c}}^2.$$
(15)

Since the uniqueness of the ground state solutions to (10), (3) and (4) is still unknown, to study the dynamical properties of blow-up solutions, we introduce the notions of Sobolev and Lebesgue ground states. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0}(u) &:= \|u\|_{L^{8}+2}^{\frac{8}{N}+2} \div \left[\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{8}{N}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right], \quad u \in H^{2}, \\ G(u) &:= \|u\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \div \left[\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{c}}}^{p} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right], \quad u \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_{c}} \cap \dot{H}^{2}, \\ K(u) &:= \|u\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \div \left[\|u\|_{L^{p_{c}}}^{p} \|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right], \quad u \in L^{p_{c}} \cap \dot{H}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1 (Ground states).

- 1 We call the **Sobolev ground states** the maximizers of G_0 and G, which are solutions to (10) and (3), respectively. We denote the set of Sobolev ground states of G_0 and G by \mathcal{G}_0 and \mathcal{G} , respectively.
- 2 We call the **Lebesgue ground states** the maximizers of *K*, which are solutions to (4). We denote the set of Lebesgue ground states by *K*.

It follows from the optimal constants in (9), (12), and (14) that all the Sobolev ground states have the same \dot{H}^{γ_c} -norm and all the Lebesgue ground states have the same L^{p_c} -norm. We thus denote

$$G_0 := \|Q\|_{L^2}, \ \forall Q \in \mathcal{G}_0, \ G_1 := \|Q\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}}, \ \forall Q \in \mathcal{G}, \ G_2 := \|R\|_{L^{p_c}}, \ \forall R \in \mathcal{K}.$$
(16)

Finally, we recall the following two compactness lemmas:

Lemma 7 ([28], Compactness lemma I). Suppose that $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in H^2 and satisfies

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|\Delta u_n\|_{L^2} \leq M, \quad \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_{L^{8/N+2}} \geq m > 0.$$

Then, there exist $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $V \in H^2$ *, such that, up to a subsequence,*

$$u_n(\cdot + x_n) \rightarrow V$$
 weakly in H^2

with

$$\|V\|_{L^2}^p \ge rac{2}{p+2}rac{m^{p+2}}{M^2}G_0^p.$$

Lemma 8 ([25], Compactness lemma II). Let $\frac{8}{N} . Let <math>\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence in $\dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$, such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|v_n\|_{\dot{H}^2}\leq M,\quad \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|v_n\|_{L^{p+2}}\geq m.$$

• Then, there exist $V \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ and a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{R}^N , such that up to a subsequence,

$$v_n(\cdot + y_n) \rightarrow V$$
 weakly in $\dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$,

with

$$\|V\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{c}}}^{p} \ge \frac{2}{p+2} \frac{m^{p+2}}{M^{2}} G_{1}^{p}.$$
(17)

• Then, there exist $W \in L^{p_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ and a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{R}^N , such that up to a subsequence,

$$v_n(\cdot + z_n) \rightarrow W$$
 weakly in $L^{p_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$,

with

$$\|W\|_{L^{p_{c}}}^{p} \ge \frac{2}{p+2} \frac{m^{p+2}}{M^{2}} G_{2}^{p}.$$
(18)

Remark 1. The lower bounds (17) and (18) are optimal. Indeed, taking $v_n = Q$ in the first case and $v_n = R$ in the second case where $Q \in \mathcal{G}$ and $R \in \mathcal{K}$, we obtain the equalities.

3. Dynamic of Blow-Up Solutions in the L²-Critical and L²-Supercritical Cases

In this section, we study the dynamical properties of the blow-up solutions for (1) in the L^2 -critical and L^2 -supercritical cases.

3.1. The Sharp Threshold Mass of Blow-Up and Global Existence

It easily follows from the local well-posedness that the solution of (1) with small initial data exists globally, and the solution may blow up in finite time for some large initial data. Therefore, whether there is a sharp threshold of global existence and blow-up for (1) is of particular interest. Next, we obtain the sharp threshold mass of global existence and blow-up for (1) by using the scaling argument and the inequality (9).

Theorem 1. Let $\psi_0 \in H^2$, $\mu > 0$, $p = \frac{8}{N}$. Then, we obtain the following sharp threshold mass of the global existence and blow-up :

(*i*) If $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} \leq G_0$, then all solutions of (1) exist globally.

(ii) For any $\rho > G_0$, there exist initial data ψ_0 , such that $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} = \rho$ and the corresponding solution $\psi(t)$ of (1) blows up in finite time.

Remark 2. When $\mu = 0$, Fibich, Ilan, and Papanicolaou in [19] proved that all solutions of (1) with initial data $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} < G_0$ exist globally. When $\mu > 0$, we prove that all solutions of (1) with initial data $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} \leq G_0$ exist globally. This suggest that the defocusing second-order dispersion term may prevent the occurrence blow-up.

Proof. (i) When $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} < G_0$, we deduce from (2) and (9) that

$$\begin{split} E(\psi_0) &= E(\psi(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta\psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla\psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|\psi(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\|\psi_0\|_{L^2}^p}{2G_0^p}\right) \|\Delta\psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{split}$$

Due to $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} < G_0$, we have that $\|\Delta\psi(t)\|_{L^2}$ is uniformly bounded for all times *t*. Therefore, (i) follows from the conservation of mass and Lemma 1.

When $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} = G_0$, we prove this result by contradiction. If the solution $\psi(t)$ of (1) blows up in finite time, then there exists $T^* > 0$, such that $\lim_{t \to T^*} \|\Delta \psi(t)\|_{L^2} = \infty$. Set

$$\rho^{2}(t) = \|\Delta R\|_{L^{2}} / \|\Delta \psi(t)\|_{L^{2}}$$
 and $v(t,x) = \rho(t)^{N/2} \psi(t,\rho(t)x)$.

Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any time sequence, such that $t_n \to T^*$, $\rho_n := \rho(t_n)$ and $v_n(x) := v(t_n, x)$. Then, the sequence $\{v_n\}$ satisfies

$$\|v_n\|_{L^2} = \|\psi(t_n)\|_{L^2} = \|\psi_0\|_{L^2} = G_0, \ \|\Delta v_n\|_{L^2} = \rho_n^2 \|\Delta \psi(t_n)\|_{L^2} = \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}.$$
(19)

Observe that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta v_n\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|v_n\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = \rho_n^4 \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\Delta \psi(t_n)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|\psi(t_n)\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2}\right)$$
$$= \rho_n^4 \left(E(\psi_0) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \psi(t_n)\|_{L^2}^2\right)$$
$$\leq \rho_n^4 E(\psi_0) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
(20)

This implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = \frac{p+2}{2} \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus, we deduce from (19) that there exist subsequences, still denoted by $\{v_n\}$ and $u \in H^2 \setminus \{0\}$, such that

$$u_n := \tau_{x_n} v_n \rightharpoonup u \neq 0$$
 weakly in H^2 ,

for some $\{x_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$. This implies that there exists $C_0 > 0$, such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2}^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2}^2 \ge C_0 > 0.$$
(21)

On the other hand, we deduce from (9) and $\|\psi(t)\|_{L^2} = \|\psi_0\|_{L^2} = \|R\|_{L^2}$ that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Delta \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|\psi(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \ge 0,$$

for all $t \in [0, T^*)$. This implies that

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le E(\psi_0),$$

for all $t \in [0, T^*)$. We consequently obtain that

$$\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2}^2 = \rho_n^2 \|\nabla \psi(t_n)\|_{L^2}^2 \le \frac{2\rho_n^2}{\mu} E(\psi_0) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

which is a contradiction with (21). Thus, the solution $\psi(t)$ of (1) exists globally.

(ii) Let $R \in \mathcal{G}_0$ be radial. We define the initial data $\psi_0(x) = c\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}}R(\lambda x)$ with $c = \frac{\rho}{G_0}$ and some $\lambda > 1$. Then, $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} = \rho$. Applying the Pohožaev identity for the following equation:

$$\Delta^2 R + R - |R|^p R = 0, (22)$$

i.e., $\frac{1}{2} \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{p+2} \|R\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2}$, we deduce that

$$E(\psi_0) = \frac{|c|^2 \lambda^4}{2} \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu |c|^2 \lambda^2}{2} \|\nabla R\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{|c|^{p+2} \lambda^{\frac{Np}{2}}}{p+2} \|R\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2}$$
$$= -\frac{|c|^2 \lambda^4}{2} (|c|^p - 1) \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu |c|^2 \lambda^2}{2} \|\nabla R\|_{L^2}^2.$$
(23)

Now, taking λ , such that

$$\frac{\mu \|\nabla R\|_{L^2}^2}{(|c|^p - 1) \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}^2} < \lambda^2.$$

This implies $E(\psi_0) < 0$. Thus, the solution ψ of (1) with initial data ψ_0 blows up by applying the same method as that of Theorem 3 in [21]. \Box

3.2. The L^2 -Critical Case

In this subsection, we investigate some dynamical properties of the blow-up solutions for (1) with $\mu \neq 0$ in the L^2 -critical case.

Theorem 2. (*L*²-concentration) Let $\psi_0 \in H^2$, $\mu \neq 0$, $p = \frac{8}{N}$. If the solution $\psi(t)$ of (1) blows up in finite time $T^* > 0$. Let a(t) be a real-valued non-negative function defined on $[0, T^*)$ satisfying $a(t) \|\Delta \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \to \infty$ as $t \to T^*$. Then, there exists $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that

$$\liminf_{t \to T^*} \int_{|x-x(t)| \le a(t)} |\psi(t,x)|^2 dx \ge G_0^2,$$
(24)

where G_0 is defined by (16).

Remark 3. By a similar analysis as that in Remark 2, this theorem gives the L^2 -concentration and rate of L^2 -concentration of the blow-up solutions of (1).

Proof. Let $R \in \mathcal{G}_0$; we set

$$\rho^{2}(t) = \|\Delta R\|_{L^{2}} / \|\Delta \psi(t)\|_{L^{2}} \text{ and } v(t,x) = \rho^{\frac{N}{2}}(t)\psi(t,\rho(t)x)$$

Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any time sequence, such that $t_n \to T^*$, $\rho_n := \rho(t_n)$ and $v_n(x) := v(t_n, x)$. Then, the sequence $\{v_n\}$ satisfies

$$\|v_n\|_{L^2} = \|\psi(t_n)\|_{L^2} = \|\psi_0\|_{L^2}, \ \|\Delta v_n\|_{L^2} = \rho_n^2 \|\Delta \psi(t_n)\|_{L^2} = \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}.$$
(25)

Observe that

$$|E_{0}(v_{n})| = \left|\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta v_{n}(x)|^{2} dx - \frac{1}{p+2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{n}(x)|^{p+2} dx\right|$$
$$= \rho_{n}^{4} \left|\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta \psi(t_{n},x)|^{2} dx - \frac{1}{p+2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\psi(t_{n},x)|^{p+2} dx\right|$$
$$\leq \rho_{n}^{4} \left|E(\psi_{0}) + \frac{|\mu|}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla \psi(t_{n},x)|^{2} dx\right|.$$
(26)

Thus, applying the inequality (8), we deduce that $E_0(v_n) \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. This implies

 $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|^{p+2} dx \to \frac{p+2}{2} \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}^2.$ Set $m^{p+2} = \frac{p+2}{2} \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}^2$ and $M = \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}$. Then, it follows from Lemma 7 that there exist $V \in H^2$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, such that, up to a subsequence,

$$v_n(\cdot + x_n) = \rho_n^{N/2} \psi(t_n, \rho_n(\cdot + x_n)) \rightharpoonup V \text{ weakly in } H^2$$
(27)

with

$$\|V\|_{L^2} \ge G_0. \tag{28}$$

Note that

$$\frac{a(t_n)}{\rho_n} = \frac{a(t_n) \|\Delta \psi(t_n)\|_{L^2}^{1/2}}{\|\Delta R\|_{L^2}^{1/2}} \to \infty, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Then, for every r > 0, there exists $n_0 > 0$, such that for every $n > n_0$, $r\rho_n < a(t_n)$. Therefore, using (27), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{|x-y| \le a(t_n)} |\psi(t_n, x)|^2 dx \ge \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{|x-y| \le r\rho_n} |\psi(t_n, x)|^2 dx \\ \ge \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x-x_n| \le r\rho_n} |\psi(t_n, x)|^2 dx \\ = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| \le r} \rho_n^N |\psi(t_n, \rho_n(x+x_n))|^2 dx \\ = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| \le r} |v(t_n, x+x_n)|^2 dx \\ \ge \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{|x| \le r} |V(x)|^2 dx, \text{ for every } r > 0, \end{split}$$

which means that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{|x-y|\leq a(t_n)}|\psi(t_n,x)|^2dx\geq\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|V(x)|^2dx.$$

Since the sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\liminf_{t \to T^*} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{|x-y| \le a(t)} |\psi(t,x)|^2 dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |R(x)|^2 dx.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Observe that for every $t \in [0,T^*)$, the function $g(y) := \int_{|x-y| \le a(t)} |\psi(t,x)|^2 dx$ is continuous on $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $g(y) \to 0$ as $|y| \to \infty$. So, there exists a function $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that for every $t \in [0, T^*]$

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{|x-y| \le a(t)} |\psi(t,x)|^2 dx = \int_{|x-x(t)| \le a(t)} |\psi(t,x)|^2 dx.$$

This and (29) yield (24). \Box

Next, we study the limiting profile of the blow-up H^2 -solutions with critical norms. To do so, we recall the following characterization of the ground states:

Lemma 9 (Characterization of ground states [28]). Let $p = \frac{8}{N}$. If $u \in H^2$ is such that $||u||_{L^2} = G_0$ and

$$E_0(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|u\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = 0,$$

then there exists $R \in \mathcal{G}_0$, such that u is of the form

$$u(x) = e^{i\theta}\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}}R(\lambda x + x_0),$$

for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Theorem 3. Let $\psi_0 \in H^2$, $\mu < 0$, $p = \frac{8}{N}$. Assume $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} = G_0$ and the corresponding solution ψ of (1) blows up in finite time $T^* > 0$, then there exist $R_1 \in \mathcal{G}_0$, $\rho(t) > 0$, $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\theta(t) \in [0, 2\pi)$, such that

$$\rho^{N/2}(t)\psi(t,\rho(t)(\cdot+x(t)))e^{i\theta(t)} \to R_1 \text{ strongly in } H^2, \text{ as } t \to T^*.$$
(30)

Proof. We use the notations in the proof of Theorem 2. Assume that $\|\psi_0\|_{L^2} = G_0$. Recall that we have verified that $\|V\|_{L^2} \ge G_0$ in the proof of Theorem 2. Whence

$$G_0 \le \|V\|_{L^2} \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|v_n\|_{L^2} = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|\psi(t_n)\|_{L^2} = \|\psi_0\|_{L^2} = G_0,$$

and then,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n\|_{L^2} = \|V\|_{L^2} = G_0, \tag{31}$$

which implies

$$v_n(\cdot + x_n) \rightarrow V$$
 strongly in L^2 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We infer from the inequality (8) that

$$\|\nabla (v_n(\cdot + x_n) - V)\|_{L^2}^2 \le C \|v_n(\cdot + x_n) - V\|_{L^2} \|\Delta (v_n(\cdot + x_n) - V)\|_{L^2}.$$

From $\|\Delta v_n(\cdot + x_n)\|_{L^2} \leq C$, we obtain

$$\nabla v_n(\cdot + x_n) \to \nabla V$$
 in L^2 as $n \to \infty$.

Next, we will prove that $v_n(\cdot + x_n)$ converges to *V* strongly in H^2 . For this purpose, we estimate as follows:

$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} |E_0(v_n)| = \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta R(x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|^{p+2} dx \right|$$
$$= \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta R(x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V(x)|^{p+2} dx \right|.$$
(32)

Thus, we infer from the inequality (9) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta R(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V(x)|^{p+2} dx \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|V\|_{L^2}^p}{G_0^p} \|\Delta V\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta V\|_{L^2}^2.$$
(33)

On the other hand, we deduce from (25) that $\|\Delta V\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|\Delta v_n(\cdot + x_n)\|_{L^2} = \|\Delta R\|_{L^2}$. Hence, we have $\|Q\|_{H^2} = \|V\|_{H^2}$ and

$$v_n(\cdot + x_n) \to V \text{ strongly in } H^2 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (34)

This and (33) imply that

$$E_0(V) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta V(x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V(x)|^{p+2} dx = 0.$$

Up to now, we have verified that

$$||V||_{L^2} = G_0$$
 and $E_0(V) = 0$.

Applying Lemma 9, there exists $R_1 \in \mathcal{G}_0$, such that

$$V(x) = e^{i\theta}R_1(x+x_0)$$
 for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$

and

$$\rho_n^{N/2}\psi(t_n,\rho_n(\cdot+x_0)) \to e^{i\theta}R_1(\cdot+x_0)$$
 strongly in H^2 as $n \to \infty$.

Since the sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is arbitrary, we infer that there are two functions $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\theta(t) \in [0, 2\pi)$, such that

$$\rho^{N/2}(t)e^{i\theta(t)}\psi(t,\rho(t)(x+x(t))) \to R_1 \text{ strongly in } H^2 \text{ as } t \to T^*.$$

3.3. The L^2 -Supercritical Case

In this subsection, we investigate some dynamical properties of the blow-up solutions for (1) with $\psi_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ in the L^2 -supercritical case. The main difficulty in this consideration is the lack of conservation of mass.

Theorem 4. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{8}{N} , <math>\psi_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma} \cap \dot{H}^2$ with $\gamma = \min\{\gamma_c, 1\}$. If the solution $\psi(t)$ of (1) blows up in finite time $T^* > 0$ and satisfies

 $\sup_{t \in [0,T^*)} \|\psi(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} < \infty \ if \ \gamma_c \le 1, \ \sup_{t \in [0,T^*)} \|\psi(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^1} < \infty \ if \ 1 < \gamma_c < 2.$ (35)

Assume that a(t) > 0, such that

$$a(t)\|\Delta\psi(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2-\gamma_c}}\to\infty,\tag{36}$$

as $t \to T^*$. Then, there exist $x_1(t), x_2(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that

$$\liminf_{t \to T^*} \int_{|x-x_1(t)| \le a(t)} |(-\triangle)^{\frac{\gamma_c}{2}} \psi(t,x)|^2 dx \ge G_1^2,$$
(37)

and

$$\liminf_{t \to T^*} \int_{|x - x_2(t)| \le a(t)} |\psi(t, x)|^{p_c} dx \ge G_2^{p_c}.$$
(38)

Remark 4. The assumption $\psi_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma} \cap \dot{H}^2$ with $\gamma = \min{\{\gamma_c, 1\}}$ guarantees that the energy $E(\psi)$ is well-defined.

Proof. Let $Q \in \mathcal{G}$; we set

$$\rho(t) = \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2-\gamma c}} / \|\Delta \psi(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2-\gamma c}} \text{ and } v(t,x) = \rho^{\frac{4}{p}}(t)\psi(t,\rho(t)x).$$

Let $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an any time sequence, such that $t_n \to T^*$, $\rho_n = \rho(t_n)$ and $v_n(x) = v(t_n, x)$. Then, it follows from assumption (35) that v_n satisfies $\|v_n\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} = \|\psi(t_n)\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} < \infty$ uniformly in *n*. Moreover, by some direct computations, we obtain

$$\|\Delta v_n\|_{L^2} = \rho_n^{2-\gamma_c} \|\Delta \psi(t_n)\|_{L^2} = \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2},$$

and

$$E_{0}(v_{n})| = \left|\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta v_{n}(x)|^{2} dx - \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{n}(x)|^{p+2} dx\right|$$

$$= \rho_{n}^{2(2-\gamma_{c})} \left|\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta \psi(t_{n},x)|^{2} dx - \frac{1}{p+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\psi(t_{n},x)|^{p+2} dx\right|$$

$$= \rho_{n}^{2(2-\gamma_{c})} \left|E(\psi(t_{n})) - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla \psi(t_{n},x)|^{2} dx\right|$$

$$= \frac{\|\Delta Q\|_{L^{2}}^{2}}{\|\Delta \psi(t_{n})\|_{L^{2}}^{2}} \left|E(\psi_{0}) - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla \psi(t_{n},x)|^{2} dx\right|.$$
(39)

When $0 < \gamma_c < 1$, applying the inequality (8), that is

$$\|\nabla\psi(t_n)\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|\Delta\psi(t_n)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2(1-\gamma_c)}{2-\gamma_c}} \|\psi(t_n)\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}}^{\frac{2}{2-\gamma_c}},\tag{40}$$

we have $E_0(v_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. When $1 \le \gamma_c < 2$, it follows from (35) that $E_0(v_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. These imply that $\|v_n\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \to \frac{p+2}{2} \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2$ as $n \to \infty$. Set $m^{p+2} = \frac{p+2}{2} \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2$ and $M = \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}$. Then, it follows from Lemma 8 that there exist $V \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, such that up to a subsequence,

$$v_n(\cdot + x_n) = \rho_n^{\frac{4}{p}} \psi(t_n, \rho_n \cdot + x_n) \rightharpoonup V \text{ weakly in } \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$$

with

$$\|V\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} \ge G_1. \tag{41}$$

By the definition of \dot{H}^{γ_c} , we have

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma_c}{2}} \rho_n^{\frac{4}{p}} \psi(t_n, \rho_n \cdot + x_n) \rightharpoonup (-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma_c}{2}} V$$
 weakly in L^2 .

Thus, for any R > 0,

$$\int_{|x|\leq R} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma_c}{2}} V(x)|^2 dx \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{|x-x_n|\leq \rho_n R} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma_c}{2}} \psi(t_n,x)|^2 dx.$$

In view of the assumption $a(t_n)/\rho_n \to \infty$, this implies immediately

$$\int_{|x|\leq R} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma_c}{2}} V|^2 dx \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{|x-y|\leq a(t_n)} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma_c}{2}} \psi(t_n,x)|^2 dx.$$

Then, we can prove this theorem by a similar argument as that in Theorem 3. The proof of (38) is similar, so we omit it. This completes the proof. \Box

Let us now study the limiting profile of the blow-up $\dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ solutions with critical norms. To do so, we recall the following characterization of the ground states.

Lemma 10 (Characterization of ground states [25]). Let $\frac{8}{N} .$ If $u \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ is such that $||u||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} = G_1$ and 1.

$$E_0(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|u\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = 0,$$

then, *u* is of the form

$$u(x) = e^{i\theta}\lambda^{\frac{4}{p}}Q(\lambda x + x_0),$$

for some $Q \in \mathcal{G}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

2. If $u \in L^{p_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ is such that $||u||_{L^{p_c}} = G_2$ and

$$H(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+2} \|u\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = 0,$$

then, *u* is of the form

$$u(y) = e^{i\vartheta}\rho^{\frac{4}{p}}R(\rho y + y_0),$$

for some $R \in \mathcal{K}$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\rho > 0$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proposition 1 (Limiting profile with critical norms). Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\frac{8}{N} , <math>\psi_0 \in \dot{H}^{\gamma} \cap \dot{H}^2$ with $\gamma = \min{\{\gamma_c, 1\}}$, and the corresponding solution $\psi(t)$ of (1) blows up in the finite time $T^* > 0$.

1. Assume that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T^*)} \|\psi(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} = G_1.$$
(42)

If $1 < \gamma_c < 2$, assume further that $\sup_{t \in [0,T^*)} \|\psi(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} < \infty$. Then, there exists $Q_1 \in \mathcal{G}$, $\theta(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda(t) > 0$ and $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that

$$e^{i\theta(t)}\lambda^{\frac{4}{p}}(t)\psi(t,\lambda(t)\cdot+y(t)) \rightarrow Q_1 \text{ strongly in } \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2,$$

as $t \uparrow T^*$.

2. Assume that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T^*)} \|\psi(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} < \infty, \quad \sup_{t \in [0,T^*)} \|\psi(t)\|_{L^{p_c}} = G_2.$$
(43)

If $1 < \gamma_c < 2$, assume further that $\sup_{t \in [0,T^*)} \|\psi(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} < \infty$. Then, there exist $Q_2 \in \mathcal{K}, \vartheta(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \rho(t) > 0$ and $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that

$$e^{i\theta(t)}
ho^{\frac{4}{p}}(t)\psi(t,
ho(t)\cdot+z(t)) o Q_2$$
 strongly in $L^{p_c}\cap\dot{H}^2$,

as $t \uparrow T^*$.

Proof. We only treat the first term, the second one is similar. It is enough to show that for any $(t_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying $t_n \uparrow T^*$, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $(t_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $Q_1 \in \mathcal{G}$, sequences $\theta_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_n > 0$ and $y_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that

$$e^{it\theta_n}\lambda_n^{\frac{4}{p}}\psi(t_n,\lambda_n\cdot+y_n)\to Q_1 \text{ strongly in }\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}\cap\dot{H}^2,$$
(44)

as $n \to \infty$. Using the notation given in the proof of Theorem 4, we have

$$v_n(\cdot + y_n) = \lambda_n^{\frac{4}{p}} \psi(t_n, \lambda_n \cdot + y_n) \rightharpoonup V$$
 weakly in $\dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$,

as $n \to \infty$ with $\|V\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} \ge G_1$. By the semi-continuity of weak convergence, (41) and (42), we have

$$G_1 \leq \|V\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|v_n\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|\psi(t_n)\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} \leq G_1$$

We thus obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} = \|V\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} = G_1.$$
(45)

This shows that $v_n(\cdot + y_n) \to V$ strongly in \dot{H}^{γ_c} as $n \to \infty$. Using the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (12), we have

$$v_n(\cdot + y_n) \to V$$
 strongly in L^{p+2} ,

as $n \to \infty$. Using (39) and (45), the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (12) yields

$$\|Q\|_{\dot{H}^{2}}^{2} = \frac{2}{p+2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_{n}\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} = \frac{2}{p+2} \|V\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2} \le \left(\frac{\|V\|_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_{c}}}}{G_{1}}\right)^{p} \|V\|_{\dot{H}^{2}}^{2} = \|V\|_{\dot{H}^{2}}^{2}.$$

This combined with

$$\|V\|_{\dot{H}^2} \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|v_n\|_{\dot{H}^2} = \|Q\|_{\dot{H}^2}$$

shows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n\|_{\dot{H}^2} = \|V\|_{\dot{H}^2} = \|Q\|_{\dot{H}^2}.$$
(46)

Combining (45), (46) and the fact $v(\cdot + y_n) \rightharpoonup V$ weakly in $\dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$, we conclude that

$$v_n(\cdot + y_n) \to V$$
 strongly in $\dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$,

as $n \to \infty$. In particular, we have

$$E_0(V) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E_0(v_n) = 0.$$

Therefore, we have proved that $V \in \dot{H}^{\gamma_c} \cap \dot{H}^2$ and satisfies

$$||V||_{\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}} = G_1, \quad E_0(V) = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 10, there exists $Q_1 \in \mathcal{G}$, such that $V(y) = e^{i\theta}\lambda^{\frac{4}{p}}Q_1(\lambda y + y_0)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. We thus obtain

$$v_n(\cdot+y_n)=\lambda_n^{\frac{4}{p}}\psi(t_n,\lambda_n\cdot+y_n)\to V=e^{i\theta}\lambda^{\frac{4}{p}}Q_1(\lambda\cdot+y_0) \text{ strongly in }\dot{H}^{\gamma_c}\cap\dot{H}^2,$$

as $n \to \infty$. Redefining variables, we prove (44). The proof is complete. \Box

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.N., A.A.Y., and B.F.; writing—original draft preparation, H.N., A.A.Y. and B.F.; writing—review and editing, H.N. and A.A.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: H.-L. Niu is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region (No. 2021AAC03184) and the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province of China (No. 23JRRA864). B. Feng is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12026259) and the NWNU-LKZD 2022-03.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Karpman, V.I. Stabilization of soliton instabilities by higher-order dispersion: Fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations. *Phys. Rev. E* **1996**, *53*, 1336–1339. [CrossRef]
- Karpman, V.I.; Shagalov, A.G. Stability of soliton described by nonlinear Schrödinger type equations with higher-order dispersion. *Phys. D Nonlinear Phenom.* 2000, 144, 194–210. [CrossRef]

- 3. Egorov, Y.V.; Galaktionov, V.A.; Kondratiev, V.A.; Pohozaev, S.I. On the asymptotics of global solutions of higher-order semilinear parabolic equations in the supercritical rangeSur les asymptotiques des solutions globales des équations paraboliques sémilinéaires d'ordre supérieur dans le cas surcritique. *Comptes Rendus Math.* **2002**, *335*, 805–810. [CrossRef]
- Galaktionov, V.A.; Pohozaev, S.I. Existence and blow-up for higher-order semilinear parabolic equations: Majorizing orderpreserving operators. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 2002, *51*, 1321–1338. [CrossRef]
- 5. Palencia, J.L.D. Analysis of selfsimilar solutions and a comparison principle for an heterogeneous diffusion cooperative system with advection and non-linear reaction. *Comp. Appl. Math.* **2021**, *40*, 302. [CrossRef]
- 6. Palencia, J.L.D. Characterization of Traveling Waves Solutions to an Heterogeneous Diffusion Coupled System with Weak Advection. *Mathematics* **2021**, *18*, 2300. [CrossRef]
- 7. Palencia, J.L.D. A higher degenerated invasive-invaded species interaction. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 2023, Early View .
- Palencia, J.L.D. Semigroup theory and asymptotic profiles of solutions for a higher-order Fisher-KPP problem in ℝ^N. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2023, 2023, 1–17.
- 9. Ben-Artzi, M.; Koch, H.; Saut, J.-C. Dispersion estimates for fourth order Schrödinger equations. *Comptes Rendus L'AcadéMie Sci.-Ser.-Math.* 2000, 330, 87–92. [CrossRef]
- 10. Dinh, V.D. Dynamics of radial solutions for the focusing fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Nonlinearity* **2021**, 34, 776–821. [CrossRef]
- 11. Dinh, V.D. On well-posedness, regularity and ill-posedness for the nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **2018**, *25*, 415–437. [CrossRef]
- Guo, Q. Scattering for the focusing L²-supercritical and H²-subcritical biharmonic NLS equations. *Comm. Partial. Differ. Equ.* 2016, 41, 185–207. [CrossRef]
- 13. Hao, C.C.; Hsiao, L.; Wang, B.X. Wellposedness for the fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, 320, 246–265. [CrossRef]
- 14. Pausader, B. Global well-posedness for energy critical fourth-order Schrödinger equations in the radial case. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.* **2007**, *4*, 197–225. [CrossRef]
- 15. Pausader, B. The cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation. J. Funct. Anal. 2009, 256, 2473–2517. [CrossRef]
- 16. Pausader, B.; Xia, S. Scattering theory for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation in low dimensions. *Nonlinearity* **2013**, *26*, 2175–2191. [CrossRef]
- 17. Segata, J. Modified wave operators for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equation with cubic nonlinearity. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **2006**, *29*, 1785–1800. [CrossRef]
- 18. Segata, J. Well-posedness and existence of standing waves for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger type equation. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **2010**, *27*, 1093–1105. [CrossRef]
- 19. Fibich, G.; Ilan, B.; Papanicolaou, G. Self-focusing with fourth-order dispersion. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 2002, 62, 1437–1462.
- 20. Dinh, V.D. Global existence and scattering for a class of nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation below the energy space. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2018**, *172*, 115–140. [CrossRef]
- 21. Boulenger, T.; Lenzmann, E. Blowup for biharmonic NLS. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. 2017, 50, 503–544. [CrossRef]
- Baruch, G.; Fibich, G. Singular solutions of the L²-supercritical biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinearity* 2011, 24, 1843–1859. [CrossRef]
- 23. Baruch, G.; Fibich, G.; Mandelbaum, E. Singular solutions of the biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **2010**, *70*, 3319–3341. [CrossRef]
- 24. Dinh, V.D. On the focusing mass-critical nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation below the energy space. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.* **2017**, *14*, 295–320. [CrossRef]
- Dinh, V.D. On blowup solutions to the focusing intercritical nonlinear fourth-order Schrödinger equation. J. Dynam. Differ. Equ. 2019, 31, 1793–1823. [CrossRef]
- 26. Zhu, S.; Zhang, J.; Yang, H. Biharmonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the profile decomposition. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2011**, 74, 6244–6255. [CrossRef]
- 27. Zhu, S.; Yang, H.; Zhang, J. Blow-up of rough solutions to the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2011**, 74, 6186–6201. [CrossRef]
- 28. Zhu, S.; Zhang, J.; Yang, H. Limiting profile of the blow-up solutions for the fourth-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Dyn. Partial. Differ. Equ.* **2010**, *7*, 187–205.
- 29. Bahouri, H.; Chemin, J.Y.; Danchin, R. Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.