



Article

# A Facile and General Oxidative Hydroxylation of Organoboron Compounds: Citric Acid as an Efficient Catalyst in Water to Access Phenolic and Alcoholic Motifs

Jia-Hui Zhou <sup>1,2</sup>, Xia Chen <sup>2</sup>, Dan Yang <sup>2</sup>, Chun-Yan Liu <sup>1,2</sup> and Xiao-Yu Zhou <sup>1,2</sup>,\*

- College of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Dalian University, Dalian 116622, China; zjh17383474825@126.com (J.-H.Z.); cy2403840249@126.com (C.-Y.L.)
- School of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Liupanshui Normal University, Liupanshui 553004, China; xia811@live.cn (X.C.); yangda2006@126.com (D.Y.)
- \* Correspondence: zhouxiaoyu20062006@126.com

**Abstract:** An efficient and convenient method for the synthesis of phenols and aliphatic alcohols is described in this paper. The oxidative hydroxylation reaction of various organoboron compounds proceeded smoothly by employing  $H_2O_2$  as the oxidant and citric acid as the catalyst in water at room temperature to produce phenols and aliphatic alcohols in satisfactory to excellent yields (up to 99% yield). Various synthetically useful functional groups, such as halogen atom, cyano, and nitro groups, remain intact during the oxidative hydroxylation. The developed catalytic system also could accommodate phenylboronic pinacol ester and potassium phenyltrifluoroborate to give the target product good yields.

Keywords: oxidative hydroxylation; organoboron compounds; citric acid; phenols; aliphatic alcohols



Citation: Zhou, J.-H.; Chen, X.; Yang, D.; Liu, C.-Y.; Zhou, X.-Y. A Facile and General Oxidative
Hydroxylation of Organoboron
Compounds: Citric Acid as an
Efficient Catalyst in Water to Access
Phenolic and Alcoholic Motifs.

Molecules 2023, 28, 7915. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28237915

Academic Editors: Leonarda Liotta and Valeria La Parola

Received: 11 November 2023 Revised: 30 November 2023 Accepted: 1 December 2023 Published: 3 December 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### 1. Introduction

Phenols and aliphatic alcohols are widely recognized as privileged building blocks for the construction of sophisticated organic complexes such as polymers, pharmaceuticals, and natural products [1–3]. Accordingly, the development of efficient and convenient methods for preparation has attracted considerable attention. Because of the unique properties of boronic acids, such as ready availability and stability in air and aqueous environments, the oxidative hydroxylation of boronic acids has become a straightforward and efficient avenue to access phenols and aliphatic alcohols. This process relies on the use of molecular oxygen (O2 and air) [4-10] and stoichiometric oxidants such as hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) [11–18], t-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) [19], m-chloroperbenzoic acid (mCPBA) [20], hydroxylamine [21], N-oxides [22], hypervalent iodine [23], and sodium chlorite (NaClO<sub>2</sub>) [24]. In view of both the economy and the environment, molecular oxygen and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> are the ideal oxidants due to their low cost and lack of toxic byproducts. However, virtually all of the methods for the use of molecular oxygen were achieved using metal catalysts and relatively long reaction times. In general, metal catalysts are expensive, air or moisture sensitive, and can be a challenging task to remove; thus, from the practical viewpoint, metal-free processes are much more preferred in the pharmaceutical industry [14,22]. Therefore, more benign, cost-effective, environmentally friendly metal-free procedures have emerged as beneficial alternatives.

Several metal-free procedures using  $H_2O_2$  as an oxidant have been developed for the synthesis of phenols in various reaction systems, such as aqueous  $H_2O_2$  [11],  $H_2O_2$ - $I_2$  [25],  $H_2O_2$ -poly(N-vinylpyrrolidone) [26],  $H_2O_2$ -amberlite IR-120 resin [27],  $H_2O_2$ -PEG400 [28],  $H_2O_2$ -silica chloride [29],  $H_2O_2$ -ascorbic acid [14],  $H_2O_2$ -graphene oxide [30], and  $H_2O_2$ -boron nitride nanosheets [31]. These protocols often show high efficiency; however, most of the methodologies involve organic solvents [25,26,28,29], a large amount of  $H_2O_2$  [14,25,27],

Molecules **2023**, 28, 7915 2 of 11

complex reagents [26,30,31], and heating conditions [31], which are difficult to practice in industrial applications. Furthermore, in many of these methods, both the scaled-up synthesis of phenols and the compatibility with alkylboronic acids have not been demonstrated. Thus, a general, convenient, and eco-friendly protocol is still in demand.

Citric acid, the principal organic acid found in citrus fruits, is a nontoxic, low cost, biodegradable, and environmentally benign catalyst in organic transformations [32–35]. Green chemistry that aims to achieve transformations with the avoidance of using and generating hazardous substances and minimize energy consumption is highly sought after in modern organic synthesis [36]. As such, the use of environmentally non-compatible catalysts and organic solvents should be avoided to do away with detrimental effects such as toxicity, hazards, and waste treatment. Notably, using a natural substance as a catalyst and water ( $H_2O$ ) as a solvent at room temperature offers various benefits to address these problems. Herein, we are committed to developing a convenient, green, and general method for the synthesis of phenols and aliphatic alcohols via the oxidative hydroxylation of organoboron compounds occurring in the presence of citric acid under mild conditions.

### 2. Results

As a model reaction, we first investigated citric acid-catalyzed oxidative hydroxylation of phenylboronic acid (1a, 1 equiv.) under various conditions (Table 1). Given the fact that citric acid has good water solubility and boronic acids have high stability in aqueous environments, we reasoned that the oxidative hydroxylation of boronic acids to access phenolic and alcoholic motifs could be conducted in H<sub>2</sub>O instead of the organic solvents. Therefore, the reaction temperature was first screened using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as the oxidant and H<sub>2</sub>O as the solvent. The results obtained indicated that room temperature with no extra energy consumption was the best reaction temperature (entry 3 vs. entries 1 and 2). The oxidant was subsequently investigated under room temperature conditions. Among the examined oxidants ( $H_2O_2$ , air, TPHP, di-t-butyl peroxide (DTBP),  $O_2$ , sodium chlorite (NaClO<sub>2</sub>)), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> proved to be the best oxidant, providing the target product, phenol (3a), in 98% yield (entry 3 vs. entries 4–8). Decreased yields were observed when the loadings of oxidant H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and catalyst citric acid were reduced to 1.0 equivalent and 0.05 mol%, respectively (entries 9 and 11 vs. entry 3); on the other hand, quantitative yield was almost obtained even though the loadings of oxidant H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and catalyst citric acid increased to 3.0 equivalents and 0.20 mol%, respectively (entries 10 and 12 vs. entry 3). The results suggested that the target reaction was commendably accomplished using 2.0 equivalents of oxidant H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and 0.10 mol% of catalyst citric acid. Decreased yield was also obtained when the reaction time was shortened to 1 h from 2 h (entry 13 vs. entry 3). Moreover, the oxidative hydroxylation reaction of 1a was performed without citric acid and only provided 2a in 66% yield, suggesting that the presence of citric acid in the reaction system influenced the conversion efficiency significantly (entry 14 vs. entry 3). The influence of acid catalysts on oxidative hydroxylation was also investigated. Acetic acid and benzoic acid were not able to significantly improve the yield (entries 15 and 16 vs. entry 14), while tartaric acid and formic acid had some positive effect on this reaction, although it was not as good as citric acid (entries 17 and 18 vs. entry 3). Therefore, the subsequent oxidative hydroxylation reaction of various boronic acids with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (2.0 equiv.) was performed in the presence of citric acid (0.1 mol%) in  $H_2O$  (3 mL) at room temperature.

Molecules **2023**, 28, 7915 3 of 11

**Table 1.** Optimization of reaction conditions <sup>a</sup>.

Entry	Cat. (x mol)	Oxidant (x equiv.)	Temp. (°C)	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	citric acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	40	93
2	citric acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	30	96
3	citric acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	rt	98
4	citric acid (0.1)	air	rt	n. d. <sup>c</sup>
5	citric acid (0.1)	TBHP (2.0)	rt	30
6	citric acid (0.1)	DTBP (2.0)	rt	40
7	citric acid (0.1)	O <sub>2</sub> (balloon)	rt	n. d.
8	citric acid (0.1)	NaClO <sub>2</sub> (2.0)	rt	64
9	citric acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (1.0)	rt	68
10	citric acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (3.0)	rt	95
11	citric acid (0.05)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	rt	90
12	citric acid (0.2)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	rt	97
13 <sup>d</sup>	citric acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	rt	91
14	-	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	rt	66
15	acetic acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	rt	69
16	benzoic acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	rt	74
17	tartaric acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	rt	83
18	formic acid (0.1)	$H_2O_2$ (2.0)	rt	81

 $<sup>^{\</sup>overline{a}}$  Reaction conditions: **1a** (94.1 mg, 1.0 mmol), oxidant (x equiv.), and citric acid (0.2 mg, 0.1 mol%) in H<sub>2</sub>O (3 mL) at heating or room temperature for 2 h.  $^{b}$  Isolated yield.  $^{c}$  The desired product was not detected; the starting material was recovered.  $^{d}$  The reaction was performed for 1 h.

Given the optimized reaction conditions, the scope and limitation of this reaction were explored, and the results are summarized in Scheme 1. The methyl (Me), cyclohexyl, and phenyl (Ph) linked on different positions of the benzene ring in substrate 1 did not influence the yield; the corresponding phenol products 2b-2e and 2t were obtained in 97–99% yields. Other electron-donating groups, such as methylthio (MeS), alkoxyl (MeO and *n*-C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O), phenoxyl (PhO), and diphenylamino (Ph<sub>2</sub>N), were also tolerated well in this oxidative hydroxylation reaction, providing the desired products **2f–2j** in 61–94% yields, respectively. Reactions of boronic acid substrates 1 bearing electron-withdrawing group on the benzene ring, such as acetyl (Ac), cyano (CN), and nitro (NO<sub>2</sub>), were subsequently investigated under the optimized reaction conditions. The phenol products 2m-2o were obtained in good to excellent yields (80-94%). These results mentioned above indicated that the electron properties (electron donating or electron withdrawing) of substituents linked to the benzene ring did not influence the reactivities of 1. Notably, phenylboronic acid bearing a chloro substituent was successfully transformed to 3-chlorophenol (21) in 98% yield. The functional groups, such as Cl, CN, and NO<sub>2</sub>, remained intact during the oxidative hydroxylation reaction, suggesting that further manipulation may produce additional useful compounds. The suitability of naphthalene-derived boronic acids 1p-1r in the current oxidative hydroxylation reaction was investigated, and excellent yields (91–98%) of the desired products 2p–2r were obtained, respectively. The desired products 2k and 2s were also obtained in 91% and 78% yields, respectively, from the reactions of benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylboronic acid (1k) and (4-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)boronic acid (1s). In general, this oxidative hydroxylation exhibits broad scope and proceeds efficiently with electron-poor and -rich arylboronic acids, even with significant steric hindrance.

Molecules **2023**, 28, 7915 4 of 11

**Scheme 1.** Substrate scope  $^{a,b}$ .  $^a$  Reaction conditions: **1** (1.0 mmol),  $H_2O_2$  (2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), and citric acid (0.2 mg, 0.001 mmol, 0.1 mol%) in  $H_2O$  (3 mL) at room temperature under an air atmosphere for 2 h.  $^b$  Isolated yield.  $^c$  The reaction was performed for 4 h.

Encouraged by these results, we next explored the scope of this reaction with respect to alkylboronic acids. As shown in Scheme 2, various alkylboronic acids **3a–3e** were smoothly converted into the desired products **4a–4e** in good to excellent yields (84–90%). Notably, aliphatic alcohols are generally susceptible to oxidation conditions and could be readily transformed into the corresponding aldehydes or ketones. Advantageously, alcohols are able to survive under our organocatalytic oxidation conditions.

Continuous substrate extension studies showed that the potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (5) and phenylboronic pinacol ester (6) could be used as a phenyl source to synthesize phenol under the standard conditions (Scheme 3, (1) and (2)). Large-scale synthesis of phenol (2a) was performed under optimal reaction conditions to demonstrate the synthetic practicality and utilization of the new methodology further (Scheme 3, (3)). As expected, the desired products 2a were obtained in excellent yield when the reaction of 1a was conducted on a 20.0 mmol scale. The yield is comparable to that obtained in the small-scale experiment.

Molecules **2023**, 28, 7915 5 of 11

**Scheme 2.** Substrate scope  $^{a,b}$ .  $^a$  Reaction conditions: **1** (1.0 mmol),  $H_2O_2$  (2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), and citric acid (0.2 mg, 0.001 mmol, 0.1 mol%) in  $H_2O$  (3 mL) at room temperature under an air atmosphere for 2 h.  $^b$  Isolated yield.

Scheme 3. Substrate extension studies and large-scale synthesis.

Based on the experimental results and previous reports [11–14,28,29], a plausible reaction mechanism for the current oxidative hydroxylation is depicted in Scheme 4. Initially, the citric acid-activated  $H_2O_2$  species  $\bf A$  was generated in situ through a hydrogen bond. The activated  $H_2O_2$  species then underwent nucleophilic attack on boronic acid  $\bf 1$  to form a key intermediate, boron peroxo complex  $\bf B$ , followed by phenyl migration in the form of  $\bf C$  to a peroxygen atom to generate boron phenoxide  $\bf D$ . Finally, the hydrolysis of  $\bf D$  occurred to yield the target product  $\bf 2$ .

Molecules **2023**, 28, 7915 6 of 11

$$\begin{array}{c} OH \\ O = \\$$

Scheme 4. The plausible reaction mechanism.

### 3. Materials and Methods

### 3.1. General Information

Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out in oven-dried 25 mL Schlenk tubes under a nitrogen atmosphere. An IKA plate was used as the heat source. All reagents and solvents were of pure analytical grade. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on HSGF254 silica gel, pre-coated on glass-backed plates coated with 0.2 mm silica and revealed with a UV lamp ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 254 nm). The products were purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel 200–300 mesh. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova-500 spectrometer (500 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 126 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C) and a Bruker Avance NEO 600M NMR Spectrometer (600 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 151 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C) using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as the solvent with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard at room temperature. The chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield ( $\delta$ ) from TMS, and the coupling constants J are given in Hz. The peak patterns are indicated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; p, quintet; h, sextet; m, multiplet (see Supplementary Materials). High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on either a Q-TOF mass spectrometer or an LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer.

# 3.2. Synthetic Procedures

# 3.2.1. The Typical Procedure for the Oxidative Hydroxylation of Arylboronic Acids

A mixture of arylboronic acids 1 (1.0 mmol) and citric acid (0.2 mg, 0.001 mmol, 0.1 mol%) in  $H_2O$  (3 mL) was added into a Schlenk flask (25 mL). Then, 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (227  $\mu$ L, 2.0 eq.) was added and stirred at room temperature under an air atmosphere for 2 h. After the reaction was finished, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and then extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried on sodium sulfate and filtered. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1 to 2:1) to provide the product 2.

*phenol* (2a): Yield: 98%, 92.2 mg, light pink solid, mp 38–40 °C,  $R_f = 0.50$  (H/E = 5:1).  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.29 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), and 4.64 (brs, 1H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 155.5, 129.7 (2C), 120.8, and 115.3 (2C). [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_6H_7O$ , 95.0497; found 95.0493.

*p-cresol* (**2b**): Yield: 98%, 105.7 mg, light brown solid, mp 30–32 °C,  $R_f = 0.52$  (H/E = 5:1). 
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.06 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), and 4.72 (brs, 1H), 2.30 (s, 3H). 
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 153.2, 131.0, 130.1 (2C), and 115.1 (2C), 20.5. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_7H_9O$ , 109.0653; found 109.0659.

Molecules **2023**, 28, 7915 7 of 11

3,5-dimethylphenol (**2c**): Yield: 97%, 119.6 mg, yellow solid, mp 59–61 °C,  $R_f = 0.62$  (H/E = 5:1). 
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.64 (s, 1H), 6.52 (s, 2H), 5.12 (brs, 1H), and 2.31 (s, 6H). 
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.3, 139.6 (2C), 122.6, 113.1 (2C), and 21.3 (2C). [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C8H11O, 123.0810; found 123.0808.

[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ol (**2d**): Yield: 98%, 166.6 mg, light yellow solid, mp 162–164 °C,  $R_f = 0.44$  (H/E = 5:1). H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.66–7.33 (m, 7H), 6.94 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), and 4.87 (s, 1H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.1, 140.8, 134.0, 131.0, 128.8 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 126.7 (2C), and 115.7(2C). [M + H]+ calcd for  $C_{12}H_{11}O$ , 171.0810; found 171.0815.

[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-ol (**2e**): Yield: 99%, 168.8 mg, light yellow solid, mp 29–31 °C,  $R_f = 0.43$  (H/E = 5:1). H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.56 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 4H), 7.48–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (dd, J = 12.2, 7.4 Hz, 2H), and 5.40 (s, 1H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  152.5, 137.2, 130.4, 129.32 (2C), 129.25, 129.2, 128.3, 127.9 (2C), 121.0, and 116.0. [M + H] calcd for  $C_{12}H_{11}O$ , 171.0810; found 171.0818.

4-(methylthio)phenol (**2f**): Yield: 61%, 85.6 mg, white solid, mp 82–84 °C,  $R_f$  = 0.36 (H/E = 5:1). 
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.24 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.34 (brs, 1H), and 2.46 (s, 3H). 
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  154.1, 130.4 (2C), 128.8, 116.1 (2C), and 18.1. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_7H_9OS$ , 141.0374; found 141.0369.

2-methoxyphenol (**2g**): Yield: 93%, 115.2 mg, colorless oil,  $R_f$  = 0.62 (H/E = 5:1).  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.97–6.95 (m, 1H), 6.92–6.88 (m, 3H), 5.67 (brs, 1H), and 3.92 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  146.6, 145.7, 121.5, 120.2, 114.6, 110.7, and 55.9. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_7H_9O_2$ , 125.0603; found 125.0605.

4-(heptyloxy)phenol (**2h**): Yield: 94%, 195.6 mg, white solid, mp 56–58 °C,  $R_f$  = 0.58 (H/E = 5:1). 
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.80 (q, J = 9.2 Hz, 4H), 5.34 (brs, 1H), 3.93 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.79 (p, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.53–1.42 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.28 (m, 6H), and 0.93 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  153.2, 149.4, 116.1 (2C), 115.8 (2C), 69.0, 31.8, 29.4, 29.1, 26.0, 22.6, and 14.1. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{13}H_{21}O_2$ , 209.1542; found 209.1540.

4-phenoxyphenol (**2i**): Yield: 94%, 175.0 mg, light brown solid, mp 74–76 °C,  $R_f=0.48$  (H/E = 5:1).  $^1$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  7.34 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.00–6.97 (m, 4H), 6.86 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 2H), and 5.28 (brs, 1H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  158.4, 151.7, 150.3, 129.7 (2C), 122.7, 121.1 (2C), 117.7 (2C), and 116.5 (2C). [M + H] $^+$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{11}O_2$ , 187.0759; found 187.0759.

4-(diphenylamino)phenol (**2j**): Yield: 78%, 204.2 mg, white solid, mp 118–120 °C,  $R_f$  = 0.48 (H/E = 5:1).  $^1$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.26 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 4H), 7.13–6.97 (m, 8H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), and 4.98 (brs, 1H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 152.1, 148.2(2C), 141.0, 129.1 (4C), 127.6 (2C), 123.0 (4C), 122.0 (2C), and 116.3 (2C). [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{18}H_{16}NO$ , 262.1232; found 262.1237.

*benzo[d]*[1,3]*dioxol-5-ol* (**2k**): Yield: 91%, 125.6 mg, white solid, mp 58–60 °C,  $R_f$  = 0.38 (H/E = 5:1).  $^1$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 6.68 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.28 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (s, 2H), and 4.87 (brs, 1H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 150.5, 148.3, 141.6, 108.2, 106.8, 101.2, and 98.4. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_7$ H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 139.0395; found 139.0393.

3-chlorophenol (**21**): Yield: 98%, 125.8 mg, colorless oil,  $R_f$  = 0.51 (H/E = 5:1).  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.18 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.76–6.74 (m, 1H), and 5.11 (brs, 1H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  156.3, 134.9, 130.5, 121.1, 115.9, and 113.8. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_6H_6ClO$ , 129.0107; found 129.0111.

1-(3-hydroxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (**2m**): Yield: 94%, 127.8 mg, yellow solid, mp 92–94 °C,  $R_f = 0.54 = (H/E 5:1)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.58 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (brs, 1H), and 2.63 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  199.7, 156.5, 138.3, 130.0, 121.1, 121.0, 114.8, and 26.8. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_8H_9O_2$ , 137.0603; found 137.0599.

4-hydroxybenzonitrile (**2n**): Yield: 91%, 108.3 mg, gray solid, mp 110–112  $^{\circ}$ C, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.20 (H/E = 5:1).  $^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.58 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (brs, 1H), and 6.98

Molecules **2023**, 28, 7915 8 of 11

(d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.5, 134.4 (2C), 119.3, 116.6 (2C), and 102.8. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>6</sub>NO, 120.0449; found 120.0457.

4-nitrophenol (**20**): Yield: 80%, 111.3 mg, yellow solid, mp 108–110  $^{\circ}$ C, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.18 (H/E = 5:1).  $^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.20 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), and 6.14 (s, 1H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.5, 141.6, 126.3 (2C), and 115.8 (2C). [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, 140.0348; found 140.0349.

naphthalen-2-ol (**2p**): Yield: 98%, 141.2 mg, white solid, mp 118–120 °C,  $R_f$  = 0.48 (H/E = 5:1). 
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.83–7.79 (m, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.14 (m, 2H), and 5.20 (brs, 1H). 
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  153.3, 134.6, 123.0, 129.0, 127.8, 126.6, 126.5, 123.7, 117.8, and 109.6. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{10}H_9O$ , 145.0653; found 145.0658.

naphthalen-1-ol (**2q**): Yield: 95%, 136.8 mg, white solid, mp 92–94 °C,  $R_f$  = 0.52 (H/E = 5:1). 
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.24–8.22 (m, 1H), 7.88–7.86 (m, 1H), 7.56–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), and 5.35 (brs, 1H). 
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 151.4, 134.8, 127.7, 126.5, 125.9, 125.3, 124.4, 121.6, 120.8, and 108.7. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O, 145.0653; found 145.0655.

6-methoxynaphthalen-2-ol (**2r**): Yield: 90%, 156.8 mg, white solid, mp 146–148 °C,  $R_f$  = 0.56 (H/E = 5:1).  $^1$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.67 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.09 (m, 4H), 4.90 (s, 1H), and 3.93 (s, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 156.1, 151.8, 129.8, 129.7, 128.5, 127.8, 119.3, 118.1, 109.8, 106.0, and 55.3. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{11}H_{11}O_2$ , 175.0759; found 175.0766.

4-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenol (**2s**): Yield: 78%, 202.3 mg, white solid, mp 94–96 °C,  $R_f$  = 0.40 (H/E = 5:1).  $^1$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.52–7.36 (m, 8H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), and 5.26 (brs, 1H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 154.8, 141.4 (2C), 130.6, 128.9 (2C), 126.0 (2C), 123.2 (2C), 120.4 (2C), 119.9 (2C), 116.7 (2C), and 109.8 (2C). [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{18}H_{14}NO$ , 260.1075; found 260.1071.

4-((1s,4r)-4-propylcyclohexyl)phenol (2t): Yield: 98%, 214.1 mg, white solid, mp 44–46 °C, R<sub>f</sub> = 0.42 (H/E = 5:1).  $^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.10 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (brs, 1H), 2.45–2.40 (m, 1H), 1.89–1.86 (m, 4H), 1.47–1.21 (m, 7H), 1.10–1.02 (m, 2H), and 0.93 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 153.5, 140.4, 127.9 (2C), 115.0 (2C), 43.8, 39.8, 37.0, 34.6 (2C), 33.6 (2C), 20.1, and 14.4. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O, 219.1749; found 219.1754.

# 3.2.2. The Typical Procedure for the Oxidative Hydroxylation of Alkylboronic Acids

A mixture of alkylboronic acids 3 (1.0 mmol) and citric acid (0.2 mg, 0.001 mmol, 0.1 mol%) in  $H_2O$  (3 mL) was added into a Schlenk flask (25 mL). Then, 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (227  $\mu L$ , 2.0 equiv.) was added and stirred at room temperature under an air atmosphere for 2 h. After the reaction was finished, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and then extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried on sodium sulfate and filtered. Then, the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1 to 4:1) to provide the product 4.

2-phenylethan-1-ol (**4a**): Yield: 90%, 110.0 mg, colorless oil,  $R_f = 0.40$  (H/E = 5:1).  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.35 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.25 (m, 3H), 3.89 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.90 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), and 1.54 (brs, 1H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.5, 129.1 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 126.5, 63.7, and 39.2. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_8H_{11}O$ , 123.0810; found 123.0807.

*cyclohexanol* (**4b**): Yield: 88%, 88.2 mg, colorless oil,  $R_f = 0.34$  (H/E = 4:1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.53–3.50 (m, 1H), 2.94 (s, 1H), 1.82 (dd, J = 9.6, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 1.67 (dd, J = 9.1, 4.7 Hz, 2H), 1.50–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.22–1.16 (m, 4H), and 1.12–1.07 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  70.1, 35.4 (2C), 25.4, and 24.2 (2C). [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_6H_{13}O$ , 101.0966; found 101.0967.

hexan-1-ol (**4c**): Yield: 84%, 85.8 mg, colorless oil,  $R_f = 0.38$  (H/E = 4:1).  $^1$ H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.41 (brs, 1H), 3.30 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.29 (p, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.22–0.95 (m, 6H),

Molecules **2023**, 28, 7915 9 of 11

and 0.65 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  61.8, 32.3, 31.5, 25.3, 22.4, and 13.6. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O, 103.1123; found 103.1128.

heptan-1-ol (**4d**): Yield: 84%, 97.6 mg, colorless oil,  $R_f = 0.40$  (H/E = 4:1).  $^1H$  NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.64 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.68 (s, 1H), 1.62–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.26 (m, 8H), and 0.90 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  63.0, 32.8, 31.8, 29.1, 25.7, 22.6, and 14.1. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_7H_{17}O$ , 117.1279; found 117.1277.

decan-1-ol (**4e**): Yield: 90%, 142.4 mg, colorless oil,  $R_f = 0.48$  (H/E = 4:1).  $^1H$  NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.64 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.68 (s, 1H), 1.61–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.25 (m, 14H), and 0.89 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  63.0, 32.8, 31.9, 29.64, 29.57, 29.5, 29.3, 25.8, 22.7, and 14.1. [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{10}H_{23}O$ , 159.1749; found 159.1752.

### 3.2.3. Substrate Extension Studies

Potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (5) (184.0 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) or a phenylboronic pinacol ester (6), 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (227  $\mu L$ , 2.0 equiv.), citric acid (0.2 mg, 0.001 mmol, 0.1 mol%), and water (3 mL) under an air atmosphere were added to an oven-dried 25 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. After the reaction was finished, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and then extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried on sodium sulfate and filtered. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1) to afford the desired product  $\bf 2a$  as a light pink solid.

# 3.3. Large-Scale Synthesis

Phenylboronic acid (1a) (2.44 g, 20.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (4.5 mL, 2.0 equiv.), citric acid (3.8 mg, 0.02 mmol, 0.1 mol%), and water (60 mL) were added to an oven-dried 250 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar under an air atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. After the reaction was finished, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and then extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried on sodium sulfate and filtered. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1) to afford the desired product  $\bf 2a$ .

# 4. Conclusions

In summary, employing a citric acid-catalyzed transition metal-free strategy, we have successfully developed a facile, convenient, and alternative method to achieve the oxidative hydroxylation of organoboron compounds. Advantageously, alcohols can survive under our organocatalytic oxidation conditions. The oxidative hydroxylation reaction of various aromatic and aliphatic boric acids proceeded smoothly by employing  $H_2O_2$  as a green oxidant in an aqueous environment at room temperature to produce phenols and aliphatic alcohols in satisfactory to excellent yields (up to 99% yield). Notably, the catalyst citric acid is nontoxic, low-cost, biodegradable, and environmentally benign, and the loading was only 0.1 mol%. The readily available starting materials, the mild and green reaction conditions, and the experimental simplicity make the present methodology highly useful in the synthesis of phenols and aliphatic alcohols. Based on the significance of both environmental protection and resource conservation, we believe that this methodology using natural substances as catalysts and  $H_2O$  as a solvent at room temperature could be of interest to organic chemists.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28237915/s1, Crystallographic data and copies of NMR spectra.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, X.-Y.Z.; methodology, J.-H.Z., X.C., D.Y., and C.-Y.L.; investigation, J.-H.Z., X.C., and X.-Y.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, X.C.; writing—review and editing, X.-Y.Z.; supervision, X.-Y.Z.; project administration, X.-Y.Z.; funding acquisition, X.-Y.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Molecules 2023, 28, 7915 10 of 11

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 22062012 and 22262019) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guizhou Province (No. qiankehejichu-ZK (2023)zhongdian048). This work was also supported by the Foundation of Guizhou Educational Committee (No. qianjiaoji (2023)088) and the Scientific Reasearch Projects of Liupanshui Normal University (LPSSYZDZK202201).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data obtained in this project are contained within this article and are available upon request from the authors.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Rappoport, Z. *The Chemistry of Phenols*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2003.
- 2. Quideau, S.; Deffieux, D.; Douat-Casassus, C.; Pouységu, L. Plant Polyphenols: Chemical Properties, Biological Activities, and Synthesis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 586–621. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Scott, K.A.; Cox, P.B.; Njardarson, J.T. Phenols in Pharmaceuticals: Analysis of a Recurring Motif. *J. Med. Chem.* **2022**, *65*, 7044–7072. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Gualandi, A.; Savoini, A.; Saporetti, R.; Franchi, P.; Lucarini, M.; Cozzi, P.G. A facile hydroxylation of arylboronic acids mediated by sodium ascorbate. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2018**, *5*, 1573–1578. [CrossRef]
- 5. Yin, W.; Pan, X.; Leng, W.; Chen, J.; He, H. The highly efficient air oxidation of aryl and alkyl boronic acids by a microwave-assisted protocol under transition metal-free conditions. *Green Chem.* **2019**, 21, 4614–4618. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.; Zhou, C.; Zheng, Y.; Zhang, X. Isotruxene-based porous polymers as efficient and recyclable photocatalysts for visible-light induced metal-free oxidative organic transformations. *Green Chem.* 2021, 23, 8878–8885. [CrossRef]
- 7. Cammidge, A.N.; Goddard, V.H.M.; Schubert, C.P.J.; Gopee, H.; Hughes, D.L.; Gonzalez-Lucas, D. Unexpected Phenol Production from Arylboronic Acids under Palladium-Free Conditions; Organocatalyzed Air Oxidation. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 6034. [CrossRef]
- 8. Kaewmati, P.; Somsook, E.; Dhital, R.N.; Sakurai, H. Aerobic oxygenation of phenylboronic acid promoted by thiol derivatives under gold-free conditions: A warning against gold nanoparticle catalysis. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 6104–6106. [CrossRef]
- 9. Hosoi, K.; Kuriyama, Y.; Inagi, S.; Fuchigami, T. Electrochemical hydroxylation of organoboron compounds. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 1284–1286. [CrossRef]
- 10. Jiang, H.; Lykke, L.; Pedersen, S.U.; Xiao, W.-J.; Jørgensen, K.A. A practical electromediated ipso-hydroxylation of aryl and alkyl boronic acids under an air atmosphere. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 7203–7205. [CrossRef]
- 11. Simon, J.; Salzbrunn, S.; Prakash, G.K.S.; Petasis, N.A.; Olah, G.A. Regioselective Conversion of Arylboronic Acids to Phenols and Subsequent Coupling to Symmetrical Diaryl Ethers. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 633–634. [CrossRef]
- 12. Kwon, G.-T.; Kim, S.-H. Tylenol®and Aspirin®as Green Promoters for Ipso-Hydroxylation of Arylboronic Acids. *Lett. Org. Chem.* **2022**, *19*, 902–907. [CrossRef]
- 13. Mahanta, A.; Adhikari, P.; Bora, U.; Thakur, A.J. Biosilica as an efficient heterogeneous catalyst for ipso-hydroxylation of arylboronic acids. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 1780–1783. [CrossRef]
- 14. Das, S.K.; Bhattacharjee, P.; Bora, U. Ascorbic Acid as a Highly Efficient Organocatalyst for ipso-Hydroxylation of Arylboronic Acid. *ChemistrySelect* **2018**, *3*, 2131–2134. [CrossRef]
- 15. Phukan, S.; Mahanta, A.; Rashid, M.H. Size-tunable ZnO nanotapes as an efficient catalyst for oxidative chemoselective C-B bond cleavage of arylboronic acids. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **2018**, *562*, 58–66. [CrossRef]
- 16. Agarwal, S.; Deori, K. Copper Sulfide Nanosheets for Photocatalytic Oxidation of Benzyl Alcohols and Hydroxylation of Arylboronic Acids. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* **2022**, *5*, 4413–4422. [CrossRef]
- 17. Begum, T.; Gogoi, A.; Gogoi, P.K.; Bora, U. Catalysis by mont K-10 supported silver nanoparticles: A rapid and green protocol for the efficient ipso-hydroxylation of arylboronic acids. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 95–97. [CrossRef]
- 18. Shin, E.-J.; Kim, H.-S.; Joo, S.-R.; Shin, U.S.; Kim, S.-H. Heterogeneous Palladium–Chitosan–CNT Core–Shell Nanohybrid Composite for Ipso-hydroxylation of Arylboronic Acids. *Catal. Lett.* **2019**, *149*, 1560–1564. [CrossRef]
- 19. Guo, S.; Lu, L.; Cai, H. Base-Promoted, Mild and Highly Efficient Conversion of Arylboronic Acids into Phenols with tert-Butyl Hydroperoxide. *Synlett* **2013**, *24*, 1712–1714. [CrossRef]
- 20. Chen, D.-S.; Huang, J.-M. A Mild and Highly Efficient Conversion of Arylboronic Acids into Phenols by Oxidation with MCPBA. *Synlett* **2013**, 24, 499–501. [CrossRef]
- 21. Kianmehr, E.; Yahyaee, M.; Tabatabai, K. A mild conversion of arylboronic acids and their pinacolyl boronate esters into phenols using hydroxylamine. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 2713–2715. [CrossRef]
- 22. Zhu, C.; Wang, R.; Falck, J.R. Mild and Rapid Hydroxylation of Aryl/Heteroaryl Boronic Acids and Boronate Esters with N-Oxides. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 3494–3497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Molecules **2023**, 28, 7915 11 of 11

23. Chatterjee, N.; Chowdhury, H.; Sneh, K.; Goswami, A. Hydroxylation of aryl- and alkylboronic acids/esters mediated by iodobenzene diacetate—An avenue for using organoboronic acids/esters as nucleophiles for hydroxylation reactions. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, 56, 172–174. [CrossRef]

- 24. Gogoi, P.; Bezboruah, P.; Gogoi, J.; Boruah, R.C. ipso-Hydroxylation of Arylboronic Acids and Boronate Esters by Using Sodium Chlorite as an Oxidant in Water. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, 2013, 7291–7294. [CrossRef]
- 25. Gogoi, A.; Bora, U. An Iodine-Promoted, Mild and Efficient Method for the Synthesis of Phenols from Arylboronic Acids. *Synlett* **2012**, 23, 1079–1081.
- Prakash, G.K.S.; Chacko, S.; Panja, C.; Thomas, T.E.; Gurung, L.; Rasul, G.; Mathew, T.; Olah, G.A. Regioselective Synthesis
  of Phenols and Halophenols from Arylboronic Acids Using Solid Poly(N-vinylpyrrolidone)/ Hydrogen Peroxide and Poly(4vinylpyridine)/Hydrogen Peroxide Complexes. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1567–1574. [CrossRef]
- 27. Mulakayala, N.; Kumar, K.M.; Rapolu, R.K.; Kandagatla, B.; Rao, P.; Oruganti, S.; Pal, M. Catalysis by Amberlite IR-120 resin: A rapid and green method for the synthesis of phenols from arylboronic acids under metal, ligand, and base-free conditions. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 6004–6007. [CrossRef]
- 28. Gohain, M.; Plessis, M.; van Tonder, J.H.; Bezuidenhoudt, B.C.B. Preparation of phenolic compounds through catalyst-free ipso-hydroxylation of arylboronic acids. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2014**, *55*, 2082–2084. [CrossRef]
- 29. Wagh, R.B.; Nagarkar, J.M. Silica chloride: An efficient promoter for oxidation of arylboronic acids to phenols. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2017**, *58*, 3323–3326. [CrossRef]
- 30. Karthik, M.; Suresh, P. Graphene Oxide as a Carbocatalyst for Sustainable ipso-Hydroxylation of Arylboronic Acids: A Simple and Straightforward Strategy To Access Phenols. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*, 9028–9034. [CrossRef]
- 31. Choudhary, P.; Kumari, K.; Sharma, D.; Kumar, S.; Krishnan, V. Surface Nanoarchitectonics of Boron Nitride Nanosheets for Highly Efficient and Sustainable *ipso*-Hydroxylation of Arylboronic Acids. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2023**, *15*, 9412–9420. [CrossRef]
- 32. Huang, W.-C.; Li, B.; Qi, X.; Mao, X. New type of green extractant for oil production: Citric acid/citric acid/sodium extraction system. *Food Chem.* **2020**, *310*, 125815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Zolfigol, M.A.; Mokhlesi, M.; Farahmand, S. Application of citric acid as highly efficient and green organocatalyst for multi-component synthesis of indazolo[2,1-b]phthalazine-triones. *J. Iran. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *10*, 577–581. [CrossRef]
- 34. Ding, Q.-S.; Zhang, J.-L.; Chen, J.-X.; Liu, M.-C.; Ding, J.-C.; Wu, H.-Y. Tandem synthesis of 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-ones on grinding under solvent-free conditions. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **2012**, *49*, 375–380. [CrossRef]
- 35. Gundala, T.R.; Godugu, K.; Nallagondu, C.G.R. Citric Acid-catalyzed Synthesis of 2,4-Disubstituted Thiazoles from Ketones via C–Br, C–S, and C–N Bond Formations in One Pot: A Green Approach. *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *64*, 1408–1416. [CrossRef]
- 36. Gawande, M.B.; Brancoa, P.S.; Varma, R.S. Nano-magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) as a support for recyclable catalysts in the development of sustainable methodologies. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, 42, 3371–3393. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.