



1 Supplementary Materials

2 Composition-Dependent Sorptive Fractionation of

3 Anthropogenic Dissolved Organic Matter by

4 Fe(III)-Montmorillonite

Robert B. Young ¹, Shani Avneri-Katz ², Amy M. McKenna ³, Huan Chen ³, William Bahureksa ⁴, Tamara Polubesova ², Benny Chefetz ² and Thomas Borch ^{1,4,*}

- 7 Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA; 1 8 robert.b.young@colostate.edu 9 2 Department of Soil and Water Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Environment, The Hebrew 10 University of Jerusalem, Rehovot 7610001, Israel; shani.avneri@mail.huji.ac.il; 11 tamara.polubesova@mail.huji.ac.il; benny.chefetz@mail.huji.ac.il 12 ³ National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32310, USA; 13 mckenna@magnet.fsu.edu; huan.chen@magnet.fsu.edu 14 ⁴ Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA; 15 William.Bahureksa@colostate.edu
- 16 * Correspondence: thomas.borch@colostate.edu; Tel.: +1-970-491-6235

Table S1. Summary statistics for the absolute errors (ppm) of the Common Formula assignments.

Sample	Туре	n	Median	Mean	Std. Dev.
Anthrop. DOM	Initial	7641	0.02	0.06	0.14
	Sorbed		0.03	0.05	0.06
HiN Fraction	Initial	4392	0.02	0.03	0.04
	Sorbed		0.03	0.07	0.09
HoA Fraction	Initial	2258	0.02	0.02	0.02
	Sorbed		0.02	0.05	0.09
HoN Fraction	Initial	6438	0.02	0.07	0.16
	Sorbed		0.01	0.03	0.04

corresponding sorbed organic matter extract (Common Formulas), and the percent relative abundance of the Common Formulas in each van Krevelen plot region defined in Figure S3. Quartile Formulas % Abund. Formulas % Abund. Sample Sample 157 ALIPH-LO 1.1 **HiN Fraction** 151 1.6 Anthropogenic 783 9.3 DOM ALIPH-MO 35.0 1180 402 5.4 ALIPH-HO 15.9 662 645 4.5 MS-LO 298 2.4 2785 37.8 MS-MO 1375 34.2 1228 22.8 MS-HO 444 9.0 381 2.3 AROM-LO 60 0.3 1003 13.0 AROM-MO 201 1.3 257 3.9 AROM-HO 21 0.1 Sample Quartile Formulas % Abund. Sample Formulas % Abund.

Table S2. Number of formulas that were present both in the original DOM solution and the

HoA Fraction 9 ALIPH-LO 0.3 HoN Fraction 694 13.1 ALIPH-MO 207 8.6 23.0 1121 68 ALIPH-HO 3.1 183 2.2 MS-LO 56 1.5 996 14.5 940 39.9 29.5 MS-MO 2003 MS-HO 486 26.1 515 7.5 AROM-LO 18 0.4 236 1.8 AROM-MO 355 14.4564 6.2 AROM-HO 5.7 119 126 2.2

25 "ALIPH" = aliphatic (H/C \ge 1.5); "AROM" = aromatic (ModAI > 0.5); "MS" = mid-saturation (H/C < 1.5 and

 $\begin{array}{ll} & \text{ModAI} \leq 0.5); \text{``LO''} = \text{low oxygen content (O/C} \leq 0.3); \text{``MO''} = \text{mid-oxygen content (} 0.3 < \text{O/C} \leq 0.6); \text{``HO''} = \text{high} \\ & \text{oxygen content (O/C} > 0.6); \text{``ModAI''} = \text{modified aromaticity index.} \end{array}$

29**Table S3.** Number of formulas that were present both in the original DOM solution and the30corresponding sorbed organic matter extract (Common Formulas), and the percent relative31abundance of the Common Formulas in each elemental class.

Sample	Elements	Formulas	% Abund.	Sample	Formulas	% Abund.
Anthropogenic	CHO	1414	27.7	HiN Fraction	919	17.8
DOM	CHNO	3479	45.6		2859	75.8
	CHOS	827	10.6		136	1.4
	CHNOS	1921	16.1		478	5.0
Sample	Elements	Formulas	% Abund.	Sample	Formulas	% Abund.
Sample HoA Fraction	Elements CHO	Formulas 620	% Abund. 35.2	Sample HoN Fraction	Formulas 1974	% Abund. 48.7
Sample HoA Fraction	Elements CHO CHNO	Formulas 620 990	% Abund. 35.2 40.9	Sample HoN Fraction	Formulas 1974 2514	% Abund. 48.7 24.6
Sample HoA Fraction	Elements CHO CHNO CHOS	Formulas 620 990 297	% Abund. 35.2 40.9 13.1	Sample HoN Fraction	Formulas 1974 2514 998	% Abund. 48.7 24.6 19.8



34Figure S1. For the (a) anthropogenic DOM solution, and (b) sorbed anthropogenic DOM extract, the35top panel contains the full mass spectrum for all peaks with $S/N \ge 6$, and the bottom panel contains36an extracted mass spectrum containing all of the assigned formulas (n) after excluding the surfactant-37like series.



Figure S2. Number of formulas that were present both in the original DOM solution and the corresponding sorbed organic matter extract (Common Formulas), distributed among the quartiles illustrated in Figure 1. The "Top 25%" (dark blue in Figure 1 and above), totaling ~25% of the sample's cumulative abundance, comprised the most abundant Common Formulas. The "Bottom 25%" (light green in Figure 1 and above), also totaling ~25% of the sample's cumulative abundance, comprised the least abundant Common Formulas.



47Figure S3. Scheme for determining plot regions in the van Krevelen diagram: "ModAI" = modified48aromaticity index; "ALIPH" = aliphatic (H/C \geq 1.5); "AROM" = aromatic (ModAI > 0.5); "MS" = mid-49saturation (H/C < 1.5 and ModAI \leq 0.5); "LO" = low oxygen content (O/C \leq 0.3); "MO" = mid-oxygen50content (0.3 < O/C \leq 0.6); "HO" = high oxygen content (O/C > 0.6).



52 Figure S4. Cumulative percent abundances of the structural fractions in each van Krevelen plot region, relative

53 to the anthropogenic DOM. Each value was computed by subtracting the cumulative percent abundance of the 54 anthropogenic DOM from the cumulative percent abundance of the structural fraction in the same van Krevelen

55 plot region. "ALIPH" = aliphatic (H/C ≥ 1.5); "AROM" = aromatic (ModAI > 0.5); "MS" = mid-saturation (H/C <

56 1.5 and ModAI \leq 0.5); "LO" = low oxygen content (O/C \leq 0.3); "MO" = mid-oxygen content (0.3 < O/C \leq 0.6);

- 57 "HO" = high oxygen content (O/C > 0.6); "ModAI" = modified aromaticity index.
- 58



60Figure S5. Venn diagram illustrating the number of formulas in each structural fraction (black boxes)61that were present both in the original DOM solution and the corresponding sorbed organic matter62extract (Common Formulas), and the number of Common Formulas that were unique or shared with63other structural fractions (circles).



65Figure S6. van Krevelen diagrams, separated by elemental composition, illustrating the common66formulas that were more abundant in the original anthropogenic DOM (Blue), and more abundant in67its sorbed organic matter extract (Red). The vertical lines at O/C = 0.3 and 0.6 correspond to the oxygen68content of the van Krevelen plot regions in Figure S3 ("Most Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.015%; "More</td>69Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.010%; "Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.005%; "Minimal Change";</td>70"Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.005%; "More Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.010%; "Most Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.015%).



Figure S7. van Krevelen diagrams, separated by elemental composition, illustrating the common formulas that were more abundant in the original HiN fraction (Blue), and more abundant in its sorbed organic matter extract (Red). The vertical lines at O/C = 0.3 and 0.6 correspond to the oxygen content of the van Krevelen plot regions in Figure S3 ("Most Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.015%; "More Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.010%; "Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.005%; "Minimal Change"; "Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.005%; "More Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.010%; "Most Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.015%).



81Figure S8. van Krevelen diagrams, separated by elemental composition, illustrating the common82formulas that were more abundant in the original HoA fraction (Blue), and more abundant in its83sorbed organic matter extract (Red). The vertical lines at O/C = 0.3 and 0.6 correspond to the oxygen84content of the van Krevelen plot regions in Figure S3 ("Most Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.015%; "More</td>85Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.010%; "Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.005%; "Minimal Change";</td>86"Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.005%; "More Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.010%; "Most Unbound" =87 Δ abundance > 0.015%).



Figure S9. van Krevelen diagrams, separated by elemental composition, illustrating the common formulas that were more abundant in the original HoN fraction (Blue), and more abundant in its sorbed organic matter extract (Red). The vertical lines at O/C = 0.3 and 0.6 correspond to the oxygen content of the van Krevelen plot regions in Figure S3 ("Most Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.015%; "More Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.010%; "Sorbed" = Δ abundance < -0.005%; "Minimal Change"; "Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.005%; "More Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.010%; "Most Unbound" = Δ abundance > 0.015%).

www.mdpi.com/journal/soilsystems





98Figure S10. Distribution of the ionizable CHNO content in the HiN fraction as a function of its original99abundance, nitrogen content, oxygen content, and status as Sorbed" or "Unbound". The stated100percentage for each N1-5 heteroatom class is its aggregate original percent abundance. The dashed line101indicates the number of oxygen atoms at or above which the N1 heteroatom class favors sorption to102the Fe(III)-montmorillonite.