

Supplementary Material: A Comprehensive Review of Polymeric Wastewater Purification Membranes

Rasmeet Singh ^{1,*}, Mandeep Singh ², Nisha Kumari ³, Janak ³, Sthitapragyan Maharana ², and Pragyansu Maharana ²

¹ Dr. S.S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology, Panjab University,

Chandigarh 160 014, India; srasmeet9@gmail.com (R.S.)

² School of Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, 15 Broadway,

Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia; Mandeep.Singh@uts.edu.au (M.S.);

shtitapragyan.maharana@student.uts.edu.au (S.M.);

pragyansu.maharana@student.uts.edu.au (P.M.)

³ Dr. B.R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology Jalandhar, Punjab 144011, India;

nishusharma23115@gmail.com (N.K.); jk199chauhan@gmail.com (J.)

* Correspondence: srasmeet9@gmail.com

Table S1. Important developments in the field of wastewater purification using different CNTs.

CNTs	Modification technique	Target contaminants	Adsorption capacity	Reference
MWCNTs	-	Eriochrome cyanine R	73.18 mg/g	[1]
Granular CNTs	Al ₂ O ₃ was bonded with g-CNTs	Diclofenac sodium and carbamazepine	157.4 and 106.5 μmol/g	[2]
MWCNTs	Alkali-activated	Magnetic carbon (MO) & Methylene blue (MB)	MO: 2-10 MB: less than 4 and more than 8	[3]
SWCNTs	-	Bisphenol A and 17α-ethinyl estradiol	75-98%	[4]
SWCNTs & MWCNTs	Carboxylated	Oseltamivir carboxylate (OC) & Oseltamivir (OE)	OC: 6-8 OE: alkaline	[5]
MWCNTs	Grafted with PAAM	Humic acid	80-85%	[6]
Polypyrrole/CNTs-CoFe ₂ O ₄	one-pot solvothermal method	Methyl blue	500 mg/L	[7]

Ho ₂ O ₃ /CNT	MOF assisted route	Tetracycline	98%	[8]
-------------------------------------	--------------------	--------------	-----	-----

Table S2: Adsorption capacities of various GO-based adsorbents.

GO adsorbent	Targeted contaminant	Adsorption capacity	Reference
PEI-GO	Pb(II)	1000 mg/g	[9]
GO-MnFe ₂ O ₄	Pb(II), As(V), As(III)	673, 207, 146 mg/g	[10]
GO-Fe ₃ O ₄	Methyl blue and neutral red	167.2, 171.3 mg/g	[11]
PVP-RGO	Cu(II)	539.53 mg/g	[12]
rGO/ZnO	Methyl blue	80%	[13]
GO/BNC	Congo red and Basic blue	99% and 96%	[14]

Table S3: Comparison for merits and demerits of different metal/metal oxide nanoparticles infused membranes.

Sr. No	Membrane material	Advantages	Disadvantages	References
1	Aluminum	Enhanced mechanical strength and antifouling mechanism.	Highly sensitive membranes and can slow down the process with excessive nanoparticles addition.	[15]

2	Zirconium	High water retention, capable of treating high salinity liquids, stable in humid and high temperature conditions.	Highly sensitive to fouling and excessive nanoparticles concentration.	[15]
3	Silver	Highly stable, highly antimicrobial against bacteria, nontoxic, and antifungal.	Interfering of salts and antimicrobial mechanism happens due to excessive release/concentration of silver ions from metal form.	[16]
4	Magnesium	Antibacterial, nontoxic, biocompatible, and follow systematic resistance against various bacteria.	Sensitive to high temperatures, poor corrosion resistance, and less mechanical stability.	[17,18]
5	Copper	Biocidal, resistance to corrosion and biofouling, good photo catalyst.	Low modification stability, uncontrolled release of copper ions, and leaching of copper materials.	[19]

References

1. Ghaedi, M.; Shokrollahi, A.; Hossainian, H.; Kokhdan, S.N. Comparison of activated carbon and multiwalled carbon nanotubes for efficient removal of eriochrome cyanine R (ECR): Kinetic, isotherm, and thermodynamic study of the removal process. *J. Chem. Eng. Data.* **2011**, *56*, 3227–3235. doi: doi.org/10.1021/je200331u
2. Wei, H.; Deng, S.; Huang, Q.; Nie, Y.; Wang, B.; Huang, J.; Yu, G. Regenerable granular carbon nanotubes/alumina hybrid adsorbents for diclofenac sodium and carbamazepine removal from aqueous solution. *Water Res.* **2013**, *47*, 4139–4147. doi: [10.1016/j.watres.2012.11.062](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.11.062)
3. Ma, J.; Yu, F.; Zhou, L.; Jin, L.; Yang, M.; Luan, J.; Tang, Y.; Fan, H.; Yuan, Z.; Chen, J. Enhanced adsorptive removal of methyl orange and methylene blue from aqueous solution by alkali-activated multiwalled carbon nanotubes. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interf.* **2012**, *4*, 5749–5760. doi: [10.1021/am301053m](https://doi.org/10.1021/am301053m)
4. Joseph, L.; Heo, J.; Park, Y.G.; Flora, J.R.; Yoon, Y. Adsorption of bisphenol A and 17 α -ethinyl estradiol on single walled carbon nanotubes from seawater and brackish water. *Desalination* **2011**, *281*, 68–74. doi: [10.1016/j.desal.2011.07.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.07.044)
5. Wang, W.L.; Wu, Q.Y.; Wang, Z.M.; Niu, L.X.; Wang, C.; Sun, M.C.; Hu, H.Y. Adsorption removal of antiviral drug oseltamivir and its metabolite oseltamivir carboxylate by carbon nanotubes: Effects of carbon nanotube properties and media. *J. Environ. Manage.* **2015**, *162*, 326–333. Doi: [10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.07.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.07.043)
6. Yang, S.; Hu, J.; Chen, C.; Shao, D.; Wang, X. Mutual effects of Pb (II) and humic acid adsorption on multiwalled carbon nanotubes/polyacrylamide composites from aqueous solutions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45*, 3621–3627. doi: [10.1021/es104047d](https://doi.org/10.1021/es104047d)
7. Li, X.; Lu, H.; Zhang, Y.; He, F. Efficient removal of organic pollutants from aqueous media using newly synthesized polypyrrole/CNTs-CoFe₂O₄ magnetic nanocomposites. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *316*, 893–902. doi: [10.1016/j.cej.2017.02.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.02.037)

8. Jiang, Z.; Feng, L.; Zhu, J.; Li, X.; Chen, Y.; Khan, S. MOF assisted synthesis of a Ho₂O₃/CNT nanocomposite photocatalyst for organic pollutants degradation. *Ceramics Intern.* **2020**, *46*, 19084–19091. doi: [10.1016/j.ceramint.2020.04.242](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2020.04.242)
9. Chen, C.; Li, D.; Shao, X. High-performance nanocomposite films: reinforced with chitosan nanofiber extracted from prawn shells. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2014**, *49*, 1215–1221. doi: [10.1007/s10853-013-7803-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-013-7803-x)
10. Kumar, S.; Nair, R.R.; Pillai, P.B.; Gupta, S.N.; Iyengar, M.A.; Sood, A.K. Graphene oxide–MnFe₂O₄ magnetic nanohybrids for efficient removal of lead and arsenic from water. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interf.* **2014**, *6*, 17426–17436. doi: [10.1021/am504826q](https://doi.org/10.1021/am504826q)
11. Xie, G.; Xi, P.; Liu, H.; Chen, F.; Huang, L.; Shi, Y.; Hou, F.; Zeng, Z.; Shao, C.; Wang, J. A facile chemical method to produce superparamagnetic graphene oxide–Fe₃O₄ hybrid composite and its application in the removal of dyes from aqueous solution. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2012**, *22*, 1033–1039. doi: [10.1039/C1JM13433G](https://doi.org/10.1039/C1JM13433G)
12. Zhang, Y.; Chi, H.; Zhang, W.; Sun, Y.; Liang, Q.; Gu, Y.; Jing, R. Highly efficient adsorption of copper ions by a PVP-reduced graphene oxide based on a new adsorptions mechanism. *Nanomicro Lett.* **2014**, *6*, 80–87. doi: [10.5101/nml.v6i1.p80-87](https://doi.org/10.5101/nml.v6i1.p80-87)
13. Naseem, T.; Waseem, M.; Hafeez, M.; Din, S.U.; Haq, S. Reduced graphene oxide/zinc oxide nanocomposite: from synthesis to its application for wastewater purification and antibacterial activity. *J Inorg Organomet Polym Mater* **2020**, *30*, 3907–3919. doi: [10.1007/s10904-020-01529-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10904-020-01529-2)
14. Zhong, Y.; Mahmud, S.; He, Z.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Guo, F.; Chen, Z.; Xiong, Z.; Zhao, Y. Graphene oxide modified membrane for highly efficient wastewater treatment by dynamic combination of nanofiltration and catalysis. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *397*, 122774. doi: [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122774](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122774)
15. Bashambu, L.; Singh, R.; Verma, J. Metal/metal oxide nanocomposite membranes for water purification. *Mater. Today Proc.* **2021**, *44*, 538–455. doi: [10.1016/j.matpr.2020.10.213](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.10.213)
16. Kim, J.S.; Kuk, E.; Yu, K.N.; Kim, J.H.; Park, S.J.; Lee, H.J.; Kim, S.H.; Park, Y.K.; Park, Y.H.; Hwang, C.Y.; Kim, Y.K. Antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles. *Nanomed. Nanotechnol.* **2007**, *3*, 95–101. doi: [10.1016/j.nano.2006.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2006.12.001)
17. Hu, H.; Nie, X.; Ma, Y. Corrosion and surface treatment of magnesium alloys. In *Magnesium Alloys-Properties in Solid and Liquid States*. 2014, 67–108. Available online: <https://www.intechopen.com/books/magnesium-alloys-properties-in-solid-and-liquid-states/corrosion-and-surface-treatment-of-magnesium-alloys> (accessed on 21 June 2021).
18. Lei, Y.; Hidayat, I.; Saakes, M.; van der Weijden, R.; Buisman, C.J. Fate of calcium, magnesium and inorganic carbon in electrochemical phosphorus recovery from domestic wastewater. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**, *362*, 453–459. doi: [10.1016/j.cej.2019.01.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.01.056)
19. García, A.; Rodríguez, B.; Giraldo, H.; Quintero, Y.; Quezada, R.; Hassan, N.; Estay, H. Copper-Modified Polymeric Membranes for Water Treatment: A Comprehensive Review. *Membranes* **2021**, *11*, 93. doi: [10.3390/membranes11020093](https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes11020093)