Clean Grain Boundary Found in C14/Body-Center-Cubic Multi-Phase Metal Hydride Alloys
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Abstract: The grain boundaries of three Laves phase-related body-center-cubic (bcc) solid-solution, metal hydride (MH) alloys with different phase abundances were closely examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and more importantly, electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) techniques. By using EBSD, we were able to identify the alignment of the crystallographic orientations of the three major phases in the alloys (C14, bcc, and B2 structures). This finding confirms the presence of crystallographically sharp interfaces between neighboring phases, which is a basic assumption for synergetic effects in a multi-phase MH system.
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1. Introduction

Metal hydride (MH) alloys are often used as the negative electrode active material in nickel/metal hydride (Ni/MH) batteries, which are currently dominating the hybrid electric vehicle application. To compete with Li-ion batteries in the battery-powered electric vehicles, the gravimetric energy density of Ni/MH batteries needs to be enhanced. Through an Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy sponsored robust affordable next generation electric vehicles (RANGE) storage-BASF program, the capacities of both the positive and negative electrode active materials were improved [1]. The former was accomplished by using core-shell-structured β-/α-Ni(OH)2, and the latter was developed from a Laves phase–related body-center-cubic (bcc) solid-solution alloy that demonstrated a 30% increase in storage capacity over conventional misch-metal-based AB5 MH alloys. This group of materials is mainly composed of two interlaced three-dimensional frameworks consisting of both Laves and bcc phases (Figure 4 in [2]). The bcc phase can store a large amount of hydrogen [3,4], while the Laves phase acts as both a catalytic agent for electrochemical reactions [5,6] and a hydride activation facilitator due to its brittleness [7–9]. In these alloys, at some compositions and annealing conditions, TiNi-, Ti2Ni-, and VNi-based secondary phases can also be observed [10]. The bcc phase, which has a higher V content, also has a higher melting temperature and solidifies before the Laves phase (C14, for example) does. According to previous transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies on C14-predominant MH alloys, the C14 phase solidified before the C15 phase, and the Ti(Zr)-Ni phase was the last to precipitate and it underwent a series of solid-state phase transformations [11–13]. Combining the high-capacity bcc storage phase with catalytic phases (C14, TiNi, Ti2Ni, and/or VNi), the electrochemical performance of...
the multi-phase MH alloys can be dramatically improved through synergetic effects—beneficial effects observed in the presence of micro-segregated secondary phases that occurred in the multi-phase MH alloys [14,15]. The bcc storage phase has limited hydrogen absorption/desorption kinetics, though its capacity is high. When it comes in contact with the catalytic phases, hydrogen can move in and out of the bcc phase more freely through the catalytic phases functioning as a funnel. In this way, both the hydrogen storage capacity and the absorption/desorption kinetics can be maximized. For the synergetic effects to occur, it is critical to have a clean interface between the bcc storage phase and the catalytic phase, where the electron and proton transfers are not hindered and a strong bonding is maintained to allow plastic deformation during hydride formation from one side with the lower equilibrium pressure [16,17]. Although the microstructure in each phase has been previously well studied, there has been no definitive study on the microstructure at the interface/grain boundary in these multi-phase MH alloys. Therefore, we present a structural study of such interfaces using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), TEM, and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) techniques.

In this work, we focus on the interface of a relatively simple multi-phase alloy system which comprises mainly bcc and C14 phases. Alloys P1, P3 and P7 were selected from a group of Laves phase–related bcc solid-solution alloys with varying bcc/C14 contents [2] for the interface microstructure study. The $x$ values in Equation (1) are 0.7, 1.3 and 2.4 for Alloys P1, P3 and P7, respectively. The compositions in at% and the inductively coupled plasma (ICP) results are summarized in Table 1.

$$Ti_{0.4+x/6}Zr_{0.6-x/6}Mn_{0.44}Ni_{1.0}Al_{0.02}Co_{0.09}(VCr_{0.3}Fe_{0.063})_x$$ (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1 (design)</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 (ICP)</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 (design)</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 (ICP)</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7 (design)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7 (ICP)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P37 (design)</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Design composition and inductively coupled plasma (ICP) results for Alloys P1, P3 and P7 in at%.

With increasing $x$ values, the bcc phase abundance increases in the following manner according to the X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis: 8.4 wt% (P1), 27.9 wt% (P3), to 54.2 wt% (P7) [2]. In the pressure-concentration-temperature (PCT) measurement after a 2 h thermal cycle between 300 °C and room temperature at 2.5 MPa $H_2$ pressure, the maximum hydrogen storage capacities in Alloys P1, P3 and P7 increase from 0.61 wt%, 1.00 wt% to 1.12 wt% (Figure 1) [2]. Due to the high plateau pressures, the electrochemical discharge capacities measured in the open-air half-cell configuration at the second cycle are only 162.0 (P1), 160.7 (P3), and 170.0 (P7) mAh·g$^{-1}$ [2]. Further cycling did not improve the discharge capacities significantly. Details of the electrochemical properties of the alloys can be found in [2]. Refinements in composition, fabrication, and activation methods, achieved through a series of studies conducted at BASF-Ovonic [10,18–21], increased the capacity of this family of alloys to 414 mAh·g$^{-1}$ (P37) [1]. However, Alloy P37 is more disordered in phase structure and there are more catalytic phases (C14, TiNi, Ti$_2$Ni) involved. PCT curves in Figure 1 show that Alloy P7 exhibits absorption/desorption plateaus like P37 that are not observed in P1 and P3. Thus, comparison of the interfacial micro-structure of the relatively simple bcc/C14 alloys is of great significance in helping us understand part of the performance improvement of the more disordered P37 and shed light on the design of the MH alloy with better performance.
EBSD, also known as backscatter Kikuchi diffraction (BKD) and orientation imaging microscopy (OIM), is a microstructural crystallographic technique that reveals the crystal orientation of the constituent phases in a polycrystal structure using SEM. With an incident electron beam on a point of the sample, an EBSD pattern is collected and the crystal orientation can be obtained from the Kikuchi band positions. Based on the stereographic projections (Figure 2), a simulated pattern is generated and overlaid on the original diffraction pattern to verify if the grain orientation and crystal structure are the best matches. For example, three EBSD patterns collected from various grains in Alloy P3, as shown in Figure 3, are in good agreement with the simulated patterns and have been identified to originate from the same bcc structure but with different orientations. Together with X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), EBSD can provide insight into the microstructure of grains with mixed compositions and orientations [22]. In the research field of MH alloys, the combination of these techniques has been used to study Zr$_7$Ni$_{10}$-based [23] and C14-based MH alloys [13]. In this paper, we present results achieved by using EBSD to determine the crystallographic orientation relationship among bcc, C14, and B2 phases in Laves phase–related bcc solid solution alloys. Judging from the alignment of orientations observed among various phases, the cleanliness (being free from amorphous, highly defective, and other disruptive regions) of the interface is verified to provide the synergetic effect in the electrochemical environment.
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results, this major phase is believed to be a hexagonal C14 (one of the AB2 Laves phases) [2]. In Alloy
P3, the V content increases to approximately 30 at% and the dark regions of Phase I are present in a
sacrificial titanium in the chamber to reduce the residual oxygen concentration. Next, 12 g ingots were
re-melted and turned over several times to ensure uniformity with regard to chemical composition.
The chemical composition of the ingot was analyzed using a Varian Liberty 100 ICP optical emission
spectrometer (ICP-OES, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The gaseous phase PCT analysis
was performed with a Suzuki-Shokan Multi-Channel PCT (Tokyo, Japan) system. A JEOL JSM7100 field
emission SEM with EDS capability (Tokyo, Japan) was used to study the microstructure of the samples.
EDS mapping from the surfaces of polished samples was used to collect compositional distribution
information. An FEI Titan 80–300 (scanning) transmission electron microscope (TEM/STEM, Hillsboro,
OR, USA) was employed to study the microstructure of the alloy samples. For TEM sample preparation,
mechanical polishing was used to thin samples and followed by ion milling.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy Results

First, the microstructures and phase profiles of Alloys P1, P3 and P7 were identified using SEM
and EDS mapping. The alloy samples are from the arc-melted alloy ingots and did not undergo any
annealing process. The backscattered electron (BSE) image of Alloy P1 shows only one predominant phase (Figure 4a), and the EDS mapping shows that the elemental distribution is uniform in most
regions, except occasional V and Zr inclusions (Figure 4b). In accordance with the ICP, EDS, and XRD
results, this major phase is believed to be a hexagonal C14 (one of the AB2 Laves phases) [2]. In Alloy
P3, the V content increases to approximately 30 at% and the dark regions of Phase I are present in a
significant amount and form a fishbone-like network, as shown in Figure 4c. The EDS results (Table 2
and Figure 4d) reveal that this fishbone-like phase is V-rich. The composition of the light regions
(Phase II) in Alloy P3 is, on average, close to that of the C14 phase in Alloy P1, although the Ti and Ni
contents slightly increase and the Zr and Mn contents slightly decrease, which can be attributed to the
phase equilibrium for the V-rich phase (Phase I) in this multi-component alloy. The SEM image in a
100 × 100 μm² area also shows oriented alignments in the fishbone-like network in Alloy P3. The size
of the regions where the frames are aligned is typically 50–100 μm. For Alloy P7, with the V content
reaching 40 at%, the V-rich bcc phase volume fraction expands and, thus, the bcc becomes the major
phase, as shown in Figure 4e. As revealed by the EDS mapping shown in Figure 4f, three phases with
different elemental compositions are present in Alloy P7. The results of quantitative EDS analysis
are listed in Table 2. Compared to Alloy P3, we notice that the compositions of Phase I and Phase II
in Alloy P7 are similar to Phase I (green in Figure 4f) and Phase II (between green and red region in
Figure 4f) in Alloy P2, which are expected to be bcc and C14 structures, respectively, based on their
compositions. Phase III (red in Figure 4f) in Alloy P7 mainly consists of Ni and Ti (Figure 4b and
Table 2), a composition commonly seen in the secondary phase of C14-predominating alloys [24,25]
exhibiting a B2 crystal structure. This B2 phase is the precursor for the solid phase transformations to $\text{Zr}_7\text{Ni}_{10}$, $\text{Zr}_9\text{Ni}_{11}$, ZrNi, and TiNi in the C14-predominated MH alloys [11].

Figure 4. (a,c,e) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) backscattered electron (BSE) images and (b,d,f) energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) mapping of Alloys (a,b) P1, (c,d) P3, and (e,f) P7, respectively. Phases I–III for P3 and P7 are shown in Table 2.

### Table 2. EDS results (in at%) from Alloys P3 and P7 showing phase segregation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Ni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P3 Phase I</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 Phase II</td>
<td>C14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7 Phase I</td>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7 Phase II</td>
<td>C14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7 Phase III</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Electron Backscatter Diffraction Results

To verify the presence of different crystal structures, EBSD was performed at multiple spots in Alloy P3 (indicated by various symbols in Figure 5a). For the dark regions (Phase I), the analysis shows that the EBSD patterns are the same within each zone (Figure 3a–c corresponding to EBSD patterns from Zones A–C) and the patterns from different zones belong to the same structure and are oriented in different directions. Thus, this alignment of EBSD patterns within the same zone suggests that the dark grains belong to branches of the same dendritic tree that formed the primary phase during solidification. The observed lattice distortion can be explained by non-uniform stress. Analysis of
the EBSD patterns from the regions shown in Figure 5a demonstrated that the dark region (Phase I) is consistent with the simulated EBSD of a bcc phase with a lattice constant of approximately 3 Å. Meanwhile, the light regions (Phase II) surrounding the bcc dendrites are believed to solidify later and originate from a liquid of modified composition after the network of bcc dendrites is established. The numerous diffraction patterns obtained by EBSD from these light regions (see Figure 5a and representative EBSD pattern in Figure 5e) show that the crystal structure is consistent with a C14 phase with lattice constants \(a = 4.9\) Å and \(c = 8\) Å. The EBSD data also reveals that, in certain regions (typically on the order of 100 µm), the Phase II structure has the same apparent orientation, similar to the Phase I structure.

It is also worth noting that the crystal orientation of neighboring grains is related. For example, for the EBSD pattern from Alloy P3 (Figure 5a), the (110) bcc crystal plane in bcc Zone A is aligned with the (0T1) bcc plane in bcc Zone C, as demonstrated in Figure 5b,c. Such an orientation relationship is not only found between Phase I grains that share the same structure, but also between Phases I and II grains with very different crystal structures (bcc and C14). As shown in Figure 5d,e, the (211) bcc plane in bcc Zone A is found to be aligned with the (100) C14 plane in the C14 phase with a circled EBSD pattern (Figure 5a).

![EBSD patterns from Alloy P3](image)

**Figure 5.** (a) The dark region, denoted as Phase I in the text, can be divided to Zones A–C (indicated by the red letters) based on the EBSD diffraction patterns. The light region, Phase II in the text, can be divided into four grains labeled by circles, triangles, rectangles, and pentagons (the numbers here are spot numbers in the measurement). Comparison between EBSD from (b) A and (e) C zones of the bcc phase indicates the following crystallographic orientation alignment: \((110)_A/(110)_C\) and \(<311>_A//<111>_C\). Comparison between EBSDs from (d) Zone A of the bcc phase and (e) the circle grain of the C14 phase indicates the following crystallographic orientation alignment: \((211)_A/(100)_o\) and \(<153>_A//<100>_o\). The circles in (b–e) highlight the aligned orientations.

### 3.3. Transmission Electron Microscopy Results

It is challenging to analyze the crystal orientation of the grains and their relationships in Alloy P7 using only EBSD for the following reasons: (1) operation in the EBSD characterization mode requires the SEM system to be in the secondary electron imaging mode, during which the contrast between Phases II and III is weak; (2) the size of the Phase III grains approaches the rather limited space resolution of EBSD (a few microns), and causes difficulty in determining the point of interest. Thus,
TEM was employed as an additional method for studying the crystal structures. Samples of Alloy P7 for the TEM study were prepared by mechanical polishing and followed by ion milling. Before loading the thinned samples for TEM study, compositional mapping, which serves as a guide map during TEM observation, was obtained from the sample using SEM/EDS, as shown in Figure 6a. While Phase I (bcc) is mainly composed of V, Cr, and Mn, Phase III (B2) is predominately Ti and Ni.

![Figure 6.](image)

On the bright field image at lower magnification (Figure 6b), the dendritic phase (Phase I) can be recognized by the presence of interfaces with positive curvature. Accordingly, the dendritic phase is marked as “I” in Figure 6b, and a bcc phase with a lattice constant of about 3 Å is identified, according to selected area electron diffraction (SAED). Bright field images at higher magnification and SAED patterns from different phases (according to the compositional map) are shown in Figure 7. The interdendritic region with a small volume fraction was targeted to locate the interfaces that separate two (or more) phases. The two phases can be distinguished by the nature of the bright field contrasts. The phase marked as II shows dense diffraction bend contours. The contours presumably result from the TEM film bending to release internal stress during sample thinning. Such behavior is typical for large unit cell intermetallic phases with high elastic modules and no plastic accommodations. The phase marked as III shows a more uniform contrast, which also demonstrates the presence of fine precipitates. Although Phases I and III seem to have similar bcc structures with different compositions, tilting of the TEM samples proved that Phase III had a B2 (ordered bcc) structure. Figure 8 shows SAED taken from Phase III in two orientations, one with a [111] bcc zone axis and another obtained by tilting around the (1T0)* to [110] bcc zone axis, which clearly reveals (100) order reflections, and thus the B2 structural ordering is confirmed. Dark field imaging with the (100) reflection did not show antiphase boundaries, which suggests that the phase directly crystallized as B2. The B2 structure is also consistent with the composition, which consists mainly of Ti and Ni in an atomic ratio of approximately 1:1 (Table 3) [26]. The fine coherent precipitates observed in the phase (and not contributing additionally to the B2 reflections) suggest that the B2 → B2′ + bcc transformation, which occurs during cooling, forms into a two-phase field to adjust the composition of the B2 phase at lower temperatures. SAED patterns were also obtained from the Phase II intermetallic phase. The SAED pattern in Figure 9a is indexed and it belongs to the C14 structure in the [100] zone axis with lattice constants \(a = 5\ \text{Å}\) and \(c = 8\ \text{Å}\). However, in Figure 9b, the pattern shows a face-centered cubic (fcc) structure in the [110] zone axis, which is neither a hexagonal C14 \((a = 4.9\ \text{Å}, \ c = 7.98\ \text{Å}\) for suggested C14 of Alloy P7) nor a fcc C15 (e.g., \(a = 7.45\ \text{Å}\) for ZrV2). This pattern indicates the presence of another intermetallic phase in the interdendritic region that can be indexed as an fcc with \(a \approx 11\ \text{Å}\) in the [110] zone axis. According to the EBSD and TEM observations, the fcc phase is minor compared to the C14 phase. A similar (or the same) fcc phase (Fd-3m, \(a = 11.319\ \text{Å}\)) has been observed in a number of V-Ti-Ni alloys [27].
Batteries has been observed in a number of V-Ti-Ni alloys [27]. Phase is minor compared to the C14 phase. A similar (or the same) fcc phase (Fd-3m, (100) order reflections, and thus the B2 structural ordering is confirmed. Dark field imaging with the (100) reflection did not show antiphase boundaries, which suggests that the phase directly observed in the phase (and not contributing additionally to the B2 reflections) suggest that the B2 Ti and Ni in an atomic ratio of approximately 1:1 (Table 3) [26]. The fine coherent precipitates 1asion the presence of fine precipitates. Although Phases I and III seem to have similar bcc structures with accommodations. The phase marked as III shows a more uniform contrast, which also demonstrates typical for large unit cell intermetallic phases with high elastic modules and no plastic result from the TEM film bending to release internal stress during sample thinning. Such behavior is contrasts. The phase marked as II shows dense diffraction bend contours. The contours presumably in the [100] zone axis with lattice constants II intermetallic phase. The SAED pattern in Figure 9a is indexed and it belongs to the C14 structure B2' + bcc transformation, which occurs during cooling, forms into a two-phase field to adjust the different compositions, tilting of the TEM samples proved that Phase III had a B2 (ordered bcc) relationship, it is expected that the formation of Phase III on Phase II will maintain the same structural (C14) is formed first on Phase I (bcc), with a certain orientation determined by their structural (1−10)* to the [110] bcc zone axis.

Based on the morphology of phases in Alloy P7, formation of this growth, Phase I is surrounded with a liquid of modified composition. The composition of the phases can be understood. Initially there is the dendritic growth of Phase I (bcc) and at the end orientations between Phase I and Phase III. Based on the morphology of phases in Alloy P7, formation of this growth, Phase I is surrounded with a liquid of modified composition. The composition of the phases can be understood. Initially there is the dendritic growth of Phase I (bcc) and at the end of discharge, there is still some MH nucleation center for the next hydrogenation process. With an elastically connected grain boundary, tunnels are able to preferential etching in the grain boundary [28]. This type of clean interface can certainly facilitate freedom, but also to have excellent electron conductivity. At the end of discharge, there is still some MH synergetic effect in electrochemistry [17], a clean interface is necessary not only to transport protons, but also to transport electrons. A clean grain boundary is the electrochemical reaction rate, which is required to achieve high discharge capacity in practical batteries. According to selected area electron diffraction (SAED), the contrast in Figure 9a suggests the presence of another intermetallic phase in the interdendritic region that can be indexed as an fcc with \( a = 7.98 \text{Å} \) for suggested C14 of Alloy P7) nor a fcc C15 (e.g., \( a = 8 \text{Å} \)). However, in Figure 9b, the pattern \( a = 7.45 \text{Å} \) and \( c = 8 \text{Å} \) in the \([110]\) zone.\(^{1}\)

Further study is required to identify which mechanism or both play a role. However, in Figure 9a the (110)b face-centered cubic (fcc) structure with \( a = 7.45 \text{Å} \) and \( c = 8 \text{Å} \) in the \([110]\) zone.\(^{1}\)

\(^{1}\) Figure 7. Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns from Phases I–III in Alloy P7.

\(^{2}\) Figure 8. SAED taken from Phase III of Alloy P7 in two orientations: (a) with the [111] bcc zone axis; and (b) obtained by tilting around the (110)* to the [110] bcc zone axis.

Table 3. EDS results (in at%) from selective spots in Figure 6a. \( B/A \) is the ratio between the sum of at% from other elements vs. Ti and Zr (bcc and C14) and Ti, Zr, and V (B2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>B/A</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>bcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>C14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>B2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the SAED patterns, Phase I (bcc) and Phase III (B2) were very close in orientation. Such alignments were present in more than one region, demonstrating a clear relationship in the crystal orientations between Phase I and Phase III. Based on the morphology of phases in Alloy P7, formation of the phases can be understood. Initially there is the dendritic growth of Phase I (bcc) and at the end of this growth, Phase I is surrounded with a liquid of modified composition. The composition of the liquid allows both Phase II (C14) and Phase III (B2) (and occasionally the fcc phase) to solidify from the liquid. In the case of the Phase III formation, it can be expected that the phase will grow coherently into similar structures, though they are slightly different in lattice parameters. However, if Phase II (C14) is formed first on Phase I (bcc), with a certain orientation determined by their structural relationship, it is expected that the formation of Phase III on Phase II will maintain the same structural relationship and orientation as Phase I/Phase II. Thus, Phase I and III will have very similar orientations. Further study is required to identify which mechanism or both play a role. However, in either case, the boundaries between the contacting phases are crystallographically clean and free of amorphous regions, which are easy to target during the initial formation in alkaline electrolyte, due to preferential etching in the grain boundary [28]. This type of clean interface can certainly facilitate the transportation of protons in the bulk of the alloy, which can be inferred from the relatively high diffusion coefficient \(D\) of Alloys P1–P8 \(\left(1.0–1.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\right)\) compared to a typical AB\(_2\) multi-phase MH alloy \(<1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\) [29]. The \(D\) value can be further increased to \(2.13 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\) with an annealing at 900 °C for 5 h [10]. As elaborated in a recent paper devoted to the discussion of the synergetic effect in electrochemistry [17], a clean interface is necessary not only to transport protons freely, but also to have excellent electron conductivity. At the end of discharge, there is still some MH phase left on the side with a larger work function (M1 in Figure 14b in [17]), which will act as a nucleation center for the next hydrogenation process. With an elastically connected grain boundary, the stress from MH on the M1 side will enlarge the lattice constant of the M2 side (hydrogenated) and pave the road to the hydrogenation of the M2 phase (M1 in Figure 14b in [17]). In this case, M2 can be hydrogenated before the end of hydrogenation in M1 (Figure 14b in [17]). This elastic binding between M1 and M2 at the interface is a prerequisite for such synergetic effects, more specifically to lower the “equivalent” plateau pressure as in the case of Zr\(_2\)Ni\(_7\) [30] and ZrNi\(_{14.5}\) [31] multi-phase MH systems.

Figure 9. SAED patterns from Phase II of Alloy P7 that fit (a) a C14 structure in the \([100]\) zone axis and (b) a face-centered cubic (fcc) structure with \(a \approx 11\) Å in the \([110]\) zone.
4. Conclusions

The microstructure of a group of Laves phase–related bcc solid-solution MH alloys with varying bcc/C14 content was studied using SEM/EBSD and TEM/SAED techniques. With the increase of V content in the alloy, the MH alloys develop from a C14-predominated phase for P1 to a bcc/C14 and bcc/C14/B2 multi-phase structure for P3 and P7, respectively. For the multi-phase P3 and P7, a high degree of alignment was found among the bcc grains, between bcc and C14, and bcc and B2 (P7), which suggests a very clean interface at the grain boundaries. These clean interfaces can facilitate the transport of protons between the bcc main storage phase and the catalytic phases (C14, B2) and ensure good electron conductivity, which supports the proposed synergetic effects found in the electrochemical testing of multi-phase MH alloys. Based on the works of P1–P7, a more complicated alloy, P37, with a higher V content, no Fe and additional Ti$_2$Ni catalytic phase shows further improvement in the electrochemical performance.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bcc</td>
<td>Body-centered-cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBSD</td>
<td>Electron backscattering diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>Metal hydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni/MH</td>
<td>Nickel/metal hydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANGE</td>
<td>Robust affordable next generation electric vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>Transmission electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP</td>
<td>Inductively coupled plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCT</td>
<td>Pressure-concentration-temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BKD</td>
<td>Backscatter Kikuchi diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIM</td>
<td>Orientation imaging microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDS</td>
<td>Energy dispersive spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OES</td>
<td>Optical emission spectrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSE</td>
<td>Backscattering electron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>X-ray diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAED</td>
<td>Selected area electron diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fcc</td>
<td>Face-centered cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Diffusion constant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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