

Supplementary Materials

Statistical Optimization for Cost-effective Production of Yeast-bacterium Cell-bound Lipases Using Blended Oily Wastes and Their Potential Applications in Biodiesel Synthesis and Wastewater Bioremediation

Author names:

Fidia Fibriana ^{1,2}, Apichat Upaichit ^{1,3,*} and Benjamas Cheirsilp ³

Affiliations:

¹Molecular Biotechnology Laboratory, Faculty of Agro-Industry, Prince of Songkla University,
Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110, Thailand

²Department of Integrated Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas
Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Central Java 50229, Indonesia

³Center of Excellence in Innovative Biotechnology for Sustainable Utilization of Bioresources,
Faculty of Agro-Industry, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110, Thailand

***Corresponding author:**

Apichat Upaichit, Molecular Biotechnology Laboratory, Faculty of Agro-Industry, Prince of
Songkla University, Hat Yai, Songkhla 90110, Thailand. Email: apichat.u@psu.ac.th,
upaichit@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3044-3246

Number of pages: 9

Number of Figures: 0

Number of Tables: 8

Table S1. Characteristics of POME50, crude glycerol, waste frying oil, and molasses used in the present study.

Parameter	POME50	Crude Glycerol	Waste Frying Oil	Molasses
pH	3.80	9.57	6.02	6.10
Glycerol (%)	NA	25.956	5.66	NA
Chemical oxygen demand (COD) (%)	2.977	97.508	NA	0.945
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) (%)	0.033	0.125	NA	0.006
Oil and grease (O&G) (%)	0.564	60.098	83.751	0.011
Total solids (TS) (%)	0.647	0.469	1.154	78.742
Suspended Solids (SS) (%)	0.493	0.436	0.258	0.106
Color	Light brown	Dark brown	Dark brown	Black brown

Table S2. ANOVA for the factorial model of **CBLs** obtained from experimental design using PBD.

	Sum of		Mean	F	p-value	
Source	Squares	df	Square	Value	Prob > F	
Model	5.636E+006	5	1.127E+006	6.22	0.0229	significant
A-Waste Frying Oil	1.190E+006	1	1.190E+006	6.57	0.0427	significant
B-(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	1.365E+006	1	1.365E+006	7.54	0.0335	significant
C-Gum Arabic	43296.45	1	43296.45	0.24	0.6423	
D-Inoculum Size	3.741E+005	1	3.741E+005	2.06	0.2008	
E-Initial pH	2.663E+006	1	2.663E+006	14.70	0.0086	significant
Residual	1.087E+006	6	1.812E+005			
Cor Total	6.723E+006	11				
Std. Dev.	425.63		R-Squared		0.8383	
Mean	3091.59		Adj R-Squared		0.7036	
C.V. %	13.77		Pred R-Squared		0.3533	
PRESS	4.348E+006		Adeq Precision		7.864	

CBLs = +2161.11475 + -314.88758 * A + -449.73198 * B +120.13389 * C +35.31252 * D +314.07504 * E

Table S3. ANOVA for a factorial model of **CBM** obtained from experimental design using PBD.

	Sum of		Mean	F	p-value	
Source	Squares	df	Square	Value	Prob > F	
Model	146.52	5	29.30	4.76	0.0419	significant
A-Waste Frying Oil	0.70	1	0.70	0.11	0.7472	
B-(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	2.71	1	2.71	0.44	0.5318	
C-Gum Arabic	23.80	1	23.80	3.87	0.0968	
D-Inoculum Size	4.20	1	4.20	0.68	0.4403	
E-Initial pH	115.11	1	115.11	18.71	0.0050	significant
Residual	36.92	6	6.15			
Cor Total	183.44	11				
Std. Dev.	2.48		R-Squared		0.7987	
Mean	14.05		Adj R-Squared		0.6310	
C.V. %	17.66		Pred R-Squared		0.1950	
PRESS	147.68		Adeq Precision		6.354	

$$\text{CBM} = -3.24259 + 0.24167 * A + -0.63333 * B + 2.81667 * C + 0.11833 * D + 2.06481 * E$$

Table S4. ANOVA for a factorial model of **O&G removal** obtained from experimental design using PBD.

	Sum of		Mean	F	p-value	
Source	Squares	df	Square	Value	Prob > F	
Model	1053.09	5	210.62	8.42	0.0110	significant
A-Waste Frying Oil	72.03	1	72.03	2.88	0.1406	
B-(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	380.81	1	380.81	15.23	0.0080	significant
C-Gum Arabic	0.083	1	0.083	3.332E-003	0.9558	
D-Inoculum Size	80.08	1	80.08	3.20	0.1238	
E-Initial pH	520.08	1	520.08	20.79	0.0039	significant
Residual	150.07	6	25.01			
Cor Total	1203.17	11				
Std. Dev.	5.00		R-Squared		0.8753	
Mean	44.23		Adj R-Squared		0.7713	
C.V. %	11.31		Pred R-Squared		0.5011	
PRESS	600.29		Adeq Precision		8.370	

$$\text{O\&G removal} = +29.33333 + -2.45000 * A + -7.51111 * B + -0.16667 * C + 0.51667 * D + 4.38889 * E$$

Table S5. ANOVA for a factorial model of **CBLs** obtained from experimental design using RSM-CCD.

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	p-value Prob > F	
Model	1.363E+07	9	1.515E+06	13.47	0.0002	significant
A-Waste Frying Oil	26004.21	1	26004.21	0.2313	0.6409	
B-Ammonium Sulfate	5.649E+05	1	5.649E+05	5.02	0.0489	significant
C-pH	6.958E+06	1	6.958E+06	61.88	< 0.0001	significant
AB	10813.98	1	10813.98	0.0962	0.7628	
AC	6.139E+05	1	6.139E+05	5.46	0.0416	significant
BC	8.482E+05	1	8.482E+05	7.54	0.0206	significant
A ²	99376.60	1	99376.60	0.8838	0.3693	
B ²	1.105E+06	1	1.105E+06	9.83	0.0106	significant
C ²	3.871E+06	1	3.871E+06	34.42	0.0002	significant
Residual	1.124E+06	10	1.124E+05			
Lack of Fit	8.590E+05	5	1.718E+05	3.24	0.1117	not significant
Pure Error	2.655E+05	5	53101.28			
Cor Total	1.476E+07	19				
Std. Dev.	335.33		R-Squared	0.9238		
Mean	3782.25		Adj R-Squared	0.8552		
C.V. %	8.87		Pred R-Squared	0.5319		
PRESS	6.908E+06		Adeq Precision	13.9218		

$$\text{CBLs} = -8430.42 + 1618.87 * A + 56.8879 * B + 3201.78 * C + -49.0215 * AB + -184.676 * AC + 289.442 * BC + -83.0406 * A^2 + -492.227 * B^2 + -230.336 * C^2$$

Table S6. ANOVA for a factorial model of **CBM** obtained from experimental design using RSM-CCD.

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	p-value Prob > F	
Model	629.53	9	69.95	10.85	0.0004	significant
A-Waste Frying Oil	2.14	1	2.14	0.3322	0.5771	
B-(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	40.79	1	40.79	6.33	0.0306	significant
C-pH	347.86	1	347.86	53.94	< 0.0001	significant
AB	2.40	1	2.40	0.3721	0.5555	
AC	29.37	1	29.37	4.55	0.0586	
BC	34.48	1	34.48	5.35	0.0433	significant
A ²	0.0536	1	0.0536	0.0083	0.9292	
B ²	63.55	1	63.55	9.85	0.0105	significant
C ²	120.82	1	120.82	18.74	0.0015	significant
Residual	64.49	10	6.45			
Lack of Fit	49.89	5	9.98	3.42	0.1017	not significant
Pure Error	14.59	5	2.92			
Cor Total	694.01	19				

Std. Dev.	2.54	R-Squared	0.9071
Mean	17.00	Adj R-Squared	0.8235
C.V. %	14.94	Pred R-Squared	0.4209
PRESS	401.93	Adeq Precision	12.6208

$$\text{CBM} = -57.5457 + 7.96846 * A + 3.67754 * B + 19.0455 * C + -0.73024 * AB + -1.27738 * AC + 1.8455 * BC + 0.0609913 * A^2 + -3.73308 * B^2 + -1.28688 * C^2$$

Table S7. ANOVA for a factorial model of **O&G removal** obtained from experimental design using RSM-CCD.

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	p-value Prob > F	
Model	439.06	9	48.78	10.77	0.0005	significant
A-Waste Frying Oil	5.63	1	5.63	1.24	0.2910	
B-(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	30.58	1	30.58	6.75	0.0265	significant
C-pH	289.05	1	289.05	63.83	< 0.0001	significant
AB	0.31	1	0.31	0.069	0.7977	
AC	32.02	1	32.02	7.07	0.0239	significant
BC	22.58	1	22.58	4.99	0.0496	significant
A ²	0.33	1	0.33	0.072	0.7942	
B ²	27.00	1	27.00	5.96	0.0347	significant
C ²	37.41	1	37.41	8.26	0.0166	significant
Residual	45.28	10	4.53			
Lack of Fit	34.68	5	6.94	3.27	0.1097	not significant
Pure Error	10.60	5	2.12			
Cor Total	484.34	19				

Std. Dev.	2.13	R-Squared	0.9065
Mean	52.55	Adj R-Squared	0.8224
C.V. %	4.05	Pred R-Squared	0.4156
PRESS	283.04	Adeq Precision	12.294

$$\text{O\&G removal} = -6.14215 + 9.20921 * A + 0.65642 * B + 13.07802 * C + -0.26409 * AB + -1.33380 * AC + 1.49348 * BC + -0.15020 * A^2 + -2.43320 * B^2 + -0.71604 * C^2$$

Table S8. Fatty acid composition of palm oil, waste frying oil, and oil extracted from POME.

Fatty acids	Refined palm oil	Waste frying oil	Extracted oil
	(%)	(%)	from POME
			(%)
Myristic acid (C14:0)	0.63	0.41	0.24
Palmitic acid (C16:0)	40.61	29.69	33.01
Palmitoleic acid (C16:1)	-	0.28	0.15
Stearic acid (C18:0)	4.87	3.08	1.53
Oleic acid (C18:1)	34.88	28.56	18.35
Linoleic acid (C18:2)	10.14	20.98	5.93
Linolenic acid (C18:3)	0.42	1.65	0.31
Eicosanoic acid (C20:0)	0.12	13.16	3.84
Paulinic acid (C20:1)	-	-	2.93
Docosanoic acid (C22:0)	-	11.83	0.07
Total SFA	46.23	46.34	38.69
Total MUFA	34.88	28.84	21.43
Total PUFA	10.56	22.63	6.24

SFA = saturated fatty acids, MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA= polyunsaturated fatty acids