



Michael Gerard Connolly *, Malachy J. O'Rourke 🗅 and Alojz Ivankovic 🕩

School of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, University College Dublin, D04 PR94 Dublin, Ireland; malachy.orourke@ucd.ie (M.J.O.); alojz.ivankovic@ucd.ie (A.I.) * Correspondence: michael.connolly@ucdconnect.ie

Abstract: This comprehensive study focused on the standard taxi sign used in Ireland and its impact on drag production, fuel expenses, and CO₂ emissions. Experimental analysis revealed that the conventional taxi sign significantly increased drag, especially when mounted on streamlined vehicles such as saloon cars, due to flow separation issues on the rear roof and rear windshield. Longitudinal reorientation of the sign offered a 14-fold reduction in drag increase compared to the traditional placement. It was found that positioning the sign in the middle of the roof offered the greatest fuel efficiency. Furthermore, the study estimated that implementing longitudinal repositioning on all Irish taxi signs could save drivers approximately EUR 832 per year and reduce national CO₂ emissions by a substantial 22,464 tonnes annually. Comparative analyses with international taxi signs demonstrated that the Irish sign had significantly larger drag contributions, emphasizing the need for improved aerodynamics. To address the inherent drag issue, the study explored novel appendable devices and proposed alternative taxi sign designs. Among the tested solutions, a magnet-mounted front ramp proved the most effective, reducing total drag by nearly 30%. Additionally, a motorized flip-up taxi sign design demonstrated a remarkable 40% reduction in drag. Finally, a newly proposed taxi sign design, featuring longitudinal positioning and pointed triangular front and rear faces, resulted in a minimal 4.3% increase in vehicle drag compared to the baseline car.

Keywords: drag reduction; CFD; aerodynamics; taxi sign; appendable devices; vehicle add-ons

1. Introduction

As of May 2023, there were approximately 20,000 taxis operating in Ireland [1]. The standard taxi sign used by all these vehicles is an especially protrusive, drag-producing shape that has been in operation for many decades and is mandated by the government to be confined to this protrusive size. According to current legislation, the sign should be 1030–1120 mm long and extend 110–120 mm in height, with an added 10–20 mm in height for the mounting magnet used to affix the sign to the taxi's roof [2]. The sign must be orientated such that its longest dimension is perpendicular to the flow, which causes a large increase in drag that significantly increases fuel consumption, especially when travelling above 60 km/h. There is an allowance in the legislation to reorientate the sign parallel with the flow if the journey length for a given passenger exceeds 30 km. In practice, this is rarely carried out as there is some considerable effort involved in moving the sign between positions. Taxi drivers are generally unaware of the exact financial and environmental benefits that can be realised through the repositioning of the current sign along with the potential for added benefits through the use of novel appendable devices that can be added to the sign to reduce drag and save fuel. This paper endeavours to clearly outline the hugely negative effects the current taxi sign legislation has on both the environment and the taxi driver's finances, along with quantifying potential drag and fuel consumption savings for drivers if they were to make some novel changes to their current taxi sign.

Irish taxi signs are noticeably different to other signs used throughout the world in countries such as the UK, the US, and Germany. In 2015, the National Transport Authority



Citation: Connolly, M.G.; O'Rourke, M.J.; Ivankovic, A. A Drag Reduction Study on the Aerodynamics of the Irish Taxi Sign. *Fluids* **2023**, *8*, 238. https://doi.org/10.3390/ fluids8090238

Academic Editor: Ivette Rodríguez

Received: 28 July 2023 Revised: 17 August 2023 Accepted: 21 August 2023 Published: 24 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).



(NTA) attempted to change this by holding a public consultation with taxi drivers as regards issuing new legislation for a smaller more aerodynamic design [3]. An overwhelming 97.3% of drivers did not consider the need for a new taxi sign, citing a reluctance to purchase a new taxi sign along with a resistance to reducing the size as it may reduce their visibility. What was not made clear to the drivers was the exact financial savings that the new sign could create. From the government's perspective, the environmental savings were not as enticing as they would be today, with Ireland now under pressure to meet its 2030 climate action targets. With goals to transition our transport network to electric, the potential drag reduction from a new sign would materialise with a considerable range extension for electric vehicles, enabling drivers to travel further between charges. Currently, just 20% of Irish Taxis are hybrid/electric, with the remaining 75% and 5% being diesel and petrol, respectively [4]. Based on recent figures published by the NTA, the average fuel expense per week by drivers is EUR 160, working an average of 5 days a week, for 40 h. It was also found that each driver, on average, covers 43,866 km a year [4]. This means the taxi industry in Ireland has the potential to gain significant benefits by implementing measures that effectively reduce drag, leading to fuel savings, reductions in CO₂ emissions, and increased driving ranges for electric vehicles.

2. Literature Review

There currently exists no published literature on the issue of Irish taxi sign aerodynamics. There are, however, some, but not many, articles that address similar topics, such as the aerodynamics of roof racks, roof boxes an,d sirens for emergency vehicles. In 2012, Chowdhury et al. [5] examined the effects that several vehicle add-ons had on a vehicle's energy consumption. Results from a 25% scale wind tunnel test showed a very small taxi sign added 5% to the vehicle's overall drag. Similarly, an advertising sign was observed to add 24.7% drag when averaged over several yaw angles. The advertising sign was more representative of the Irish sign's shape. More recently, in 2020, ref. [6] investigations into the aerodynamic effects of an emergency response vehicle's lightbar were carried out. The study varied the type of base vehicle along with the relative position of the light bar, at the front, middle, and rear. CFD results outlined that for a sedan vehicle, the front was the position of highest drag, reporting a 37% increase, while the rear was the second highest with 20% [6]. The reason for the front being the highest was due to the light bar having a shape that particularly disrupted flow when placed near the front of the roof. Drag increases for the light bar on an SUV-styled vehicle were seen to be appreciably less [6]. A similar CFD study [7] showed that a 34% drag increase was realisable when adding a generic police siren to a BMW 5-series. The same authors also conducted a study [8] into the effects of light bars being attached to the front and rear of a police van's roof, reporting a 32% drag increase. In both studies, the authors considerably reduced the drag increases using streamlining techniques for the added structures.

Additionally, studies have been conducted into the drag-increasing effects of roof racks for carrying bicycles [9] in which a 26% drag increase was reported for a roof-mounted rack and only a 5% increase for a bicycle rack positioned at the rear of the vehicle. In 2016, Chen and Meier estimated that in the US, roof racks were responsible for 0.8% of light-duty vehicle fuel consumption in 2015, corresponding to 100 million gallons of gasoline per year [10]. This highlights that drag-increasing add-ons to vehicles have major fuel consumption implications when considered in the context of an entire transport network, making it clear that drag increases for all taxis in Ireland added together amount to significant fuel inefficiencies and CO_2 production. Other work has been carried out into the effects of adding roof boxes to vehicles, in which one study reported a 58.22% drag increase [11] and another a 40% drag increase [12], all being very dependent on the box's shape and position. Finally, a 2014 SAE article [13] carried out on-road testing on a sedan and SUV to observe the fuel consumption increases for a roof-mounted cargo box. At 70 mph, the sedan reported a 25% reduction in fuel efficiency, while the SUV only reported a 12% reduction, making it clear that the more streamlined a vehicle is, the greater impact

the roof add-on will have on its fuel consumption. For reference, at 60–70 mph, a 25% change in fuel consumption would manifest as an approximate 50% drag increase [14], bringing this study in line with the other two studies on roof boxes.

3. Computational Setup and Numerical Methodology

3.1. Governing Equations

The airflow over ground vehicles can be effectively modelled using a continuum approach as only the macroscopic interactions are of interest, with any given fluid element being the average of a large number of fluid particles in both space and time. Air was modelled as an isothermal Newtonian fluid with constant viscosity and density. The constant density assumption was justified as the investigated flows had Mach numbers below 0.3, minimising compressibility effects. The governing equations that form the basis for CFD simulations are the Navier–Stokes equations (Equations (1) and (2)), which are derived from the principles of mass and momentum conservation. For steady incompressible flow, the transient term goes to zero in Equations (1) and (2). The body force term f_i is also set to zero. In Equations (1)–(5), u_i is the velocity component in the x_i direction, ρ is the fluid density, P is pressure, t is time, and μ is viscosity.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_i)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \rho \frac{\partial (u_i u_j)}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_i} + \rho f_i$$
(2)

$$\tau_{ij} = 2\mu S_{ij} - 2\mu S_{kk} \delta_{ij} \qquad S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \qquad S_{kk} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

These two equations are then decomposed into fluctuating and mean components, as per Equation (3) to arrive at the Reynolds Averaged Navier–Stokes (RANS) equations. The CFD results detailed throughout this article were realised using ANSYS Fluent, which solves the Reynolds Averaged Navier–Stokes (RANS) equations detailed below in Equation (4) and Equation (5) with the help of turbulence models such as the $k - \omega$ SST model [15] where k is the turbulent kinetic energy and ω is the specific rate of dissipation in this two-equation turbulence model. Widespread CFD simulations are made affordable in the academic and industrial sectors due to the application of the Reynolds Averaged Navier–Stokes equations, which offer very reasonable numerical results to the governing equations without the need for more advanced hybrid-LES or LES (Large Eddy Simulations).

$$u(t) = \overline{u}(t) + u'(t) \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u_i}}{\partial x_i} = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial \overline{u_i u_j}}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\mu \frac{\partial \overline{u_i}}{\partial x_j} - \rho \overline{u'_i u'_j} \right)$$
(5)

Fluent also produces force measurements for the simulated geometry once the pressure is calculated at each cell in the vicinity of the geometry. It is common practice when designing and comparing vehicles to express the forces and moments on a vehicle in terms of dimensionless coefficients. The formulas for the two main force coefficients used throughout this article are detailed in Equations (6) and (7). C_D and C_L are the drag and lift coefficients, respectively, where "V" is the free-stream velocity, while F_D and F_L are the respective drag and lift forces, respectively, which are computed from the CFD simulations. Note that each of these aerodynamic forces are proportional to the square of velocity, which is why at very high speeds, the most dominant effects on a vehicle are those caused by the air passing around it. The "A" term in the formulas represents the projected frontal area of the vehicle, which should be minimised for low-drag, high-efficiency driving.

$$C_D = \frac{F_D}{\frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 A} \tag{6}$$

$$C_L = \frac{F_L}{\frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 A} \tag{7}$$

3.2. CFD Methodology and Setup

3.2.1. Domain Setup

All ground vehicle geometries were modelled using Solidworks 2021 and were then imported into Ansys SpaceClaim for domain setup and sizing. The rectangular domain consisted of 25 m (5 L) in front, 75 m (15 L) behind, 10 m (5 H) above, and 11.25 m (5 W) to the side of the vehicle. All vehicle surfaces were modelled as stationary walls, while the ground was set to a moving wall, the inlet to a velocity inlet, and the outlet to a pressure outlet. The wheels of the vehicle were set as moving walls, with the rotating boundary condition applied to model their real-life rotation. The models were split in half to save on size along their symmetry plane. The symmetry plane, along with the two sky surfaces, were given the symmetry boundary condition.

3.2.2. Mesh and Solver Settings

All models were meshed using Ansys Fluent's in-built meshing tool. The surface mesh consisted of 1–5 mm elements on bulk surfaces, with smaller elements used on finer features. The inflation layer consisted of 8 layers with a first cell height of 0.1 mm to ensure a y+ less than 5 over each model's surface. In general, the y+ was closer to 1 than 5 over the bulk of the model. The last ratio method was used to create the inflation layer with a transition ratio of 0.272 resulting in an average height of 12 mm over the 8 layers. A poly-hexcore volume mesh was grown throughout the fluid domain with elements closest to the model being sized at 18 mm. Two coarser refinement regions of 30 mm and 42 mm existed outside this finer region. The wake regions had 45 mm elements. The remainder of the domain was restricted to a max element of size 204.8 mm. Once meshed, the models were transferred to the Fluent 21R2 solver.

The $k - \omega$ SST turbulence model was used to model turbulence throughout the domain. The double precision steady-state, pressure-based, coupled, pseudo-transient solver, was used with a density of 1.2215 kg/m³ and a $\mu = 1.8013 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa·s. The velocity inlet was set to 27.78 m/s (100 km/h), while the pressure outlet was set to 0 Pa gauge. The moving ground was set to 27.78 m/s in the flow direction, while the wheels rotated around their centres according to $v = \omega r$. All solver schemes were second order.

Quantities such as C_D and C_L reached convergence and levelled off after approximately 400 iterations, with the recorded values taken as an average of the last 500 iterations for the 1250 iteration simulations. Residuals for continuity, k, and ω fell below 10^{-4} , while residuals for the xyz velocities fell below 10^{-8} for all simulations.

Once set up, case files were exported to UCD SONIC for simulation on their 48-core HPC clusters. Each 48-core node had 384 GB of installed RAM with 2 X Intel Xeon Gold 6252 processors. Each simulation was run on a single node for 24 h, with case and data files then being reimported locally for post-processing. In total, this study consumed 60,000 CPU hours. Meshes generally consisted of between 35 and 45 million cells, resulting in high-fidelity, symmetric, RANS car simulations. Due to the high cell count and the large number of simulations that needed to be performed, URANS (unsteady RANS) and hybrid-LES were unsuitable for this study as the added time required per simulation was unfeasible.

3.2.3. Taxi Sign Geometry

Under current Irish legislation, taxi signs must be 1030–1120 mm long and extend 110–120 mm in height. Therefore a standard taxi sign was drawn and fitted to all taxis

discussed in Section 4. The standard sign tested was 1075 mm in length and 120 mm in height with an additional 10–12 mm for the magnet mount between the sign and the vehicle's roof. The sign had a trapezoidal profile with a base width of 120 mm and a top width of 50 mm.

3.3. Reynolds Number Sensitivity Study

All CFD studies were carried out at 100 km/h; however, to ensure a C_D invariance with airspeed, a Reynolds number sensitivity study was conducted at speeds relevant to taxi driving on national roads. As can be seen from the results in Table 1, the C_D variation for the Prius taxi from 60 to 120 km/h was below 1%, meaning the CFD simulations produce the required result, as at speeds above 40 km/h, and C_D should remain constant due to the largely turbulent inertial flow dominating with low-speed viscous effects being reduced.

Table 1. Table showing the variation in C_D against Re for the Prius taxi.

Re (10 ⁶)	5.65	7.53	9.42	11.30
Airspeed (km/h)	60	80	100	120
Drag Coefficient	0.388	0.387	0.390	0.390
\sim Difference C_D	-0.31%	-0.77%	-	0 %

3.4. Mesh Verification Study

To ensure that the CFD results were mesh-independent, a mesh sensitivity study was conducted in which three finer meshes were created for the Prius taxi. Based on the results in Table 2, it can be concluded that the results are sufficiently independent of the mesh as the C_D variation is kept to within 1%. The mesh refinement was increased by reducing the surface mesh element size below 5 mm on bulk surfaces, and by increasing the number of layers used in the inflation layer from 8 up to 16. Additional refinement was also made to the volume mesh in the vicinity of the taxi sign and closest to the overall vehicle.

Mesh Name	Original	Fine	Very Fine	Extreme Fine
Cell count (millions)	34.2	40.5	65.3	77.1
Drag Coefficient % Difference CD	0.390 -	$0.389 \\ -0.26\%$	0.391 0.26%	$0.387 \\ -0.77\%$

Table 2. Table showing the variation in C_D against cell count for the Prius taxi.

3.5. Validation Study

The best form of validation available comes from a roof sign fuel economy test funded by the Irish government in 2006 [3]. Two cars were tested in a full-size wind tunnel facility to assess the effects of the Irish taxi roof sign on overall vehicle aerodynamics. The two cars were the popular taxi model Toyota Avensis saloon 2006 specification and the hybrid Toyota Prius 2006 specification. Both were tested at 32 km/h and 97 km/h wind speeds to assess the effects at town and country road speeds. The Prius without the sign reported a 7.9 kW power estimate at (97 km/h), while the Prius with the taxi sign placed in the middle of the roof reported an 11.7 kW power estimate. This 48.1% increase in power consumption highlights the dramatic effect the sign had on the vehicle's aerodynamics. When the sign was reorientated longitudinally to the flow, the estimated power only increased by 2.5%.

A drawback of the study was its decision to present the test measurements in kilowatts instead of Newtons. This may be because, in the power calculation, the testers included estimates for rolling resistance at 97 km/h. This would then mean that the force increase for drag would be higher than 48.1%. If this was the case and we estimate that at 97 km/h the ratio for drag to rolling resistance was 3:1, then the actual percentage increase in drag force due to the sign would be a factor of 1.333 higher than the percentage change in

power, resulting in a 64.13% drag increase. The 3:1 ratio is based on 450 N of drag force at 100 km/h with 150 N of estimated rolling resistance. A virtual wind tunnel test using Ansys Fluent on a 2010 Toyota Prius found that the drag increase due to the taxi sign was 71.35%, while the drag increase due to a sign placed parallel in the flow was just 5.06%. This shows that there is reasonable agreement between CFD results and the wind tunnel test conducted in 2006. As the methods used for the Prius CFD study were the same as those for all CFD simulations, it can be concluded that all results have an element of validation with full-scale wind tunnel testing.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Van and Prius Taxis

To begin to understand the effects the Irish taxi sign has on vehicle aerodynamics, two contrasting vehicle types were studied, namely the van taxi (based on a Peugeot van), and the Toyota Prius taxi. The Prius was chosen due to its prevalence in Ireland and around the world. The van exhibited a relatively small 17.52% increase in drag when equipped with the taxi sign. The reason for the small increase in drag was due to the initial bluff shape of the van and its lack of streamlining. Of the 41 counts of C_D increase reported for the van, all were directly generated by the taxi sign. Hence, all other features on the van reported approximately the same drag forces as the standard non-taxi van. Figure 1 clearly shows the large stagnation zone created by the taxi sign.



Figure 1. Pressure coefficient plotted over the front surfaces of the van and van taxi.

The same cannot be said for the Prius taxi in which a massive 71.35% drag increase was observed for the vehicle when fitted with the taxi sign. This highlights that vehicles that are inherently more streamlined will suffer much greater drag increases and fuel efficiency decreases when fitted with taxi signs. The most interesting fact about the 149 count C_D increase is that around 50% of the additional drag is due to the interference effects between the sign and the rear/rear roof of the vehicle. The taxi sign's wide protrusive shape causes a tremendous amount of flow separation, which does not reattach to the rear roof of the vehicle. This results in a huge negative pressure region behind the taxi sign and on top of the vehicle's rear roof, resulting in large drag increases. Figures 2–4 highlight this under-pressured region clearly. The sign is directly responsible for 58 counts (40%) of the drag increase on the vehicle. This is 17 counts higher than that for the van even though the same taxi sign was used. This is due the to sign being placed in a much more direct position subjected to a faster-moving flow coming from the windshield of the Prius. To avoid this high level of frontal stagnation on the sign, it would be a good idea to design an appendable see-through device capable of gradually ramping up the flow and reducing the frontal stagnation pressure. The appendable device would also aim to reduce the size of the subsequent wake region behind the Irish taxi sign.



Figure 2. Pressure coefficient plotted over the rear surfaces of the Prius and Prius taxi.



Figure 3. *u*¹ velocity plotted along the symmetry plane of the Prius and Prius taxi.



Figure 4. Total pressure plotted and clipped along x-planes of the Prius and Prius taxi.

The apparent solution based on the results in Tables 3 and 4 is to reorientate the taxi sign longitudinally, such that the sign's longest dimension is parallel with the flow. This not only reduces C_D but also noticeably reduces the vehicle's frontal area, resulting in major drag savings. For the van, the net drag increase for a longitudinally fitted sign was just 0.68%, which is approximately negligible in terms of fuel consumption. For the Prius, the drag increase due to the sign was reduced 14-fold down to just 5.06%. Clearly, the more streamlined the vehicle is initially, the more important it is that any taxi sign fitted to it is placed longitudinally on its roof and not perpendicular to the flow. Most taxi signs used in Ireland are magnet-mounted, so reorientating the sign is relatively straightforward and could be achieved nationwide overnight following a government mandate enforced through the National Transport Authority.

Table 3. Calculated drag changes and estimated fuel increases at 100 km/h for the van taxi.

Simulation Name	C _D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change	Fuel Increase
Van	0.321	-	3.143806	-	-	-
Van taxi	0.362	12.77%	3.27614	4.21%	17.52%	8.75%
Sign longitudinal	0.322	0.31%	3.155508	0.37%	0.68%	0.35%

Simulation Name	C _D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change	Fuel Increase
Prius	0.241	-	2.237518	-	-	-
Prius taxi	0.390	61.83%	2.369134	5.88%	71.35%	35.5%
Sign longitudinal	0.252	4.56%	2.248358	0.48%	5.06%	2.5%

Table 4. Calculated drag changes and estimated fuel increases at 100 km/h for the Prius taxi.

Nationwide Fuel Savings Calculation for Longitudinally Fitted Taxi Signs

As of May 2023, there were approximately 20,000 registered taxis in Ireland [1]. Survey data gathered in September–October of 2022 [4] found that drivers travelled an average of 44,000 km a year and spent an average of EUR 160 a week on fuel. The same survey found that the Irish taxi fleet was comprised of approximately 80% internal combustion engine (ICE) (75% diesel, 5% petrol) and 20% alternatively fuelled (10% HEV, 10% BEV) vehicles. The majority of these vehicles were 5-door saloon cars similar to the Toyota Prius; therefore, longitudinally reorientating all Irish taxi signs could bring about huge fuel savings on a national level. Taking a current Prius taxi and reorientating its sign would realise a 38.7% drag reduction, which would then materialise as an approximate 20% fuel saving when travelling at national road speeds or as a 10% fuel saving when operating at the lower city or outer city speeds (60 km/h). As a conservative estimate, if we approximate the national fuel savings as 10% for reorientating the sign, then each taxi driver in Ireland could expect to save EUR 16 a week on fuel expenses. Taking the average cost of a litre of diesel/petrol as EUR 1.60, then each driver would save 10 litres of fuel each week if they operate an ICE vehicle. As 80% of the 20,000-strong fleet is ICE, this would approximate to an average of 160,000 litres of fuel saved each week. In the survey, drivers worked an average of 40 h a week, 5 days a week, meaning that, each day, 32,000 litres of fuel in Ireland could be saved, which based on 2.7 kgCO_2 /litre for diesel fuel, would see 87,000 kg less CO₂ being pumped into the atmosphere each day (see summary Table 5). All the estimates above are based on conservative figures, and drivers travelling at national road speeds can expect to save a lot more than is outlined. The estimates above also do not account for the other 20% of the fleet that use hybrid and battery power, which would benefit from significant fuel savings along with appreciable range extensions due to reduced drag forces.

Table 5. Summary of the calculated savings for longitudinally fitted taxi signs on a yearly basis.

Financial Savings for Drivers	National Fuel Savings	National CO ₂ Reductions
EUR 832/year	8,320,000 litre/year	22,464 tonnes CO ₂ /year

4.2. Various Vehicle Taxis

To further quantify the effects the current Irish taxi sign has on the entire taxi network, three additional vehicle types were studied. To best cover the range of vehicle types in use in Ireland, a large crossover, a small hatchback, and a small notchback were drawn and fitted with the taxi sign. The large crossover taxi was based on a Tesla Model X, the small hatchback was a Golf MK7, and the small sedan was based on a Skoda Rapid (See Figure 5). As before, each vehicle had smooth wheels and a smooth underside. The results for the simulations are outlined in Table 6. The vehicle seen to have the smallest drag increase was the large crossover, and this was to be expected as it was previously shown that the more bluff the body is initially the less affected it is by the taxi sign. Nevertheless, the crossover still reported a 38.88% drag increase, further highlighting the drag-increasing nature of the sign. A 56.21% drag increase was seen for the hatchback, which was notably less than that seen before for the Prius. This is due to the hatchback's shape having a straight back roof that does not enable the under-pressured wake behind the sign to pull the vehicle back as much as was seen for the Prius. The notchback taxi, however, had the opposite effect, in

that its rear roof had a largely exposed rear area that allowed the under-pressured detached flow to drastically pull the vehicle back and create massive drag forces on both the rear and rear roof. As a result, a shocking 92.25% drag increase was observed. The take home from this is that a notchback vehicle's fuel efficiency suffers immensely when fitted with a large taxi sign such as the one used in Ireland. This result is also significant for countries in which notchback emergency response vehicles are fitted with protrusive lightbars.



Figure 5. Rendered image of the three additional taxi types, crossover, hatchback, and notchback.

Simulation Name	C _D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change	Fuel Increase
Crossover	0.303	-	2.804206	-	-	-
Crossover taxi	0.402	32.67%	2.935582	4.68%	38.88%	19.5%
Hatchback	0.281	-	2.18708	-	-	-
Hatchback taxi	0.414	47.33%	2.31888	6.03%	56.21%	28%
Notchback	0.228	-	1.980479	-	-	-
Notchback taxi	0.411	80.26%	2.112206	6.65%	92.25%	46%

Table 6. Calculated drag changes and estimated fuel increases at 100 km/h for the various taxis.

4.3. Sign Positioning Studies

It has already been shown that large drag savings can be realised by reorientating the taxi sign longitudinally. As current legislation does not assert where a taxi sign should be placed on the vehicle's roof, this study investigates what the potential drag changes observed are when the sign is moved to the foremost or rearmost positions on the roof of the vehicle. Figure 6 shows the relative positioning of the signs on the vehicles, while Table 7 outlines the results of the study. In all scenarios where the sign was moved either to the front or the rear of the roof, the overall frontal area for the vehicle was reduced. This is advantageous in terms of overall drag reduction; however, the area reductions were small in comparison to the C_D changes observed for the position changes. The van reported an 8.2% drag increase when the sign was moved to the foremost point; this was due to the sign being placed in a region of very fast-moving flow just after the end of the windshield, which resulted in a higher stagnation pressure on the sign's front and hence a higher drag force. The same effect is seen for the Prius when the sign is moved to the front position, but some of the added drag on the sign is offset by reduced drag on the rear of the Prius as some flow is able to wrap around the sign and slightly increase the base pressure after the sign due to its forward position.

When the sign is moved to the rear of the vehicle, large amounts of downforce are subsequently produced as the sign begins to act as a rear spoiler. For reference, the downforce on the van increased by 113% when the sign was positioned at the rear. As a result, the van suffered a 22.12% drag increase due to an increased stagnation pressure along the sign coupled with an added component of lift-induced drag. There was also a negative interference effect between the sign and the rear wake of the vehicle. This effect was much more pronounced on the van in comparison to the Prius or the Golf as the

rear-projected area for the van was significantly larger. In general, the taxi sign should not be placed at the rear of the vehicle as it has a drag-increasing effect due to the wake of the sign and the wake of the vehicle interfering to produce additional interference drag. In conclusion, the best position for the Irish taxi sign is in the centre of the vehicle's roof. Drivers of large taxis such as vans or crossovers should especially avoid moving their sign to the front or rear of their vehicle.



Figure 6. Rendered image of the different sign positions for the van, Prius, and hatchback taxis.

Simulation Name	C_D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change	Fuel Increase
Van taxi	0.362	-	3.27614	-	-	-
Van taxi—front	0.392	8.29%	3.273638	-0.08%	8.20%	4.10%
Van taxi—rear	0.443	22.38%	3.269372	-0.21%	22.12%	11.06%
Prius taxi	0.390	-	2.369134	-	-	-
Prius taxi—front	0.398	2.05%	2.345874	-0.98%	1.05%	0.53%
Prius taxi—rear	0.415	6.41%	2.356628	-0.53%	5.85%	2.93%
Hatchback taxi	0.414	-	2.31888	-	-	-
Hatchback taxi—rear	0.435	5.07%	2.305484	-0.58%	4.47%	2.24%

Table 7. Calculated drag changes and estimated fuel increases at 100 km/h for the position changes.

4.4. Sign Connection Study

As there are a variety of taxi sign manufacturers in Ireland, not all signs have the same magnet connection between the sign and the taxi. Some manufacturers provide large magnets that result in a 10–20 mm gap between the sign and the roof, while others provide a more flush connection using a slim strip magnet. To investigate if there are any significant aerodynamic benefits between the two connection styles, two simulations were performed on the Prius taxi in which the standard sign with a 20 mm gap was fitted along with another sign sized exactly the same but with a flush-filled underside. Figure 7 below highlights the two signs tested. As per the results in Table 8, it is clear that there is no drag change between the two connection styles. This is primarily due to the relatively low flow rate in the gap along the length of the sign, which, in effect, operates similarly to a fully filled gap. Figure 8 shows how the pressure distribution along the front and rear of both signs is very similar along the symmetry plane. Away from the symmetry plane, towards the ends of the signs, the flow regime begins to become even more similar, hence why both signs report the approximately same drag force.





Figure 7. Rendered image of the different sign connections tested on the Prius taxi.

Simulation Name	C _D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change
Filled sign connection	0.393	-	2.386192	-	-
Non-filled sign connection	0.396	0.76%	2.370644	-0.65%	$\approx 0\%$

Table 8. Calculated drag changes at 100 km/h for the sign connection changes.



Figure 8. Pressure coefficient plotted along the symmetry plane of the two sign connections.

4.5. International Taxi Signs

The results so far have detailed the inherent drag-producing shape of the standard Irish taxi sign. This section outlines how the Irish sign compares to other standard signs used throughout the world. The first alternative sign tested was a somewhat illegal variant of the standard Irish taxi sign, a small, low profile, triangular sign of length 940 mm. Some drivers purchase this sign as it fits between the roof racks of some vehicles; however, under the current legislation (1030–1120 mm), the sign is too small and a driver could technically be fined if caught using it. The results in Tables 9 and 10 highlight that the smaller triangular Irish sign does reduce drag from 17.52% to 13.02% for the van taxi, and from 71.35% to 62.08% for the Prius taxi. While the drag reduction is appreciable, the overall drag is far too high in comparison to other taxi signs used globally. A very common taxi sign named "US Portugal", which is shown as the third sign from the left in Figure 9, is commonly used throughout the US and other countries such as Portugal and the UK. What is interesting about this sign is that it produces quite a lot of drag on the Prius, which is again due to the negative effects of placing protruding objects perpendicular to the flow on streamlined bodies. Some of the best-performing signs include the UK taxi sign (which is based on the sign fitted to UK black cabs) and the German taxi sign.



Figure 9. Rendered image of the different international taxi signs fitted to the van and Prius taxis (left–right: standard Irish, small Irish triangle, US/Portugal sign, US advert sign, UK taxi sign, German sign).

Table 9. Calculated drag changes and estimated fuel increases at 100 km/h for the international van taxi signs.

Simulation Name	C _D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change	Fuel Increase
Van	0.321	-	3.143806	-	-	-
Van taxi	0.362	12.77%	3.27614	4.21%	17.52%	8.75%
Small triangle	0.351	9.35%	3.249506	3.36%	13.02%	6.5%
US Portugal sign	0.334	4.05%	3.201646	1.84%	5.96%	3%
US advert sign	0.322	0.31%	3.215688	2.29%	2.61%	1.25%
UK taxi sign	0.338	5.30%	3.145938	0.07%	5.37%	2.5%
German sign	0.324	0.93%	3.182899	1.24%	2.18%	1%

Table 10. Calculated drag changes and estimated fuel increases at 100 km/h for the international Prius taxi signs.

Simulation Name	C _D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change	Fuel Increase
Prius	0.241	-	2.237518	-	-	
Prius taxi	0.390	61.83%	2.369134	5.88%	71.35%	35.5%
Small triangle	0.373	54.77%	2.343178	4.72%	62.08%	31%
US Portugal sign	0.314	30.29%	2.286076	2.17%	33.12%	16.5%
US advert sign	0.273	13.28%	2.293374	2.50%	16.11%	8%
UK taxi sign	0.249	3.32%	2.24612	0.38%	3.71%	1.75%
German sign	0.262	8.71%	2.276093	1.72%	10.58%	5.25%

A sign that many would think should rank very highly in terms of drag production is the US-styled advert sign, due to its tall shape and flat triangular front. As shown in the Tables, the sign only adds 2.61% of drag to the van and 16.11% to the Prius. The reason behind these low values, even though the sign has a very protrusive nature, is its longitudinal orientation and triangular shape. Having a triangular face enables the flow that impacts the front of the sign to easily roll off and make its way around the sign, which then produces large vortices that travel along the roof of the taxi. These vortices aid flow attachment considerably along the rear of the Prius and help prevent the drastic flow separation that was observed for the Irish taxi sign. Figure 10 uses total pressure plots to show the level of flow attachment on the rear, along with highlighting the vortex cores for the vortices shedding off the sign.



Figure 10. Isosurface of total pressure = 0 Pa (**left**) along with clipped surfaces of total pressure along the US advert Prius taxi (**right**).

The main conclusion from this study is that the van taxi does not exhibit any drastic drag increases when fitted with any of the international taxi signs, whereas the more streamlined Prius taxi does exhibit some considerable drag increases depending on which sign is fitted. If it were possible to mandate a standard international sign for all countries, the best option would be either the German sign or the small black cab UK sign; however, these signs are noticeably small, and if drivers preferred a larger sign to convey more detail to customers, then an adjusted version of the US advert sign would be applicable, offering high visibility, with acceptable drag increases.

4.6. Novel Appendable Devices for the Irish Taxi Sign

If it were the case that the current Irish taxi could not be replaced or reorientated due to a firm pushback from taxi drivers and consumers in Ireland, then the best option to reduce drag would be to add drag-reducing appendable devices in front of or behind the current taxi sign. Figure 11 outlines six of these devices fitted to the Prius taxi, while Table 11 details the potential drag reductions for the respective devices. The order given in the table matches the devices shown in the Figure from left to right. All appendable devices shown would be manufactured from a transparent material to ensure the taxi sign remains fully visible from the front and back. The most successful appendable device was the front ramp, which offered a nearly 30% drag reduction from the baseline sign. The ramp works by drastically reducing the stagnation pressure on the front of the sign (Figure 12) while also enabling the flow to easily pass over the sign with minimal separation. Another useful property of the ramp is that it enables the creation of vortices at its edges, which are then carried along the rear roof travelling inward, which significantly increases the flow attachment and base pressure on the taxi in comparison to the baseline Prius taxi.



Figure 11. Images of the novel appendable devices for the Irish taxi sign fitted to the Prius taxi.



Figure 12. Pressure coefficient surface plot (**left**) and total pressure = 0 Pa isosurface (**right**) along Prius taxi with front ramp.

The front ramp similarly offers an appreciable 10% drag reduction for the van taxi as shown in Table 12. The device that offered the most drag reduction but was not technically an appendable device was the flip-up taxi sign, which reduced drag from the baseline by nearly 40%. The flip-up sign has a straightforward operation, in which the taxi driver, after securing a fair, remotely lifts up the front and rear taxi sign faces as shown in Figure 11 using a motorised hinge operated from inside the taxi. Once free and available for another fair, the sign's faces return to normal. Another option would be to design it such that the faces automatically lift once speeds exceed 60 km/h; this way, the driver does not need to operate the sign and major fuel savings are realised when travelling at high speeds.

Table 11. Calculated dra	g reductions for the nove	l appendable devices on	the Irish taxi sign (Prius).
		11	

Simulation Name	C_D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change
Prius taxi	0.390	-	2.369134	-	-
Front ramp	0.277	-28.97%	-	-	-28.97%
Front ramp + rear hollow	0.280	-28.21%	-	-	-28.21%
Flip-up sign	0.252	-35.39%	2.251998	-4.94%	-38.58%
Vortex generators in front	0.389	-0.26%	-	-	-0.26%
Front corner ramps	0.360	-7.69%	-	-	-7.69%
Rear ramp	0.395	+1.28%	-	-	+1.28%

The front ramp with rear hollow does not offer any extra drag reduction and instead slightly reduces the drag reduction of the front ramp. Similarly, the rear ramp on its own increases drag by 1.28%, which means that fitting appendable devices behind the taxi sign is not an effective way to realise drag reduction. This is because in the wake of the sign, the flow is completely separated, and placing shapes in this under-pressured zone just adds drag as the new devices are pulled back by the low pressure, generating drag. As is clear from the results table, adding vortex generators in front of the sign does not produce any practical drag reduction. The vortices produced crash into the sign's face, along with the vortices produced not being that large, as they are curtailed by the high-pressure zone present on the front of the taxi sign. The final device for discussion is the front corner ramps, which offer some drag reduction but not enough to justify their use. The corner ramps are shaped similarly to the full ramp; however, they do not produce the same useful vortex structures and interact poorly with the middle stagnation zone on the front of the taxi sign. The corner ramps should be redesigned to see if they can offer more drag reduction in line with that of the full front ramp.

Table 12. Calculated drag reductions for the novel appendable devices on the Irish taxi sign (van).

Simulation Name	C _D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change
Van taxi	0.362	-	3.27614	-	-
Front ramp	0.326	-9.94%	-	-	-9.94%
Front ramp + rear hollow	0.327	-9.67%	-	-	-9.67%

4.7. Proposed Design for the New Irish Taxi Sign

To conclude the results section, a brand new aerodynamic design for a future Irish taxi sign is proposed, outlined in Figure 13. The new sign utilises a lengthwise orientation along with a triangular front face that helps to reduce drag and mitigate against frontal flow stagnation along with rear roof separation. The sign also incorporates two front-face sections available for the display of information to oncoming pedestrians. The sign adds just 4.34% additional drag to the overall vehicle, which then materialises as an approximate 2% added fuel consumption for journeys on national roads (see Table 13). This design gives a good benchmark for what an aerodynamic taxi sign should look like, inclusive of several panelled sections for the display of information to the public.



Figure 13. Rendered images of a proposed aerodynamic design for a future Irish taxi sign.

Simulation Name	C _D	% Change	Area (m ²)	% Change	Drag Change	Fuel Increase
Prius	0.241	-	2.237518	-	-	-
Prius—new taxi sign	0.248	2.90%	2.268942	1.40%	4.34%	2.17%

Table 13. Calculated drag and estimated fuel increase at 100 km/h for the proposed new taxi sign.

5. Conclusions

To conclude, the standard taxi sign currently in use throughout Ireland is an inherent drag producer and is responsible for large amounts of unnecessary CO₂ production and fuel expenses. The results showed that:

- Fitting the sign to a Toyota Prius increased total drag by over 71%.
- Fitting the sign to less streamlined vehicles such as vans and crossovers did not result in as large a drag increase in comparison to streamlined saloon cars.
- Reorientating the sign longitudinally was the easiest and quickest solution to the problem, offering a 14-fold reduction in the drag increase associated with fitting the sign to a Prius.
- Reorientating all Irish taxi signs longitudinally could save drivers EUR 832 a year and reduce national CO₂ emissions by 22,464 tonnes a year.
- Notchback saloon cars have the greatest drag increase when fitted with the taxi sign as a result of excessive flow separation on the rear roof and rear windshield.
- The optimal location for placing the sign was the middle of the taxi's roof, as positioning it to the front or rear of the roof increased drag.
- The rearmost position was the worst position to fit the sign in terms of fuel consumption, meaning legislation should be brought in to ensure no driver fits their sign there.

- Visually and aerodynamically, the Irish taxi sign differs greatly from taxi signs used throughout the world; hence, the Irish sign had significantly larger drag contributions than all other simulated international signs.
- Among the signs in use globally, the standard German sign and the UK black cab sign are some of the most aerodynamic.

Simulations were performed on several novel appendable devices that looked to reduce the inherent drag on the Irish taxi sign.

- The most effective was a magnet-mounted front ramp, that reduced the total drag by nearly 30%.
- A novel design for a flip-up taxi sign was proposed that used motorised hinges to move the sign's faces when operating at high speeds, which reduced drag by 40%, nearly totally eliminating the drag increase associated with fitting the taxi sign.
- To finish, a brand new design for a future Irish taxi sign was proposed that utilised longitudinal positioning along with pointed triangular front and rear faces. The newly proposed taxi sign increased vehicle drag by just 4.3% on the baseline car.

The Irish government faces mounting pressure to achieve its 2030 climate action targets, and this paper outlines several ways in which significant CO_2 reductions can be realised through a revised design of current taxi signs in Ireland.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.G.C.; methodology, M.G.C.; software, M.J.O. and M.G.C.; validation, M.G.C.; formal analysis, M.G.C.; investigation, M.G.C.; resources, M.J.O. and A.I.; data curation, M.G.C.; writing—original draft preparation, M.G.C.; writing—review and editing, M.G.C.; visualization, M.G.C.; supervision, M.J.O. and A.I.; project administration, M.J.O. and A.I.; funding acquisition, M.G.C., M.J.O. and A.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by The Irish Research Council grant number EPSPG/2022/213 and Science Foundation Ireland grant number 22/NCF/EI/11277. The APC was funded by Science Foundation Ireland grant number 22/NCF/EI/11277.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to the hosting costs for large data sets.

Acknowledgments: The support of UCD SONIC and ICHEC Kay for the use of their HPC resources.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; or in the writing of the manuscript.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

NTA	National Transport Authority
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
RANS	Reynolds Averaged Navier Stokes
LES	Large Eddy Simulation
SST	Shear Stress Transport
HPC	High Performance Computing
ICE	Internal combustion engine
HEV	Hybrid Electric Vehicle
BEV	Battery Electric Vehicle

References

- Authority, N.T. Vehicle Licences County* and Category—31 May 2023. 2023. Available online: https://www.nationaltransport. ie/taxi/statistics-and-legislation/vehicle-licences-county-and-category/ (accessed on 29 June 2023).
- Authority, N.T. Initial Suitability Inspection Manual. 2015. Available online: https://www.nationaltransport.ie/wp-content/ uploads/2023/02/Initial-Suitability-Inspection-Manual-Web-Version-20230216.pdf (accessed on 29 June 2023).
- Authority, N.T. Public Consultation on Taxi Roof Signs 2015. Available online: https://www.nationaltransport.ie/consultations/ taxi-roof-signs/ (accessed on 29 June 2023).

- Authority, N.T. Taxi Driver Survey Presentation Sept/Oct 2022. 2022. Available online: https://www.nationaltransport.ie/taxi/ statistics-and-legislation/ (accessed on 29 June 2023).
- Chowdhury, H.; Alam, F.; Khan, I.; Djamovski, V.; Watkins, S. Impact of Vehicle add-ons on Energy Consumption and Greenhouse Gas Emissions. *Procedia Eng.* 2012, 49, 294–302. [CrossRef]
- 6. Iozsa, D.; Ilea, L.; Fratila, G. Influence of warning lights for intervention vehicles on aerodynamic performance. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2020**, *997*, 012118. [CrossRef]
- Taherkhani, A.R.; Gilkeson, C.; Gaskell, P.; Hewson, R.; Toropov, V.; Rezaienia, A.; Thompson, H. Aerodynamic CFD Based Optimization of Police Car Using Bezier Curves. SAE Int. J. Mater. Manf. 2017, 10, 85–93. [CrossRef]
- 8. Taherkhani AR, DeBoer GN, G.P. Aerodynamic Drag Reduction of Emergency Response Vehicles. *Adv. Automob. Eng.* **2015**, *4*. [CrossRef]
- Goodrich, S.; Perez-Raya, I. Simulating Aerodynamic Effects of Location and Orientation of Bicycles Mounted on Sedans. In Proceedings of the Volume 8: Fluids Engineering; Heat Transfer and Thermal Engineering, Columbus, OH, USA, 30 October–3 November 2022; pp. 294–302. [CrossRef]
- 10. Chen, Y.; Meier, A. Fuel consumption impacts of auto roof racks. Energy Policy 2016, 92, 325–333. [CrossRef]
- 11. Gonzàlez Casal, I. Aerodynamic Analysis and Improvement of a Roof Box Car. Master's Thesis, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain, 18 February 2015.
- 12. Sandberg, M. Aerodynamic study of vehicle mounted cargo boxes: A comparative study between roof boxes and tow bar mounted cargo boxes using CFD. 2022. Available online: https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12380/304523 (accessed on 29 June 2023).
- 13. Thomas, J.; Huff, S.; West, B. Fuel Economy and Emissions Effects of Low Tire Pressure, Open Windows, Roof Top and Hitch-Mounted Cargo, and Trailer. *SAE Int. J. Passeng. Cars Mech. Syst.* **2014**, *7*, 862–872. [CrossRef]
- 14. Chow, K. Improving vehicle rolling resistance and aerodynamics. In *Alternative Fuels and Advanced Vehicle Technologies for Improved Environmental Performance;* Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 459–481. [CrossRef]
- 15. Menter, F. Zonal Two Equation k-w Turbulence Models For Aerodynamic Flows. In Proceedings of the 23rd Fluid Dynamics, Plasmadynamics, and Lasers Conference, Orlando, FL, USA, 6–9 July 1993. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.