

Article

Thermophysical Properties of Nanofluids Composed of Ethylene Glycol and Long Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes

Karolina Brzóska^{1,2}, Bertrand Jóźwiak³, Adrian Golba¹, Marzena Dzida^{1,*} and Sławomir Boncel^{3,*}

- ¹ Institute of Chemistry, University of Silesia in Katowice, Szkolna 9, 40-006 Katowice, Poland; kbrzoska@us.edu.pl (K.B.); adrian.golba@us.edu.pl (A.G.)
- ² Boryszew S.A. Branch Boryszew ERG Sochaczew, 15 Sierpnia 106, 96-500 Sochaczew, Poland
- ³ Department of Organic Chemistry, Bioorganic Chemistry and Biotechnology, Silesian University of Technology, B. Krzywoustego 4, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland; bertrand.jozwiak@polsl.pl
- * Correspondence: marzena.dzida@us.edu.pl (M.D.); slawomir.boncel@polsl.pl (S.B.); Tel.: +48-32359-1643 (M.D.); +48-32237-1272 (S.B.)

Received: 10 November 2020; Accepted: 10 December 2020; Published: 12 December 2020



Abstract: In this work, thermal conductivity, viscosity, isobaric heat capacity, and density of stable carbon-based nanofluids are presented. The nanofluids under study are composed of 1,2-ethanediol (ethylene glycol, EG) and long multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs), so-called 'in-house 16h' (synthesized in our laboratory via catalytic chemical vapor deposition during 16 h with a diameter of 60–80 nm and length of 770 μ m). Poly(*N*-vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) was used to increase the stability of nanofluids. The nanofluids were prepared via an ultrasonication-assisted, three-step method while their key thermophysical characteristics were obtained using the hot-wire technique and rotary viscometer. As a result, the addition of MWCNTs significantly improved the thermal conductivity of nanofluids by 31.5% for the highest 1.0 wt% (0.498 vol%) long MWCNT content, leaving the Newtonian character of the nanofluids practically intact.

Keywords: nanofluids; multi-walled carbon nanotubes; 1,2-ethanediol; thermal conductivity; viscosity

1. Introduction

The unceasing advance of thermal power plants, solar collectors/plants, thermal instrumentations, machines, and evolving miniaturization of electronic devices generates a continuously growing demand for high-performance heat transfer nanofluids (NFs) [1]. Among nanoparticles dispersible in 1,2-ethanediol (ethylene glycol, EG)—as the large-scale and economic chemical compound [2]—carbon nano-allotropes are of the highest importance and scaling-up potential. Those nanoparticles are nano-diamonds [3], graphene [4], quantum dots [5], and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) [6]. Particularly CNTs, as quasi-one-dimensional nanoparticles, emerge as the most promising solids dispersible in numerous continuous phases from water through organic solvents to ionic liquids [6].

Although the enhancement in numerous critical thermophysical properties—compared to the base fluids—is rather undeniable, the level of augmentation of the overall performance and versatility of the pre-designable nanofluids derives from numerous variables. This is particularly evident since the influence of CNTs on the key characteristics of nanofluids is difficult to track [7]. Indeed, CNTs—as large macromolecules—differ individually even at the molecular level by purity (content of amorphous carbon and metallic/non-metallic particles as a catalyst residue), morphology (shape, degree of entanglement, aspect ratio, way of nanotube closure), and surface physico-chemistry (number of crystallographic defects, deviations from *C*-sp² hybridization, and functionalization) [8]. There is, hence,



high demand for comprehensive characteristics of CNTs, base fluids, and all additives (surfactants, other nanoparticles, etc.), which eventually would yield synergetic nanofluids.

Up to now, several attempts to enhance the characteristics of EG-based nanofluids as heat transfer media using CNTs were reported. Xie et al. [9] prepared nanofluids containing pristine and oxidized multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) and found a 27.5% increase in thermal conductivity for the ball-milled pristine MWCNTs (diameter d = 30 nm, length $l = 60 \mu$ m) at 0.01 vol%. Liu et al. [10] recorded a 12.4% increase in thermal conductivity for EG-based nanofluids containing 1.0 vol% MWCNTs (d = 20-30 nm, $l = few \mu m$). Kumar et al. [11] employed MWCNTs (d = 30-50 nm, $l = 10-20 \mu m$) in the commercial solar liquid obtaining nanofluid of 30.6% enhancement in thermal conductivity at 0.6 vol% MWCNT concentration. In our recent approach [12], we found that 1,2-propanediol-based (propylene glycol, PG) nanofluid, containing 0.53 vol% of curly ultra-long MWCNTs, had a 39% higher thermal conductivity than PG itself. Nevertheless, the Newtonian character of nanofluids under various shear rates and their stability at high MWCNT concentration was not cross-verified.

Herein, we present a study on the thermophysical properties of nanofluids composed of EG and long MWCNTs with the addition of poly(*N*-vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) as the stabilizing agent. The elaborated protocol allowed us to obtain nanofluids with a significant enhancement in thermal conductivity, accompanied by practically purely Newtonian behavior, which, in the further perspective, emerges as an excellent premise for heat transfer applications.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

1,2-Ethanediol (0.998 mass fraction purity) was purchased from Oleon GmBH (Wiesbaden, Germany). The EG was dried using 4 Å molecular sieves (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The water content was measured using the Karl-Fischer method (870KF Titrino Plus, Metrohm AG, Herisau, Switzerland) and reached a value of 270 ppm. A brief specification of the EG is presented in Table 1. In-house multi-walled carbon nanotubes (in-house 16h MWCNTs) were prepared using the 16-h catalytic chemical vapor deposition (c-CVD) process described in detail previously [13]. A brief specification of the in-house 16h MWCNTs is presented in Table 2. SEM images obtained by JSM-634OF FEG (JEOL, Akishima, Tokyo, Japan) at 5 kV and TEM micrographs acquired using 200 CX (JEOL, Akishima, Tokyo, Japan) at 200 kV of in-house MWCNTs used in this study are presented in Figure 1.



Figure 1. (a) SEM image—side view of the vertically aligned multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) array. (b) TEM image of an individual, representative of MWCNT.

Physicochemical Property	This Work	Literature
ho (kg·m ⁻³)	1109.84 ± 0.05	1109.60 [14], 1109.89 [15], 1109.79 [16], 1109.82 [17], 1109.88 [18], 1109.88 [19], 1109.91 [20], 1110.09 [21]
η (mPa·s)	17.1 ± 3.0	16.95 [18], 17.14 [22], 17.25 [23], 17.7 [24]
$\lambda (W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$	$0.247\pm5\%$	0.245 [24], 0.2433 [25]

Table 1. Density, ρ , viscosity, η , and thermal conductivity, λ , of ethylene glycol (EG) at 298.15 K compared with the literature data.

Table 2. Characteristics of long multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) used in this study.

Name	Average Length (µm)	Average Diameter (nm)	Aspect Ratio (–)	Specific Surface Area (m ² ·g ⁻¹)	Density (g·cm ⁻³)	Carbon Purity (%)
in-house 16h MWCNTs	770	60–80	11,000	22	2.1	98

2.2. Sample Preparation

The NFs (Figure 2) were prepared via a three-step method. Firstly, PVP was dissolved in EG as a stabilizer to maintain high-quality, i.e., homogenous dispersion of in-house 16h MWCNTs. Then the desired weight of carbon nanoparticles (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 wt%) was added and mixed by a magnetic stirrer MS11 (Wigo, Poland) at 500 rpm for 15 min. Finally, the samples were sonicated using a 200 W UP200Ht homogenizer (Hielscher, Germany) with a sonotrode diameter of 15 mm. To prevent overheating of the dispersions, the ice bath was used. During the sonication procedure, the energy supplied to each sample was 0.2 Wh/g.



Figure 2. (a) Schematic overview of nanofluid composed from Poly(*N*-vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP)-stabilized long MWCNTs in ethylene glycol, (b) scheme of preparation of nanofluids, and (c) photographs of nanofluids at MWCNT concentration of 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1 wt%, respectively.

4 of 12

The thermal conductivity was measured via the hot-wire technique using KD2 Pro Thermal Properties Analyzer (Decagon Devices Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) with a single needle KS-1 sensor, pre-calibrated by a glycerin verification standard. The measurement uncertainty was equal to $\pm 5\%$ [26]. The research was conducted in triplicate at 298.15 K, 303.15 K, and 308.15 K. The temperature stability was ensured within ± 0.1 K by an Open Bath Circulator ED-5 (Julabo GmbH, Seelbach, Germany) containing EG as a working liquid.

2.4. Viscosity Measurements

The viscosity was measured using rotary viscometer LV DV2T (Brookfield Engineering, Middleboro, MA, USA) with Small Sample Adapter and SC4–18 spindle. The influence of temperature on the viscosity was tested in the range from 283.15 K to 333.15 K (at 13.2 s⁻¹), while the effect of the shear rate on the viscosity was investigated in the range from 1.32 s^{-1} to 105.6 s⁻¹ (at 298.15 K). The temperatures were maintained with a Low Profile Refrigerated Circulator MX7LR-20 (PolyScience, Niles, IL, USA) containing EG-water coolant. The temperature stability was ±0.07 K. The uncertainty of viscosity measurements reached a value of ±3 mPas.

2.5. Density Measurements

The density of EG and nanofluids was measured in the range from 283.15 K to 333.15 K using vibrating-tube densimeters Anton Paar DMA 5000M and Anton Paar DMA 5000 (Anton Paar, Graz, Austria), respectively. The devices were calibrated with dry air and re-distilled water (with electrolytic conductivity of 1×10^{-4} S·m⁻¹ at 298.15 K) using an extended calibration procedure. The viscosity corrections were made automatically. Expanded uncertainties of the density measurements were ±0.1 kg·m⁻³ and ±0.3 kg·m⁻³, respectively. The temperature was determined within the expanded uncertainty of ±0.02 K.

2.6. Isobaric Heat Capacity Measurements

The isobaric heat capacity was measured in the range from 283.15 K to 333.15 K, using a differential temperature-scanning (DSC) microcalorimeter μ SC–2c (SETARAM Instrumentation, Caluire, France). The apparatus was calibrated on the Joule effect. The reference standard was 1-butanol (Sigma Aldrich, SureSeal, anhydrous, mass fraction purity of 0.998). The apparatus was tested using *n*-hexane (POCH, Poland, mass fraction purity of 0.999) and benzene (Sigma Aldrich, mass fraction purity of 0.998). The expanded uncertainty of the isobaric heat capacity measurements was equal to ±2%.

3. Results

3.1. Thermal Conductivity

The thermal conductivity of EG and NFs was measured in triplicate in the temperature range from 298.15 K to 308.15 K in 5 K steps. The obtained results are listed in Table 3 and presented in Figure 3. As can be seen, the thermal conductivity increases almost linearly with the concentration of in-house 16h MWCNTs and decreases very slightly with the temperature. Although the influence of temperature on the thermal conductivity of NF is much smaller, it clearly increases with the concentration of nanotubes. The maximum enhancement in thermal conductivity compared to the base liquid is 31.5% for NF containing 1 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs.

Т (К)				λ (W·m	⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹)			
	Series 1	Series 2	Series 3	Mean	Series 1	Series 2	Series 3	Mean
		EC	3		EG + 0.2	25 wt% in-hc	ouse 16h MW	/CNTs
298.15	0.247	0.246	0.247	0.247	0.270	0.269	0.269	0.269
303.15	0.246	0.246	0.245	0.246	0.269	0.268	0.268	0.268
308.15	0.247	0.245	0.243	0.245	0.265	0.262	0.264	0.264
	EG + 0.	.5 wt% in-ho	use 16h MW	CNTs	EG + 0.	75 wt% in-ho	ouse 16h MW	/CNTs
298.15	0.286	0.285	0.286	0.286	0.300	0.304	0.306	0.303
303.15	0.285	0.284	0.284	0.284	0.300	0.302	0.304	0.302
308.15	0.278	0.282	0.284	0.281	0.297	0.302	0.303	0.301
EG + 1 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs								
298.15	0.325	0.324	0.325	0.324	-			
303.15	0.317	0.319	0.320	0.318				
308.15	0.310	0.312	0.314	0.312				

Table 3. Thermal conductivity of EG and NFs in the temperature range from 298.15 K to 308.15 K.



Figure 3. The influence of temperature and concentration of MWCNTs on the thermal conductivity of EG and EG-based NFs. Solid lines–linear trends.

3.2. Viscosity

The viscosity of EG and NFs under the test was measured at a shear rate of 13.2 s^{-1} within the temperature range from 283.15 K to 333.15 K. Additionally, a viscosity curve for NF with the highest concentration of MWCNTs was determined at 298.15 K in the shear rate range from 1.32 s^{-1} to 105.6 s^{-1} . The obtained results are presented in Figure 4.

As it turned out, the viscosity of EG and NFs decreases significantly with temperature due to the weakening of intermolecular interactions (Figure 4a). This decline is from 80.3% to 84.8% and slightly increases with a decreasing concentration of MWCNTs. Moreover, the NF containing 1 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs appears to be a Newtonian fluid with a constant (absolute) viscosity of 30.9 ± 3.0 mPa·s (Figure 4b). It can be, hence, assumed that more diluted NFs with a lower concentration of MWCNTs will also exhibit Newtonian behavior.



Figure 4. (**a**) The influence of temperature on the viscosity of EG and EG-based NFs. (**b**) The viscosity curve of NF containing EG and 1 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs at 298.15 K. Solid lines—cubic trends (**a**) and linear trend (**b**).

3.3. Density and Isobaric Thermal Expansion Coefficient

The density of EG and nanofluids was measured in the temperature range from 283.15 K to 333.15 K in 5 K steps. The obtained results are listed in Table 4 and presented in Figure 5. The temperature dependence of density was described by the second-order polynomial.

$$\rho = \sum_{i=0}^{2} a_i (T - 283.15)^i \tag{1}$$

T (K)	ho (kg·m ⁻³)	Т (К)	ho (kg·m ⁻³)	Т (К)	ho (kg·m ⁻³)
	EG		EG + 0.25 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs		EG + 0.5 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs
283.15	1120.25	283.15	1121.83	283.15	1123.68
288.15	1116.78	288.15	1118.36	288.15	1120.22
293.15	1113.29	293.15	1114.87	293.15	1116.73
298.15	1109.84	298.15	1111.38	298.15	1113.24
303.15	1106.29	303.15	1107.87	303.15	1109.73
308.15	1102.77	308.15	1104.35	308.15	1106.21
313.15	1099.23	313.15	1100.81	313.15	1102.68
318.15	1095.68	318.15	1097.26	318.15	1099.13
323.15	1092.11	323.15	1093.69	323.15	1095.56
328.15	1088.52	328.15	1090.10	328.15	1091.97
333.15	1084.90	333.15	1086.48	333.15	1088.36
	EG + 0.75 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs		EG + 1 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs		
283.15	1125.30	283.15	1127.18		
288.15	1121.84	288.15	1123.73		
293.15	1118.36	293.15	1120.26		
298.15	1114.88	298.15	1116.77		
303.15	1111.38	303.15	1113.27		
308.15	1107.86	308.15	1109.76		
313.15	1104.34	313.15	1106.24		
318.15	1100.79	318.15	1102.69		
323.15	1097.22	323.15	1099.13		
328.15	1093.63	328.15	1095.54		
333.15	1090.02	333.15	1091.93		

Table 4. Density of EG and NFs in the temperature range from 283.15 K to 333.15 K.



Figure 5. The influence of temperature on the density of EG and EG-based NFs. Solid lines—linear trends.

The polynomial coefficients (a_i) were calculated by the least-squares method. The backward stepwise rejection procedure was used to reduce the number of non-zero coefficients. The coefficients and the mean deviations from the regression lines are given in Table 5.

Table 5. Polynomial coefficients (a_i) with standard deviations (*SD*) and mean deviations ($\delta \rho$) from the regression lines depending on NF concentration.

Concentration (wt%)	<i>a</i> ₀ (kg·m ⁻³)	SD (kg·m ^{−3})	a_1 (kg·m ⁻³ ·K ⁻¹)	SD (kg·m ^{−3} ·K ^{−1})	$a_2 \cdot 10^4$ (kg·m ⁻³ ·K ⁻²)	$SD \cdot 10^4$ (kg·m ⁻³ ·K ⁻²)	δρ (kg·m ⁻³)
0	1120.25	0.0067	-0.69078	0.00062	-3.1935	0.12	0.0097
0.25	1121.82	0.0061	-0.69119	0.00056	-3.0816	0.11	0.0080
0.5	1123.68	0.0054	-0.69085	0.00050	-3.0583	0.10	0.0071
0.75	1125.29	0.0064	-0.68878	0.00059	-3.2914	0.11	0.0084
1	1127.18	0.0062	-0.68823	0.00057	-3.2960	0.11	0.0081

The isobaric thermal expansion coefficient, α_p , is one of the most important fundamental material constants. The α_p was calculated by the definition below.

$$\alpha_p \equiv -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\right)_p \tag{2}$$

The obtained results are listed in Table 6 and presented in Figure 6.



Figure 6. The influence of temperature on the isobaric thermal expansion coefficient of EG and EG-based NFs. Solid lines—linear trends.

T (K)	$\alpha_p \cdot 10^4$ (K ⁻¹)	T (K)	$\alpha_p \cdot 10^4$ (K ⁻¹)	Т (К)	$\alpha_p \cdot 10^4$ (K ⁻¹)
	EG		EG + 0.25 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs		EG + 0.5 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs
283.15	6.166	283.15	6.161	283.15	6.148
288.15	6.214	288.15	6.208	288.15	6.194
293.15	6.262	293.15	6.255	293.15	6.241
298.15	6.310	298.15	6.303	298.15	6.288
303.15	6.359	303.15	6.350	303.15	6.335
308.15	6.409	308.15	6.399	308.15	6.383
313.15	6.458	313.15	6.447	313.15	6.431
318.15	6.508	318.15	6.496	318.15	6.480
323.15	6.559	323.15	6.545	323.15	6.529
328.15	6.610	328.15	6.595	328.15	6.579
333.15	6.661	333.15	6.646	333.15	6.628
	EG + 0.75 wt%		EG + 1 wt% in-house		
	in-house 16h MWCNTs		16h MWCNTs		
283.15	6.121	283.15	6.106		
288.15	6.169	288.15	6.154		
293.15	6.218	293.15	6.202		
298.15	6.266	298.15	6.251		
303.15	6.316	303.15	6.300		
308.15	6.366	308.15	6.350		
313.15	6.416	313.15	6.400		
318.15	6.466	318.15	6.451		
323.15	6.517	323.15	6.501		
328.15	6.569	328.15	6.553		
333.15	6.621	333.15	6.605		

Table 6. Isobaric thermal expansion coefficient of EG and NFs in the temperature range from 283.15 K to 333.15 K.

3.4. Isobaric Heat Capacity

328.15

333.15

2612

2633

328.15

333.15

The isobaric heat capacity of EG and nanofluids containing 0.5 wt% and 1.0 wt% of MWCNTs was measured in the temperature range from 283.15 K to 333.15 K in 5 K steps. The obtained results are listed in Table 7 and presented in Figure 7.

	1	5	1	0	
T (K)	C_p (J·kg ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹)	Т (К)	C_p (J·kg ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹)	T (K)	C_p (J·kg ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹)
	EG		EG + 0.5 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs		EG + 1 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs
283.15	2387	283.15	2385	283.15	2365
288.15	2415	288.15	2410	288.15	2388
293.15	2442	293.15	2434	293.15	2411
298.15	2469	298.15	2459	298.15	2435
303.15	2495	303.15	2483	303.15	2458
308.15	2520	308.15	2506	308.15	2482
313.15	2544	313.15	2529	313.15	2506
318.15	2567	318.15	2552	318.15	2530
323.15	2590	323.15	2575	323.15	2554

2597

2618

2578

2602

328.15

333.15

Table 7. Isobaric heat capacity of EG and NFs in the temperature range from 283.15 K to 333.15 K.



Figure 7. The influence of temperature on the isobaric heat capacity of EG and EG-based NFs. Solid lines—linear trends.

3.5. Prandtl Number

The Prandtl number (*Pr*) of EG and nanofluids containing 0.5 wt% and 1.0 wt% of MWCNTs at temperatures of 298.15 K, 303.15 K, and 308.15 K, was calculated based on Equation (3). The obtained results are listed in Table 8 and presented in Figure 8.

$$Pr = \frac{C_p \eta}{\lambda} \tag{3}$$

Table 8. Prandtl number of EG and NFs in the temperature range from 298.15 K to 308.15 K.

Т (К)	Pr (-)	T (K)	Pr (-)	Т (К)	Pr (-)
	EG		EG + 0.5 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs		EG + 1 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs
298.15	170.9	298.15	211.5	298.15	257.0
303.15	141.0	303.15	178.4	303.15	222.6
308.15	117.3	308.15	149.8	308.15	195.7



Figure 8. The influence of temperature on the Prandtl number of EG and EG-based NFs. Solid lines–linear trends.

Referring back to Figure 1, pristine 760 µm-long MWCNTs (Figure 1)-serving as the dispersed phase in nanofluids-were obtained via c-CVD. Macroscopically, MWCNT grew as vertically aligned films (Figure 1a) composed of straight, low-defect, several-dozen-wall nanotubes (Figure 1b). Importantly, all NFs-obtained via the elaborated three-stage protocol emerged as stable, black dispersions. Notably, in the range from 0 to 1 wt.%, the relationship between MWCNT concentration and thermal conductivity of NFs was fully linear. The maximum enhancement in thermal conductivity compared to the base liquid was found equal to 31.5% for NF containing 1 wt% in-house 16h MWCNTs. Such an increase could be linked purely to the behavior of MWCNTs, which acted as 'thermal bridges' in the continuous EG-phase. The overall effect is, hence, the generation of preferential paths enabling more efficient heat transfer. Additionally, as essential from the application point-of-view, MWCNT-EG NFs—in the entire range of nanotube concentrations tested herein-emerged as Newtonian fluids, enabling minimized pressure losses throughout the pumping. Being still in the application area, an increase in the density of NFs with MWCNT concentration was at the minimum level. At the same time, the isobaric thermal expansion coefficient of EG-based NFs as compared with base EG could be treated as insignificant. Importantly, since Pr >> 1, the momentum diffusivity dominates over the thermal diffusivity. Consequently, the thermal boundary layer is much thinner when compared to the velocity boundary layer. Overall, the analysis of the above criteria proves that NFs based on long MWCNTs—dispersed in EG in the presence of PVP as a stabilizer—constitute an excellent prognostic for their prospective application as heat transfer media.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: K.B., M.D., and S.B. Validation: K.B., B.J., A.G., M.D., and S.B. Investigation: K.B., B.J., A.G., M.D., and S.B. Writing—original draft preparation: S.B. Writing—review and editing: K.B., B.J., and M.D. Visualization: B.J., M.D., and S.B. Formal analysis: A.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: B.J., A.G., S.B., and M.D. thank the NATIONAL SCIENCE CENTRE (POLAND), grant number 2017/27/B/ST4/02748 for the financial support in the synthesis of in-house 16h MWCNTs.

Acknowledgments: The authors are very grateful to Barbara Maciejewska and Karin H. Müller for acquiring SEM and TEM images.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Nomenclature

a_0	polynomial coefficient in Equation (1)	kg·m ^{−3}
a_1	polynomial coefficient in Equation (1)	kg·m ^{−3} ·K ^{−1}
a2	polynomial coefficient in Equation (1)	kg·m ⁻³ ·K ⁻²
C_p	isobaric heat capacity	J·kg ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹
d	nanotube diameter	nm
1	nanotube length	nm
Pr	Prandtl number	-
SD	standard deviation of density	kg·m ^{−3}
Т	temperature	Κ
α_p	isobaric thermal expansion coefficient	K ⁻¹
δρ	mean deviation of density	kg·m ^{−3}
η	dynamic viscosity	mPa∙s
λ	thermal conductivity	$W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$
ρ	density	kg·m ^{−3}

References

- 1. Jóźwiak, B.; Boncel, S. Rheology of ionanofluids—A review. J. Mol. Liq. 2020, 302, 112568. [CrossRef]
- 2. Okonkwo, E.C.; Wole-Osho, I.; Almanassra, I.W.; Abdullatif, Y.M.; Al-Ansari, T. An updated review of nanofluids in various heat transfer devices. *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* **2020**, 1–56. [CrossRef]

- 3. Żyła, G.; Vallejo, J.P.; Fal, J.; Lugo, L. Nanodiamonds—Ethylene Glycol nanofluids: Experimental investigation of fundamental physical properties. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **2018**, *121*, 1201–1213. [CrossRef]
- Barai, D.P.; Bhanvase, B.A.; Sonawane, S.H. A Review on Graphene Derivatives-Based Nanoflfluids: Investigation on Properties and Heat Transfer Characteristics. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2020, 59, 10231–10277. [CrossRef]
- 5. Mirsaeidi, A.M.; Yousefi, F. Viscosity, thermal conductivity and density of carbon quantum dots nanofluids: An experimental investigation and development of new correlation function and ANN modeling. *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* **2019**, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- Tam, N.T.; Phuong, N.V.; Khoi, P.H.; Minh, P.N.; Afrand, M.; Van Trinh, P.; Thang, B.H.; Żyła, G.; Estellé, P. Carbon Nanomaterial-Based Nanofluids for Direct Thermal Solar Absorption. *Nanomaterials* 2020, 10, 1199. [CrossRef]
- 7. Ghalandari, M.; Maleki, A.; Haghighi, A.; Shadloo, M.S.; Nazari, M.A.; Tlili, I. Applications of nanofluids containing carbon nanotubes in solar energy systems: A review. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2020**, *313*, 113476. [CrossRef]
- 8. De Volder, M.; Tawfick, S.H.; Baughman, R.H.; Hart, A.J. Carbon Nanotubes: Present and Future Commercial Applications. *Science* **2013**, *339*, 535–539. [CrossRef]
- 9. Xie, H.; Chen, L. Adjustable thermal conductivity in carbon nanotube nanofluids. *Phys. Lett. A* 2009, 373, 1861–1864. [CrossRef]
- 10. Liu, M.-S.; Lin, M.C.-C.; Huang, I.-T.; Wang, C.-C. Enhancement of thermal conductivity with carbon nanotube for nanofluids. *Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf.* **2005**, *32*, 1202–1210. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kumar, P.G.; Kumaresan, V.; Velraj, R. Stability, viscosity, thermal conductivity, and electrical conductivity enhancement of multi-walled carbon nanotube nanofluid using gum arabic. *Fuller. Nanotub. Carbon Nanostruct.* **2017**, *25*, 230–240. [CrossRef]
- 12. Boncel, S.; Zniszczoł, A.; Pawlyta, M.; Labisz, K.; Dzido, G. Heat transfer nanofluid based on curly ultra-long multi-wall carbon nanotubes. *Heat Mass Transf.* **2017**, *54*, 333–339. [CrossRef]
- 13. Jóźwiak, B.; Dzido, G.; Zorębski, E.; Kolanowska, A.; Jędrysiak, R.; Dziadosz, J.; Libera, M.; Boncel, S.; Dzida, M. Remarkable Thermal Conductivity Enhancement in Carbon-Based Ionanofluids: Effect of Nanoparticle Morphology. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12*, 38113–38123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Sahgal, A.; Hayduk, W. Ethylene solubility and diffusivity in hexane-dodecane and ethylene glycol-butanol solutions. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1979**, *24*, 222–227. [CrossRef]
- 15. Matsumoto, Y.; Touhara, H.; Nakanishi, K.; Watanabe, N. Molar excess enthalpies for water + ethanediol, + 1,2-propanediol, and + 1,3-propanediol at 298.15 K. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **1977**, *9*, 801–805. [CrossRef]
- 16. Zemánková, K.; Troncoso, J.; Romaní, L. Excess volumes and excess heat capacities for alkanediol+water systems in the temperature interval (283.15–313.15) K. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **2013**, 356, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 17. Douhéret, G.; Pal, A. Dielectric constants and densities of aqueous mixtures of 2-alkoxyethanols at 25.degree.C. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1988**, *33*, 40–43. [CrossRef]
- 18. Zorębski, E.; Lubowiecka-Kostka, B. Thermodynamic and transport properties of (1,2-ethanediol+1-nonanol) at temperatures from (298.15 to 313.15) K. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2009**, *41*, 197–204. [CrossRef]
- Zorębski, E.; Waligóra, A. Densities, Excess Molar Volumes, and Isobaric Thermal Expansibilities for 1,2-Ethanediol + 1-Butanol, or 1-Hexanol, or 1-Octanol in the Temperature Range from (293.15 to 313.15) K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2008, 53, 591–595. [CrossRef]
- Cocchi, M.; Marchetti, A.; Pigani, L.; Sanna, G.; Tassi, L.; Ulrici, A.; Vaccari, G.; Zanardi, C. Density and volumetric properties of ethane-1,2-diol+di-ethylen-glycol mixtures at different temperatures. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* 2000, *172*, 93–104. [CrossRef]
- 21. Jiménez, E.; Cabanas, M.; Segade, L.; García-Garabal, S.; Casas, H. Excess volume, changes of refractive index and surface tension of binary 1,2-ethanediol + 1-propanol or 1-butanol mixtures at several temperatures. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* **2001**, *180*, 151–164. [CrossRef]
- 22. Corradini, F.; Franchini, G.; Marchetti, A.; Tagliazucchi, M.; Tassi, L.; Tosi, G. Viscosities of 1,2-Ethanediol-2-Methoxyethanol solvent mixtures at various temperatures. *J. Solut. Chem.* **1993**, *22*, 1019–1028. [CrossRef]
- 23. Pastoriza-Gallego, M.; Lugo, L.; Cabaleiro, D.; Legido, J.; Piñeiro, M. Thermophysical profile of ethylene glycol-based ZnO nanofluids. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2014**, *73*, 23–30. [CrossRef]
- 24. Pastoriza-Gallego, M.J.; Lugo, L.; Legido, J.L.; Piñeiro, M.M. Thermal conductivity and viscosity measurements of ethylene glycol-based Al₂O₃ nanofluids. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2011**, *6*, 221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 25. Moosavi, M.; Taghizadeh, K.; Gholami, M.; Rostami, A.A. Thermodynamic and transport properties of acetonitrile + alkanediols liquid mixtures at different temperatures, experimental measurements and modeling. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2017**, *113*, 236–249. [CrossRef]
- Decagon Devices Inc. KD2 Pro Thermal Properties Analyzer–Operator's Manual; Decagon Devices Inc.: Pullman, WA, USA, 2016. Available online: http://manuals.decagon.com/Manuals/13351_KD2%20Pro_Web.pdf (accessed on 10 December 2020).

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).