

Supplementary Materials

Table S1. Colour variation values ΔE of each of the cleanings. Coloured in green are values greater than 5, indicating an easily noticeable change in colour.

| | First Cleaning | | Second Cleaning | | Total Cleaning | |
|-----|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | | ΔE (T2-T1) | | ΔE (T3-T2) | | ΔE (T3-T1) |
| KJ1 | | 8 | | 2 | | 6 |
| KJ2 | | 16 | | 6 | | 22 |
| KJ3 | | 14 | | 9 | | 22 |
| KJ4 | | 4 | | 5 | | 6 |
| KJ5 | | 3 | | 5 | | 3 |
| KD1 | | 3 | | 1 | | 2 |
| KD2 | | 12 | | 11 | | 22 |
| KD3 | | 13 | | 9 | | 21 |
| KD4 | | 5 | | 2 | | 6 |
| KD5 | | 3 | | 17 | | 20 |
| AG1 | | 3 | | 3 | | 5 |
| AG2 | | 16 | | 5 | | 21 |
| AG3 | | 15 | | 5 | | 20 |
| AG4 | | 8 | | 4 | | 12 |
| AG5 | | 9 | | 14 | | 22 |

Table S2. Data from colorimetric analysis obtained during cleaning of the application after drying.

| | T0 | | | T1 | | | T2 | | | $(T2-T1)$ |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|
| | L | a* | b* | L | a* | b* | L | a* | b* | |
| KJ1 | 71.51 | -1.31 | -2.7 | 54.4 | -45.63 | -15.2 | 64.99 | -15.44 | -9.47 | 32.50 |
| KJ2 | 71.73 | -1.4 | -2.92 | 53.68 | -45.27 | -14.84 | 61.12 | -2.27 | -2.56 | 45.33 |
| KJ3 | 71 | -1.36 | -2.82 | 53.38 | -48.9 | -14.86 | 63.22 | -3.93 | -3.44 | 47.43 |
| KJ4 | 71.6 | -1.3 | -2.6 | 54.98 | -36.59 | -14.94 | 69.42 | -3.94 | -4.24 | 37.27 |
| KJ5 | 72.43 | -1.36 | -2.73 | 54.05 | -43.24 | -15.09 | 56 | -4.17 | -3.47 | 40.81 |
| KD1 | 70.36 | -1.3 | -2.42 | 54.14 | -40.92 | -15.39 | 54.76 | -39.42 | -15.3 | 1.63 |
| KD2 | 73.33 | -1.31 | -2.63 | 55.05 | -41.65 | -14.82 | 64.04 | -3.08 | -2.71 | 41.41 |
| KD3 | 71.04 | -1.33 | -2.64 | 55.5 | -31.92 | -14.67 | 64.36 | -4.24 | -3.85 | 31.01 |
| KD4 | 69.08 | -1.31 | -2.76 | 54.44 | -47.6 | -15.65 | 68.92 | -28.92 | -17.01 | 23.67 |
| KD5 | 70.65 | -1.35 | -2.88 | 54.2 | -39.33 | -14.99 | 54.08 | -14.5 | -7.48 | 25.94 |
| AG1 | 70.75 | -1.32 | -2.75 | 54.54 | -40.08 | -14.97 | 54.73 | -39.86 | -14.71 | 0.39 |
| AG2 | 71.44 | -1.31 | -2.72 | 53.34 | -52.4 | -14.78 | 57.42 | -2.8 | -2.73 | 51.21 |
| AG3 | 71.31 | -1.27 | -2.52 | 54.29 | -39.26 | -14.92 | 56.89 | -11.49 | -6.53 | 29.13 |
| AG4 | 72.28 | -1.28 | -2.64 | 53.85 | -46.32 | -14.53 | 69.82 | -22.1 | -14.59 | 29.01 |
| AG5 | 76.68 | -1.01 | -2.12 | 53.33 | -44.11 | -14.75 | 49.93 | -26.07 | -13.63 | 18.39 |

Table S3. Data from XRF analysis obtained during cleaning of the application after drying. Difference in Cu counts between the stained mock-ups and after cleaning. ND: no difference.

| Difference in Cu Counts | |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| KJ1 | 2,890,264 |
| KJ2 | 4,083,784 |
| KJ3 | 4,718,721 |
| KJ4 | 3,673,585 |
| KJ5 | 4,535,227 |
| KD1 | ND |
| KD2 | 3,786,088 |
| KD3 | 2,151,944 |
| KD4 | 2,376,877 |
| KD5 | 2,146,642 |
| AG1 | ND |
| AG2 | 4,625,610 |
| AG3 | 3,984,632 |
| AG4 | 1,141,770 |
| AG5 | 2,081,537 |

Table S4. Data from ICP-MS analysis obtained during cleaning of the application after drying. Difference in Cu counts between the stained mock-ups and after cleaning. Copper and calcium extracted by each gel after its application.

| | Cu ($\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$) | Ca ($\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$) |
|-----|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| KJ1 | 1813 | 48 |
| KJ2 | 2027 | 29 |
| KJ3 | 2609 | 448 |
| KJ4 | 2157 | 78 |
| KJ5 | 2512 | 73 |
| KD1 | 70 | 13 |
| KD2 | 2566 | 49 |
| KD3 | 1036 | 689 |
| KD4 | 488 | 25 |
| KD5 | 1151 | 125 |
| AG1 | 106 | 47 |
| AG2 | 2348 | 53 |
| AG3 | 2179 | 1459 |
| AG4 | 666 | 77 |
| AG5 | 1274 | 77 |