



# Effect of Treatment with Heated Scallop Shell Powder on the Inactivation of Naturally Existing Bacteria and *Listeria monocytogenes* Inoculated on Chicken Meat

Kiuta Omura<sup>1</sup>, Emi Kaibara<sup>1</sup>, Sae Yamaguchi<sup>1</sup>, Hana Aoyagi<sup>1</sup>, Mari Nishio<sup>1</sup>, Kazuhisa Tomita<sup>2</sup> and Jun Sawai<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Nutrition and Life Science, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Kanagawa Institute of Technology, 1030 Shimo-Ogino, Atsugi 243-0292, Kanagawa, Japan
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Applied Biosciences, Kanagawa Institute of Technology, 1030 Shimo-Ogino, Atsugi 243-0292, Kanagawa, Japan
- \* Correspondence: sawai@bio.kanagawa-it.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-46-291-3193

Abstract: This study investigated the efficacy of heated scallop shell powder (HSSP) treatment in preserving chicken thigh meat. Chicken thigh meat was treated with HSSP slurry (1% and 5%) for 60 min, and the variation in aerobic bacteria and coliform populations was assessed during refrigerated storage (10 °C). There was a substantial increase in aerobic bacteria, reaching nearly 7 log<sub>10</sub> colony forming unit (CFU)/g following 7 days of refrigeration, in the untreated chicken meat. Conversely, the aerobic bacterial population of the HSSP-treated chicken was <5 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g. Coliform growth in the untreated chicken reached over 5 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g following 7 days. In contrast, the coliform population of the HSSP-treated chicken did not reach 5 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g at 1% HSSP concentration; it was suppressed to <4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g at 5% concentration. *Listeria monocytogenes*, which can grow at low temperatures, was inoculated into the chicken meat (5 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g) treated with alcohol, which was followed by HSSP. In the untreated chicken, *L. monocytogenes* increased to 9 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g even when refrigerated for 7 days. However, in the chicken treated with 5% HSSP, *L. monocytogenes* was suppressed to approximately 3 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g. These findings reveal that HSSP treatment is an effective method for disinfecting meat, inhibiting bacterial growth, and enhancing preservation.

**Keywords:** heated scallop shell powder; calcium oxide; disinfection; antimicrobial activity; antibacterial activity; *Listeria monocytogenes*; chicken meat

## 1. Introduction

Currently, although some scallop shells are repurposed for use in food additives and paints, the majority of them are categorized as industrial waste. In areas where scallops are harvested, the heavy metals in internal organs and the odor emanating from discarded shells have become notable pollution issues [1–3]. Sawai et al. [4,5] demonstrated that heating scallop shells at 800 °C or higher results in the conversion of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), the primary component of the shell, into calcium oxide (CaO), which exhibits antimicrobial properties. Application of these heated shell powders to food products can extend their shelf life. Furthermore, using discarded seashells as a useful resource can mitigate pollution problems. Similarly, other seashells such as oyster shells [6–9], surf clam shells [10], mussels [11,12], and blood cockle shells [13] have been found to exhibit antimicrobial properties following heat treatment.

A scallop shell powder heated at 1000 °C had almost the same antibacterial activity as CaO [5]. Heated seashells, such as heated scallop shells, have been reported to be effective against bacteria [5,14,15], fungi [16,17], heat-resistant bacterial spores [18,19], viruses [20–22], and biofilms [23–27]. Recently, manufactured heated scallop shell powder (HSSP) nanoparticles have shown higher antimicrobial activity than microparticles [20,28,29]. Furthermore, paints containing HSSP are nontransparent because the HSSP is microparticles. By using



Citation: Omura, K.; Kaibara, E.; Yamaguchi, S.; Aoyagi, H.; Nishio, M.; Tomita, K.; Sawai, J. Effect of Treatment with Heated Scallop Shell Powder on the Inactivation of Naturally Existing Bacteria and *Listeria monocytogenes* Inoculated on Chicken Meat. *Foods* **2024**, *13*, 370. https://doi.org/10.3390/ foods13030370

Academic Editors: Carmen Adriana Campos and Jose M. Miranda

Received: 2 January 2024 Revised: 17 January 2024 Accepted: 22 January 2024 Published: 23 January 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). HSSP nanoparticles, paints with antimicrobial activity and high transparency could be developed [21]. Recently, medical applications related to HSSP nanoparticles have also been investigated. Ishihara et al. [30] showed that treatment with HSSP nanoparticles (0.2 wt%) can disinfect wounds. Ointments containing nanoparticles (0.2 wt%) were also found to be effective [31]. Thus, their application in the medical field is expected. It should be emphasized that the application of heated seashell powders is spreading.

There are several reports on the application of heated shell powder in the food sector, including fresh vegetables [32–35], fruits [36], sausages [37], fish [38], and food packaging materials [39]. Specifically, these powders are as effective as or more effective than sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) treatment in terms of disinfecting and preserving fresh vegetables. However, few reports regarding the effects of these powders on the treatment and preservation of meat [15,40] have been found. Cagri-Mehmetoglu [40] reported that HSSP treatment significantly reduced the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* or *Salmonella enteritidis* inoculated on chicken wings. Ro et al. [15] demonstrated that storing HSSP-supplemented meat patties with beef at 10 °C completely inhibited the growth of three pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains. Therefore, in this study, as an application of HSSP on meat, the antimicrobial effect of HSSP treatment and its preservation effect during refrigerated storage on bacteria originally present in chicken thighs and inoculated *L. monocytogenes* were investigated.

## 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. HSSP

Natural Japan Co., Ltd. (Abashiri, Hokkaido, Japan) prepared HSSP (particle size,  $4 \mu m$ ) via heat treatment at 1200 °C. After opening the package containing the powder, it was stored in a desiccator.

#### 2.2. HSSP Treatment of Chicken Thighs

2.2.1. Preparation of Samples and Inoculation with Pathogens

Chicken thigh meat cut into approximately 20 g pieces was purchased from a city supermarket. The cut chicken meat was used without any pretreatment (chicken meat samples) to investigate the naturally existing total aerobic bacteria and coliform counts. The chicken meat sample without HSSP treatment, shown in Section 2.2.2, was used as a control when examining chicken thighs for naturally existing bacteria.

The bacteria were inoculated using the following procedure: L. monocytogenes ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) 19114, the inoculum organism was stored in a 10% glycerol solution at -80 °C. Then, the bacterial cells were thawed and preincubated in a nutrient broth (Eiken Chemicals Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at 37 °C for 20 h, washed (3000 rpm, 10 min), and resuspended in sterile 0.85% saline at a concentration of  $10^9$  colony forming unit (CFU)/mL. The cut chicken meat (approximately 500 g) was soaked in 500 mL of 70% ethanol for 15 min, transferred to a colander, and allowed to stand on a clean bench for 1 h. The colander was soaked in 500 mL of sterile water to remove the alcohol remaining in the chicken meat (15 min). Next, the colander containing chicken meat was soaked in sterile water (500 mL) and inoculated with 1 mL of the bacterial suspension of L. monocytogenes ATCC 1911 (approximately 10<sup>9</sup> CFU/mL) for 15 min. The colander containing the chicken meat was drained for 20 min, and L. monocytogenes was allowed to settle. The L. monocytogenes-inoculated chicken meat was used for sampling in this study. The abovementioned processes were performed on a clean bench at room temperature  $(25 \circ C \pm 2 \circ C)$ . The *L. monocytogenes*-inoculated chicken meat without HSSP treatment, shown in Section 2.2.2, was used as a control when inoculated with L. monocytogenes.

## 2.2.2. HSSP Treatment

The HSSP treatment was performed according to the protocol described by Yamanaka et al. [7]. The HSSP was added to a sterilized stainless-steel vessel containing sterilized water (2.8 L) at a concentration of 1 wt/v% or 5 wt/v% and agitated using a magnetic stirrer at 500 rpm. A disinfected colander containing chicken meat samples or *L. monocytogenes*-

inoculated chicken meat samples (approximately 100 g) was immersed in the HSSP slurry for 60 min. Subsequently, the HSSP-treated chicken meat samples were drained for 1 h. The abovementioned procedure was performed at 25 °C  $\pm$  2 °C on a clean bench.

Approximately 20 g of the HSSP-treated or untreated chicken meat was sampled and homogenized with 100 mL of sterile physiological saline for 1 min using a stomacher (Pro Media, SH-IIM; Elmex Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Then, a 1 mL aliquot of the solution in a stomacher filter bag (Elmex) was serially diluted with sterile 0.85% saline and incubated with Standard Methods Agar (Eiken Chemicals), X-GAL Agar (Nissui Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and PALCAM *Listeria*-Selective Agar (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) to count the total aerobic bacteria, coliforms, and *Listeria*, respectively. After incubation at 37 °C for 48 h, the bacterial colonies were counted. This was set as day 0.

The drained chicken meat was stored in a polyethylene bag (Ziploc<sup>®</sup>, Asahi Kasei Home Products Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at 10 °C to investigate the storage quality of the chicken meat after treatment. Then, the populations of aerobes, coliforms, and *Listeria* present in chicken meat after 3, 5, and 7 days of storage were estimated using the procedure described above.

### 2.3. Color Measurement

The color change was measured on days 0, 3, 5, and 7 of storage at 10 °C for the untreated and HSSP-treated chicken meat samples without alcohol treatment. The Hunter color values ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$ ) of the chicken meat surface were measured using a colorimeter (CR-400, Konica Minolta, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) at three different regions on the chicken meat's surface.

#### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate (n = 3). Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error. Furthermore, data were subjected to a two-way analysis of variance with Tukey's test using BellCurve for Excel<sup>®</sup> version 2.0.3 (Social Survey Research Information Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan); p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1. Naturally Existing Bacteria

Variations in the aerobic and coliform populations of the chicken meat following HSSP treatment are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The population of aerobic bacteria in the untreated chicken meat increased by one order of magnitude to over  $5 \log_{10} \text{ CFU/g}$  on day 3, even during refrigeration, and increased by approximately two orders of magnitude to reach 6.7 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g on day 7. Conversely, the HSSP treatment (1% and 5%) maintained levels 1–3 orders of magnitude lower than those of the untreated group, even after 7 days.

**Table 1.** Variation in naturally existing total aerobic bacterial population ( $\log_{10} \text{ CFU/g}$ ) of chicken meat after HSSP treatment and storage at 10 °C.

|                        | I  | Aerobic Bacteria Pop  | ulation (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/                        | g)  |
|------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Treatment              | Day 0  | Day 3   | Day 5  | Day 7   |
| No treatment (Control) | $4.6 \pm 0.3  {}^{a,A}$                          | 5.6 ±1.4 <sup>a,AB</sup>                                      | $6.5 \pm 1.3^{a,B}$                                    | $6.7 \pm 1.0^{a,B}$                                       |
| HSSP 1%<br>HSSP 5%     | $3.9 \pm 0.2 \ ^{a,A}$<br>$3.8 \pm 0.4 \ ^{a,A}$ | $3.5 \pm 0.4$ <sup>b,A</sup><br>$4.2 \pm 0.1$ <sup>b,AB</sup> | $6.1 \pm 0.1 \ ^{ m a,B}$<br>$5.1 \pm 1.7 \ ^{ m b,B}$ | $5.6 \pm 0.1  {}^{ m b,C}$<br>$3.8 \pm 1.9  {}^{ m c,AC}$ |

Abbreviation: HSSP, heated scallop shell powder. Means in the same column followed by different letters (<sup>a-c</sup>) are significantly different (p < 0.05). Means in the same row followed by different letters (<sup>A-C</sup>) are significantly different (p < 0.05).

| <b>T</b> , ,           |                            | Coliform Populat           | ion (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g) |                             |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Treatment              | Day 0                      | Day 3                      | Day 5                         | Day 7                       |
| No treatment (Control) | $3.9\pm0.8$ <sup>a,A</sup> | $5.0\pm1.7$ <sup>a,B</sup> | $5.4\pm1.6$ <sup>a,B</sup>    | $5.4\pm1.1~^{\mathrm{a,B}}$ |
| HSSP 1%                | $3.5\pm0.4$ $^{ m ab,A}$   | $3.5\pm0.2$ b,A            | $4.3\pm0.1$ <sup>b,B</sup>    | $4.8\pm0.1$ <sup>a,B</sup>  |
| HSSP 5%                | $2.9\pm0.3~^{b,A}$         | $3.2\pm1.0~^{b,AB}$        | $3.9\pm0.4~^{\text{b,B}}$     | $3.6\pm1.1~^{b,B}$          |

**Table 2.** Variation in naturally existing total coliform population ( $\log_{10} \text{ CFU/g}$ ) of chicken meat after HSSP treatment and storage at 10 °C.

Abbreviation: HSSP, heated scallop shell powder. Means in the same column followed by different letters (<sup>a,b</sup>) are significantly different (p < 0.05). Means in the same row followed by different letters (<sup>A,B</sup>) are significantly different (p < 0.05).

The population of coliforms in the untreated chicken exceeded 5  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g by day 7. On the contrary, in the HSSP treatment, the coliforms increased over time but remained < 5  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g at 1% and <4  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g at 5%, even after 7 days (Table 2).

Based on previous reports, the antimicrobial effects of CaO are caused by its alkalinity (pH  $\geq$  12) as a result of hydration. In addition to alkalinity, reactive oxygen species (ROS) released from CaO are considered another antimicrobial mechanism [41], and their formation has been detected in HSSP, including CaO as the main component [14,42]. ROS are highly oxidizing free radicals with significant reactivity to numerous biomolecules [43]. These ions can be lethal to bacterial cells, which is probably because of the damage they cause to bacterial membranes, DNA, and proteins.

Photographs of the chicken meat following the HSSP treatment are shown in Table 3. In the untreated case, almost no change was observed from day 0 to even after 7 days. In contrast, the surface of the chicken meat treated with 1% and 5% HSSP turned white. Comparing days 0 and 7 of HSSP treatment, no change in color was visually observed.

| <b>T</b> ( )              | Storage Time |       |       |       |  |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Treatment                 | Day 0        | Day 3 | Day 5 | Day 7 |  |
| No treatment<br>(Control) |              |       |       |       |  |
| HSSP 1%                   |              |       |       |       |  |
| HSSP 5%                   |              |       |       |       |  |

Table 3. Changes in color values of chicken meat after HSSP treatment and storage at 10 °C.

Abbreviation: HSSP, heated scallop shell powder.

A detailed examination of color change in the chicken meat due to the HSSP treatment was conducted by measuring the meat color via the  $L^*a^*b^*$  color system using a colorimeter

(Table 4). *L*\* values increased significantly with the HSSP treatment (p < 0.05), indicating a change in color to white, whereas *a*\* values decreased significantly with the HSSP treatment (p < 0.05), which is consistent with a decrease in redness. On the contrary, *b*\* values differed between the untreated and HSSP-treated samples, with some showing a significant difference, depending on the sample date. Although difficult to visually observe, color changes during storage showed a gradual but significant increase (p < 0.05) in *L*\* and *a*\* values for the untreated chicken meat. However, no significant color changes in the *L*\*, *a*\*, or *b*\* values were observed during storage for either the 1% or 5% HSSP-treated chicken meat.

**Table 4.** Changes in the Hunter color values of chicken meat after HSSP treatment and storage at 10 °C.

| Hunter Color<br>Values | Treatment                                    | Storage Time   |   |   |   |  |
|------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
|                        |  | Day 0  | Day 3   | Day 5   | Day 7   |  |
| L*                     | No treatment (Control)<br>HSSP 1%<br>HSSP 5% | $\begin{array}{c} 44.8 \pm 5.2 \ ^{a,A} \\ 61.6 \pm 9.4 \ ^{b,A} \\ 61.6 \pm 9.4 \ ^{b,A} \end{array}$   | $\begin{array}{c} 47.8 \pm 4.3 \ ^{a,AC} \\ 57.8 \pm 5.9 \ ^{b,A} \\ 57.8 \pm 5.9 \ ^{b,A} \end{array}$   | $\begin{array}{c} 51.6 \pm 4.4 \\ 51.8 \pm 1.6 \\ 51.8 \pm 1.6 \\ 51.8 \pm 1.6 \\ a,B \end{array}$  | $52.7 \pm 5.2^{a,BC} \\ 59.9 \pm 5.6^{b,A} \\ 59.9 \pm 5.6^{b,A}$   |  |
| a*                     | No treatment (Control)<br>HSSP 1%<br>HSSP 5% | $\begin{array}{c} 2.9 \pm 2.3 ^{\text{a,A}} \\ 3.4 \pm 1.4 ^{\text{a,A}} \\ 0.9 \pm 1.0 ^{\text{b,A}} \end{array}$                               | $\begin{array}{c} 4.8 \pm 1.0 \ ^{a,AB} \\ 1.6 \pm 0.8 \ ^{b,A} \\ 0.9 \pm 0.6 \ ^{b,A} \end{array}$      | $8.7 \pm 0.3$ <sup>a,D</sup><br>$3.4 \pm 2.5$ <sup>b,A</sup><br>$1.7 \pm 0.5$ <sup>c,A</sup>  | $\begin{array}{c} 5.2 \pm 1.9 \text{ a,CB} \\ 2.2 \pm 2.9 \text{ b,A} \\ 2.6 \pm 1.9 \text{ b,A} \end{array}$ |  |
| b*                     | No treatment (Control)<br>HSSP 1%<br>HSSP 5% | $\begin{array}{c} 5.1 \pm 2.8 \ ^{\text{a},\text{ABC}} \\ 4.7 \pm 3.0 \ ^{\text{a},\text{AC}} \\ 9.1 \pm 5.1 \ ^{\text{b},\text{A}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 2.8 \pm 2.2 \; ^{ab,B} \\ -0.2 \pm 3.8 \; ^{b,B} \\ 4.2 \pm 2.7 \; ^{ac,A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 4.9 \pm 4.3 ^{\text{a},\text{ABC}} \\ 2.6 \pm 2.8 ^{\text{a},\text{ABC}} \\ 8.5 \pm 1.1 ^{\text{b},\text{A}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 7.8 \pm 2.6 \\ 5.5 \pm 2.1 \\ 7.9 \pm 5.1 \\ a,A \end{array}$                               |  |

Abbreviation: HSSP, heated scallop shell powder. Means in the same column followed by different letters (<sup>a-c</sup>) are significantly different (p < 0.05).  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$  are statistically treated separately. Means in the same row followed by different letters (<sup>A-D</sup>) are significantly different (p < 0.05).

## 3.2. Inoculated Pathogenic Bacteria

*Listeria monocytogenes*, a foodborne pathogen, has been frequently reported in readyto-eat products because of its ability to survive and grow under refrigerated conditions [44]. Many outbreaks have been recorded [45]; the lethality (fatality rate) of severe listeriosis ranges from 20% to 30% [46]. Therefore, chicken samples inoculated with *L. monocytogenes* were prepared and treated with 5% HSSP, which was particularly effective in inhibiting naturally existing bacteria (Section 3.1).

Table 5 shows the changes in aerobic bacteria and *Listeria* counts in the HSSP-treated chicken thighs during refrigerated storage. A slight difference in the populations of *Listeria* and aerobic bacteria was observed in the controls, indicating that the inoculated *L. monocytogenes* accounted for most of the bacteria present in the chicken thighs because of the alcohol treatment. *L. monocytogenes* in the untreated meat (control) increased from  $5 \log_{10} \text{ CFU/g}$  to  $9 \log_{10} \text{ CFU/g}$  after inoculation at 7 days of refrigerated storage. In contrast, the 5% HSSP treatment decreased the populations of *L. monocytogenes* in chicken meat by approximately two orders of magnitude (day 0) and maintained the *L. monocytogenes* population during the storage period (p < 0.05). The difference from the control on day 7 was approximately six orders of magnitude.

**Table 5.** Variation in the total aerobic bacterial population ( $\log_{10} \text{ CFU/g}$ ) and *Listeria* population ( $\log_{10} \text{ CFU/g}$ ) of chicken meat inoculated with *L. monocytogenes* after HSSP treatment and storage at 10 °C.

| Bacteria         | Treatment                         | Bacterial Population (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)  |   |   |   |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
|                  | ileatilient                       | Day 0   | Day 3   | Day 5   | Day 7   |
| Aerobic bacteria | No treatment (Control)<br>HSSP 5% | $\begin{array}{c} 5.6 \pm 0.2  {}^{\rm a,A} \\ 3.6 \pm 1.5  {}^{\rm b,A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 7.2 \pm 0.8 \ ^{a,B} \\ 4.2 \pm 2.1 \ ^{b,A} \end{array}$       | $\begin{array}{c} 8.9 \pm 0.4 \ ^{\rm a,C} \\ 4.4 \pm 1.3 \ ^{\rm b,A} \end{array}$   | $9.2 \pm 0.2~^{a,C}$<br>$3.5 \pm 1.1~^{b,A}$                                |
| Listeria         | No treatment (Control)<br>HSSP 5% | $5.5 \pm 0.3^{a,A} \ 3.7 \pm 1.4^{b,A}$   | $\begin{array}{c} 7.0 \pm 0.8 \; {}^{a,B} \\ 3.9 \pm 1.6 \; {}^{b,A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 7.1 \pm 1.4 ^{\text{c,B}} \\ 3.3 \pm 0.9 ^{\text{b,A}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 9.0 \pm 0.3 \ ^{a,C} \\ 2.8 \pm 0.2 \ ^{b,A} \end{array}$ |

Abbreviation: HSSP, heated scallop shell powder. Means in the same column followed by different letters (<sup>a-c</sup>) are significantly different (p < 0.05). Means in the same row followed by different letters (<sup>A-C</sup>) are significantly different (p < 0.05).

Cagri-Mehmetoglu [40] reported that chicken wings inoculated with *L. monocytogenes* and *S. enteritidis* at 8 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g and treated with HSSP showed a reduction of three to five orders of magnitude, respectively. Yamanaka et al. [7] prepared fried chicken using chicken thighs treated with heated oyster shell powder; sensory evaluation revealed that the fried chicken prepared using chicken thighs treated with heated oyster shell powder was softer and tastier than that prepared using untreated chicken thighs. Furthermore, Mine et al. [47] reported that adding heated oyster shell powder to minced meat strengthened the binding power and suppressed weight loss after heating. As mentioned previously, the sensory evaluation was satisfactory, and no serious problems with the HSSP-treated meat were anticipated at this stage.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, HSSP treatment effectively inhibited naturally existing bacteria and the inoculated *L. monocytogenes* in chicken thigh meat during cold storage (~7 days), indicating that HSSP treatment is a valuable meat disinfection method. However, there is growing concern about the accumulation of used antimicrobials and antiseptics in rivers and other sources, the development of drug resistance in environmental microorganisms, and the spread of drug-resistant genes [48–51]. The heated shell powder, whose main component is CaO, exhibits antimicrobial activity, and it is used to control microorganisms in food and the environment. When released into the environment, the heated shell powder absorbs  $CO_2$  and returns to its original shell component, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, which has no antimicrobial activity. Then, it returns to the sea through rivers. It may also be used as a component of shellfish and may be caught and landed again. Shells can be regarded as a circulating antimicrobial agent, which is a material associated with the SDGs. Apart from calcium fortification, heated shell powder can help food producers and consumers produce and consume wholesome food with a good taste.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, J.S.; methodology, K.T. and J.S.; validation, J.S.; investigation, K.O., E.K., S.Y., H.A. and M.N.; writing—original draft preparation, K.O., H.A., M.N. and J.S.; writing review and editing, J.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### Abbreviations

| CFU              | Colony forming unit         |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| HSSP             | heated scallop shell powder |
| L. monocytogenes | Listeria monocytogenes      |
| ROS              | reactive oxygen species     |

### References

- Tamaru, M.; Yabutani, T.; Motonaka, J. Multielement determination of trace metals in scallop samples. *Bunseki Kagaku* 2004, 55, 1435–1440. (In Japanese) [CrossRef]
- 2. Ghimire, K.N.; Kai, H.; Inoue, K.; Ohto, K.; Kawakita, H.; Harada, H.; Morita, M. Heavy metal removal from contaminated scallop waste for feed and fertilizer application. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2008**, *99*, 2436–2441. [CrossRef]
- Morris, J.P.; Backeljau, T.; Chapelle, G. Shells from aquaculture: A valuable biomaterial, not a nuisance waste product. *Rev. Aquac.* 2019, 11, 42–57. [CrossRef]
- 4. Sawai, J. Antimicrobial characteristics of heated scallop shell powder and its application. *Biocontrol Sci.* **2011**, *16*, 95–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Sawai, J.; Shiga, H.; Kojima, H. Kinetic analysis of the bactericidal action of heated scallop-shell powder. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2001, 71, 211–218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fransisca, L.; Zhou, B.; Park, H.; Feng, H. The effect of calcinated calcium and chlorine treatments on *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 87-23 population reduction in radish sprouts. *J. Food Sci.* 2011, 76, M404–M412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yamanaka, S.; Mine, H.; Suhara, H.; Issiki, K. Effectiveness of calcium preparation on improvement for shelf-life period of food. Nippon Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaishi 1995, 42, 442–445. (In Japanese) [CrossRef]
- 8. Yen, L.T.; Weng, C.H.; Than, N.A.T.; Tzeng, J.H.; Jacobson, A.R.; Iamsaard, K.; Dang, V.D.; Lin, Y. Mode of inactivation of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* by heated oyster-shell powder. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2022**, 432, 134386. [CrossRef]
- Qu, C.L.; Lin, S.M.; Potiyaraj, P.; Meng, L.; Wu, C.S.; Yuan, L.; Luo, X.; Ge, F.F.; Tsou, C.H. Polymer packaging through the blending of biowaste oyster shell and low-density polyethylene: A sustainable approach for enhanced food preservation. *Polymers* 2023, 15, 3977. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oikawa, K.; Asada, T.; Yamamoto, K.; Wakabayashi, H.; Sasaki, M.; Sato, M.; Matsuda, J. Antibacterial activity of calcined shell calcium prepared from wild surf clam. *J. Health Sci.* 2000, 46, 98–103. [CrossRef]
- 11. Li, M.; Yao, Z.T.; Chen, T.; Lou, Z.H.; Xia, M. The antibacterial activity and mechanism of mussel shell waste derived material. *Powder Technol.* **2014**, *264*, 577–582. [CrossRef]
- Agalya, P.; Suresh Kumar, G.; Srinivasan, R.; Prabu, K.M.; Karunakaran, G.; Cholan, S.; Kolesnikov, E.; Kim, M. Hydroxyapatitebased antibacterial bio-nanomaterials: An insight into the synthesis using mussel shell as a calcium source, physicochemical properties, and nanoindentation characteristics. *Appl. Phys. A* 2021, 127, 589. [CrossRef]
- 13. Rusdaryanti, A.F.; Amalia, U.; Suharto, S. Antibacterial activity of CaO from blood cockle shells (*Anadara granosa*) calcination against *Escherichia coli*. *Biodivers*. *J. Biol. Divers*. **2020**, *21*, 2827–2831.
- 14. Bae, D.H.; Yeon, J.H.; Park, S.Y.; Lee, D.H.; Ha, S.D. Bactericidal effects of CaO (scallop-shell powder) on foodborne pathogenic bacteria. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **2006**, *29*, 298–301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Ro, E.Y.; Ko, Y.M.; Yoon, K.S. Survival of pathogenic enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC) and control with calcium oxide in frozen meat products. *Food Microbiol.* **2015**, *49*, 203–210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Sawai, J.; Shiga, H. Kinetic analysis of antifungal activity of heated scallop-shell powder against *Trichophyton* and possible application to the treatment of dermatophytosis. *Biocontrol Sci.* **2006**, *11*, 125–128. [CrossRef]
- 17. Xing, R.; Qin, Y.; Guan, X.; Liu, S.; Yu, H.; Li, P. Comparison of antifungal activities of scallop shell, oyster shell and their pyrolyzed products. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* **2013**, *39*, 83–90. [CrossRef]
- 18. Sawai, J.; Miyoshi, H.; Kojima, H. Sporicidal kinetics of *Bacillus subtilis* spores by heated scallop shell powder. *J. Food Prot.* 2003, 66, 1482–1485. [CrossRef]
- 19. Sawai, J.; Ohashi, S.; Miyoshi, H.; Shiga, H. Killing of *Bacillus subtilis* spores by heated scallop-shell powder containing calcium oxide as the main component. *Bokin Bobai* 2007, *35*, 3–11. (In Japanese)
- Thammakarn, C.; Satoh, K.; Suguro, A.; Hakim, H.; Ruenphet, S.; Takehara, K. Inactivation of avian influenza virus, Newcastle disease virus and goose parvovirus using solution of nano-sized scallop shell powder. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 2014, 76, 1277–1280. [CrossRef]
- Akasaka, R.; Osawa, A.; Wada, R.; Sawai, J.; Nakagawa, Y. Antimicrobial activity and transparency of polyvinyl butyral paint containing heated scallop-shell powder. *Coatings* 2023, 13, 364. [CrossRef]
- 22. Hatanaka, N.; Xu, B.; Yamashita, Y.; Kawakami, H.; Yasugi, M.; Yamasaki, S. ShellCoat, a calcinated calcium solution, effectively inactivates SARS-CoV-2. *Biocontrol Sci.* 2022, 27, 53–56. [CrossRef]
- 23. Bodur, T.; Cagri-Mehmetoglu, A. Removal of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 biofilms on stainless steel using scallop shell powder. *Food Control* **2012**, 25, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Sawai, J.; Nagasawa, K.; Kikuchi, M. Ability of heated scallop-shell powder to disinfect *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm. *Food Sci. Technol. Res.* 2013, 19, 561–568. [CrossRef]
- Shimamura, N.; Irie, F.; Yamakawa, T.; Kikuchi, K.; Sawai, J. Heated scallop-shell powder treatment for killing and removal of Listeria sp. biofilm formed at low temperature. *Biocontrol Sci.* 2015, 20, 153–157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nagasawa, K.; Kikuchi, M.; Sawai, J. Antimicrobial effects of heated scallop-shell powder against Salmonella biofilm. Bokin Bobai 2011, 39, 587–594. (In Japanese)
- Tsukuda, H.; Akimoto, T.; Fukikoshi, N.; Wada, R.; Sawai, J. Antibiofilm effects of heated scallop shell powder on *Campylobacter jejuni* biofilms. *Membranes* 2021, 12, 43. [CrossRef]
- Jeong, M.S.; Park, J.S.; Song, S.H.; Jang, S.B. Characterization of antibacterial nanoparticles from the scallop *Ptinopecten yessoensis*. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 2007, 71, 2242–2247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Watanabe, T.; Fujimoto, R.; Kikuchi, M.; Sawai, J.; Yahata, S.; Satoh, S. Antibacterial characteristics of heated scallop-shell nano-particles. *Biocontrol Sci.* 2014, 19, 93–97. [CrossRef]
- Ishihara, M.; Hata, Y.; Hiruma, S.; Takayama, T.; Nakamura, S.; Sato, Y.; Ando, N.; Fukuda, K.; Murakami, K.; Yokoe, H. Safety of concentrated bioshell calcium oxide water application for surface and skin disinfections against pathogenic microbes. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 4502. [CrossRef]
- Takayama, T.; Ishihara, M.; Nakamura, S.; Sato, Y.; Hiruma, S.; Fukuda, K.; Murakami, K.; Yokoe, H. Bioshell calcium oxide (BiSCaO) ointment for the disinfection and healing of Pseudomonas aeruginosa-infected wounds in hairless rats. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 4176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 32. Kim, J.G.; Nimitkeatkai, H.; Choi, J.W.; Cheong, S.R. Calcinated calcium and mild heat treatment on storage quality and microbial populations of fresh-cut iceberg lettuce. *Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *52*, 408–412. [CrossRef]
- Mamun, A.A.; Simul, H.A.; Rahman, A.; Gazi, N.N.; Bari, L. Prevalence of foodborne pathogens and effectiveness of washing or cooking in reducing microbiological risk of contaminated red amaranth. *Agric. Food Anal. Bacteriol.* 2012, 2, 222–231.
- Nomoto, Y.; Sawada, S.; Abe, S.; Wakazawa, J.; Kikuchi, M.; Sawai, J. Sorbitol minimizes calcium carbonate scale generation while maintaining the disinfection effect of heated scallop-shell powder for fresh produce. *Biocontrol Sci.* 2018, 23, 157–165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Tsuruma, A.; Nomoto, Y.; Nishio, M.; Ishikawa, M.; Sawai, J. Efficacy of sorbitol-coated heated scallop-shell powder for the antimicrobial treatment of fresh vegetables. *Food Control* **2020**, *110*, 106972. [CrossRef]
- Chen, X.; Tango, C.N.; Daliri, E.B.M.; Oh, S.Y.; Oh, D.H. Disinfection efficacy of slightly acidic electrolyzed water combined with chemical treatments on fresh fruits at the industrial scale. *Foods* 2019, *8*, 497. [CrossRef]
- 37. Bodur, T.; Yaldirak, G.; Kola, O.; Çağri-mehmetoğlu, A. Inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 on frankfurters using scallop-shell powder. *J. Food Saf.* **2010**, *30*, 740–752. [CrossRef]
- 38. Ahmed, S.; Akand, N.R.; Islam, M.T.; Mamun, A.A.; Bari, M.L. Effectiveness of scallop powder ice in reducing bacterial load on fresh whole fish and in the melted ice water. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *64*, 270–274. [CrossRef]
- Loyo, C.; Moreno-Serna, V.; Fuentes, J.; Amigo, N.; Sepúlveda, F.A.; Ortiz, J.A.; Rivas, L.M.; Ulloa, M.T.; Benavente, R.; Zapata, P.A. PLA/CaO nanocomposites with antimicrobial and photodegradation properties. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 2022, 197, 109865. [CrossRef]
- 40. Cagri-Mehmetoglu, A. Inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella enteritidis* on chicken wings using scallop-shell powder. *Poult. Sci.* **2011**, *90*, 2600–2605. [CrossRef]
- 41. Sawai, J.; Kawada, E.; Kanou, F.; Igarashi, H.; Hashimoto, A.; Kokugan, T.; Shimizu, M. Detection of active oxygen generated from ceramic powders having antibacterial activity. *J. Chem. Eng. Jpn.* **1996**, *29*, 627–633. [CrossRef]
- 42. Kubo, M.; Ohshima, Y.; Irie, F.; Kikuchi, M.; Sawai, J. Disinfection treatment of heated scallop-shell powder on biofilm of *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 surrogated for *E. coli* O157:H7. *J. Biomater. Nanobiotechnol.* **2013**, *4*, 40636. [CrossRef]
- 43. Freeman, B.A.; Crapo, J.D. Biology of disease: Free radicals and tissue injury. Lab. Investig. 1982, 47, 412–426.
- 44. Vodnar, D.C. Inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* ATCC 19115 on ham steak by tea bioactive compounds incorporated into chitosan-coated plastic films. *Chem. Cent. J.* 2012, *6*, 74. [CrossRef]
- 45. Gandhi, M.; Chikindas, M.L. *Listeria*: A foodborne pathogen that knows how to survive. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2007**, *113*, 1–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Carpentier, B.; Cerf, O. Review–Persistence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in food industry equipment and premises. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2011**, 145, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 47. Mine, H.; Suhara, H.; Yamanaka, S.; Isshiki, K. Application of calcium preparation on meat processing. *Nippon Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaishi* 1995, 42, 268–272. (In Japanese) [CrossRef]
- 48. Okai, M.; Aoki, H.; Ishida, M.; Urano, N. Antibiotic-resistance of fecal coliforms at the bottom of the Tama river, Tokyo. *Biocontrol Sci.* **2019**, *24*, 173–178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Ana, K.M.S.; Madriaga, J.; Espino, M.P. β-lactam antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in Asian lakes and rivers: An overview of contamination, sources and detection methods. *Environ. Pollut.* **2021**, 275, 116624. [CrossRef]
- Sabri, N.; Schmitt, H.; Van der Zaan, B.; Gerritsen, H.W.; Zuidema, T.; Rijnaarts, H.H.M.; Langenhoff, A.A.M. Prevalence of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in a wastewater effluent-receiving river in the Netherlands. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2020, *8*, 102245. [CrossRef]
- Basiry, D.; Entezari Heravi, N.; Uluseker, C.; Kaster, K.M.; Kommedal, R.; Pala-Ozkok, I. The effect of disinfectants and antiseptics on co-and cross-selection of resistance to antibiotics in aquatic environments and wastewater treatment plants. *Front. Microbiol.* 2022, 13, 1050558. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.