

GENERAL MIXED MULTIVALUED MILDLY NONLINEAR VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES IN H-SPACES

Rais Ahmad and Salahuddin
 Department of Mathematics
 Aligarh Muslim University
 ALIGARH – 202 002 (INDIA).

Abstract - A general mixed multivalued mildly nonlinear variational inequality is considered. We establish an existence for (GMMMNV) by replacing convexity assumptions with merely topological properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the years 1983-1985, Horvath [2] obtained minimax inequalities by replacing convexity assumptions with merely topological properties.

In this paper, we consider a general mixed multivalued mildly nonlinear variational inequality, introduced and studied by Siddiqi *et al* [3], and prove the existence of its solution without convexity.

Let X and Y be two real Banach spaces. Let $K \subset X$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of X , $g: K \rightarrow K$ be a continuous mapping, $T, A: K \rightarrow 2^{L(X,Y)}$ be the multivalued mappings, where $L(X,Y)$ is the space of all linear continuous mappings from X into Y .

Consider the general mixed multivalued mildly nonlinear variational inequality (GMMMNV). Find $u \in K$, $x \in T(u)$ and $y \in A(u)$ such that $g(u) \in K$ and

$$\langle x - y, g(v) - g(u) \rangle + b(u, g(v)) - b(u, g(u)) \geq 0, \text{ for all } g(v) \in K, \quad \dots (1.1)$$

where $b: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear form.

2. EXISTENCE THEORY

First, we give definitions for the existence theorem for (GMMMNV), that can be found in [1].

Definition 2.1. Let X be a topological space and $\{\Gamma_A\}$ be a family of nonempty contractible subsets of X , indexed by the finite subsets of X .

A subset $D \subset X$ is called weakly H-convex if, for every finite subset $A \subset D$, it results that $\Gamma_A \cap D$ is nonempty and contractible. This is equivalent to saying that the pair $(D, \{\Gamma_A \cap D\})$ is an H-space ;

A subset $K \subset X$ is called H-compact if there exists a compact, weakly H-convex set $D \subset X$ such that $K \cup A \subset D$ for every finite subset $A \subset X$;

A multifunction $G : X \rightarrow 2^X$, is called H-KKM mapping if

$$\Gamma_A \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} G(x), \text{ for every finite subset } A \subset X.$$

Lemma 2.1. [1]. Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H-space and $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$, an H-KKM multifunction such that

- (a) For each $x \in X$, $F(x)$ is compactly closed, that is $B \cap F(x)$ is closed in B , for every compact set $B \subset X$;
- (b) There are a compact set $L \subset X$ and an H-compact set $K \subset X$ such that, for each weakly H-convex set D with $K \subset D \subset X$, we have

$$\bigcap_{x \in D} \{F(x) \cap D\} \subset L$$

Then

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} F(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Now, we state and prove the main Theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, \{\Gamma_k\})$ be an H-Banach space and Y be an ordered Banach space. Assume that

- 1⁰. $T, A : X \rightarrow 2^{L(X,Y)}$ be the compact valued, continuous multivalued mappings ;
- 2⁰. $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping ;
- 3⁰. $b : X \times X \rightarrow R$ is a continuous, nonlinear form ;

- 4⁰. for each $v \in X$, $B_v = \left\{ u \in X : \exists x \in T(v), y \in A(v) \text{ such that } \langle x - y, g(v) - g(u) \rangle + b(u, g(v)) - b(u, g(u)) < 0 \right\}$ is H-convex or empty;

- 5⁰. there exists a compact set $L \subset X$ and an H-compact set $E \subset X$ such that for every weakly H-convex set D with $E \subset D \subset X$

$\{v \in D : \exists x \in T(v), y \in A(v) \text{ such that}$

$$\langle x - y, g(v) - g(u) \rangle + b(u, g(v)) - b(u, g(u)) \geq 0, \text{ for all } u \in D\} \subset L.$$

Then (GMMMNV I) is solvable.

Proof : Let

$$F(u) = \left\{ v \in X : \exists x \in T(v), y \in A(v) \text{ such that } \langle x - y, g(v) - g(u) \rangle + b(u, g(v)) - b(u, g(u)) \geq 0 \right\}, u \in X$$

First we prove that F is an H-KKM mapping and the conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 2.1 hold.

Suppose that F is not an H-KKM mapping. Then there exists a finite subset $K \subset X$

such that $\Gamma_K \not\subset \bigcup_{u \in K} F(u)$. Thus there exists $z \in \Gamma_K$ such that

$$z \notin F(u), \text{ for all } u \in K,$$

that is

$$\langle x - y, g(z) - g(u) \rangle + b(u, g(z)) - b(u, g(u)) < 0, \text{ for all } u \in K, x \in T(z), y \in A(z).$$

By assumption 4⁰, $K \subset B_z$ and $\Gamma_K \subset B_z$, since B_z is H-convex. Therefore $z \in B_z$, that is there exists $x \in T(z), y \in A(z)$ such that

$$\langle x - y, g(z) - g(z) \rangle + b(z, g(z)) - b(z, g(z)) < 0,$$

which is not possible. Thus $\Gamma_K \subset \bigcup_{u \in K} F(u)$ for every finite subset K of X , so that F is an

H-KKM mapping.

Next, we prove that for every $u \in X$, $F(u)$ is closed. Indeed, let $\{v_n\}$ be a sequence in $F(u)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v_0 \in X$, $g(v_n) \rightarrow g(v_0) \in X$. Since $v_n \in F(u)$ for all n , there exists $x_n \in T(v)$ and $y_n \in A(v)$ such that

$$\langle x_n - y_n, g(v_n) - g(u) \rangle + b(u, g(v_n)) - b(u, g(u)) \geq 0.$$

Since $T(v)$ and $A(v)$ are compact, without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists $x_0 \in T(v)$ and $y_0 \in A(v)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ and $y_n \rightarrow y_0$. Now since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ are continuous and $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, $y_n \rightarrow y_0$, $v_n \rightarrow v_0$, $g(v_n) \rightarrow g(v_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_n - y_n, g(v_n) - g(u) \rangle + b(u, g(v_n)) - b(u, g(u)) \\ \rightarrow \langle x_0 - y_0, g(v_0) - g(u) \rangle + b(u, g(v_0)) - b(u, g(u)) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v_0 \in F(u)$ and so $F(u)$ is closed for every $u \in X$, that is, the condition (a) of the Lemma 2.1 holds. It is easy to see that the assumption 5⁰ of the Theorem 2.1 is same one with the condition (b) of the Lemma 2.1.

Then by Lemma 2.1

$$\bigcap_{u \in X} F(u) \neq \emptyset,$$

consequently, there exists $u_0 \in X$, $x_0 \in T(u_0)$, $y_0 \in A(u_0)$ such that $g(u_0) \in X$ such that

$$\langle x_0 - y_0, g(v) - g(u_0) \rangle + b(u_0, g(v)) - b(u_0, g(u_0)) \geq 0, \text{ for all } g(v) \in X.$$

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bardaro and R. Ceppitelli, Some further generalizations of Knaster–Kuratowski–and Mazurkiewicz Theorem and Minimax Inequalities, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*; **132**, 484-490 (1988).
- [2] C. Horvath, Some results on multivalued mappings and inequalities without convexity in “Nonlinear and convex Analysis”, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. Series Vol. 107*, Springer Verlag, (1987).
- [3] A. H. Siddiqi; R. Ahmad and F. M. Khan, An Iterative Scheme for General Mixed Multivalued and Mildly Nonlinear Variational Inequalities (To appear).