MAGNETIC FIELD AND ENDOSCOPE INFLUENCES ON PERISTALTIC TRANSPORT: AN EXACT SOLUTION
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Abstract- The effect of magnetic field on peristaltic flow through the gap between
uniform tubes is studied under the assumption of long wavelength at low Reynolds
number. The inner tube is rigid and the outer tube has a sinusoidal wave travelling
down its wall. The flow is investigated in a wave frame of reference moving with the
velocity of the wave. The analytical solution for velocities and pressure gradient is
derived. The effects of magnetic field and an endoscope on the velocities, pressure
gradient, pressure rise and frictional forces on the inner and outer tubes are exam-
ined.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Peristaltic transport is a form of fluid transport generated by a progressive wave
of area contraction or expansion along the length of a distensible tube contain-
ing fluid. Fluid transport through muscular tubes by means of peristaltic waves
is an important biological mechanism and is found in swallowing food through the
esophagus, transport of urine from kidney to bladder, movement of chyme in the
gastro-intestinal tract, intra-uterine fluid motion, transport of spermatozoa in the
ductus efferentes of the male reproductive tract, in movement of ovum in the fe-
male fallopian tube, vasomotion of small blood vessels and in many other glandular
ducts. The mechanism of peristaltic transport has been also exploited for industrial
applications such as sanitary fluid transport, blood pumps in heart lung mechanics
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and transport of corrosive fluids where the contact of the fluid with the machinery parts is prohibited. To understand peristaltic action in various situations, several theoretical and experimental investigations have been made. Important contributions to the topic on Newtonian or non-Newtonian fluids include the studies of Latham [1], Shapiro et al. [2], Fung and Yih [3], Yin and Fung [4], Shukla and Gupta [5], Srivastava and Srivastava [6], Takabatake and Ayukawa [7], Weinberg et al. [8], Yin and Fung [9], Eytan et al. [10], Srivastava and Saxena [11], Böhme and Friedrich [12], Siddiqui and Schwarz [13, 14], Hayat et al. [15, 16, 17], etc.

In all the studies mentioned above, the effect of an endoscope on the peristalsis has not been considered. More recently, Hakeem et al. [18] studied the effects of an endoscope and a generalized Newtonian fluid on the peristaltic motion. The fluid considered in reference [18] is hydrodynamic. Moreover a catheter placed within a two-dimensional model having oscillating walls has been analyzed by Rau and Usha [19], Roos and Lykoudis [20] and Yaniv et al. [21]. The influence of magnetic field on the blood flow has been discussed by Sud et al. [22]. They found that the blood speed is accelerated under the effect of a suitable moving magnetic field. Also, Agrawal and Anwaruddin [23] discussed the influence of magnetic field on blood flow by taking a simple mathematical model for blood flow through an equally branched channel with flexible walls executing peristaltic waves employing the long wavelength approximation. Mekheimer [24] studied the effect of magnetic field on peristaltic transport of blood in a non-uniform two dimensional channel, when blood is represented by a couple stress fluid. Helmy [25] obtained similarity solutions for the unsteady flow of a power-law fluid on a porous plate moving uniformly in the presence of a transverse magnetic field. An analytical solution for the velocity field and coefficient of friction of boundary layer equations for a power-law fluid in a transverse variable magnetic field is obtained by Helmy [26].

In recent years some attempts have been made to study the effects of magnetic field and an endoscope simultaneously on peristaltic motion (see [27-40] and the references mentioned there). The magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) peristaltic flow of a fluid is of interest in connection with certain problems of the movement of conductive physiological fluids e.g. the blood and blood pump machines. The purpose of this paper is to study the peristaltic flow of a magnetohydrodynamic fluid through the gap between co-axial uniform tubes. The inner tube (an endoscope) is rigid and the outer tube has a sinusoidal wave travelling down its wall. The present analysis has been carried out under the assumption of long wavelength at low Reynolds num-
ber. This assumption is applicable since the radius (1.25 cm) of the small intestine is small as compared with the wavelength ($\lambda = 8.01 \text{ cm}$). The governing problem is solved analytically and effects of Hartmann number on the velocity components, pressure gradient, pressure rise and frictional forces on the inner and outer tubes are analyzed and discussed in detail.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 the problem is formulated mathematically. In Section 3 an analytical solution to the problem is obtained. The integrals are evaluated numerically using \texttt{NIntegrate} in \textsc{Mathematica}®. In Section 4 the effects of magnetic field and an endoscope on the velocities, pressure gradient, pressure rise and frictional forces on the inner and outer tubes are discussed and in Section 5 conclusions are presented.

2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider the magnetohydrodynamic flow of a viscous, incompressible and electrically conducting fluid through the gap between inner and outer tubes. The inner tube is an endoscope and the outer tube has a sinusoidal wave travelling down its wall. The surface of the tubes is electrically insulated. The geometry of the problem is shown in Fig. 1. We choose a cylindrical polar coordinate system ($R, Z$) with $R$ in the radial direction and $Z$ along the centerline of the inner and outer tubes. A uniform magnetic field $B_0$ is applied transversely to the flow. The magnetic Reynolds number is small and so the induced magnetic field is negligible. The geometry of the two wall surfaces is defined through the following equations

\begin{align}
    r_1 &= a_1, \quad (1) \\
    r_2 &= a_2 + b \sin 2\pi (Z - ct), \quad (2)
\end{align}

in which $a_1$ is the radius of the inner tube, $a_2$ is the radius of the outer tube at the inlet, $b$ is the amplitude of the wave (wavelength $\lambda$), $c$ is the propagation velocity and $t$ is the time.

The Navier-Stokes equations and the continuity equation which govern the flow are:

\begin{align}
    \rho \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial}{\partial R} + W \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right] U &= -\frac{\partial\overline{p}}{\partial R} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} - \frac{1}{R^2} \right) U, \quad (3) \\
    \rho \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial}{\partial R} + W \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right] W &= -\frac{\partial\overline{p}}{\partial Z} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} \right) W - \sigma B_0^2 W, \quad (4)
\end{align}
\[
\frac{\partial \bar{U}}{\partial \bar{R}} + \frac{\bar{U}}{\bar{R}} + \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \bar{Z}} = 0,
\]

where \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity, \( \sigma \) is the electrical conductivity of the fluid, \( \rho \) is the fluid density, \( p \) is the pressure and \( \bar{U} \) and \( \bar{W} \) are the velocities in the laboratory frame. We shall carry out the analysis in a wave frame in which the flow is steady. The coordinates and velocities in the laboratory frame \((\bar{R}, \bar{Z})\) and the wave frame \((\bar{r}, \bar{z})\) are related through

\[
\bar{z} = Z - ct, \quad \bar{r} = R, \quad w = W - c, \quad u = U,
\]

where \( u \) and \( w \) are the velocities in the wave frame. The boundary conditions in the wave frame are [18]

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{w} & = -c \text{ at } \bar{r} = \bar{r}_1, \quad \bar{r} = \bar{r}_2 \\
\bar{u} & = 0 \text{ at } \bar{r} = \bar{r}_1.
\end{align*}
\]

Employing the transformations (6) and (7) and then defining the dimensionless variables

\[
r = \frac{\bar{r}}{a_2}, \quad r_1 = \frac{r_1}{a_2} = \frac{a_1}{a_2} = \epsilon < 1, \quad z = \frac{\bar{z}}{a_2},
\]

Figure 1: Effects of an endoscope on peristaltic motion of a MHD fluid.
\(w = \frac{\bar{w}}{c}, \quad u = \lambda \bar{w}/a_2 c, \quad p = a_2^2 \mu c \lambda, \quad t = c \bar{t}/\lambda,\)

Eqs. (3)-(5) and boundary conditions (8)-(9) become

\[
\text{Re} \, \delta^3 \left[ u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right] u = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + 2 \delta^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \delta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \delta^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{2 \delta^2}{r} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - \frac{u}{r} \right),
\]

(11)

\[
\text{Re} \, \delta \left[ u \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right] w = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \delta^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right) \right] + 2 \delta^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} - M^2 (w + 1),
\]

(12)

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{u}{r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0,
\]

(13)

\[
w = -1 \quad \text{at} \quad r = r_1 = \epsilon, \quad r = r_2,
\]

(14)

\[
u = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = r_1 = \epsilon,
\]

(15)

\[
r_2 = 1 + \phi \sin 2\pi z,
\]

(16)

where the dimensionless wave number (\(\delta\)), Reynolds number (\(\text{Re}\)), the amplitude ratio (\(\phi\)) and Hartmann number (\(M\)) are respectively given by

\[
\delta = \frac{a_2}{\lambda} \ll 1, \quad \text{Re} = \frac{\rho c a_2}{\mu}, \quad \phi = b/a_2 < 1,
\]

(17)

\[
M = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\mu}} B_0 a_2 > \sqrt{2},
\]

and \(\epsilon\) is the radius ratio. The Eqs. (11)-(12) for long wavelength with low Reynolds number approximation become

\[
0 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial r},
\]

(18)

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right] - M^2 (w + 1),
\]

(19)

where Eq. (18) shows that \(p\) is not a function of \(r\). Hence \(p\) is only a function of \(z\).

In dimensionless variables the expressions for volume flow rate (\(F\)), pressure rise (\(\Delta P_\lambda\)) and frictional forces on the inner (\(F_\lambda^{(i)}\)) and outer tubes (\(F_\lambda^{(o)}\)) are
respectively given by [18]

\[ F = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} rw \, dr, \quad (20) \]

\[ \Delta P = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{dp}{dz} \right) dz, \quad (21) \]

\[ F^{(i)} = \int_0^1 r_1^2 \left( \frac{dp}{dz} \right) dz, \quad (22) \]

\[ F^{(o)} = \int_0^1 r_2^2 \left( \frac{dp}{dz} \right) dz. \quad (23) \]

3. ANALYTICAL SOLUTION

Since the right-hand side of Eq. (19) is a function of \( z \) only, we have that

\[ \frac{dp}{dz} = \lambda_1(z), \quad (24) \]

\[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{dw}{dr} \right] - M^2 (w + 1) = \lambda_1(z). \quad (25) \]

Eq. (25) can easily be solved to give

\[ w(r) = A_1 I_0(Mr) + A_2 K_0(Mr) - (\lambda_1(z) + M^2) / M^2, \quad (26) \]

where \( I_0 \) is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order 0, \( K_0 \) is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order 0 and \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are constants to be determined after imposing the boundary conditions (14). Imposing the boundary conditions on Eq. (26) we find that

\[ A_1 = \frac{\lambda_1(z) (K_0(\epsilon M) - K_0(Mr_2))}{M^2 (I_0(Mr_2)K_0(\epsilon M) - I_0(\epsilon M)K_0(Mr_2))}, \quad (27) \]

\[ A_2 = \frac{\lambda_1(z) (I_0(Mr_2) - I_0(\epsilon M))}{M^2 (I_0(Mr_2)K_0(\epsilon M) - I_0(\epsilon M)K_0(Mr_2))}. \quad (28) \]

We can calculate \( \lambda_1(z) \) in terms of the flow rate \( F \) through Eq. (20). Taking \( r_1 = \epsilon \) we find that

\[ \frac{dw}{dz} = \lambda_1(z) = \left[ M^4 \left( I_0(Mr_2(z)) K_0(\epsilon M) - I_0(\epsilon M) K_0(Mr_2(z)) \right) \right. \]

\[ \left. \left( \epsilon^2 - 2 F - r_2(z)^2 \right) \right] \times \left[ 4 + \epsilon^2 M^2 \left( I_2(\epsilon M) K_0(Mr_2(z)) - I_0(M) r_2(z) \right) \right. \]

\[ - I_0(Mr_2(z)) K_2(\epsilon M) + M r_2(z) \left( -2 \left( I_1(Mr_2(z)) K_0(\epsilon M) + I_0(\epsilon M) K_1(Mr_2(z)) + M \left( I_0(Mr_2(z)) K_0(\epsilon M) \right. \right. \right. \]

\[ \left. \left. \left. - I_0(\epsilon M) K_0(Mr_2(z)) \right) r_2(z) \right) \right]^{-1}. \quad (29) \]
We plot Eq.(29) in Figs. 2-3.

We can calculate $u$ from Eq. (13) after using Eq. (26) and obtain

$$u = \left[ 2 M^4 r (I_0(M r_2(z)) K_0(\epsilon M) - I_0(\epsilon M) K_0(M r_2(z)))^2 r_2(z) \right]^{-1}$$

$$\left[ (I_0(M r_2(z)) K_0(\epsilon M) - I_0(\epsilon M) K_0(M r_2(z))) \right. $$

$$\left. \left( 2 + M \left( - r^2 I_0(\epsilon M) + \epsilon^2 I_2(\epsilon M) \right) K_0(M r_2(z)) \right. $$

$$\left. + 2 r I_1(M r) \left( -K_0(\epsilon M) + K_0(M r_2(z)) \right) \right. $$

$$\left. - 2 r I_0(\epsilon M) K_1(M r) + I_0(M r_2(z)) \left( M r^2 K_0(\epsilon M) + 2 r K_1(M r) \right) \right. $$

$$\left. - \epsilon^2 M K_2(\epsilon M)) \right) r_2(z) \lambda_1'(z) + 2 \left( -1 + M r I_1(M r) K_0(\epsilon M) \right) $$

$$\left. + M r I_0(\epsilon M) K_1(M r) \right) \lambda_1(z) \left( -1 + M \left( I_1(M r_2(z)) K_0(\epsilon M) \right. \right. $$

$$\left. + I_0(\epsilon M) K_1(M r_2(z))) r_2(z) \right] r_2'(z), \quad (30)$$

where $' = \frac{d}{dz}$. We plot the values of Eqs. (21)-(23) in Figs. 13-15, respectively. The values are calculated by evaluating the integrals numerically using \textit{NIntegrate} in \textsc{Mathematica}\textsuperscript{®}.

4. DISCUSSION

The effects of the Hartmann number on the pressure gradient $dp/dz$ are plotted in Figs. 2-4. The effect on $dp/dz$ under varying amplitude ratios $\phi$ is plotted in Fig. 2. From Fig. 2 we observe that the Hartmann number changes the maximum amplitude of $dp/dz$ when compared to the case with zero Hartmann number. This change in amplitude is increased by increasing the amplitude ratio $\phi$. The effect of changing flow rate on $dp/dz$ is indicated in Fig. 3. Again, we observe that there is a definite increase in the maximum amplitude of $dp/dz$ when increasing the magnitude of the flow rate when compared to the case of zero Hartmann number. In Fig. 4 we plot the change in $dp/dz$ when changing $\epsilon$. Again, there is an increase in the maximum amplitude of $dp/dz$ with increasing $\epsilon$.

In general, we can conclude that the presence of a magnetic filed increases the maximum amplitude of the pressure gradient. This increase is further compounded by increasing the amplitude ratio, $\phi$, the magnitude of the flow rate, $F$ and $\epsilon$. 
Figure 2: Plot showing variation of the pressure gradient $dp/dz$ within a wavelength $z \in [0, 1]$ for different values of the Hartmann number $M$ for the amplitude ratios (A) $\phi = 0.2$ and (B) $\phi = 0.4$ subject to a fixed flow rate $F = -2$. We have chosen $\epsilon = 0.32$.

Figure 3: Plot showing variation of the pressure gradient $dp/dz$ within a wavelength $z \in [0, 1]$ for a fixed Hartmann number $M = 4$ for the flow rates (A) $F = -1$ and (B) $F = -2$ subject to a fixed amplitude ratio $\phi = 0.2$. We have chosen $\epsilon = 0.32$. The dotted lines (• • •) correspond to the case $M = 0$.

The effects of the Hartmann number on the velocities $u$ and $w$ are investigated in Figs. 5-13. In Fig. 5 we plot the velocities $u(r, z)$ and $w(r, z)$ for zero Hartmann number. We have used a contour map to plot the effect of the Hartmann number on the velocities. The lighter shaded regions have a higher velocity than the regions shaded darker. Fig. 5A indicates a sink for $w$ while Fig. 5B indicates that $u$ is very sinusoidal flattening in the region for small $z$. In Figs. 6-9 the effects of changing Hartmann number, $M$, amplitude ratio $\phi$, flow rate $F$ and $\epsilon$ on $w(r, z)$ are plotted. From these figures we note that the main effect of increasing the magnitudes of $M$, $F$, $\phi$ and $\epsilon$ is to steepen the gradient of the sink. Also, the magnitude and width of
Figure 4: Plot showing variation of the pressure gradient $dp/dz$ within a wavelength $z \in [0, 1]$ for a fixed Hartmann number $M = 4$, flow rate $F = -2$ and amplitude ratio $\phi = 0.2$ with varying $\varepsilon$. The dotted lines (•••) correspond to the case $M = 0$.

the sink increases. We note that the width of the sink in Figs. 6B-9B is wider when compared with Figs. 6A-9A.

Figure 5: Plot showing $w(r, z)$ (A) and $u(r, z)$ (B) for zero Hartmann number ($M = 0$) where $\varepsilon = 0.32$, $F = -2, \phi = 0.2$, $r \in [\epsilon, r_2(z)]$ and $z \in [0, 1]$. 
Figure 6: Plot showing $w(r, z)$ for fixed amplitude ratio $\phi = 0.2$ and changing Hartmann number (A) $M = 4$ (B) $M = 16$, where $\epsilon = 0.32$ and $F = -2, r \in [\epsilon, r_2(z)]$ and $z \in [0, 1]$.

Figure 7: Plot showing $w(r, z)$ for fixed Hartmann number $M = 4$ and changing flow rate and changing amplitude ratio (A) $\phi = 0.2$, $F = -1$ (B) $\phi = 0.4$, $F = -2$ where $\epsilon = 0.32$, $r \in [\epsilon, r_2(z)]$ and $z \in [0, 1]$. 
Figure 8: Plot showing $w(r, z)$ for fixed amplitude ratio $\phi = 0.2$ and changing Hartmann number (A) $M = 4$ (B) $M = 16$, where $\epsilon = 0.42$ and $F = -2, r \in [\epsilon, r_2(z)]$ and $z \in [0, 1]$.

Figure 9: Plot showing $w(r, z)$ for fixed Hartmann number $M = 4$ and changing flow rate and changing amplitude ratio (A) $\phi = 0.2$, $F = -1$ (B) $\phi = 0.4$, $F = -2$ where $\epsilon = 0.42$, $r \in [\epsilon, r_2(z)]$ and $z \in [0, 1]$.

In Figs. 10-13 the effects of changing Hartmann number, $M$, amplitude ratio $\phi$, flow rate $F$ and $\epsilon$ on $u(r, z)$ are plotted. Here, once again, we note a steepening of the edges in the sinusoidal behaviour of $u$. There is an increase in the magnitude (depth and height of sinusoidal wave) as the parameter values increase. The change in behaviour mimics the change in behaviour indicated for $u$. 

Figure 10: Plot showing $u(r,z)$ for fixed amplitude ratio $\phi = 0.2$ and changing Hartmann number (A) $M = 4$ (B) $M = 16$, where $\epsilon = 0.32$, $F = -2$, $r \in [\epsilon, r_2(z)]$ and $z \in [0, 1]$.

Figure 11: Plot showing $u(r,z)$ for fixed Hartmann number $M = 4$ and changing flow rate and changing amplitude ratio (A) $\phi = 0.2$, $F = -1$ (B) $\phi = 0.4$, $F = -2$ where $\epsilon = 0.32$, $r \in [\epsilon, r_2(z)]$ and $z \in [0, 1]$.
Figure 12: Plot showing $u(r, z)$ for fixed amplitude ratio $\phi = 0.2$ and changing Hartmann number (A) $M = 4$ (B) $M = 16$, where $\epsilon = 0.42$, $F = -2$, $r \in [\epsilon, r_2(z)]$ and $z \in [0, 1]$.

Figure 13: Plot showing $u(r, z)$ for fixed Hartmann number $M = 4$ and changing flow rate and changing amplitude ratio (A) $\phi = 0.2$, $F = -1$ (B) $\phi = 0.4$, $F = -2$ where $\epsilon = 0.42$, $r \in [\epsilon, r_2(z)]$ and $z \in [0, 1]$.

In Fig. 14 the effects of changing Hartmann number, $M$, amplitude ratio $\phi$, flow rate $F$ and $\epsilon$ on the pressure rise $\Delta P$ are plotted. We observe that as the Hartmann number increases there is a nonlinear increase in the pressure rise. Increasing the values of the parameters $\phi$, $F$ and $\epsilon$ contributes to the increase in pressure rise.
Figure 14: Plot showing $\Delta P_\lambda$ for $M \in [0.01, 14]$ for changing amplitude ratio (A) $\phi = 0.2$ and $\phi = 0.4$, changing flow rate (B) $F = -1$ and $F = -2$ and changing $\epsilon$ (C) $\epsilon = 0.32$ and $\epsilon = 0.42$.

In Fig. 15 the effects of changing Hartmann number, $M$, amplitude ratio $\phi$, flow rate $F$ and $\epsilon$ on frictional forces on the inner tube $F^{(i)}_\lambda$ are plotted. In Fig. 16 the effects of changing Hartmann number, $M$, amplitude ratio $\phi$, flow rate $F$ and $\epsilon$ on frictional forces on the outer tube $F^{(o)}_\lambda$ are plotted. In both cases as the magnetic field increases we note an increase in the magnitude but in the opposite direction to the pressure rise. Increasing the values of the parameters $\phi$, $F$ and $\epsilon$ contributes to an increase in the frictional forces on the inner and outer tubes.
Figure 15: Plot showing $F^{(i)}_\lambda$ for $M \in [0.01, 15]$ for changing amplitude ratio (A) $\phi = 0.2$ and $\phi = 0.4$, changing flow rate (B) $F = -1$ and $F = -2$ and changing $\epsilon$ (C) $\epsilon = 0.32$ and $\epsilon = 0.42$. 
Figure 16: Plot showing $F^{(0)}_\lambda$ for $M \in [0.01, 15]$ for changing amplitude ratio (A) $\phi = 0.2$ and $\phi = 0.4$, changing flow rate (B) $F = -1$ and $F = -2$ and changing $\epsilon$ (C) $\epsilon = 0.32$ and $\epsilon = 0.42$.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A mathematical model to study the peristaltic transport of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) fluid along with an endoscope effect is presented. The most important characteristics of peristaltic mechanism such as the velocity components, pressure gradient (axial), pressure rise and frictional forces are discussed with the variation in Hartmann number, the amplitude ratio, radius ratio and flow rate. The graphs of analytical solutions for velocities and pressure gradient; and numerical evaluations of pressure rise and frictional forces reveal several facts. The amplitude of pressure gradient is found to be always larger in the case of an MHD fluid than in a hydrodynamic fluid. As expected, increase in Hartmann number increases pressure rise. The behaviour of frictional forces is opposite when compared to the pressure rise. Further, the pressure rise increases with increasing values of the amplitude and radius ratios. An increase in flow rate increases the pressure rise; thus maximum flow rate is achieved for large pressure rise and maximum pressure rise is achieved
at large flow rate. The Hartmann number is also found to decrease flow velocities.
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