

EFFECTS OF JOINT REPLENISHMENT POLICY ON COMPANY COST UNDER PERMISSIBLE DELAY IN PAYMENTS

Yu-Chung Tsao*, Ming-Yu Wang, Pei-Ling Lee

Department of Business Management, Tatung University, Taipei 104, Taiwan
yctsao@ttu.edu.tw

Abstract - In today's severely competitive business environment, reducing replenishment costs has become one of the most important objectives for companies. This study deals with the replenishment problem under the condition of permissible delay in payments. To better reflect real-world business situations, we extend the traditional EOQ model by considering the situations of permissible delay in payments and multi-item replenishment. This study presents both single-item and joint multi-item replenishment models, and develop theorems to solve the problems. The objective of the single-item replenishment policy is to determine the optimal replenishment cycle time for each item while minimizing the total cost. The objective of the joint multi-item replenishment policy is to determine a common optimal replenishment cycle time for all items. Using computational analysis, we illustrate the solution procedures and draw conclusions. The results of this study can serve as a reference for business managers or administrators.

Key Words - EOQ, delay in payments, multi-item, joint replenishment

1. INTRODUCTION

In practice, suppliers often provide forward financing to retailers. In this situation, the supplier allows the retailer a credit period in which to settle the amount owed for goods already supplied. Since the publication of Goyal's [1] paper almost 25 years ago, over 50 papers have appeared in the literature dealing with variety of trade credit situations including pricing-dependent demand (Abad and Jaggi [2], Sheen and Tsao [3], Tsao and Sheen [4]), shortages allowed (Jamal *et al.* [5], Ouyang *et al.* [6]), partial backlogging and deterioration (Aggarwal and Jaggi [7], Hwang and Shinn [8]), and variable cost (Tsao and Sheen [9]) etc. These studies indicate that the issue of trade credit is a very popular field of research. It is essential to consider trade credit when formulating a decision-making model.

Joint multi-item replenishment strategies are already widely applied in the real world. Examples of this type of strategy include the supplying of parts for automotive

assembly (Hahm and Yano [10]) and refrigerated goods to supermarkets (Hammer [11]). In the automotive industry, a supplier normally produces several different items for a single customer and puts together a combined shipment for that customer. In the grocery supply industry, different types of refrigerated goods (e.g., General Mills yogurt and Land O'Lakes butter) can be shipped in the same truck to the same supermarket (Hammer [11]). Other researches such as Goyal [12], Kao [13], Graves [14], Ben-Khedher and Yano [15], van Eijs [16], Rempala [17], and Chen and Chen [18] have proposed models and algorithms for solving multi-item replenishment problems for different situations.

However, the trade credit papers above only consider single-item problems, and ignore the effect of joint multi-item replenishment. In practice, trade credit and multi-item replenishment coexist. Therefore, none of these studies can be an appropriate reference. To address this problem, this paper formulates a model that combines the credit period with the joint multi-item replenishment policy. As a result, this is the first study to consider the joint replenishment problem in a trade credit situation. The objective of this study is to determine the optimal replenishment policy while still minimizing total cost. We present both single-item and joint multi-item replenishment models and develop theorems to solve these replenishment problems. This study also compares, for the first time, the performance of these two policies under delay in payments. Using computational analysis, we illustrate the solution procedures and draw conclusions. Results show that the joint multi-item replenishment policy is better than the single-item replenishment policy. We also provide useful references for managerial decision-making and administration based on mathematical modeling.

This study uses the following notations. I_p is the annual interest charged per dollar, I_e is the annual interest earned per dollar, M is the credit period, T_i is the replenishment cycle time for item i in the single-item replenishment policy, T is the replenishment cycle time in the joint replenishment policy, A is the major ordering cost per order, a_i is the minor ordering cost for item i , p_i is the selling price per unit for item i , c_i is the purchasing price per unit for item i , d_i is the demand rate for item i , h_i is the inventory holding cost per unit for item i , k is the number of items, θ is the set of units whose replenishment cycle is longer or equal to the credit period, and ϕ is the set of units whose replenishment cycle is shorter than the credit period.

The mathematical model is developed under the following assumptions: 1. The demand rates for items are constant with time. 2. Replenishments occur instantaneously. 3. Shortages are not allowed. 4. The selling price is higher than the purchasing price. 5. The unit retail price of the products sold during the credit period is deposited in an

interest bearing account with rate I_e . At the end of this period, the credit is settled and the retailer starts paying interest charges for the items in stock with rate I_p ($I_p > I_e$).

2. THE SINGLE-ITEM REPLINISHMENT MODEL

In the single-item replenishment model, the total annual cost $TVC_1(T_i)$ has two different functions as follows:

$$TVC_{11}(T_i) = \sum_{i \in \theta} \left[\frac{A + a_i}{T_i} + \frac{h_i d_i T_i}{2} + \frac{c_i I_p d_i (T_i - M)^2}{2T_i} - \frac{p_i I_e d_i M^2}{2T_i} \right] \quad \text{if } T_i \geq M, \quad (1)$$

$$TVC_{12}(T_i) = \sum_{i \in \phi} \left[\frac{A + a_i}{T_i} + \frac{h_i d_i T_i}{2} - p_i I_e d_i \left(M - \frac{T_i}{2} \right) \right] \quad \text{if } T_i < M, \quad (2)$$

$$TVC_1(T_i) = TVC_{11}(T_i) + TVC_{12}(T_i), \text{ and } \theta + \phi = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}. \quad (3)$$

Case 1: When $T_i \geq M$, in which i belongs to θ , the first and second-order derivatives of $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ with respect to T_i are

$$TVC'_{11}(T_i) = \frac{-[2A + 2a_i + d_i M^2 (c_i I_p - p_i I_e)]}{2T_i^2} + \frac{d_i (h_i T_i^2 + I_p c_i T_i^2)}{2T_i^2}, \quad (4)$$

$$TVC''_{11}(T_i) = \frac{2A + 2a_i + d_i M^2 (c_i I_p - p_i I_e)}{T_i^3}. \quad (5)$$

Case 2: When $T_i < M$, in which i belongs to ϕ , the first and second-order derivatives of $TVC_{12}(T_i)$ with respect to T_i are

$$TVC'_{12}(T_i) = \frac{d_i (h_i + p_i I_e)}{2} - \frac{(A + a_i)}{T_i^2}, \quad (6)$$

$$TVC''_{12}(T_i) = \frac{2(A + a_i)}{T_i^3} > 0. \quad (7)$$

Equation (7) implies that $TVC_{12}(T_i)$ is convex on $T_i > 0$. Let $\Delta_1 = 2A + 2a_i + d_i M^2 (c_i I_p - p_i I_e)$, then Equation (5) implies that $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ is convex on $T_i > 0$ if $\Delta_1 > 0$. Furthermore, Equations (1) and (2) imply that $TVC_1(T_i)$ is convex on $T_i > 0$ if $\Delta_1 > 0$. At $T_i = M$, we find $TVC_{11}(M) = TVC_{12}(M)$. Hence, $TVC_1(T_i)$ is continuous and well-defined.

To minimize $TVC_1(T_i)$, solve Equations $(6) = 0$ to obtain the optimal replenishment cycle time for item i in $T_i < M$,

$$T_{12}^* = \sqrt{\frac{2(A + a_i)}{d_i(h_i + I_e p_i)}} \quad (8)$$

the optimal order quantity for item i in $T_i < M$ is $Q(T_{12}^*) = T_{12}^* d_i = \sqrt{\frac{2d_i(A + a_i)}{h_i + I_e p_i}}$.

If $\Delta_1 > 0$, Equation(5) implies that $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ is convex on $T_i > 0$. Solve Equation $(4) = 0$ to obtain the optimal replenishment cycle time for item i in $T_i \geq M$,

$$T_{11}^* = \sqrt{\frac{2A + 2a_i + d_i M^2 (c_i I_p - p_i I_e)}{d_i (h_i + I_p c_i)}} \quad (9)$$

and the optimal order quantity for item i in $T_i \geq M$ is

$$Q(T_{11}^*) = T_{11}^* d_i = \sqrt{\frac{d_i [2A + 2a_i + d_i M^2 (c_i I_p - p_i I_e)]}{h_i + I_p c_i}}.$$

Based on the equations above, we derive and deduce Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 to determine the optimal replenishment cycle time for single-item replenishment when $\Delta_1 < 0$ and $\Delta_1 \geq 0$ respectively.

Theorem 1: If $\Delta_1 < 0$, then $TVC_1(T_i)$ has the minimum value $T_1^* = T_{12}^*$.

Proof: Because $TVC_{12}(T_i)$ is convex on $T_i > 0$ and $T_{12}^* < M$. Therefore, $TVC_{12}(T_i)$ is decreasing on $(0, T_{12}^*]$ and increasing on $[T_{12}^*, M]$. As a result, $TVC_{12}(T_i)$ has a minimum value at T_{12}^* on $(0, M]$. On the other hand, if $\Delta_1 < 0$, Equation(4) implies that $TVC'_{11}(T_i) \geq 0$ and $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ is increasing on $T_i > 0$. Thus, $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ is increasing on $[M, \infty)$. So $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ has a minimum value at M . From $TVC_{11}(M) = TVC_{12}(M)$, Equations (1) and (2) imply that $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ has the minimum value at T_{12}^* on $T_i > 0$. Therefore, $T_1^* = T_{12}^*$. \square

Theorem 2: If $\Delta_1 \geq 0$, let $\Delta_2 = 2A + 2a_i - d_i M^2 (h_i + p_i I_e)$, then

(a) When $\Delta_2 > 0$, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_1^* = T_{11}^*$.

(b) When $\Delta_2 < 0$, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_1^* = T_{12}^*$.

(c) When $\Delta_2 = 0$, the optimal replenishment interval is $T_1^* = T_{11}^* = T_{12}^* = M$.

Proof: (a) If $\Delta_2 > 0$, Equations (9) and (8) imply that $T_{11}^* > M$ and $T_{12}^* > M$. According to the convexities and the definitions of $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ for Case 1 and $TVC_{12}(T_i)$ for Case 2, we find that $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ is decreasing on $[M, T_{11}^*]$ and $TVC_{12}(T_i)$ is decreasing on $(0, M]$. This means that $TVC_{11}(T_i)$ has the minimum value at T_{11}^* and $TVC_{12}(T_i)$ has the minimum value at M . Therefore, from $TVC_{12}(M) = TVC_{11}(M) \geq TVC_{11}(T_{11}^*)$, we know that $TVC_1(T_i)$ has the minimum value at $T_1^* = T_{12}^*$. The proofs in (b) and (c) are similar to that in (a). \square

3. THE JOINT MULTI-ITEM REPLENISHMENT MODEL

In the joint multi-item replenishment model, the total annual cost $TVC_2(T_i)$ has two different functions as follows:

$$TVC_2(T) = \begin{cases} TVC_{21}(T) = \frac{A}{T} + \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\frac{a_i}{T} + \frac{h_i d_i T}{2} + \frac{c_i I_p d_i (T-M)^2}{2T} - \frac{p_i I_e d_i M^2}{2T} \right] & \text{if } T \geq M, \\ TVC_{22}(T) = \frac{A}{T} + \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\frac{a_i}{T} + \frac{h_i d_i T}{2} - p_i d_i I_e \left(M - \frac{T}{2} \right) \right] & \text{if } T < M. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Case 1: When $T \geq M$, the first and second-order derivatives of $TVC_{21}(T_i)$ with respect to T_i are

$$TVC'_{21}(T) = \frac{-\left[2A + \sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i + \sum_{i=1}^k d_i M^2 (c_i I_p - p_i I_e) \right]}{2T^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i I_p d_i + \sum_{i=1}^k h_i d_i}{2}, \quad (12)$$

$$TVC''_{21}(T) = \frac{2A + \sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i + \sum_{i=1}^k d_i M^2 (c_i I_p - p_i I_e)}{T^3}. \quad (13)$$

Case 2: When $T < M$, the first and second-order derivatives of $TVC_{22}(T_i)$ with respect to T_i are

$$TVC'_{22}(T) = -\frac{A}{T^2} + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(-\frac{a_i}{T^2} + \frac{h_i d_i}{2} + \frac{p_i I_e d_i}{2} \right), \quad (14)$$

$$TVC''_{22}(T) = \frac{2A}{T^3} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{2a_i}{T^3} > 0. \quad (15)$$

Equation (15) implies that $TVC_{22}(T)$ is convex on $T > 0$. Let $\Delta_3 = 2A + \sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i + \sum_{i=1}^k d_i M^2 (c_i I_p - p_i I_e)$, Equation (13) implies that $TVC_{21}(T)$ is convex on $T > 0$ when $\Delta_3 > 0$. Furthermore, Equations (10) and (11) imply that $TVC_2(T)$ is convex on $T > 0$ when $\Delta_3 > 0$. At $T = M$, we find $TVC_{21}(M) = TVC_{22}(M)$. Hence, $TVC_2(T)$ is continuous and well-defined.

To minimize $TVC_2(T)$, solve Equations (14) = 0 to obtain the optimal replenishment cycle time in $T < M$,

$$T_{22}^* = \sqrt{\frac{2A + \sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k h_i d_i + \sum_{i=1}^k I_e p_i d_i}}, \quad (16)$$

$$\text{the optimal order quantity in } T < M \text{ is } Q(T_{22}^*) = T_{22}^* d_i = \sqrt{\frac{d_i (2A + \sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^k h_i + \sum_{i=1}^k I_e p_i}}.$$

If $\Delta_3 > 0$, Equation (13) implies that $TVC_{21}(T)$ is convex on $T > 0$. Solve Equation (12) = 0 to obtain the optimal replenishment cycle time in $T > M$,

$$T_{21}^* = \sqrt{\frac{2A + \sum_{i=1}^k 2a_i + M^2 \left(I_p \sum_{i=1}^k c_i d_i - I_e \sum_{i=1}^k p_i d_i \right)}{I_p \sum_{i=1}^k c_i d_i + \sum_{i=1}^k h_i d_i}}, \quad (17)$$

and the optimal order quantity in $T \geq M$ is

$$Q(T_{21}^*) = T_{21}^* d_i = \sqrt{\frac{d_i [2A + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k a_i + M^2 (I_p \sum_{i=1}^k c_i d_i - I_e \sum_{i=1}^k p_i d_i)]}{I_p \sum_{i=1}^k c_i + \sum_{i=1}^k h_i}}.$$

Based on the equations above, we derive and deduce Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 to determine the optimal replenishment cycle time for joint multi-item replenishment when $\Delta_3 < 0$ and $\Delta_3 \geq 0$ respectively.

Theorem 3: If $\Delta_3 < 0$, then $TVC_2(T)$ has the minimum value $T_2^* = T_{22}^*$.

Proof: $TVC_{22}(T)$ is convex on $T > 0$ and $T_{22}^* < M$. Therefore, $TVC_{22}(T)$ is

decreasing on $(0, T_{22}^*]$ and increasing on $[T_{22}^*, M]$. Thus, $TVC_{22}(T)$ has a minimum value at T_{22}^* on $(0, M]$. On the other hand, if $\Delta_3 < 0$, Equation (14) implies that $TVC'_{21}(T) \geq 0$ and $TVC_{21}(T)$ is increasing on $T > 0$. Therefore, $TVC_{21}(T)$ is increasing on $[M, \infty)$. Thus, $TVC_{21}(T)$ has a minimum value at M . From $TVC_{21}(M) = TVC_{22}(M)$, Equations (11) and (12) imply that $TVC_2(T)$ has the minimum value at T_{22}^* on $T > 0$. Therefore, $T_2^* = T_{22}^*$. \square

Theorem 4: If $\Delta_3 \geq 0$, let $\Delta_4 = 2A + 2\sum_{i=1}^k a_i - M^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k h_i d_i + I_e \sum_{i=1}^k p_i d_i \right)$, then

- (a) When $\Delta_4 > 0$, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_2^* = T_{21}^*$.
- (b) When $\Delta_4 < 0$, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_2^* = T_{22}^*$.
- (c) When $\Delta_4 = 0$, the optimal replenishment interval is $T_2^* = T_{21}^* = T_{22}^* = M$.

Proof: (a) If $\Delta_4 > 0$, Equations (17) and (16) imply that $T_{21}^* \geq M$ and $T_{22}^* \geq M$. The convexities and the definitions of $TVC_{21}(T_i)$ for Case 1 and $TVC_{22}(T_i)$ for Case 2 show that that $TVC_{21}(T_i)$ is decreasing on $[M, T_{21}^*]$ and $TVC_{22}(T_i)$ is decreasing on $(0, M]$. This means that $TVC_{21}(T_i)$ has the minimum value at T_{21}^* and $TVC_{22}(T_i)$ has the minimum value at M . Therefore, from $TVC_{22}(M) = TVC_{21}(M) \geq TVC_{21}(T_{21}^*)$, we know that $TVC_2(T_i)$ has the minimum value at $T_2^* = T_{21}^*$. The proofs in (b) and (c) are similar to that in (a). \square

4. COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS

This section discusses five items and summarizes the parameter values in Table 1.

Table 1 values of parameters

	M	A	di	ci	Ip	pi	Ie	hi	ai
Item 1	$\frac{30}{365}$	500	1,000	25	0.15	30	0.1	2	3
Item 2	$\frac{30}{365}$	500	800	125	0.15	150	0.1	3	3
Item 3	$\frac{30}{365}$	500	600	170	0.15	200	0.1	2	3
Item 4	$\frac{30}{365}$	500	400	200	0.15	250	0.1	3	3
Item 5	$\frac{30}{365}$	500	50	350	0.15	420	0.1	4	3

4.1. The Single-item Replenishment Model v.s. The Joint Multi-item Replenishment Model

Using Theorem 2 for item 1, $\Delta_1 = 1011.07$ and $\Delta_2 = 972.223$, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_{11}^* = 0.41933$ and the total cost is 2102.93. Using Theorem 2 for item 2, $\Delta_1 = 1026.27$ and $\Delta_2 = 908.721$, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_{11}^* = 0.24286$ and the total cost is 2992.88. Using Theorem 2 for item 3, $\Delta_1 = 1028.29$ and $\Delta_2 = 916.828$, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_{11}^* = 0.249641$ and the total cost is 2861.55. Using Theorem 2 for item 4, $\Delta_1 = 1019.51$ and $\Delta_2 = 930.339$, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_{11}^* = 0.277913$ and the total cost is 2682.15. Using Theorem 2 for item 5, $\Delta_1 = 1009.55$ and $\Delta_2 = 990.462$, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_{11}^* = 0.597797$ and the total cost is 1473.02. The sum of these items, or total cost, is 12112.5.

For the joint multi-item replenishment model, we get $\Delta_3 = 2094.68$, $\Delta_4 = 718.572$. Using Theorem 4, the optimal replenishment cycle time is $T_2^* = T_{22}^* = 0.140221$ and the total cost is 3806.14. The results above show that the joint multi-item replenishment model is better than the single-item replenishment model in reducing total cost.

4.2. Effects of different parameter values

Table 2 presents the effects of I_p and I_e on total cost and decision, showing that when I_e increases, the optimal replenishment cycle time T^* and total cost TVC

will decrease. When I_p increases, the optimal replenishment cycle time T^* will decrease, but the total cost TVC will increase.

Table 2 Effects of I_p and I_e

	I_e			
		0.08	0.1	0.12
I_p	0.13	$TVC=3900.5$ $T^*=0.149797$	$TVC=3722.01$ $T^*=0.146168$	$TVC=3538.97$ $T^*=0.142446$
	0.15	$TVC=3992.29$ $T^*=0.143565$	$TVC=3806.14$ $T^*=0.140221$	$TVC=3615.44$ $T^*=0.136796$
	0.17	$TVC=4071.74$ $T^*=0.138436$	$TVC=3878.77$ $T^*=0.135331$	$TVC=3681.27$ $T^*=0.132154$

Table 3 presents the effects of T and M on total cost and decision, showing that when A increases, the optimal replenishment cycle time T^* and total cost TVC will increase. When M increases, the optimal replenishment cycle time T^* will increase, but the total cost TVC will decrease.

Table 3 Effects of M and T

	A			
		500	700	1000
M	$\frac{15}{365}$	$TVC=5631.54$ $T^*=0.137079$	$TVC=6972.7$ $T^*=0.161168$	$TVC=8673.02$ $T^*=0.191708$
	$\frac{30}{365}$	$TVC=3806.14$ $T^*=0.140221$	$TVC=5121.62$ $T^*=0.163849$	$TVC=6798.46$ $T^*=0.193968$
	$\frac{45}{365}$	$TVC=2088.97$ $T^*=0.145308$	$TVC=3364.77$ $T^*=0.168223$	$TVC=5004.57$ $T^*=0.197676$

5. CONCLUSION

This paper considers replenishment problems under the permissible delay in payments. We present both single-item and joint multi-item replenishment models, and develop theorems to solve these problems. The objective of this study is to determine the optimal replenishment policy while minimizing the total cost. Using computational examples, we illustrate the solution procedures and show that the joint multi-item replenishment policy is better than the single-item replenishment policy. Numerical

analysis reveals the effects of interest charged, interest earned, ordering cost, and credit period on the total cost and replenishment decision. This study provides a useful reference for managerial decision-making and administration.

Acknowledgment-The author expresses his gratitude to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their detailed comments and valuable suggestions to improve the exposition of this paper. This paper was supported by Tatung University under the grant B98-B01-038.”

6. REFERENCES

1. Goyal SK. Economics order quantity under conditions of permissible delay in payments. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **36**, 335-338, 1985.
2. Abad PL, Jaggi CK. A joint approach for setting unit price and the length of the credit period for a seller when end demand is price sensitive. *International Journal of Production Economics* **83**, 115-122, 2003.
3. Sheen GJ, Tsao YC. Channel coordination, trade credit and quantity discounts for freight cost. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* **43**, 112-128, 2007.
4. Tsao YC, Sheen GJ. Dynamic pricing, promotion and replenishment policies for a deteriorating item under permissible delay in payments. *Computers & Operations Research* **35**, 3562-3580, 2008.
5. Jamal AMM, Sarker BR, Wang S. An ordering policy for deteriorating items with allowable shortage and permissible delay in payment. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **48**, 826-833, 1997.
6. Ouyang LY, Teng JT, Goyal SK, Yang CT. An economic order quantity model for deteriorating items with partially permissible delay in payments linked to order quantity. *European Journal of Operational Research* **194**, 418-431, 2009.
7. Aggarwal SP, Jaggi CK. Ordering policies of deteriorating items under permissible delay in payments. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **46**, 658-662, 1995.
8. Hwang H, Shinn SW. Retailer's pricing and lot sizing policy for exponentially deteriorating products under the condition of permissible delay in payment. *Computers and Operations Research* **24**, 539-547, 1997.

9. Tsao YC, Sheen GJ. Joint pricing and replenishment decisions for deteriorating items with lot-size and time dependent purchasing cost under credit period. *International Journal of System Science* **38**, 549-561, 2007.
10. Hahm J, Yano CA. The economic lot and delivery scheduling problem: the common cycle case. *IIE Transactions* **27**, 113-125, 1995.
11. Hammer M. The superefficient company. *Harvard Business Review* **79**, 82-91, 2001.
12. Goyal SK. Determination of economic packaging frequency for items jointly replenished. *Management Science* **20**, 232-235, 1973.
13. Kao EPC. A multi-product dynamic lot-size model with individual and joint set-up costs. *Operations Research* **27**, 279-289, 1979.
14. Graves SC. On the deterministic demand multi-product single-machine lot scheduling problem. *Management Science* **25**, 276-280, 1979.
15. Ben-Khedher N, Yano CA. The multi-item joint replenishment problem with transportation and container effects. *Transportation Science* **28**, 37-54, 1994.
16. van Eijs MJG. Multi-item inventory systems with joint ordering and transportation decisions. *International Journal of Production Economics* **35**, 285-92, 1994.
17. Rempala R. Joint replenishment multiproduct inventory problem with continuous production and discrete demands. *International Journal of Production Economics* **81-82**, 495-511, 2003.
18. Chen TH, Chen JM. Optimizing supply chain collaboration based on joint replenishment and channel coordination. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* **41**, 261-285, 2005.