Mathematical & Computational Applications, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp 149-157, 1996 © Association for Scientific Research

# RELATION BETWEEN DARBOUX INSTANTANEOUS ROTATION VECTORS OF CURVES ON A TIME-LIKE SURFACE

### H.Hüseyin UĞURLU

#### Ali TOPAL

Celal Bayar Üni. Fen-Edebiyat Fak. Mat. Bölümü 45040 MANİSA.

#### ABSTRACT

In this study, a fundamental relation, as a base for the geometry of the time-like surfaces, among the Darboux vectors of an arbitrary time-like curve (c) on a time-like surface and the parameter curves  $(c_1)$  and  $(c_2)$  in the Minkowski 3-space  $R_1^3$  was founded.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In Euclidean 3-space, the Frenet and Darboux instantaneous rotation vectors for a curve (c) on the surface which the parameter curves are perpendicular to each other are known. Let us consider an arbitrary curve (c) and the parameter curves  $(c_1)$ ,  $(c_2)$  passing through a point P on surface. If the Darboux instantaneous rotation vectors of these curves are shown by w, w<sub>1</sub> and w<sub>2</sub> respectively, then the following formula is valid [1]:

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_1 \cos \phi + \mathbf{w}_2 \sin \phi + \mathbf{N} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}s}$$

Instead of the space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , let us consider the Minkowski 3-space  $\mathbb{R}^3_1$  provided with Lorentzian inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{b}_2 - \mathbf{a}_3 \mathbf{b}_3 \tag{1.1}$$

with  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . In this case, a vector  $\mathbf{a}$  is said to be spacelike if  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle > 0$ , time-like if  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle < 0$ , and light-like (null) if  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = 0$ . The norm of a vector  $\mathbf{a}$  is defined as  $|\mathbf{a}| = \sqrt{|\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle|}$ . Let  $\mathbf{e} = (0,0,1)$ . A time-like vector  $\mathbf{a}$  $= (a_1, a_2, a_3)$  is future pointing (resp., past pointing) if  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e} \rangle < 0$  (resp.,  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{e} \rangle > 0$ ). So a vector  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$  is future pointing time-like if  $a_1^2 + a_2^2 - a_3^2 < 0$  and  $a_3 > 0$ , in other words, if  $\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2} < a_3$  [2]. Let a solid perpendicular trihedron in space  $R_1^3$  be  $[e_1, e_2, e_3]$ . In this condition, the following theorem can be given.

Theorem 1.1. For the unit vectors  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$   $e_3$  of the edges of a solid perpendicular trihedron that changes according to the real parameter t, the below formulae is valid:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{e}_{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{w} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i} \quad , \quad i = 1,2,3 \tag{1.2}$$

where  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are space-like vectors and  $e_3$  is a time-like vector and  $\wedge$  is Lorentzian vectoral product [3]. Then the Darboux instantaneous rotation vector is given by

$$\mathbf{w} = \langle \mathbf{e}_3', \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle \mathbf{e}_1 - \langle \mathbf{e}_1', \mathbf{e}_3 \rangle \mathbf{e}_2 - \langle \mathbf{e}_2', \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle \mathbf{e}_3.$$
(1.3)

[4].

## 2. THE INSTANTANEOUS ROTATION VECTOR FOR THE DARBOUX TRIHEDRON OF A TIME-LIKE CURVE

Let us consider the time-like surface y = y(u,v). At every point of a time-like curve (c) on this surface there exists Frenet trihedron [t, n, b]. Since curve (c) is on the surface, another trihedron can be mentioned. Let us show the curves' unit tangent vector as t and the surfaces' space-like normal unit vector as N at the point P on surface. In this case, if we take space-like vector g, which is defined as  $t \wedge N = g$ , then we construct a new trihedron as [t, g, N]. To compare this trihedron with Frenets' let us show the angle between the vectors n and N as  $\varphi$ . In this situation, the formulae

 $g = n \sin \phi - b \cos \phi$ ,  $N = n \cos \phi + b \sin \phi$  (2.1) can be written. If we take the derivatives of vectors t, N and g with respect to arc s of

curve (c) then we obtain the formulae

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \rho \sin \phi \mathbf{g} + \rho \cos \phi \mathbf{N}$$

$$\frac{dg}{ds} = \rho \sin \phi t - (\tau - \frac{d\phi}{ds}) \mathbf{N}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{N}}{ds} = \rho \cos \phi t + (\tau - \frac{d\phi}{ds}) \mathbf{g}.$$
(2.2)

Here, if we say

$$\rho\cos\phi = \frac{\cos\phi}{R} = \frac{1}{R_n} = \rho_n , \ \rho\sin\phi = \frac{\sin\phi}{R} = \frac{1}{R_g} = \rho_g , \ \tau - \frac{d\phi}{ds} = \frac{1}{T} - \frac{d\phi}{ds} = \frac{1}{T_g} = \tau_g$$

then the formulae (2.2) can be written as follows:

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \rho_g g + \rho_n N , \quad \frac{dg}{ds} = \rho_g t - \tau_g N , \quad \frac{dN}{ds} = \rho_n t + \tau_g g \qquad (2.3)$$

where  $\rho_n$  is normal curvature,  $\rho_g$  is geodesic curvature and  $\tau_g$  is geodesic torsion.

For this, the Darboux instantaneous rotation vector of the Darboux trihedron can be written as below:

$$\mathbf{w} = \frac{\mathbf{t}}{T_g} + \frac{\mathbf{g}}{R_n} - \frac{\mathbf{N}}{R_g}$$
(2.4)

Then the formulae

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \mathbf{w} \wedge \mathbf{t} \quad , \quad \frac{d\mathbf{g}}{ds} = \mathbf{w} \wedge \mathbf{g} \quad , \quad \frac{d\mathbf{N}}{ds} = \mathbf{w} \wedge \mathbf{N}$$
(2.5)

are satisfied.

## 3. THE DARBOUX INSTANTANEOUS ROTATION VECTORS OF CURVES ON A TIME-LIKE SURFACE

#### a) The tangent and geodesic normal of time-like curve (c)

Let us assume the parameter curves u=const. and v=const.which are constant on a time-like surface y = y(u,v), as perpendicular to each other. Let any time-like curve that is passing through a point P on the surface be (c). Let us show time-like and space-like parameter curves v=const.and u=const passing through same point P as (c<sub>1</sub>) and (c<sub>2</sub>). Let the unit tangent vectors of curves (c), (c<sub>1</sub>) and (c<sub>2</sub>) at the point P as t, t<sub>1</sub> and t<sub>2</sub>, respectively. For the space-like normal unit vector N of the surface at the point P, the followings are satisfied.

 $N \wedge t = -g$ ,  $N \wedge t_1 = -g_1$ ,  $N \wedge t_2 = g_2$ 

In this condition, three Darboux trihedron are obtained as below:

[t, g, N],  $[t_1, g_1, N]$ ,  $[t_2, g_2, N]$ 

The Darboux vectors corresponding to these are in the following form:

$$w = \frac{t}{T_g} + \frac{g}{R_n} - \frac{N}{R_g}$$

$$w_1 = \frac{t_1}{(T_g)_1} + \frac{g_1}{(R_n)_1} - \frac{N}{(R_g)_1} , \quad w_2 = -\frac{t_2}{(T_g)_2} - \frac{g_2}{(R_n)_2} + \frac{N}{(R_g)_2}$$
(3.1)

Here, let us show the arc lengths of the curves (c),  $(c_1)$  and  $(c_2)$  measured in the certain direction from P as s,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , respectively. In this case, the following formulae are written:

$$\mathbf{t}_{1} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{u}}{\|\mathbf{y}_{u}\|} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{u}}{\sqrt{E}} \quad , \quad \mathbf{t}_{2} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{v}}{\|\mathbf{y}_{v}\|} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{v}}{\sqrt{G}} \quad , \quad \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{y}_{u} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}s} + \mathbf{y}_{v} \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}s} \tag{3.2}$$

If we write the two initial terms of (3.2) in the third term, then

$$\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{y}_{u} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}s} + \mathbf{y}_{v} \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}s} = \sqrt{E} \mathbf{t}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}s} + \sqrt{G} \mathbf{t}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}s}$$
(3.3)

is obtained. Let us show the hyperbolic angle [2] between t and  $t_1$  as  $\theta$  and if we multiply the both sides of the equation (3.3) with  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  scalarly, then

$$\langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_1 \rangle = -\cosh \theta = -\sqrt{E} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}} , \quad \langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_2 \rangle = \sinh \theta = \sqrt{G} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}}$$
(3.4)

$$t = t_1 \cosh\theta + t_2 \sinh\theta \tag{3.5}$$

are obtained.

For arcs ds, ds<sub>1</sub> and ds<sub>2</sub>

$$ds^{2} = Edu^{2} + Gdv^{2}$$
; F = 0  
 $ds_{1} = Edu^{2}$ ; v=const., dv=0 ;  $ds_{2} = Gdv^{2}$ ; u=const., du=0 (3.6)

can be written. If the formulae (3.4) and the last two formulae of (3.6) are compared, then

$$\cosh \theta = \frac{\sqrt{E} du}{ds} = \frac{ds_1}{ds} , \quad \sinh \theta = \frac{\sqrt{G} dv}{ds} = \frac{ds_2}{ds}$$
(3.7)

are found. Since vector g is  $t \wedge N = g$ , we can write

$$\mathbf{g} = \cosh\theta \, \mathbf{g}_1 \cdot \sinh\theta \, \mathbf{g}_2. \tag{3.8}$$

b) The fundamental theorems connected with the Darboux trihedron

Theorem 3.1. The Darboux trihedrons  $[t_1, g_1, N]$  and  $[t_2, g_2, N]$  of parameter curves of the time-like surface are such that the directions and the orders are different and they are always coincident. The darboux derivative formulae for these trihedrons are in the below form:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{j}} = \mathbf{w}_{j} \wedge \mathbf{t}_{i} , \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{j}} = \mathbf{w}_{j} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{i} , \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{j}} = \mathbf{w}_{j} \wedge \mathbf{N} \quad (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} = 1, 2) \quad (3.9)$$

**Proof.** N is coincident at the Darboux trihedrons of parameter curves on the time-like surface. In equations  $-g_1 = N \wedge t_1$  and  $g_2 = N \wedge t_2$ . If we write  $N = t_1 \wedge t_2$ , then

$$\mathbf{g}_1 = -\mathbf{t}_2$$
 ,  $\mathbf{g}_2 = \mathbf{t}_1$  (3.10)

are found. From (2.5),

i)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{1}} = \mathbf{w}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{t}_{1} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{1}} = \mathbf{w}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{1} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{1}} = \mathbf{w}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{N}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{2}} = \mathbf{w}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{t}_{2} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{2}} = \mathbf{w}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{2} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{2}} = \mathbf{w}_{2} \wedge \mathbf{N}$$
(3.11)

are obtained. From (3.10), we have  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_2}{\partial s_2} = \mathbf{w}_2 \wedge \mathbf{g}_2$  and  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_1}{\partial s_2} = \mathbf{w}_2 \wedge \mathbf{t}_1$ . This shows that the derivative of  $\mathbf{t}_1$  with respect to  $\mathbf{s}_2$  is equal to the Lorentzian vectoral product of  $\mathbf{t}_1$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2$ . For the other vectors of the Darboux trihedron the same conditions are satisfied.

Corollary 3.2. When (3.10) is considered, the Darboux vectors  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are obtained by using the vectors  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  and N in the following form:

$$\mathbf{w}_{1} = \frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}}{(\mathbf{T}_{g})_{1}} - \frac{\mathbf{t}_{2}}{(\mathbf{R}_{n})_{1}} - \frac{\mathbf{N}}{(\mathbf{R}_{g})_{1}} , \quad \mathbf{w}_{2} = -\frac{\mathbf{t}_{2}}{(\mathbf{T}_{g})_{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{t}_{1}}{(\mathbf{R}_{n})_{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{N}}{(\mathbf{R}_{g})_{2}}$$
(3.12)

**Theorem 3.3.** When the tangent vectors  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  of the parameter curves  $(c_1)$  and  $(c_2)$  on the time-like surface are considered, then the relations

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{1}} \rangle = \langle -\mathbf{t}_{2}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{1}} \rangle = -\frac{(\sqrt{E})_{v}}{\sqrt{EG}}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{2}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{2}} \rangle = \langle -\mathbf{t}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{2}} \rangle = \frac{(\sqrt{G})_{u}}{\sqrt{EG}}$$

$$(3.13)$$

ii) 
$$\langle \mathbf{t_1}, \mathbf{dt_2} \rangle = \langle -\mathbf{t_2}, \mathbf{dt_1} \rangle = -\frac{(\sqrt{E})_v}{\sqrt{G}} \, \mathrm{du} - \frac{(\sqrt{G})_u}{\sqrt{E}} \, \mathrm{dv}$$
 (3.14)

are satisfied.

**Proof.** i) From (3.2), 
$$\mathbf{t}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{y}_u}{\sqrt{E}}$$
 and  $\mathbf{t}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{y}_v}{\sqrt{G}}$  are written. From here,  
since  $\langle \mathbf{y}_u, \mathbf{y}_u \rangle = (\mathbf{t}_1 \sqrt{E})^2 = -\mathbf{E}$  and  $\langle \mathbf{y}_v, \mathbf{y}_v \rangle = (\mathbf{t}_2 \sqrt{G})^2 = \mathbf{G}$  we have  
 $\mathbf{y}_{uv} = (\mathbf{t}_1)_v \sqrt{E} + \mathbf{t}_1 (\sqrt{E})_v$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_{vu} = (\mathbf{t}_2)_u \sqrt{G} + \mathbf{t}_2 (\sqrt{G})_u$ .

From last equations,  $\langle \mathbf{y}_{uv}, \mathbf{y}_{u} \rangle = -\sqrt{E} \left(\sqrt{E}\right)$  and  $\langle \mathbf{y}_{vu}, \mathbf{y}_{v} \rangle = \sqrt{G} \left(\sqrt{G}\right)_{u}$ are found. From

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{uv}\sqrt{E} - \mathbf{y}_{u}(\sqrt{E})_{v}}{E} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{2}}{\partial u} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{vu}\sqrt{G} - \mathbf{y}_{v}(\sqrt{G})_{u}}{G}$$

the followings are found:

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{2}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \rangle = \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}_{uv}, \mathbf{y}_{v} \rangle \sqrt{E}}{E \sqrt{G}} = \frac{\sqrt{G} \left(\sqrt{G}\right)_{u} \sqrt{E}}{E \sqrt{G}} = \frac{\left(\sqrt{G}\right)_{u}}{\sqrt{E}}$$
(3.15)

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \rangle = \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}_{u}, \mathbf{y}_{vu} \rangle \sqrt{G}}{G \sqrt{E}} = -\frac{\sqrt{E} \left(\sqrt{E}\right)_{v} \sqrt{G}}{G \sqrt{E}} = -\frac{\left(\sqrt{E}\right)_{v}}{\sqrt{G}}$$
(3.16)

From (3.6)

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{2}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{1}}{\partial s_{2}} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \langle \mathbf{t}_{2}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{1}}{\partial v} \rangle = \frac{\left(\sqrt{G}\right)_{u}}{\sqrt{EG}}$$
 (3.17)

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{1}} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \langle \mathbf{t}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_{2}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \rangle = -\frac{\left(\sqrt{E}\right)_{v}}{\sqrt{EG}}$$
 (3.18)

are found. On the other hand, since the parameter curves are perpendicular, if we take derivatives with respect to  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  the proof is completed.

ii) If we take differential from equality  $\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle = 0$ , we obtain

$$\langle \mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{d}\mathbf{t}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{t}_1, \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_2}{\partial \mathbf{s}_1}, \mathbf{d}\mathbf{s}_1 + \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_2}{\partial \mathbf{s}_2}, \mathbf{d}\mathbf{s}_2\right) \rangle = -\frac{\left(\sqrt{E}\right)_v}{\sqrt{G}} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{u} - \frac{\left(\sqrt{G}\right)_u}{\sqrt{E}} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{v}.$$

Corollary 3.4. If the geodesic curvatures of parameter curves (c<sub>1</sub>) and (c<sub>2</sub>) are  $\frac{1}{(R_g)_1}$  and  $\frac{1}{(R_g)_2}$  respectively, then  $\frac{1}{(R_g)_1} = -\frac{(\sqrt{E})_v}{\sqrt{EG}}$ ,  $\frac{1}{(R_g)_2} = -\frac{(\sqrt{G})_u}{\sqrt{EG}}$  (3.19)

are valid.

Theorem 3.5. Let us take any curve (c) on the time-like surface and the arc elements of curves (c), (c<sub>1</sub>) and (c<sub>2</sub>) as s, s<sub>1</sub> and s<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Let the Darboux instantaneous rotation vectors of (c<sub>1</sub>) and (c<sub>2</sub>) be  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$  and if the hyperbolic angle between the tangent t of curve (c) and  $t_1$  is  $\theta$  then

$$\mathbf{a} = \cosh\theta \, \mathbf{w}_1 + \sinh\theta \, \mathbf{w}_2 \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\frac{dt_1}{ds} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{t_1} , \frac{dt_2}{ds} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{t_2} , \frac{d\mathbf{N}}{ds} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{N}$$
(3.21)

are valid.

**Proof.** We saw that t ,  $t_1$  ,  $t_2$  and N vectors are functions of arcs  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ For this,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}_1}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_1}{\partial \mathbf{s}_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}_1}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{t}_1}{\partial \mathbf{s}_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}_2}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}}$$

is obvious. If (3.7) and (3.9) are considered, then

$$\frac{dt_1}{ds} = (w_1 \wedge t_1) \cosh\theta + (w_2 \wedge t_1) \sinh\theta$$
$$= (w_1 \cosh\theta + w_2 \sinh\theta) \wedge t_1$$
$$= a \wedge t_1$$

are obtained. The others are shown, similarly.

**Corollary 3.6.** The equality  $\langle t_2, \frac{dt_1}{ds} \rangle = - \langle t_1, \frac{dt_2}{ds} \rangle = \langle a, N \rangle$  is valid.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let us consider the curves (c), (c<sub>1</sub>) and (c<sub>2</sub>) passing through a point P of time-like surface. Let the Darboux instantaneous rotation vectors corresponding to these curves at the point P be w,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , respectively.

In this case, the equation

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_1 \cosh\theta + \mathbf{w}_2 \sinh\theta + \mathbf{N} \frac{d\theta}{ds}$$
(3.22)

is satisfied.

**Proof.** From (3.5)  
$$\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}_1 \cosh \theta + \mathbf{t}_2 \sinh \theta$$

can be written. If the derivatives of both sides of this equation are taken with respect to s then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\mathrm{d}t_1}{\mathrm{d}s}\cosh\theta + \frac{\mathrm{d}t_2}{\mathrm{d}s}\sinh\theta + t_1\sinh\theta\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}s} + t_2\cosh\theta\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}s}$$

is obtained. Since in the Darboux trihedrons  $[t_1, g_1, N]$  and  $[t_2, g_2, N]$ ,

 $-\mathbf{t}_1 = \mathbf{N} \wedge \mathbf{g}_1$  and  $\mathbf{t}_2 = \mathbf{N} \wedge \mathbf{g}_2$  we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}_1}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}}\cosh\theta + \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}_2}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}}\sinh\theta + (\mathbf{g}_1 \wedge \mathbf{N})\sinh\theta\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}} - (\mathbf{g}_2 \wedge \mathbf{N})\cosh\theta\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}}$$

If the equations (3.10) and (3.21) are considered

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}s} = \left(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{N}\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}s}\right) \wedge \mathbf{t}$$

is obtained. If we take  $a + N \frac{d\theta}{ds} = b$ , then we have

$$\frac{d\mathbf{t}}{d\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{t} \quad , \quad \frac{d\mathbf{N}}{d\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{N}. \tag{3.23}$$

If (2.5) and (3.23) are considered then

$$\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w} = \lambda \mathbf{t}$$
,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  (3.24)

and

$$\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w} = \mu \mathbf{N} \qquad , \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R} \tag{3.25}$$

are obtained. From (3.24) and (3.25) it is easily seen that  $\lambda = \mu = 0$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.8.** If the curve (c) is taken as space-like, the formula (3.22) is given by

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_1 \sinh \theta + \mathbf{w}_2 \cosh \theta + \mathbf{N} \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}s}$$
.

### REFERENCES

- Akbulut, F. "Bir yüzey üzerindeki eğrilerin Darboux vektörleri", E.Ü. Fen Fakültesi İzmir, (1983).
- [2] Birman, G.S.; Nomizu, K. "Trigonometry in Lorentzian Geometry", Ann. Math. Mont. 91(9), 543-549, (1984).
- [3] Akutagava, K.; Nishikawa, S. "The Gauss map and space-like surfaces with prescribed mean curvature in Minkowski 3-space", Tohoku Math. J. 42,67-82 (1990).

[4] Uğurlu, H.Hüseyin.; Çalışkan, Ali. "Time-like regle yüzey üzerindeki bir time-like eğrinin Frenet ve Darboux vektörleri", I. Spil Fen Bilimleri Kongresi, Manisa, (1995).