

## ON THE PARTIAL GENERALIZATION OF THE MEASURE OF TRANSCENDENCE OF SOME FORMAL LAURENT SERIES

Ahmet Ş. ÖZDEMİR

Atatürk Education Faculty , Department of Mathematics  
Marmara University , İstanbul / TURKEY

**Abstract:** In this work , we determine the transcendence measure of the formal Laurent series "that"

$$\xi = \psi(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k r^{q^k}}{F_k}$$

whose transcendence has been established by L.I.Wade. Using the methods and lemmas in P.Bundschuh's article, measure of the transcendence for the above  $\xi$  is determined as

$$T(n, H) = H^{-\alpha q^d (\frac{q^{1-k}}{k} - d - 1)}.$$

On the other hand , it was proven that the transcendence series  $\xi$  is not a  $U$  but is a  $S$  or  $T$ -number according to the Mahler's classification.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  a prime number and  $u \geq 1$  an integer. Let  $F$  be a finite field with  $q = p^u$  elements. We denote the ring of the polynomials with one variable over  $F$  by  $F[x]$  and its quotient field by  $F(x)$ . If  $a \in F[x]$  is a non-zero polynomial, denote its degree by  $\partial a$ . If  $a = 0$ , then its degree is defined as  $\partial 0 := -\infty$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  ( $b \neq 0$ ) two polynomials from  $F[x]$  and define a discrete valuation of  $F(x)$  as follows

$$\left| \frac{a}{b} \right| = q^{\partial a - \partial b}.$$

Let  $K$  be the completion of  $F(x)$  with respect to this valuation. Every element  $\omega$  of  $K$  can be uniquely represented by

$$\omega = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} c_n x^{-n}, c_n \in F.$$

If  $\omega = 0$ , then all  $c_n$  are zero. If  $\omega \neq 0$ , then there exist an  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $c_k \neq 0$ . If  $\omega \neq 0$ , then we have

$$|\omega| = q^{-k}.$$

Therefore  $K$  is the field of all formal Laurent series. The classical theory of transcendence over complex numbers has a similar version over  $K$ . Elements of  $F[x]$  and  $F(x)$  correspond to integers and fractions of the classical theory, respectively.

If  $\omega \in K$  is one of the roots of a non-zero polynomial with coefficients in  $F[x]$ , then  $\omega \in K$  is said to be algebraic over  $F(x)$ . Otherwise,  $\omega$  is called transcendental over  $F(x)$ . The studies of transcendental numbers in  $K$  were initiated first by Wade [1-4]. Also Geijsel [5-8] did similar studies. As it is the case in the classical theory of transcendental numbers, it is possible to define a measure of transcendence

The measure of transcendence is thoroughly studied in the classical theory. For example, the transcendence measure of  $e$  has been widely investigated by Mahler [9] and Fel'dman [10]. Examples for the transcendence measure in the field  $K$  have been given for the first time by Bundschuh [12].

In this work, we determine a transcendence measure of some formal Laurent series whose transcendence has been established by L.I.Wade [2]. If  $r^{q^k}$  (where  $r \in \text{RealNumbers}$ ) and  $F_k \in F[x]$  is a fixed non-zero polynomial of degree  $\partial(r^{q^k}) = 0$  and  $\partial(F_k) = kq^k$  then the series

$$\xi = \psi(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k r^{q^k}}{F_k} \quad (1)$$

is an element of  $K$ , and L.I.Wade showed its transcendence in [2]. (see Theorem 3.1 and 3.2) Using the methods and lemmas in Bundschuh's article [12], we determine a transcendence measure of  $\xi$ . We take an arbitrary non-zero polynomial

$$P(y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{\nu} y^{\nu}, (a_{\nu} \in F[x]; \nu = 0, 1, \dots, n) \quad (2)$$

whose degree  $\partial(P)$  is less than or equal to  $n$ . The height of  $P$  is denoted by

$$h(P) = m_{\nu=0}^n |a_{\nu}| = q^{m_{\nu=0}^n \partial(a_{\nu})}$$

For the transcendental element  $\xi$  of  $K$ , we define the positive quantity

$$\Gamma_n(H, \xi) = \min |P(\xi)|.$$

where  $P \neq 0, \partial(P) \leq n, h(P) \leq H$ .

If  $T(n, H)$  is a function of the variables  $n, H$  of  $\Gamma_n(H, \xi)$  which satisfies the inequality

$$\Gamma_n(H, \xi) \geq T(n, H) \quad (3)$$

for all sufficiently large values of  $n$  and  $H$ , then  $T(n, H)$  is said to be a transcendence measure of  $\xi$ .

**Theorem 1 :**

We take an arbitrary , non-zero polynomial

$$P(y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^d a_{\nu} y^{\nu}, (a_{\nu} \in F[x]; \nu = 0, 1, \dots, n) ; \quad (4)$$

further let  $\partial(P) = d$  ,  $h(P)=h$  and  $a = \max_{\nu \neq 0}^d \partial a_{\nu}$  . We assume that

$$dq^d \log h > \frac{kq^k \log q}{q} \quad (5)$$

we have

$$|P(\xi)| \geq h^{-aq^d(\frac{q^{1-k}}{k}-d-1)} \quad (6)$$

and a transcendence measure of  $\xi$  is

$$T(n, H) = H^{-aq^d(\frac{q^{1-k}}{k}-d-1)}. \quad (7)$$

As in the classical theory of transcendental number theory (see Schneider [13], page 6), it is possible to define Mahler's classification on  $K$ . Let  $\xi \in K$  be transcendental, and define :

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(\xi) &:= \limsup_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \Gamma_n(H, \xi)}{\log H} \\ \Gamma(\xi) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \Gamma_n(\xi) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Hence  $\Gamma_n(\xi) \geq n$  for every  $n \in N$  and so  $\Gamma(\xi) \geq 1$  . For every  $n, H \in N$ ,

$$\Gamma_n(H, \xi) < H^{-n} q^n \max(1, |\xi|^n) \quad (9)$$

is satisfied (see Bundschuh [12], Lemma 3) .

On the other hand, let the least naturel number  $n$  satisfying  $\Gamma_n(\xi) = \infty$  be denoted by  $\mu(\xi)$ . If there is no such  $n$  , then one may define  $\mu(\xi)$  as  $\infty$ . In this case, the transcendental number  $\xi \in R$  is called

*S*-Laurent series if  $1 \leq \Gamma(\infty) < \infty$  and  $\mu(\xi) = \infty$ ,

*T*-Laurent series if  $\Gamma(\xi) = \infty$  and  $\mu(\infty) = \infty$ ,

*U*-Laurent series if  $\Gamma(\xi) = \infty$  and  $\mu(\infty) < \infty$ .

Moreover, the  $U$ -class may be divided into subclasses. If  $\mu(\xi) = m (m > 0)$  then  $\xi$  is called a  $U_m$ -Laurent series. Leveque [11] was the first to show that for all  $m$ ,  $U_m$  is non-empty in the classical theory but the honour goes to Oryan [14] if the ground field is  $K$ .

According to the above classification, the series defined in (1) can not be a  $U$ -Laurent series. This fact may be proved by the help of the Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2 :** The  $\xi$  Laurent series defined by (1) doesn't belong to the class  $U$  so that it belongs to the class  $S$  or to the class  $T$ .

### Preliminary

We will use the following lemmas in proof of the theorem.

**Lemma 1:** Let

$$P(y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^d a_{\nu} y^{\nu} \quad (10)$$

$$(a_{\nu} \in F[x], a_d \neq 0 (d \geq 1), a = m_{\nu=0}^d x, \partial a_{\nu} \text{ .$$

Then there are some elements  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d \in F[x]$ , not all zero satisfying.  $\partial A_j \leq ad(q^d - d + 1)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq d$  and

$$\sum_{j=0}^d A_j y^{q^j} = p(y) \sum_{j=0, q^j \geq d}^d A_j \sum_{k=0}^{q^j-d} b_k a_d^{-k-1} y^{q^j-d-k} =: P(y)Q(y) \text{ ,} \quad (11)$$

where  $b_0 := 1$  and  $b_k$ , for  $k \geq 1$  is the sum of product of exactly  $k$  terms from  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d$ , multiplied by  $\pm$  .

**Proof:** See [12], lemma 4, page 416

**Lemma 2:** Let  $\xi \in K$  and  $|\xi| = q^{\lambda}$ . Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1 we have

$$|Q(\xi)| \leq q^{ad(q^d-d+1)+(q^d-d)\max(a,\lambda)} \quad (12)$$

**Proof :** See [12], lemma 5, page 417

## PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

### Proof of the Theorem 1 :

Consider the polynomial defined by (4). With  $\partial(p) = d$ ,  $a_d \neq 0$ . Let  $d \geq 1$ . By Lemma 1 there are some elements the  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d \in F[x]$  not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^d A_j y^{q^j} = P(y) \sum_{j=0, q^j \geq d}^d A_j \sum_{k=0}^{q^j-d} b_k a_d^{-k-1} y^{q^j-d-k} =: P(y)Q(y) \quad (13)$$

$$\partial(A_j) \leq ad(q^d - d + 1) \leq adq^d \quad (0 \leq j \leq d) \quad (14)$$

In (13) we put  $\xi$  instead of  $y$  :

$$P(\xi)Q(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^d A_j \xi^{q^j} = \sum_{j=0}^d A_j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r_{q^{k+j}}} F_k^{-q^j} \quad (15)$$

Furthermore let  $D_k = \sum_{k=i+j}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i A_j r_{q^{k+j}} F_k}{F_j q^j}$

Separate in (12) sum as  $T_1 + T_2$ , where

$$T_1 = F_\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\beta} \frac{D_k}{F_k}, \quad T_2 = F_\beta \sum_{k=\beta+1}^{\infty} \frac{D_k}{F_k} \quad (16)$$

where  $\beta$ , which is not a negative integer will be chosen later.

1) First, we prove that  $|T_1| \geq 1$ . That is, we prove  $T_1$  is a polynomial but not equal zero. By the definition of  $F_k$ , obviously  $T_1$  is polynomial. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &\equiv D_\beta \pmod{[\beta - l]} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{\beta-l} A_l [\beta] \dots [\beta - l + 1]^{q^{l-1}} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{\beta-l} A_l F_l \not\equiv 0 \pmod{[\beta - l]} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\beta$  sufficiently large. Therefore, for all sufficiently  $\beta$   $T_1$  is not identically zero. So  $T_1$  is non-zero polynomial. So it shown that  $|T_1| \geq 1$ . (where  $\deg T_1 > 0 \implies |T_1| \geq 1 = q^{\deg T_1} > q^0 = 1$ )

2) we will show  $|T_2| < 1$ . Let  $T_2^*$  be any term of  $T_2$ . Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}
deg D_\beta &= deg F_\beta + deg A_j - deg F_{\beta-j}^{q^j} + deg r^{q^{\beta+j}} \\
&= deg F_\beta + deg A_j - deg F_{\beta-j}^{q^j} \text{ (where } deg r^{q^{\beta+j}} = 0) \\
deg T_2^* &= deg F_\beta + deg F_{\beta+1} + deg D_\beta \\
&= deg F_\beta + deg F_{\beta+1} - deg F_{\beta-j}^{q^j} + \underbrace{(deg A_j)}_{=a^* \text{ (constant)}} \\
&= r deg F_\beta - deg F_{\beta+1} - deg F_{\beta-j}^{q^j} + a^* \\
&= r^\beta - (\beta+1)q^{\beta+1} - (\beta-j)q^\beta + a^*
\end{aligned}$$

where  $r\beta q^\beta - (\beta+1)q^{\beta+1} - (\beta-d)q^\beta < 0$ . Because ; since  $0 \leq j \leq d$   
 $\beta - j \geq \beta - d \implies -(\beta - j) \geq -(\beta - d)$  we have  
hence  $r\beta q^\beta < q^\beta(\beta^q + q + \beta - d)$  so we obtain  $\beta + d < (\beta+1)q$ . This inequality  
is true everytime.

Therefore  $\beta \longrightarrow +$ ,  $r\beta q^\beta - (\beta)q^{\beta+1} - (\beta-d)q^\beta \longrightarrow -$ . hence  $(-\infty + a^*) \longrightarrow -\infty$ .  
Therefore we may chose  $\beta$  so large that every term of  $T_2$  is negative.  
That is ;  $|T_2| = q^{deg T_2} < q^0 = 1 \implies |T_2| < 1$ . 3) We will prove the claim  
of the theorem . By the definition of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  , we can write

$$T_1 + T_2 = F_\beta P(\xi) Q(\xi).$$

Hence we obtain

$$|T_1 + T_2| = |F_\beta| |P(\xi)| |Q(\xi)| \quad (17)$$

Since  $|T_1| \geq 1$  and  $|T_2| < 1$  , we get

$$|T_1 + T_2| = \max(|T_1|, |T_2|) = |T_1| \quad (18)$$

By (17) and (18), we obtain

$$|P(\xi)| |Q(\xi)| = |T_1| |F_\beta|^{-1} \quad (19)$$

Let  $|\xi| = q^\lambda$  . By (1) and since

$$|r^{q^k} F_k^{-1}| = q^{deg(r^{q^k} F_k^{-1})} = q^{deg r^{q^k} - deg F_k} = q^{0 - kq^k} = q^{-kq^k}.$$

we get  $|\xi| = q^{-kq^k} = q^{-0q^0} = q^0$ . Therefore  $\lambda = 0$  . Since  $Max(a, \lambda) = Max(a, 0) = a$  and by Lemma 2 , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|Q(\xi)| &\leq q^{ad(q^d - d + 1) + (q^d - d)max(a, \lambda)} \\
&\leq q^{adq^d + aq^d}
\end{aligned}$$

$$|Q(\xi)| = q^{a(d+1)q^d}. \quad (20)$$

Since  $h = h(P) = q^a$ ,

$$a = \frac{\log h}{\log q}. \quad (21)$$

By (6) and (21) we find

$$adq^d > \frac{kq^k}{q}. \quad (22)$$

Consider the sequence

$$\{q^{-1}, q^0, q^1, q^2, \dots\}$$

There are  $\beta$  non-negative integers such that

$$\beta q^{\beta-1} \leq \frac{adq^d}{kq^k} < \beta q^\beta. \quad (23)$$

Because, by (22)

$$\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{adq^d}{kq^k}.$$

From (21) we obtain the following statement for the above  $\beta$

$$\frac{adq^d}{kq^k} < q^\beta \leq \frac{adq^{d+1}}{kq^k}. \quad (24)$$

Further, by (24)

$$|F_\beta| = q^{\deg F_\beta} = q^{\beta q^\beta}. \quad (25)$$

By (19), (20), (23), (25) and since  $|T_1| \geq 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} |P(\xi)| &= |T_1| |F_\beta|^{-1} |Q(\xi)|^{-1} \\ &\geq |F_\beta|^{-1} |Q(\xi)|^{-1} \\ &\geq q^{-\frac{1}{k}(adq^{d-k+1}) - a(d+1)q^d} \\ &= q^{-aq^d(\frac{1-k}{k} - d - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

By (26) and since  $h = q^a$  we have

$$|P(\xi)| \geq h^{-aq^d(\frac{1-k}{k} - d - 1)}.$$

This is the claim of the theorem 1.

**Proof of the Theorem 2 :**

Let the degree of the polynomial  $P$  in Theorem 1 be  $\partial(P) = d \leq n$  and let its height be  $h(P) = h \leq H$  By (4),

$$|P(\xi)| \geq H^{-aq^d(\frac{q^{1-k}}{k} - d - 1)}. \quad (27)$$

(27) and (6) and by the definition of Mahler's classification

$$\Gamma_n(H, \xi) \geq H^{-aq^d(\frac{q^{1-k}}{k} - d - 1)}$$

for all sufficiently large natural numbers  $n$  and  $H$ . Hence consequently

$$\log \Gamma_n(H, \xi) \geq \left[ -aq^d \left( \frac{q^{1-k}}{k} - d - 1 \right) \right] \log H$$

$$\frac{-\log \Gamma_n(H, \xi)}{\log H} \leq aq^d \left( \frac{q^{1-k}}{k} - d - 1 \right) \quad (28)$$

$$\Gamma_n(\xi) = \lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{-\log \Gamma_n(H, \xi)}{\log H} \leq aq^d \left( \frac{q^{1-k}}{k} - d - 1 \right). \quad (29)$$

That is, for every index  $n$

$$\Gamma_n(\xi) < \infty.$$

By the definition of Mahler's classification,  $\mu(\xi) = \infty$ . This shows  $\xi$  can never belong to the class  $U$  so that it belongs to the class  $S$  or to the class  $T$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1 ] WADE , L . I . , Certain quantities transcendental over  $GF(p^n, x)$  , Duke Math. J . **8**, 701 - 702 (1941).
- [2 ] WADE , L . I . , Certain quantities transcendental over  $GF(p^n, x)$  II , Duke Math. J . **10** , 587-594(1943).
- [3 ] WADE , L . I . , Transcendence properties of the Carlitz  $\Psi$  - functions , Duke Math . J.**13**, 79-85(1946).
- [4 ] WADE , L . I . , Two types of function field transcendental numbers , Duke Math . J . 755-758 (1944).
- [5 ] GEIJSEL , J.M., Transcendence properties of *Carlitz – Bessel functions*, Math. Centre Report ZW 2/71 Amsterdam , **19**, (1971).
- [6 ] GEIJSEL , J.M., Schneider's method in fields of characteristic  $p \neq 2$ . Math. Centre Report ZW 17/73 Amsterdam , **12** , (1973).
- [7 ] GEIJSEL , J.M., Transcendence properties of certain quantities over the quotient field of  $F_q[x]$  , Math. Centre Report ZN 58/74 , Amsterdam , **62** ,(1974).
- [8 ] GEIJSEL , J.M., Transcendence in fields of positive characteristic, *Mathematical Centre Tracts* 91. Amsterdam : Mathematisch Centrum. X ,not consecutively paged (1979).
- [9 ] MAHLER , K. , Zur Approximation der Exponentialfunktion und des Logarithmus , J. reine angew Math.**166** , 118-150 (1932).
- [10 ] FEL'DMAN , N.I. , On the problem of the measure of transcendence of  $e$  (russ) *Uspekhi Math. Navk* **18** 207-213 (1963).
- [11 ] LE VEQUE W . J . , On Mahler's U- numbers J. London Math. Soc.**28** , 220-229 (1953).
- [12 ] BUNDSCHUH , P. , Transzendenzmasse in Körpern formaler Laurentreihen *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, **299/300** 411-432 (1978).

- [13 ] SCHNEIDER, Th. , Einführung in die transzendenten zahlen Berlin -Göttingen-Heidelberg (1957).
- [14 ] ORYAN, M. H. , Über die Unterklassen  $U_m$  der Mahlerschen Klassen einteilung der transzendenten formalen Laurentreihen. İstanbul Üniv. Fen. Fak. Mec.Seri A, 45 43-63 (1980).
- [15 ] ÖZDEMİR , A. , Ş. , On the Measure of Transcendence of Certain formal Laurent Series. Ph.D.Thesis , Technical University of İstanbul, The Enstitue of Science. No : 34490,(1993)
- [16 ] ÖZDEMİR , A. , Ş., On the Measure of Transcendence of  $\xi = \psi(2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k 2^{q^k}}{F_k}$  On the Measure of Transcendence of Certain formal Laurent Series , Marmara University ,The Journal of Science. No : 10 , (1995)