

**Results of the preliminary *in vitro* tests using different EOT concentrations (12.5 ug / ml, 25 ug / ml, 50 ug / ml).**

**Table S1.** Variation of the average width of the wound relative to that of the initial moment (T00), for the analyzed samples (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, N = 2). Results are presented for the Time factor from bivariate variance analysis (ANOVA).

<b>Wound coverage, Relative width (%)</b>	<b>C50</b>	<b>C25</b>	<b>C12.5</b>	<b>CTRL</b>	<b>CTRL0</b>
<b>T00</b>	0.00e $\pm$ 0.000	0.00e $\pm$ 0.000	0.00e $\pm$ 0.000	0.00e $\pm$ 0.000	0.00e $\pm$ 0.000
<b>T12</b>	41.56cd $\pm$ 33.808	41.74cd $\pm$ 14.434	67.46b $\pm$ 5.776	45.70c $\pm$ 31.519	24.59d $\pm$ 0.037
<b>T24</b>	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	80.26ab $\pm$ 19.892	92.03a $\pm$ 7.968	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	28.80cd $\pm$ 1.158
<b>T36</b>	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000
<b>T48</b>	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000
<b>T60</b>	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000	100.00a $\pm$ 0.000

**Note:** The different letters that accompany the mean values denote statistically significantly different mean values; these results come from the multiple comparison of the means with the Duncan test (P = 0.05) performed post hoc bivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) (P = 0.05).

**Table S2.** The variation of the average normalized density of the cells in the initial surface of the wound (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, N = 2), relative to the density measured prior to wound production. Results are presented for the Sample factor \* Time from bivariate variance analysis (ANOVA).

<b>Normalize Density of Cells (%)</b>	<b>C50</b>	<b>C25</b>	<b>C12.5</b>	<b>CTRL</b>	<b>CTRL0</b>
<b>T00</b>	0.00b	0.00b	0.00ab	0.00ab	0.00ab

	± 0.000	± 0.000	± 0.000	± 0.000	± 0.000
<b>T12</b>	43.97a ± 14.283	46.51a ± 7.587	49.04a ± 14.142	42.91a ± 22.056	8.94ab ± 0.544
<b>T24</b>	80.07a ± 13.248	63.62a ± 14.471	74.30a ± 15.312	73.63a ± 9.135	14.98ab ± 0.557
<b>T36</b>	109.42a ± 4.233	90.17a ± 4.456	85.01a ± 10.243	81.89a ± 3.283	43.41a ± 2.210
<b>T48</b>	117.87a ± 12.002	97.16a ± 1.518	94.60a ± 7.954	86.13a ± 4.516	55.95a ± 3.874
<b>T60</b>	128.72a ± 15.800	117.81a ± 14.556	106.21a ± 11.641	92.70a ± 4.128	70.11a ± 4.052

**Note:** The different letters that accompany the mean values denote statistically significantly different mean values; these results come from the multiple comparison of the means with the Duncan test ( $P = 0.05$ ) performed post hoc bivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) ( $P = 0.05$ ).

**Table S3.** Classification of the quality of wound closure according to the Sample factor, according to the value of the  $L_p$  norm calculated with the values of the three normalized variables.

<b>Factor: Sample</b>	<b>Wound coverage, Relative width (%)</b>	<b>Wound coverage, Area (%)</b>	<b>Normalized Density (%)</b>	<b>Cell <math>L^p</math>- norm <math>p = 2,5</math></b>
<b>C50</b>	73.5932	73.3677	80.0092	0.7644
<b>C12.5</b>	76.5829	75.3847	68.1925	0.7392
<b>CTRL</b>	74.2827	73.8357	62.8761	0.7138
<b>C25</b>	70.3340	69.0682	69.2126	0.6987
<b>CTRL0</b>	58.8981	53.7286	32.2320	0.5049