## Supplementary Material

## Radical Copolymerization Kinetics of Bio-Renewable Butyrolactone Monomer in Aqueous Solution

Sharmaine B. Luk<sup>1</sup>, and Robin A. Hutchinson<sup>1.\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Queen's University, 19 Division St., Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L3N6

\* Correspondence: robin.hutchinson@queensu.ca; Tel.: +1-613-533-3097



Figure S1: SHMeMB and AM concentrations as a function of reaction time for aqueous solutions with 15 wt% monomer, 0.5 wt% V-50, and 3:7 (left) and 4:6 (right) SHMeMB:AM molar ratios polymerized at 50 (top), 60 (middle) and 70 (bottom) °C.



Figure S2: A comparison of monomer composition drift measured using two different initiators (V-50 and V-86) for copolymerization of 3:7 molar ratio of SHMeMB:AM with 15 wt% monomer at various temperatures.



Figure S3 (with figure caption) continued on next page.



Figure S3: Monomer conversion (points) measured from SHMeMB:AM copolymerizations at 50 °C with 0.5 wt% V-50 and 15 wt% monomer conducted at various initial mole fractions of SHMeMB, as indicated. The lines are simulation output calculated using the best-fit  $k_t$  values estimated from the data (see Figure 11 of the paper), with the remaining kinetic coefficients taken from Table 2.

For all of the copolymerization simulations shown in Figure S3, a  $k_{p,AM}$  value of 86037 L/mol·s (calculated at 15 wt% AM) was used. The impact of this assumption needs to be checked, as the PLP-SEC study (reference 18 of paper) demonstrated a slight variation in  $k_{p,AM}$  with AM concentration. Actual AM concentrations in the various SHMeMB:AM mixtures are summarized in Table S1, along with the corresponding  $k_{p,AM}$  values calculated using the correlations from [18]. However, since  $k_p^{cop}$  is dominated by the low value of  $k_{p,SHMeMB}$  and not sensitive to small changes in  $k_{p,AM}$ , these small differences do not impact the  $k_t$  estimation. For example, SHMeMB:AM copolymerization with  $f_{SHMeMB}=0.8$  at 50°C and 15 wt% monomer was also simulated using a  $k_{p,AM}$  value of 104911 L/mol·s, The value of  $k_t$  estimated from the conversion profile was found to be 6.94 ± 0.26×10<sup>5</sup> L·mol<sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, as opposed to 6.96 ± 0.26×10<sup>5</sup> L·mol<sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, when using a  $k_{p,AM}$  value of 86037 L·mol<sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, a negligible difference.

Table S1: Propagation rate coefficients of acrylamide calculated as a function of AM concentrationfor SHMeMB:AM copolymerization at 15 wt% and 50°C.

<b>f</b> SHMeMB	AM wt%	k <sub>p,AM</sub> (L∙mol <sup>-1</sup> •s <sup>-1</sup> )
0.1	12.8	89040
0.2	10.8	91810
0.3	8.9	94393
0.4	7.3	96791
0.5	5.8	99028
0.6	4.5	101118
0.8	2.1	104911