Supporting Informations

Sequential Detection of Palladium and Chromium oxyanion by Fluorescein based Chemosensor in Mixed Aqueous Media

Aasif Helal*

Center of Research Excellence in Nanotechnology, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

> Corresponding authors Tel: +966 013 860 7532; E-mail address: <u>aasifh@kfupm.edu.sa (</u>A. Helal)

Contents:

¹ H NMR and ¹³ C NMR of Compound 1	2
¹ H NMR and ¹³ C NMR of Compound 2	3
UV and emission spectra of 1 with different metal ions	4
Linear regression curve of 1 and LOD	5
Determination of quantum yield and Partial ¹ H NMR	6
Competitive Binding and Effect of different palladium complexes	7
Effect of pH on emission Selectivity of Chromium oxyanions	8
Job's plot Binding constant of Chromium oxyanions	9
LOD of Chromium oxyanions	10
Partial ¹ H NMR of 2 with anions	11
Competitive Binding with anions	12



Figure S1. ¹H NMR of compound 1.



Figure S2. ¹³C NMR of compound 1.



Figure S3. ¹H NMR of compound **2.**



Figure S4. ¹³C NMR of compound **2.**



Figure S5. UV-Vis spectra of **1** (10 μ M) (a) with different cations (10 equivalents), (b) upon the addition of PdCl₂ (100 μ M) in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). Inset: Mol ratio plot of absorbance at 340 nm.



Figure S6. Fluorescence spectra of **1** (1.0 μ M) with different cations (10 μ M) in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).



Figure S7. Linear regression curve of **1** obtained by plotting $I_0/(I-I_0)$ as a function of $1/[Pd^{2+}]$ in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).

Limit of detection was calculated according to the procedure given in the literature.^[1]



Figure S8. Plot of normalized fluorescence intensity of **1** as a function of $\log[Pd^{2+}]$ in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).

Determination of quantum yield

The fluorescence quantum yields were determined using fluorescein as a reference, with a known Φ value of 0.89 in EtOH.^[2] The quantum yield was calculated according to the following equation (1):

$$\Phi_{\rm S}/\Phi_{\rm R} = (A_{\rm S}/A_{\rm R}) \ x \ (Abs_{\rm R}/Abs_{\rm S}) \ x \ (\Pi^2_{\rm S}/\Pi^2_{\rm R}).$$
 (1)

where Φ is the fluorescence quantum yield; A is the integral of fluorescence spectrum; Abs is the corresponding absorbance at the excitation wavelength; Π is refractive index; and the subscripted letters S and R denote sample and reference, respectively.



Figure S9. Partial ¹H NMR spectra of 1 and 1 with $PdCl_2$ in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure S10. Competitive metal ion selectivity of 1: Bars indicate the fluorescence intensity (330 nm excitation, 525 nm emission). Salts of various metal ions (100 equivalent) were added to 1 and Pd^{2+} (a) 1 and Pd^{2+} , (b) $Ag^+ + Pd^{2+}$, (c) $Pb^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (d) $Zn^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (e) $Mg^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (f) $Fe^{3+} + Pd^{2+}$, (g) $K^+ + Pd^{2+}$, (h) $Co^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (i) $Al^{3+} + Pd^{2+}$, (j) $Fe^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (k) $Na^+ + Pd^{2+}$, (l) $Cd^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (m) $Sr^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (n) $Rb^+ + Pd^{2+}$, (o) $Cu^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (p) $Ni^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (q) $Hg^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$, (r) $Ga^{3+} + Pd^{2+}$, (s) $Cs^+ + Pd^{2+}$ (t) $Ca^{2+} + Pd^{2+}$ in $H_2O:DMF$ (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM).



Figure S11. Change in the fluorescence emission spectrum with different palladium complexes, measured for an equimolar mixture of probe $1(1.0\mu M)$ and the palladium species in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). (λ_{ex} = 330 nm).



Figure S12. Effect of pH on the emission spectrum of **1** (390 nm) and **2** (540 nm) in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).



Figure S13. Fluorescence spectra of **2** (1.0 μ M) with different anions (10 μ M) in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).



Figure S14. Job's plot for **2** with K₂CrO₄ in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).



Figure S15. Linear regression curve of **2** obtained by plotting $I_0/(I-I_0)$ as a function of $1/[CrO_4^{2-}]$ in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).



Figure S16. Linear regression curve of **2** obtained by plotting $I_0/(I-I_0)$ as a function of $1/[Cr_2O_7^{2-}]$ in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).

Limit of detection was calculated according to the procedure given in the literature.^[1]



Figure S17. Plot of normalized fluorescence intensity of **2** as a function of log[CrO₄²⁻] in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).



Figure S18. Plot of normalized fluorescence intensity of **2** as a function of log[Cr₂ O₇²⁻] in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM). ($\lambda_{ex} = 330$ nm).



Figure S19. Partial ¹H NMR spectra of 2 and 2 with K_2CrO_4 in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure S20. Competitive anion selectivity of **2**: Bars indicate the fluorescence intensity (330 nm excitation, 525 nm emission). Salts of various metal ions (100 equivalent) were added to **2** and CrO4^{2^-} (a) **2**, (b) $\text{CH}_3\text{CO2}^- + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (c) $\text{PO4}^{2^-} + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (d) $\text{SO4}^{2^-} + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (e) $\text{Cr}_2\text{O7}^{2^-} + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (f) $\text{F}^- + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (g) $\text{CI}^- + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (h) $\text{Br}^- + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (i) $\text{I}^- + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (j) $\text{HSO4}^- + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (k) $\text{MnO4}^- + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (l) $\text{NO3}^- + \text{CrO4}^{2^-}$, (m) CrO4^{2^-} in H₂O:DMF (9:1) containing HEPES buffer (10 mM).

References:

- Shortreed, M.; Kopelman, R.; Kuhn, M.; Hoyland, B. Fluorescent Fiber-Optic Calcium Sensor for Physiological Measurements. *Anal. Chem.* 1996, *68*, 1414-1418.
- Magde, D.; Wong, R.; Seybold, P. G. Fluorescence quantum yields and their relation to lifetimes of rhodamine 6G and fluorescein in nine solvents: improved absolute standards for quantum yields. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 2002, 75, 327-334.