

## Supplementary Materials

**Supplementary Table S1.** SARC-F Screen for Sarcopenia ([https://www.physio-pedia.com/SARC-F:\\_A\\_Simple\\_Questionnaire\\_to\\_Rapidly\\_Diagnose\\_Sarcopenia](https://www.physio-pedia.com/SARC-F:_A_Simple_Questionnaire_to_Rapidly_Diagnose_Sarcopenia)).

<b>SARC-F Screen for Sarcopenia: Component Question Scoring</b>	
*Strength: How much difficulty do you have in lifting and carrying 10 pounds?	
•	None 0
•	Some 1
•	A lot or unable 2
*Assistance in walking: How much difficulty do you have walking across a room?	
•	None 0
•	Some 1
•	A lot, use aids, or unable 2
*Rise from a chair: How much difficulty do you have transferring from a chair or bed?	
•	None 0
•	Some 1
•	A lot or unable without help 2
*Climb stairs: How much difficulty do you have climbing a flight of 10 stairs?	
•	None 0
•	Some 1
•	A lot or unable 2
*Falls: How many times have you fallen in the past year?	
•	None 0
•	Less than 3 falls 1
•	4 or more falls 2
Data suggests that a SARC-F score of $\geq 4$ best predicts the need for further, more comprehensive evaluation.	

**Supplementary Table S2.** Hyperparameters of the Machine Learning Models.

For ALL Models					
Parameters	Values	Parameters	Values	Parameters	Values
<b>Algorithm name</b>	XGBoost	<b>Algorithm name</b>	Random Forest	<b>Algorithm name</b>	Light GBM
<b>eta</b>	0.05	<b>criterion</b>	gini	<b>min data in leaf</b>	3
<b>gamma</b>	0.001	<b>max_features</b>	11	<b>max depth</b>	5
<b>max_depth</b>	6	<b>min_samples_leaf</b>	30	<b>num leaves</b>	62
<b>max_leaves</b>	2	<b>min_samples_split</b>	10	<b>num iterations</b>	1000
<b>reg_alpha</b>	0.8333	<b>ntrees</b>	1000	<b>learning rate</b>	0.1
<b>reg_lambda</b>	0.9149			<b>bagging fraction</b>	0.8
<b>subsample</b>	0.5				
For Female Models					
Parameters	Values	Parameters	Values	Parameters	Values
<b>Algorithm name</b>	SVM	<b>Algorithm name</b>	Random Forest	<b>Algorithm name</b>	kNN
<b>C</b>	1.206	<b>criterion</b>	gini	<b>metric</b>	cityblock
<b>kernel</b>	rbf	<b>max_features</b>	11	<b>n_neighbors</b>	2
		<b>min_samples_leaf</b>	30	<b>weights</b>	distance
		<b>min_samples_split</b>	10		
		<b>ntrees</b>	1000		

**Supplementary Table S3.** Average compute time (minutes).

	Time (minutes)
<b>XGBoost-ALL model</b>	14.04
<b>Random Forest-ALL model</b>	15.57
<b>LightGBM-ALL model</b>	13.18
<b>Support Vector Machine-Female Model</b>	15.20
<b>Random Forest-Female model</b>	14.33
<b>K Nearest Neighbors-Female Model</b>	13.49

**Supplementary Table S4.** Results of the Training Set

ALL Model*	LightGBM	RF	XGBoost
<b>Accuracy</b>	0.939	0.907	0.878
<b>AUC</b>	0.984	0.949	0.941
<b>F1 score</b>	0.934	0.882	0.869
<b>Matthews Correlation Coefficient</b>	0.687	0.555	0.551
<b>Precision</b>	0.943	0.906	0.880
<b>Recall</b>	0.937	0.914	0.887
Female Model*	SVM	RF	KNN
<b>Accuracy</b>	0.954	0.938	0.931
<b>AUC</b>	0.995	0.972	0.966
<b>F1 score</b>	0.930	0.946	0.943
<b>Matthews Correlation Coefficient</b>	0.691	0.799	0.711
<b>Precision</b>	0.949	0.968	0.935
<b>Recall</b>	0.943	0.971	0.873

\* Results of the Training Set