

Article Modeling Study of the Effects of Ageratum conyzoides on the Transmission and Control of Citrus Huanglongbing

Ying Wang¹, Shujing Gao^{1,*}, Yujiang Liu¹ and Huaiping Zhu²

- ¹ Key Laboratory of Jiangxi Province for Numerical Simulation and Emulation Techniques, Gannan Normal University, Ganzhou 341000, China; wy100126592@163.com (Y.W.)
- ² LAMPS and CDM, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University, Toronto, ON M3J 1P3, Canada; huaiping@yorku.ca
- * Correspondence: gaosjmath@126.com or gaosjmath@gnnu.edu.cn

Abstract: Ageratum conyzoides (A. conyzoides) is commonly found or intentionally planted in citrus orchards due to its ability to provide habitat and breeding grounds for the natural enemies of citrus pests. This study aims to expand from a switching Huanglongbing model by incorporating the effects of *A. conyzoides*, vector preferences for settling, and pesticide application intervals on disease transmission. Additionally, we establish the basic reproduction number \mathcal{R}_0 and its calculation for a general switching compartmental epidemic model. Theoretical findings demonstrate that the basic reproduction number serves as a threshold parameter to characterize the dynamics of the models: if $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, the disease will disappear, whereas if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$, it will spread. Numerical results indicate that the recruitment rate of *A. conyzoides* not only affects the spread speed of Huanglongbing but also leads to paradoxical effects. Specifically, in cases of high infection rates, a low recruitment rate of *A. conyzoides* can result in a decrease, rather than an increase, in the basic reproduction number. Conversely, a high recruitment rate can accelerate the spread of Huanglongbing. Furthermore, we show how different vector bias and pesticide spraying periods affect the basic reproduction number.

Keywords: Huanglongbing; *Ageratum conyzoides*; mathematical model; basic reproduction number; transmission; paradoxical effect

1. Introduction

Huanglongbing (HLB) or citrus green disease is the most prevalent, dangerous, and devastating disease for citrus almost worldwide. The Asian citrus psyllid (ACP, *Diaphorina citri Kuwayama*) is a principal vector transmitting the bacterium, commonly known as *Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus* (*Las*) [1,2] in a persistent, circulative, and propagative manner. Because there is no known available cure for HLB [3], disease prevention is more crucial than treatment controlling in HLB-endemic regions [4]. Currently, prevention of HLB has focused primarily on effective control of the ACP to further reduce the spread of pathogens [5].

The citrus psyllid belongs to the family *Psyllidae* of the order *Hemiptera* and is an important pest during the new shoot period of plants in the *Rutaceae* family, mainly including *Citrus reticulata Blanco*, *Citrus maxima Merr.*, *Citrus sinensis Osbeck*, and *Murraya paniculata Jack* [6,7]. Historically, biologists have limited the host range of the citrus psyllid to plants in the *Rutaceae* family. The current monitoring and control of ACPs and HLB disease is also limited to *Rutaceae* plants. However, recent research has shown that ACPs can inhabit and feed on non-host plants outside of the *Rutaceae* family [8]. These *non-Rutaceae* plants can serve as temporary refuges for ACPs, and create conditions for their long-distance migration and spread, which subsequently affects the accurate monitoring and effective control of ACPs and HLB disease [9]. Field investigations have found that, without human interference, adult ACPs could stay for long periods of time on common weeds in citrus orchards, such as *Ageratum conyzoides*, *Eupatorium catarium*, and *Datura* [10]. It was reported



Citation: Wang, Y.; Gao, S.; Liu, Y.; Zhu, H. Modeling Study of the Effects of *Ageratum conyzoides* on the Transmission and Control of Citrus Huanglongbing. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 3659. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants12203659

Academic Editor: Vittorio Rossi

Received: 12 September 2023 Revised: 18 October 2023 Accepted: 18 October 2023 Published: 23 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). in [9] that the longest survival time of adult ACPs on *A. conyzoides* was 48 days at an average temperature of 35 °C under adverse conditions such as pesticide application or citrus death.

A. conyzoides, a weed belonging to the *Asteraceae* family, is commonly found in citrus orchards and their surrounding areas. A certain amount of *A. conyzoides* is intentionally retained or planted in citrus orchards to provide habitat and breeding sites for citrus mites, the natural enemies of pests. However, *A. conyzoides* can interfere with the efficacy of pesticide control of ACPs and significantly impact the development of psyllid populations [11]. Firstly, *A. conyzoides* serves as a host plant of ACPs, providing them with necessary food and habitats for reproduction and survival. The abundance of *A. conyzoides* in citrus orchards attracts and nurtures ACPs, leading to increased density and distribution range. Secondly, *A. conyzoides* creates a protective environment that shields ACPs from pesticide spraying. ACPs seek refuge within weeds, making it more challenging for them to be affected by pest control practices. Experimental results [9] demonstrate that, after spray treatment of 1.8% Avermectin EC 90 mg/L, the adult ACP mortality rate reached 79.49% without *A. conyzoides*, whereas in the presence of *A. conyzoides* on ACP control.

Currently, pesticide spraying is an essential component of ACP control and has been considered one of the most effective methods for controlling ACPs. Different pesticides have different persistence periods, which depend on factors such as their chemical composition, application method, and environmental conditions. Pesticides used to control citrus psyllids typically have durations of effectiveness ranging from a few days to a few weeks. To ensure continuous control of ACPs, the pesticides often require frequent applications. The pesticide application interval consists of two phases: the effectiveness period and the non-effectiveness period. Field experiment results [9] indicated that the selection ratio of adult ACP was 26.73% for *A. conyzoides* during the effectiveness period, and then there was only 1.24% during the non-effectiveness period. Adult ACPs exhibit different settling preferences on citrus trees and *A. conyzoides* during the effectiveness and non-effectiveness periods of pesticides.

Mathematical models have played an important role in understanding the epidemiology of vector-transmitted plant pathogens, in particular viral pathogens [12–15]. Mathematical models of HLB disease has mainly focused on comprehensive control measures [16,17], incubation or latent period [18,19], pesticide resistance of ACPs [20], and climatic factors [12,21,22]. However, the effect of the new host selection mechanism on the population of ACPs and spread of HLB is absent. To assess the risk of the spread of the host spectrum of "citrus psyllid - HLB", we propose a general switching dynamic model to investigate the interference of A. conyzoides on the ACP population and HLB transmission. We then define the basic reproduction number \mathcal{R}_0 and present the analytical results for calculating the number from the general switching compartmental epidemic model. We then derive the implicit expression for the basic reproduction number of the HLB switching model. The threshold dynamics will be discussed in terms of the basic reproduction number to evaluate the impact of several key factors, including the recruitment of A. conyzoides, vector preferences for hosts and weeds, and pesticide application intervals, on the development of the ACP population and HLB transmission. The dynamical analysis and simulations of our models will yield some new insights into the comprehensive control of ACPs and the effective containment of HLB, and also provide some useful guidance to orchard managers on the quantity of *A. conyzoides* essential to retain and level of pesticide spraying.

2. Methods

2.1. Model Formulation

The persistence period of pesticides refers to the duration required for pesticides to exhibit their insecticide fungicidal or herbicidal effects in crops or soil, It is important to note that each pesticide has a special persistence period. During the persistence period of the pesticide, some adult ACPs in an orchard would leave citrus trees and land and settle on *A. conyzoides*. However, after the pesticide becomes ineffective, the vast majority of ACPs would quickly return to their host plants. In this section, we aim to establish a switching Huanglongbing epidemic model that describes the interaction among host plants (citrus trees), non-host plants (*A. conyzoides* weeds), and vectors (ACPs). This model takes into account the changes in settling preferences of ACPs on both host and non-host plants under different periods.

Our study focuses on a whole citrus orchard. We denote N_h as the total number of citrus trees, which is further divided into susceptible (healthy) trees S_h and infected trees I_h . Let N_v be the total number of ACPs, which is divided into susceptible and infected ACPs in the citrus trees X_c and Y_c , and susceptible and infected ACPs in the *A. conyzoides* X_w and Y_w , respectively. Let W be the number of *A. conyzoides* in the orchard. The model in Figure 1 describes the dynamic of ACPs *A. conyzoides* and trees with three different types of reservoirs. In order to explore how the pathogen is transmitted between trees and ACPs, we provide some details and assumptions of the model with equations.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the modeling interaction of HLB transmission in citrus trees, *A. conyzoides*, and ACP populations. Trees are either susceptible or infected. Adult psyllids are either susceptible or infected. Blue, yellow and red arrows show the transitions between compartments. Blue and yellow dashed arrows show the necessary interactions between trees and psyllids to obtain transmission.

We assume that all newly planted citrus trees are susceptible, and the immediate replanted measure is implemented in the orchard; therefore, N_h remains a constant denoted by *K*. We know that ACPs only lay eggs and reproduce on citrus trees, and Λ_v is the constant recruitment rate for ACPs.

The healthy trees would be inoculated by the viruliferous ACPs on the trees, and the non-viruliferous ACPs on the trees would acquire the virus from infected trees. The forms we adopt for the overall rate at which uninfected trees become infected and the overall rate at which non-viruliferous ACPs on the trees become viruliferous would be

$$\frac{\beta_1 S_h Y_c}{N_h}$$
 and $\frac{\beta_2 X_c I_h}{N_h}$

respectively, where β_1 is the probability that a susceptible citrus tree becomes infected from contact with viruliferous ACPs, β_2 is the probability that a non-viruliferous ACPs becomes viruliferous from contact with an infected citrus tree.

We consider the case that the total number of citrus trees remains a constant, and the diffusion rate of ACP from *A. conyzoides* to trees is assumed to be constant δ . However, as

$$\frac{\delta \alpha W}{1+\alpha_1 W}'$$

where α represents the contribution of individuals to population growth, while α_1 represents the inhibitory effect of resource scarcity on population growth. δ is the diffusion rate of ACPs.

Currently, spraying pesticides to kill ACPs is still the most effective method to control HLB disease. Each pesticide has a certain persistence period. Assuming the effectiveness period is T_1 , and the non-effectiveness period is T_2 , then $T = T_1 + T_2$ is the pesticide application interval. Simply, we assume the pesticide is applied at time point kT ($k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \mathbb{Z}^+$ denotes a non-negative integer set); therefore, $(kT, kT + T_1]$ is the duration of effectiveness, and $(kT + T_1, (k + 1)T]$ is the duration of non-effectiveness. θ is the killing rate of ACPs in the duration of effectiveness.

The behavior of ACPs is governed by the parameters p_1 and q_1 which refer to the settling bias from host to weed and from weed to host, respectively, in the duration of effectiveness of the pesticide $(kT, kT + T_1]$. Further, in the duration of non-effectiveness $(kT + T_1, (k + 1)T]$, the parameters of the settling bias denote p_2 and q_2 .

With the above assumptions, we establish a multi-host switching HLB model:

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dS_h}{dt} = \mu_h N_h + \gamma I_h - \frac{\beta_1 S_h Y_c}{N_h} - \mu_h S_h, \\
\frac{dI_h}{dt} = \frac{\beta_1 S_h Y_c}{N_h} - \mu_h I_h - \gamma I_h, \\
\frac{dW}{dt} = \Lambda_w - \mu_w W, \\
\frac{dX_c}{dt} = \Lambda_V - \frac{\beta_2 X_c I_h}{N_h} - p_1 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W}{1 + \alpha_1 W} + q_1 \delta X_w - d_c X_c - \theta X_c, \text{ for } t \in (kT, kT + T_1], \quad (1) \\
\frac{dY_c}{dt} = \frac{\beta_2 X_c I_h}{N_h} - p_1 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W}{1 + \alpha_1 W} + q_1 \delta Y_w - d_c Y_c - \theta Y_c, \\
\frac{dX_w}{dt} = p_1 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W}{1 + \alpha_1 W} - q_1 \delta X_w - d_w X_w, \\
\frac{dY_w}{dt} = p_1 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W}{1 + \alpha_1 W} - q_1 \delta Y_w - d_w Y_w, \\
\text{and}
\end{cases}$$

$$\frac{dS_{h}}{dt} = \mu_{h}N_{h} + \gamma I_{h} - \frac{\beta_{1}S_{h}Y_{c}}{N_{h}} - \mu_{h}S_{h},$$

$$\frac{dI_{h}}{dt} = \frac{\beta_{1}S_{h}Y_{c}}{N_{h}} - \mu_{h}I_{h} - \gamma I_{h},$$

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \Lambda_{w} - \mu_{w}W,$$

$$\frac{dX_{c}}{dt} = \Lambda_{v} - \frac{\beta_{2}X_{c}I_{h}}{N_{h}} - p_{2}\delta X_{c}\frac{\alpha W}{1 + \alpha_{1}W} + q_{2}\delta X_{w} - d_{c}X_{c}, \quad \text{for } t \in (kT + T_{1}, (k+1)T],$$

$$\frac{dY_{c}}{dt} = \frac{\beta_{2}X_{c}I_{h}}{N_{h}} - p_{2}\delta Y_{c}\frac{\alpha W}{1 + \alpha_{1}W} + q_{2}\delta Y_{w} - d_{c}Y_{c},$$

$$\frac{dX_{w}}{dt} = p_{2}\delta X_{c}\frac{\alpha W}{1 + \alpha_{1}W} - q_{2}\delta X_{w} - d_{w}X_{w},$$

$$\frac{dY_{w}}{dt} = p_{2}\delta Y_{c}\frac{\alpha W}{1 + \alpha_{1}W} - q_{2}\delta Y_{w} - d_{w}Y_{w},$$

where μ_h denotes the natural death rate of citrus trees, γ denotes the rouging rate of citrus trees, μ_w is the mortality rate of *A. conyzoides*, and d_c and d_w are the natural death rate of ACPs in the trees and *A. conyzoides*, respectively. All parameters and its biological interpretation of model (1) and (2) are summarized in Table 1.

Variable	Description		
eta_1	Probability that a susceptible citrus tree becomes infected from contact with ACPs infected virus		
β_2	Probability that a susceptible ACP becomes		
	infected from contact with an infected citrus tree		
Λ_w	Constant recruitment rate for <i>A. conyzoides</i>		
Λ_v	Constant recruitment rate of ACPs		
μ_h	Natural mortality of citrus trees		
μ_w	Mortality rate of <i>A. conyzoides</i>		
γ	Rouging rate of infected trees		
d_c	Natural mortality of ACPs in citrus tree		
d_w	Natural mortality of ACPs in weeds		
δ	Diffusion rate of ACPs		
p_1	Bias parameter of ACPs from tree to <i>A. conyzoides</i>		
q_1	Bias parameter of ACPs from <i>A. conyzoides</i> to tree		
	in the duration of non-effectiveness		
p_2	Bias parameter of ACPs from tree to A. conyzoides		
	in the duration of effectiveness		
<i>q</i> ₂	Bias parameter of ACPs from A. conyzoides to tree		
	in the duration of non-effectiveness		
α	Growth rate parameter of A. conyzoides population		
α_1	Saturation effect parameter		
θ	Killing rate of pesticide		

Table 1. Summary of the multi-host switching HLB model and its parameters (1) and (2).

It follows from the third equation of model (1) and (2) that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} W(t) = \frac{\Lambda_w}{\mu_w} \doteq W^*.$$

This allows us to solve system (1) and (2) by studying the limit system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS_{h}}{dt} = \mu_{h}K + \gamma I_{h} - \frac{\beta_{1}S_{h}Y_{c}}{K} - \mu_{h}S_{h}, \\ \frac{dI_{h}}{dt} = \frac{\beta_{1}S_{h}Y_{c}}{K} - \mu_{h}I_{h} - \gamma I_{h}, \\ \frac{dX_{c}}{dt} = \Lambda_{V} - \frac{\beta_{2}X_{c}I_{h}}{K} - p_{1}\delta X_{c}\frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} + q_{1}\delta X_{w} - d_{c}X_{c} - \theta X_{c}, \\ \frac{dY_{c}}{dt} = \frac{\beta_{2}X_{c}I_{h}}{K} - p_{1}\delta Y_{c}\frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} + q_{1}\delta Y_{w} - d_{c}Y_{c} - \theta Y_{c}, \end{cases}$$
for $t \in (kT, kT + T_{1}],$ (3)
$$\frac{dX_{w}}{dt} = p_{1}\delta X_{c}\frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} - q_{1}\delta X_{w} - d_{w}X_{w}, \\ \frac{dY_{w}}{dt} = p_{1}\delta Y_{c}\frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} - q_{1}\delta Y_{w} - d_{w}Y_{w}, \\ \text{and} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS_{h}}{dt} = \mu_{h}K + \gamma I_{h} - \frac{\beta_{1}S_{h}Y_{c}}{K} - \mu_{h}S_{h}, \\ \frac{dI_{h}}{dt} = \frac{\beta_{1}S_{h}Y_{c}}{K} - \mu_{h}I_{h} - \gamma I_{h}, \\ \frac{dX_{c}}{dt} = \Lambda_{V} - \frac{\beta_{2}X_{c}I_{h}}{K} - p_{2}\delta X_{c}\frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} + q_{2}\delta X_{w} - d_{c}X_{c}, \\ \frac{dY_{c}}{dt} = \frac{\beta_{2}X_{c}I_{h}}{K} - p_{2}\delta Y_{c}\frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} + q_{2}\delta Y_{w} - d_{c}Y_{c}, \\ \frac{dX_{w}}{dt} = p_{2}\delta X_{c}\frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} - q_{2}\delta X_{w} - d_{w}X_{w}, \\ \frac{dY_{w}}{dt} = p_{2}\delta Y_{c}\frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} - q_{2}\delta Y_{w} - d_{w}Y_{w}, \end{cases}$$
(4)

with initial conditions

$$S_h(0) > 0, I_h(0) \ge 0, X_c(0) \ge 0, Y_c(0) \ge 0, X_w(0) \ge 0, Y_w(0) \ge 0.$$
(5)

2.2. Model Parameters

The parameter estimation of the model is crucial for the study of epidemics. Here, 11 parameters are set to realistic values found in the literature. However, due to a lack of data on the vector bias for plants in the *Asteraceae* and *non-Asteraceae* families, certain parameters in Table 2 are assigned assumed values. It is important to note that during the period when the pesticide is effective, some ACPs spread from citrus trees to *A. conyzoides*, while ACPs on *A. conyzoides* hardly spread to citrus trees. However, during the period when the pesticide is ineffective, the situation is reversed. As a result, we assume the preference parameters for plants in the Asteraceae family (citrus trees) and non-Asteraceae fmily (*A. conyzoides*) are $p_2 = 0$ and $q_1 = 0$.

Table 2. Parameter values for the multi-host switching HLB model (1) and (2).

Parameter	Baseline Values	Unit	Reference
K	2000	-	[25]
β_1	0.00494	day^{-1}	[26]
β_2	0.00226	day^{-1}	[26]
Λ_v	924	day^{-1}	[21]
Λ_w	3	day^{-1}	Assumed
μ_h	0.00011	day^{-1}	[27]
μ_w	0.00274	day^{-1}	[28]
γ	0.001	day^{-1}	[29]
d_c	0.0222	day^{-1}	[30]
d_w	0.0333	day^{-1}	[10]
δ	0.02	day^{-1}	Assumed
p_1	3	day^{-1}	Assumed
p_2	0	day^{-1}	Assumed
q_1	0	day^{-1}	Assumed
<i>q</i> ₂	10	day^{-1}	Assumed
α_1	0.00003	day^{-1}	Assumed
α	0.0015	day^{-1}	[31]
θ	0.1454	day ⁻¹	[10]

3. Analytical Results

Prior to delving the analysis of system (3) and (4), it is necessary to introduce some notations and establish key finndings for the linear switching system in a periodic environ-

ment. Define $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \ge 0\}$, $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i \ge 0, i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$. Let r(B) be the spectral radius of matrix *B*.

3.1. Some Results for Linear Switching System

Consider the following linear switching periodic system:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = A_k x(t), \quad t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k],$$
(6)

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, *q* is a fixed positive integer such that $A_{k+q} = A_k$, $t_k - t_{k-1} = T_k$ with $T_{k+q} = T_k$, and then $T = \sum_{k=1}^{q} T_k$ is the period of switch system.

Denote

$$\Phi_{A_k}(T) := \prod_{k=1}^{q} \exp(A_{q-k+1}T_{q-k+1}).$$
(7)

It is important to note that system (6) can be considered the special case of system (5) in [22]. While there is no pulse present, it degenerates to the system (6) in this paper. According to Lemma 1 in [22], we have the following results.

Lemma 1. If $\eta = (1/T) \ln r(\Phi_{A_k}(T))$, then there exists a positive T-periodic vector function v(t) such that $\exp(\eta t)v(t)$ is a solution of the linear T-periodic switching system (6).

Lemma 2. If $r(\Phi_{A_k}(T)) < 1$, then the trivial solution of system (6) is asymptotically stable.

3.2. Basic Reproduction Number for General Periodic Switching System

The basic reproduction number, \mathscr{R}_0 , is the number of newly infected plants that arise from one infected plant in a whole susceptible plant population [32]. In the last few decades, \mathscr{R}_0 has become a fundamental parameter in mathematical epidemiology and has been widely applied in the study of the dynamics of animal and plant epidemics [33,34]. In classical epidemic models, the basic reproduction number serves as a threshold determinant. It is a common case that a disease dies out if the basic reproduction number, \mathscr{R}_0 , is less than 1, and the disease persists whenever \mathscr{R}_0 is greater than 1.

For autonomous continuous-time epidemic models, the calculation of the basic reproduction number is typically performed using the next-generation matrix method, introduced by van den Driessche and Watmough [35]. However, for non-autonomous systems [36], impulsive systems [37], and impulsive and switching systems [22], corresponding explicit formulae have been developed to calculate the basic reproduction number using the linear operator method.

To calculate the basic reproduction number for the switching system (3) and (4), it is necessary to first examine a general switching system in a periodic environment:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = f^k(x), \quad \text{for } t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k], \tag{8}$$

where $f^k : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $f^{k+q} = f^k$, $t_k - t_{k-1} = T_k$ with $T_{k+q} = T_k$, and then $T = \sum_{k=1}^q T_k$ is the period of the switch system. Note that system (8) is the special case of system (9) in [22].

The basic reproduction number is derived by following the linear operator method as presented in [22]. Following the notation from Gao et al. [22], the first *m* compartments x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m denote the infected individuals; x_{m+1}, x_2, \dots, x_n the uninfected individuals; X_s represents the set of all disease-free state, i.e., $X_s = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ | x_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$; and $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), Y = (x_{m+1}, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

We can rewrite system (8) as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathscr{F}^k(x(t)) - \mathscr{V}^k(x(t)), \quad \text{for } t \in (t_{k-1,t_k}].$$
(9)

where $\mathscr{F}^k(x)$ are the newly infected rates, $\mathscr{V}^k(x) = \mathscr{V}^{k-}(x) - \mathscr{V}^{k+}(x)$ represent the set transfer rates out of compartments, here $\mathscr{V}^{k+}(x)$ are the input rates of individuals by other means, and $\mathscr{V}^{k-}(x)$ are the rates of transfer of individuals out of compartments. Thus, $f^k(x) = \mathscr{F}^k(x) - \mathscr{V}^k(x)$. We assume that system (9) has a disease-free periodic solution $x^*(t)$.

Denote

$$F_k(t) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{F}_i^k(x^*(t))}{\partial x_j}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le m} \text{ and } V_k(t) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{F}_i^k(x^*(t))}{\partial x_j}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le m}.$$
 (10)

We make the following assumptions, which share the same biological meanings as those by Gao et al. [22].

Hypothesis 1. If $x_i \ge 0$, then the function $\mathscr{F}_i^k(x)$, $\mathscr{V}_i^{k-}(x)$ and $\mathscr{V}_i^{k+}(x)$ are nonnegative and continuous on \mathbb{R}^n_+ and continuously differential with respect to x for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Hypothesis 2. If $x_i = 0$, then $\mathscr{V}_i^{k-}(x) = 0$. Particularly, if $x \in X_s$, then $\mathscr{V}_i^{k-}(x) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Hypothesis 3. $\mathscr{F}_i^k(x) = 0$ for $i = m + 1, \dots, n$.

Hypothesis 4. If $x \in X_s$, then $\mathscr{F}_i^k(x) = \mathscr{V}_i^{k+1}(x) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Hypothesis 5. $r(\Phi_{M_k}(T)) < 1$, where $\Phi_{M_k}(T) = \prod_{k=1}^{q} \exp(M_{q-k+1}T_{q-k+1})$, and $\Phi_{M_k}(t)$ is the fundamental solution matrix of the following system:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}z(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = M_k(t)z(t),$$

where

$$M_k(t) = \left(\frac{\partial f_i^k(x^*(t))}{\partial x_j}\right)_{m+1 \le i,j \le n}.$$
(11)

Hypothesis 6. $r(\Phi_{-V_k}(T)) < 1$.

Further, let Y(t,s) (t > s) be the evolution operator of the following linear switching system:

$$\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = -V_k(t)y(t), \text{ for } t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k].$$
(12)

Similar to the notation and definition of [22], we define the so-called next infection operator L,

$$L\phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} Y(t,s)F(s)\phi(s)ds = \int_{0}^{+\infty} Y(t,t-a)F(t-a)\phi(t-a)da, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad (13)$$

where $\phi(s)$ is a T-periodic function from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}^m_+ and denotes the initial distribution of infections individuals, and $F(t) = F_k(t)$ for $t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k]$. Now, we define the basic reproduction number \mathscr{R}_0 for system (9) as:

$$\mathscr{R}_0 = r(L). \tag{14}$$

In order to calculate the implicit expression \mathscr{R}_0 by numerical simulation, we consider the auxiliary *T*-periodic switching system:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}U(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(-V_k(t) + \frac{F_k(t)}{\lambda}\right)U(t). \tag{15}$$

where $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$. Set $U(t,s,\lambda)$ $(t \ge s)$ to be the evolution operator of system (15), then $U(T,0,\lambda) = \Phi_{(F_k/\lambda)-V_k}(T)$. According to Lemmas 3 and 4 of [22], the following results can be yielded.

Lemma 3. Assuming that (H1)–(H6) hold, then the following statements are valid:

- (i) If $r(\Phi_{(F_k/\lambda)-V_k}(T)) = 1$ has a positive solution λ_0 , then λ_0 is an eigenvalue of L, and so $\Re_0 > 0$.
- (ii) If $\mathscr{R}_0 > 0$, then $\lambda = \mathscr{R}_0$ is the unique solution of $r(\Phi_{(F_{\nu}/\lambda)-V_{\nu}}(T)) = 1$.
- (iii) $\mathscr{R}_0 = 0$ if and only if $r(\Phi_{(F_k/\lambda)-V_k}(T)) < 1$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

In view of the results of Lemma 3, we have that \mathscr{R}_0 for the periodic switching system (8) is the solution of algebraic equation $r(\Phi_{(F_k/\lambda)-V_k}(T)) = 1$.

Lemma 4. Assuming that (H1)–(H6) hold, then the following statements are valid for system (9): (i) $\mathscr{R}_0 = 1$ if and only if $r(\Phi_{(F_k - V_k)}(T)) = 1$.

- (*ii*) $\Re_0 > 1$ *if and only if* $r(\Phi_{(F_k V_k)}(T)) > 1$.
- (*iii*) $\Re_0 < 1$ *if and only if* $r(\Phi_{(F_k V_k)}(T)) < 1$.

It follows from Lemma 4 that the disease-free periodic solution $x^*(t)$ of system (9) is asymptotically stable if $\Re_0 < 1$ and unstable if $\Re_0 > 1$.

To proof our main result, we state the Spectral Mapping Theorem (see Theorem 1.4 in [38]) which will be essential to our proof.

Lemma 5. Let g(t) be a polynomial with complex coefficients, and let the eigenvalues of $n \times n$ matrix A be $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then, the eigenvalues of g(A) are $f(\lambda_1), f(\lambda_2), \dots, f(\lambda_n)$.

3.3. Dynamics of Switching Model (3) and (4)

3.3.1. Non-negativity and Boundedness

Let

$$\Omega = \left\{ (S_h, I_h, X_c, Y_c, X_w, Y_w) \in \mathbb{R}^6_+ \mid S_h + I_h = K, \ X_c + Y_c + X_w + Y_w \le \frac{\Lambda_v}{d_{\min}} \right\},$$

where $d_{\min} = \min\{d_c, d_w, \theta\}$. In the following, we will show that switching system (3) and (4) is well posed in Ω .

Lemma 6. The feasible region Ω is positively invariant and attracts all solutions of system (3) and (4).

Proof. Let $\xi(t) = (S_h(t), I_h(t), X_h(t), Y_h(t), X_w(t), Y_w(t))$ be any solution of switching system (3) and (4) with initial conditions (5). We first show the non-negativity of solutions. Set $t_1 = \sup\{t > 0 \mid \xi(s) > 0, \text{ for } s \in [0, t)\}$. Obviously, $t_1 > 0$. It follows from the first equation of (3) and (4) that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_h}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mu_h K + \gamma I_h - \frac{\beta_1 S_h Y_c}{K} - \mu_h S_h. \tag{16}$$

Denote $\lambda_h(t) = \frac{\beta_1 Y_c}{K}$, then (16) becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_h}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mu_h K + \gamma I_h - \lambda_h(t) S_h - \mu_h S_h.$$

10 of 21

which can be re-written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ S_h(t) \exp\left(\int_0^t \lambda_h(s) \mathrm{d}s + \mu_h t\right) \right\} = (\mu_h K + \gamma I_h) \exp\left(\int_0^t \lambda_h(s) \mathrm{d}s + \mu_h t\right).$$

Thus,

$$S_{h}(t_{1}) \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \lambda_{h}(s) ds + \mu_{h} t_{1}\right) - S_{h}(0)$$

=
$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} (\mu_{h} K + \gamma I_{h}(\zeta)) \cdot \exp\left(\int_{0}^{\zeta} \lambda_{h}(s) ds + \mu_{h} \zeta\right) d\zeta$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{split} S_h(t_1) &= S_h(0) \exp\left(-\int_0^{t_1} \lambda_h(s) \mathrm{d}s + \mu_h t_1\right) \\ &+ \exp\left(-\int_0^{t_1} \lambda_h(s) \mathrm{d}s + \mu_h t_1\right) \int_0^{t_1} (\mu_h K + \gamma I_h(\zeta)) \cdot \exp\left(\int_0^{\zeta} \lambda_h(s) \mathrm{d}s + \mu_h \zeta\right) \mathrm{d}\zeta \\ &> 0. \end{split}$$

Similarly, it can be proven that $\xi(t) \ge 0$ for all t > 0.

Next, we need to show the boundedness of the solutions. Set $N_v = X_c + Y_c + X_w + Y_w$. Adding the last four equations of (3) and (4), we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_v}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \Lambda_h - d_{min}N_v,$$

which implies that $N_v \leq \frac{\Lambda_h}{d_{min}}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore, the region Ω is positively invariant with respect to the switching system (3) and (4). \Box

The results of Lemma 6 show that it is sufficient to study the dynamic properties of the switching system (3) and (4) in Ω , which we present in the following subsections.

3.3.2. Threshold Dynamics

In this subsection, we will explore the threshold condition which leads to the extinction and persistence of the disease for the switching system (3) and (4).

Note that the switching system (3) and (4) is the special case of the general switching system (8), in which $x = (I_h, Y_c, Y_w, S_h, W, X_c, X_w)^T$, q = 2, $t_{2k} = kT$, $t_{2k+1} = kT + T_1$, $f^{k+2}(x) = f^k(x)$. Thus,

$$f^{2k}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta_1 S_h Y_c}{K} - \mu_h I_h - \gamma I_h \\ \frac{\beta_2 X_c I_h}{K} - p_1 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} + q_1 \delta Y_w - d_c Y_c - \theta Y_c \\ p_1 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} - q_1 \delta Y_w - d_w Y_w \\ \mu_h K + \gamma I_h - \frac{\beta_1 S_h Y_c}{K} - \mu_h S_h \\ \Lambda_V - \frac{\beta_2 X_c I_h}{K} - p_1 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} + q_1 \delta X_w - d_c X_c - \theta X_c \\ p_1 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} - q_1 \delta X_w - d_w X_w \end{pmatrix}$$
(17)

$$f^{2k+1}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta_1 S_h Y_c}{K} - \mu_h I_h - \gamma I_h \\ \frac{\beta_2 X_c I_h}{K} - p_2 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} + q_2 \delta Y_w - d_c Y_c \\ p_2 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} - q_2 \delta Y_w - d_w Y_w \\ \mu_h K + \gamma I_h - \frac{\beta_1 S_h Y_c}{K} - \mu_h S_h \\ \Lambda_V - \frac{\beta_2 X_c I_h}{K} - p_2 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} + q_2 \delta X_w - d_c X_c \\ p_2 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} - q_2 \delta X_w - d_w X_w \end{pmatrix}$$
(18)

It is easy to see that the switch system (3) and (4) has a unique disease-free periodic solution $x^*(t) = (K, X_c^*(t), 0, X_w^*(t), 0)$.

By (10) and (11), we can calculate F_k , V_{2k} , V_{2k+1} , M_{2k} , and M_{2k+1} of the switch system (3) and (4), which are represented as the following form:

$$\begin{split} F_{k} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{1} & 0 \\ \beta_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_{2k} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{h} + \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{c} + \theta + p_{1}\delta \frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1} W^{*}} & -q_{1}\delta \\ 0 & -p_{1}\delta \frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1} W^{*}} & d_{w} + q_{1}\delta \end{pmatrix}, \\ V_{2k+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{h} + \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{c} + p_{2}\delta \frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1} W^{*}} & -q_{2}\delta \\ 0 & -p_{2}\delta \frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1} W^{*}} & d_{w} + q_{2}\delta \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_{2k} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -p_{1}\delta \frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1} W^{*}} & -d_{c} - \theta & q_{1}\delta \\ 0 & p_{1}\delta \frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1} W^{*}} & -q_{1}\delta - d_{w} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_{2k+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -p_{2}\delta \frac{\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1} W^{*}} & -q_{2}\delta - d_{w} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

In order to derive the basic reproductive number of system (3) and (4), we need to show that Assumptions (H1)–(H6) hold. The mathematical details can be found in Appendix A.

Theorem 1. If $\mathscr{R}_0 < 1$, then the disease-free periodic solution $x^*(t)$ of system (3) and (4) is globally asymptotically stable, whereas it is unstable if $\mathscr{R}_0 > 1$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is shown in Appendix B. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [22], we can obtain the uniform persistence of system (3) and (4).

Theorem 2. If $\mathscr{R}_0 > 1$, then the disease is uniformly persistent for system (3) and (4), that is, there is a positive constant $\epsilon > 0$, such that $\liminf_{t\to\infty} I_h(t) > \epsilon$, $\liminf_{t\to\infty} Y_c(t) > \epsilon$, and $\liminf_{t\to\infty} Y_w(t) > \epsilon$.

Theorems 1 and 2 demonstrate that \mathscr{R}_0 is a sharp threshold value which determines whether the disease dies out or not. If $\mathscr{R}_0 < 1$, then the disease will be controlled, whereas if $\mathscr{R}_0 > 1$, the disease will be endemic.

4. Numerical Simulation

In this section, we present numerical simulations of the system (3) and (4) to support our analytical results, and determine the optimal number of *A. conyzoides* retained in the orchard and the best period of pesticide spraying.

4.1. Theory Verification

Figure 2a–f are the time dynamics of the compartmental population (S_h , I_h , $X_c Y_c$, X_w and Y_w) with $\Lambda_w = 1$ and $\Lambda_w = 9$. If $\Lambda_w = 1$, then the basic reproduction number takes the valve $\mathcal{R}_0 = 0.9432 < 1$ by numerical computation. According to Theorem 1, the disease-free periodic solution of the switching system (3) and (4) is globally asymptotically stable. Thus, the disease will die out. Further, if we fix $\Lambda_w = 9$, then $\mathcal{R}_0 = 1.0286 > 1$. By Theorem 2, we know that the disease is uniformly permanent. A numerical simulation of the above results can be seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Time series of solutions for switching system (3) and (4), (a) S_h , (b) I_h , (c) X_c , (d) Y_c , (e) X_w , (f) Y_w with $\Lambda_w = 1$ and $\Lambda_w = 9$, showing the disease will be extinct eventually when $\Re_0 = 0.9432$ (blue), and the disease is permanent when $\Re_0 = 1.0286$ (red).

4.2. Sensitive Analysis

Note that several fundamental parameters including the recruitment of *A. conyzoides* (Λ_w), the preference parameters (p_1 , q_2), and the timing of pesticide application (T_1 , T_2) play a significant role in our model. By considering pulse parameters, we are able to investigate the quantity of weed introductions, the settling preference of ACPs, and the pesticide spraying period affecting the transmission of the disease. These factors are crucial in understanding the dynamics and control strategy of the disease within the context of our model.

To illustrate that the evolution of disease transmission evolves with increasing numbers of *A. conyzoides*, we have plotted the basic reproduction numbers in Figure 3 for different infection rates (β_1 , β_2), which reveal some important issues related to HLB outbreaks. When the infection rates (β_1 and β_2) are low, the basic reproduction number (\Re_0) monotonically increases with the parameter Λ_w (see Figure 3a). However, when the infection rates reach certain values, the basic reproduction number first decreases monotonically with parameter Λ_w and then increases monotonically (see Figure 3b–d). We can observe that \Re_0 is more sensitive when the parameter Λ_w is smaller.



Figure 3. The basic reproduction number vs. changes in the constant recruitment rate for *A. conyzoides* Λ_w and different infection rates, (a) $\beta_1 = 0.00494$, $\beta_2 = 0.00226$, (b) $\beta_1 = 0.01482$, $\beta_2 = 0.00226$, (c) $\beta_1 = 0.00494$, $\beta_2 = 0.00678$, (d) $\beta_1 = 0.01482$, $\beta_2 = 0.00678$.

Next, we examine the responses of the basic reproduction number when pairs of vector preference parameters are simultaneously altered. Figure 4 illustrates that the value of \mathscr{R}_0 increases as the landing preference parameter p_1 increases or as q_2 decreases. The threshold in Figure 3a represents the combination of landing preference parameters between citrus trees and weeds at which \mathscr{R}_0 equals one.

From the plot, we can observe that when p_1 is less than 1.633, \Re_0 remains below one for all values of q_2 . Conversely, when p_1 exceeds 6.122, \Re_0 surpasses one for q_2 values up to 20. This implies that during the period of pesticide effectiveness, if only a small proportion of Asian citrus psyllids diffuse from citrus trees to weeds, the spread of Huanglongbing can be controlled. However, if the proportion is large enough, even with a high diffusion preference parameter from weeds to citrus trees, the disease will persist. Therefore, the landing preference parameter p_1 from citrus trees to weeds plays a crucial role in the control of Huanglongbing.

Figure 5 displays the paired effects of simultaneously varying T_1 and T_2 on the basic reproduction number \mathscr{R}_0 , with a fixed value of $\beta_2 = 0.00226$. As T_2 increases, \mathscr{R}_0 also increases. Conversely, as T_1 increases, \mathscr{R}_0 decreases. The red line represents the threshold

value where \mathscr{R}_0 equals 1, while the different colors indicate increasing values of \mathscr{R}_0 from 0 to 3 in increments of 0.5 (ranging from blue to yellow).



Figure 4. Contour plots of basic reproduction number \mathscr{R}_0 with respect to preference parameters p_1 and q_2 , showing that the values of \mathscr{R}_0 increases as p_1 increases or as q_2 decreases.



Figure 5. Contour plots of basic reproduction number \mathscr{R}_0 vs. T_1 and T_2 showing the paired effects of the periods of effectiveness and ineffectiveness of the pesticide (the red line shows the threshold value where $\mathscr{R}_0 = 1$). Different colors indicate increasing values of \mathscr{R}_0 from 0 to 3 in increments of 0.5 (ranging from blue to yellow).

We observe that there is a rapid increase in \Re_0 as T_2 increases for very small values of T_1 . However, for larger values of T_1 , there is little change in \Re_0 as T_2 increases. Field experiments have indicated that when spraying 1.8% Avermectin EC at a concentration of 90 mg/L on citrus branches, the pesticide has a persistent duration of 11 days [10]. From Figure 5, we can deduce that if T_1 is set to 11 and T_2 is less than 18, then \Re_0 remains below 1. Therefore, to effectively control the spread of the disease, the period of spraying Avermectin should be extended to 29 days.

In Figure 6, violin plots were employed to visualize the distribution and probability density of the total number of the ACP population under different recruitment rates and infection rates. Violin plots combine the features of density plots and box plots, providing information about the median, quartiles, outliers, and density distribution of the data.

From the violin plots in Figure 6a,b, it is evident that the median values of the respective data distributions increase with higher recruitment rates for *Ageratum conyzoides* (Λ_w). This indicates that increasing the recruitment rate of the weed has a greater impact on the number of ACPs.

However, when considering the influence of outliers, it can be observed that the infection rates of the disease have a minimal impact on the population of ACPs. This

suggests that the variability in the number of the ACP population due to infection rates is relatively small compared to the influence of other factors such as weed recruitment rates.

In Figure 6a, when the infection rate is low, comparing the medians reveals that the rate of change in the number of ACPs increases with a higher recruitment rate of *Ageratum conyzoides* (Λ_w). However, in Figure 6b, when the infection rate is high, the rate of change in the number of ACPs decreases with a higher recruitment rate.

Furthermore, when comparing the two violin plots, it can be observed that when the recruitment rate is low ($\Lambda_w = 3$), the median value of ACPs is higher with high infection rates compared to low infection rates. Conversely, when the recruitment rate is high ($\Lambda_w = 9$), the opposite trend is observed. In this case, the median value of ACPs is higher with low infection rates compared to high infection rates. Additionally, the concentration distribution of the ACP population is more clustered around the median value when the recruitment rate is high and the infection rate is low.



Figure 6. Violin Plots of total number of psyllids N_v for three different constant recruitment rates Λ_w with different infection rates, (**a**) $\beta_1 = 0.00494$, $\beta_2 = 0.00226$, (**b**) $\beta_1 = 0.01482$, $\beta_2 = 0.00678$. Showing that increasing the recruitment rate of the weed has a greater impact on the number of ACP.

In the past, farmers would extensively introduce *A. conyzoides*, a weed that produces pollen that serves as an alternative food source for natural enemy predatory mites. This practice was implemented as part of integrated pest management strategies in citrus orchards. The presence of *A. conyzoides* helped to effectively maintain continuous control on citrus pest mites.

By introducing *A. conyzoides*, farmers aimed to provide a supplementary food source for predatory mites, which are natural enemies of citrus pest mites. This approach was

considered beneficial as it promoted the presence and activity of predatory mites, which in turn helped to control the population of citrus pest mites. This integrated pest management approach aimed to reduce reliance on chemical pesticides and promote a more sustainable and environmentally friendly method of pest control in citrus orchards.

A. conyzoides, while beneficial for ecological control of predatory mites in citrus orchards, can potentially interfere with the effectiveness of pesticide control against ACPs [10,39]. To assess the impact of *A. conyzoides* on the population of ACPs and the spread of citrus HLB under pesticide control conditions, a switching differential model was established in the study.

The study also developed the theory of the basic reproduction ratio, \mathscr{R}_0 , for a class of periodic switching systems. It was proven that \mathscr{R}_0 serves as a threshold parameter for the stability of the disease-free periodic solution of the system. Furthermore, the theory of \mathscr{R}_0 was applied to the switching HLB model, resulting in a threshold-type result in relation to \mathscr{R}_0 .

This threshold result provides valuable insights into the dynamics of the disease and the impact of *A. conyzoides* on the spread of HLB in the presence of pesticide control measures. By understanding the threshold value of \mathscr{R}_0 , researchers and policymakers can make informed decisions regarding disease management strategies and the role of *A. conyzoides* in controlling ACP populations and HLB spread in citrus orchards.

In this paper, we have developed the theory of the basic reproduction ratio, \mathcal{R}_0 , for a specific class of periodic switching systems. It has been demonstrated that \mathcal{R}_0 serves as a threshold parameter for determining the stability of the disease-free periodic solution of the system described in Equations (4) and (5).

Furthermore, the theory of \mathscr{R}_0 has been applied to a multi-host switching model for HLB. We have presented a threshold-type result in relation to \mathscr{R}_0 , and it has been proven that when \mathscr{R}_0 is less than 1, the disease will eventually die out.

This threshold result is significant as it provides a quantitative measure for assessing the potential spread and control of HLB. By determining the critical value of \Re_0 , researchers and policymakers can evaluate the effectiveness of disease control strategies and make informed decisions to prevent and manage the spread of HLB.

Furthermore, the numerical results obtained in our study have yielded valuable insights into the transmission dynamics of Huanglongbing (HLB) and have shed light on key factors that impact disease control measures.

One important aspect we have investigated is the influence of the quantity of weed introductions on the transmission of HLB. Our numerical findings have revealed that in scenarios with low infection rates, it is more feasible to control the spread of the disease in orchards by minimizing the presence or introduction of weeds. This suggests that reducing or eliminating weeds can be an effective strategy for disease control in such cases.

However, interestingly, our results have also shown that in scenarios with high infection rates, a moderate amount of weeds can actually be beneficial for disease control. This implies that in certain situations, the presence of weeds can play a role in suppressing the spread of HLB. These findings highlight the complex interplay between weed populations and disease dynamics, emphasizing the need for a nuanced approach to disease management strategies.

Overall, our numerical results provide valuable insights into the transmission dynamics of HLB and offer guidance on the optimal management of weeds in order to effectively control the spread of the disease in citrus orchards.

Secondly, our study has also examined the responses of the basic reproduction number (\mathscr{R}_0) to alterations in pairs of vector preference parameters. Our findings have revealed that as the selection preference parameter (p_1) increased or the diffusion preference parameter (q_2) decreased, \mathscr{R}_0 also increased. The threshold parameter combination between citrus trees and weeds, which results in \mathscr{R}_0 being equal to one, played a significant role in disease control.

These results indicate that during the persistence of pesticides, if only a small proportion of Asian citrus psyllids (ACPs) diffuse from citrus trees to weeds, the spread of HLB can be controlled. However, when the proportion is large enough, the disease will become permanent, even if the diffusion preference parameter from weeds to citrus trees is high. Therefore, the landing preference parameter from citrus trees to weeds, p_1 , plays a key role in HLB control. This suggests that reducing the spread of ACPs from citrus trees to weeds is beneficial for controlling the population size of ACPs and the transmission of HLB.

Based on these findings, several measures can be taken for effective control of ACP population size and HLB transmission: (i) Choose the appropriate timing for pesticide application: timely spraying of pesticides during the early stages of citrus trees being infested by ACPs can prevent the pests from spreading to weeds and avoid their transmission between citrus trees and weeds. (ii) Spray pesticides to the lower canopy: direct pesticide application to the lower parts of citrus trees can minimize pesticide contact with weeds on the ground. (iii) Implement integrated pest management strategies: in addition to pesticide application, combining other control methods such as traps and biological control can comprehensively control the spread of ACPs.

In conclusion, by choosing the right timing for pesticide application, spraying pesticides to the appropriate locations, and implementing integrated pest management strategies, the spread of ACPs from citrus trees to weeds can be effectively reduced, leading to effective control of the population size of ACPs and the transmission of HLB.

Thirdly, our study investigated the paired effects of varying T_1 and T_2 simultaneously on the basic reproduction number \mathscr{R}_0 , with fixed values of β_2 . The results, as shown in Figure 5, indicate that as T_2 increases, \mathscr{R}_0 also increases. Conversely, as T_1 increases, \mathscr{R}_0 decreases. The results illustrate that for very small T_1 , there is a rapid rise in \mathscr{R}_0 as T_2 increases. However, for large T_1 , there is little change in \mathscr{R}_0 as T_2 increases. Based on our analysis, we have determined that the optimal spraying period for Avermectin is 29 days.

These findings provide important insights into the optimal timing and frequency of pesticide application for effective control of ACPs and HLB transmission. By understanding the paired effects of T_1 and T_2 on \mathscr{R}_0 , farmers and policymakers can make informed decisions regarding the timing and frequency of pesticide treatments to effectively manage ACP populations and control the spread of HLB in citrus orchards.

Fourth, in our study, we have investigated the role of the ACP as a vector for the transmission of HLB disease. ACPs transmit the HLB pathogen to citrus trees through their feeding activities, specifically by injecting the pathogen into the trees. The rate at which the psyllid bites and feeds on the trees directly affects the basic reproduction number (\Re_0), which represents the number of healthy trees that can be infected by each infected psyllid. Therefore, the biting rate, or infection rate, of the psyllid plays a crucial role in the transmission dynamics of HLB.

To explore this further, we have utilized violin plots to display the relationship between weed recruitment rates, infection rates, and the population growth of ACPs in citrus orchards. Our results have revealed that weeds present in citrus orchards have a significant influence on the population dynamics of ACPs. The presence of weeds can provide additional food sources and breeding grounds for the psyllids, leading to increased population sizes. On the other hand, the infection intensity, or the level of HLB disease in the psyllid population, has a minimal effect on the overall population size of the psyllids.

These findings highlight the importance of considering the role of weeds in citrus orchards when developing strategies for controlling ACP populations and managing the spread of HLB. Efforts to control weeds and minimize their presence in orchards can help reduce the availability of food and breeding sites for ACPs, ultimately leading to a decrease in their population sizes. This, in turn, can contribute to the control of HLB transmission.

Overall, our study emphasizes the significance of understanding the relationship between weed recruitment rates, infection rates, and the population growth of ACPs in citrus orchards. By considering these factors, researchers and policymakers can develop targeted and effective strategies for managing ACP populations and controlling the spread of HLB disease.

5. Conclusions

Our study focuses on the theory of the basic reproduction number, \mathscr{R}_0 , for periodic switching systems and its application to the switching HLB model. It is proven that \mathscr{R}_0 serves as a threshold parameter for the stability of the disease-free periodic solution of the system. The threshold result provides insights into the dynamics of the disease and the impact of *A. conyzoides* on the spread of HLB in the presence of pesticide control measures. Understanding the threshold value of \mathscr{R}_0 helps in making informed decisions regarding disease management strategies and the role of *A. conyzoides* in controlling ACP populations and HLB spread in citrus orchards. The study also investigates the influence of weed introductions on the transmission of HLB and finds that reducing or eliminating weeds can be an effective strategy for disease control in scenarios with low infection rates.

In summary, the study highlights the interplay between recruitment rates, infection rates, and their impact on the basic reproduction number of the disease. It further emphasizes the importance of carefully considering the duration and period of pesticide application to effectively control disease spread, while also shedding light on the relationship between weed recruitment rates, infection rates, and the population growth of ACPs in citrus orchards. To achieve effective control of ACPs and HLB, the following measures should be considered: (i) Choose the appropriate timing for pesticide application: timely spraying of pesticides during the early stages of citrus trees being infested by citrus psyllids can prevent the pests from spreading to weeds and avoid their transmission between citrus trees and weeds. (ii) Spray pesticides to the lower canopy: spray pesticides to the lower parts of citrus trees, minimizing pesticide contact with weeds on the ground. (iii) Implement integrated pest management strategies: in addition to pesticide application, other control methods such as traps and biological control should also be combined to comprehensively control the spread of ACPs.

Author Contributions: S.G. and H.Z. established the model; Y.W. analyzed the model; Y.L., Y.W. and H.Z. performed the numerical simulation; S.G., Y.W. and H.Z. wrote the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research has been supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (11961003, 12361097), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (20224ACB201003, 20224BAB201014), the Graduate Innovation Project of Gannan Normal University (YCX22A027), and the Jiangxi Double Thousand Plan (JXSQ2019201003).

Data Availability Statement: This research does not involve real data. No data were used, no new data are created.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Appendix A. Validity of Assumptions (H1) to (H6) for System (3) and (4)

Since the testable Assumptions (H1) to (H4) are evidently valid. In the following, we only show that Assumptions (H5) and (H6) hold.

By simple calculation, we obtain that one eigenvalue of M_{2k} and M_{2k+1} is $-\mu_1$, which is negative, the others are the roots of the following quadratic polynomial equations, respectively,

$$\lambda^{2} + \left(q_{1}\delta + \frac{p_{1}\delta\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} + \theta + d_{c} + d_{w}\right)\lambda + \theta d_{w} + d_{c}d_{w} + \theta q_{1}\delta + d_{c}q_{1}\delta + \frac{p_{1}\delta d_{w}\alpha W^{*}}{1 + \alpha_{1}W^{*}} = 0.$$
(A1)

According to the Routh–Hurwitz criterion, Equation (A1) has two negative characteristic roots λ_1 and λ_2 . Further, by applying Lemma 5, we know that $r(\exp(M_{2k}T_1)) = \max\{e^{-\mu_1T_2}, e^{\lambda_1T_2}, e^{\lambda_2T_2}\} < 1$. Similarly, we can obtain that $r(\exp(M_{2k+1}T_2)) = \max\{e^{-\mu_1T_2}, e^{\bar{\lambda}_1T_2}, e^{\bar{\lambda}_2T_2}\} < 1$, where $-\mu_1$, $\bar{\lambda}_1$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2$ are the negative characteristic roots of M_{2k+1} .

Thus, $r(\Phi_{M_k}(T)) = r(\exp(M_1T_2)\exp(M_0T_1)) < 1$, Assumption (H5) holds.

Using a similar method, it can be proven $r(\Phi_{-V_k}(T)) = r(\exp(-V_1T_2)\exp(-V_0T_1)) < 1$, assumption (**H6**) holds.

Appendix B. Proof of Theorem 1

By Lemma 4, we have that the unique disease-free periodic solution $x^*(t)$ is unstable if $\Re_0 > 1$, and $x^*(t)$ is locally stable if $\Re_0 > 1$. Therefore, we only need to show the global attractivity of $x^*(t)$ provided that $\Re_0 < 1$.

It follows from Lemma 4 that $\Re_0 < 1$ is equivalent to $r(\Phi_{F_k-V_k}(T)) < 1$. Thus, we can choose a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$r(\Phi_{F_k-V_k+M_{\varepsilon}}(T)) < 1, \tag{A2}$$

where

$$M_{\varepsilon} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \frac{\beta_1 \varepsilon}{K} & 0\\ \frac{\beta_2 \varepsilon}{K} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

From system (3) and (4), we have that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}X_c}{\mathrm{d}t} \leq \Lambda_V - p_1 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} + q_1 \delta X_w - d_c X_c - \theta X_c, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}X_w}{\mathrm{d}t} = p_1 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} - q_1 \delta X_w - d_w X_w, \end{cases}$$
 for $t \in (kT, kT + T_1],$

and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}X_c}{\mathrm{d}t} \leq \Lambda_V - p_2 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} + q_2 \delta X_w - d_c X_c, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}X_w}{\mathrm{d}t} = p_2 \delta X_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} - q_2 \delta X_w - d_w X_w, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } t \in (kT + T_1, (k+1)T]. \end{cases}$$

By comparison theorem in differential equations, for the above mentioned ε , we have that there exists a $k_1 > 0$ such that

$$X_c \le X_c^* + \varepsilon, \quad X_w \le X_w^* + \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } t > k_1 T.$$
 (A3)

According to switching system (3) and (4) and inequality (A3), we can get that for $k > k_1$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}I_h}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \beta_1 Y_c - \mu_h I_h - \gamma I_h, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_c}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \frac{\beta_2 (X_c^* + \varepsilon) I_h}{K} - p_1 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} + q_1 \delta Y_w - d_c Y_c - \theta Y_c, & \text{for } t \in (kT, kT + T_1], \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_w}{\mathrm{dt}} = p_1 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} - q_1 \delta Y_w - d_w Y_w, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}I_h}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \beta_1 Y_c - \mu_h I_h - \gamma I_h, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_c}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \frac{\beta_2 (X_c^* + \varepsilon) I_h}{K} - p_2 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} + q_2 \delta Y_w - d_c Y_c, & \text{for } t \in (kT + T_1, (k+1)T]. \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_w}{\mathrm{dt}} = p_2 \delta Y_c \frac{\alpha W^*}{1 + \alpha_1 W^*} - q_2 \delta Y_w - d_w Y_w, \end{cases}$$

Consider the following comparison switching system:

$$\frac{d\bar{J}(t)}{dt} = \begin{cases} (F_k - V_{2k} + M_{\varepsilon})\bar{J}(t) & \text{for } t \in (kT, kT + T_1], \\ (F_k - V_{2k+1} + M_{\varepsilon})\bar{J}(t) & \text{for } t \in (kT + T_1, (k+1)T], \end{cases}$$
(A4)

where $\overline{J}(t) = (\overline{I}_h(t), \overline{Y}_c(t), \overline{Y}_w(t))^T$.

According to Lemma 1 and (A2), there exists a positive *T*-periodic vector function $\nu_1(t)$ such that $\bar{J}(t) = \exp(\xi t)\nu(t)$ is a solution of the switching system (A4), where $\xi = \ln r(\Phi_{F_k-V_k+M_{\varepsilon}}(T)) < 0$. So $\bar{J}(t) \to 0$, as $t \to \infty$, that is, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \bar{I}_h(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \bar{Y}_c(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \bar{Y}_w(t) = 0$. In view of the comparison theorem in differential equations and the nonnegativity of solutions, we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} I_h(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} Y_c(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} Y_w(t) = 0$. By the theory of asymptotically autonomous semiflows [40], we can get

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}S_h(t)=K,\ \lim_{t\to+\infty}X_c(t)=X_c^*(t),\ \lim_{t\to+\infty}X_w(t)=X_w^*(t).$$

Therefore, the disease-free periodic solution $X^*(t)$ is globally asymptotically stable.

References

- 1. Bové, J. Huanglongbing: A destructive, newly-emerging, century-old disease of citrus. J. Plant Pathol. 2006, 88, 7–37.
- Richardson, M.L.; Hall, D.G.; Halbert, S.E.; Ammar, E. Asian citrus psyllid, diaphorina citri, vector of citrus huanglongbing disease. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 2013, 146, 207–223.
- 3. Marutani-Hert, M.; Hunter, W.B.; Hall, D.G. Establishment of asian citrus psyllid (diaphorina citri) primary cultures. *In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Anim.* 2009, 45, 317–320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Wang, N. The citrus huanglongbing crisis and potential solutions. Mol. Plant 2019, 12, 607–609. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yan, Z.G.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, N.; Li, W.; Chang, C.Y.; Xiang, Y.; Xia, C.X.; Jiang, T.Y.; He, W.; Luo, J.; et al. Repellency of forty-one aromatic plant species to the asian citrus psyllid, vector of the bacterium associated with huanglongbing. *Ecol. Evol.* 2020, 10, 12940–12948. [CrossRef]
- Miranda, M.P.; Dos Santos, F.L.; Bassanezi, R.B.; Montesino, L.H.; Barbosa, J.C.; Sétamou, M. Monitoring methods for diaphorina citri kuwayama (hemiptera: Liviidae) on citrus groves with different insecticide application programmes. *J. Appl. Entomol.* 2018, 142, 89–96. [CrossRef]
- 7. Yao, T.S.; Zhou, Y.; Zhou, C.Y. Advances in researches on the occurrence and control of asia citrus psyllid. *J. Fruit Sci.* **2018**, *35*, 1413–1421.
- 8. Zhang, R.M.; He, S.Y.; Wu, W.; Huang, Y.J.; Zhu, C.Y.; Xiao, F.; Liu, Z.Y. Survival and lifespan of Diaphorina citri on non-host plants at various temperatures. *Crop Prot.* **2019**, *124*, 104841. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lu, H.L.; Fang, X.D.; Wu, F.N.; Ouyang, G.C. Adaptability and 'candidatus liberibacter asiaticus' titres of diaphorina citri adults on three weed species in china. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2021, 77, 3216–3223. [CrossRef]
- 10. Lu, H.L.; Sun, X.Y.; Fang, X.D.; Meng, X.; Hou, B.H.; Ouyang, G.C. Effect of ageratum conyzoides and eupatorium catarium on the population of diaphorina citri kuwayama. *J. Environ. Entomol.* **2017**, *39*, 1214–1218.
- Justin, G.; Ramdas, K.; El-Desouky, A.; Itze, C.; Markle, L.T.; Patt, J.M.; Stelinski, L.L. Feeding behavior of asian citrus psyllid [*diaphorinacitri*(*hemiptera* : *liviidae*)] nymphs and adults on common weeds occurring in cultivated citrus described using electrical penetration graph recordings. *Insects* 2020, *11*, 48.
- 12. Chiyaka, C.; Singer, B.H.; Halbert, S.E.; Morris, J.G.; VanBruggen, A.H.C. Modeling huanglongbing transmission within a citrus tree. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, *109*, 12213–12218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arif, M.S.; Abodayeh, K.; Nawaz, Y. A Reliable Computational Scheme for Stochastic Reaction–CDiffusion Nonlinear Chemical Model. Axioms 2023, 12, 460. [CrossRef]
- 14. Arif, M.S.; Abodayeh, K.; Ejaz, A. Stability Analysis of Fractional-Order Predator-Prey System with Consuming Food Resource. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, *64*. [CrossRef]
- Arif, M.S.; Abodayeh, K.; Ejaz, A. Computational Modeling of Reaction-Diffusion COVID-19 Model Having Isolated Compartment. CMES. 2023, 135, 1720–1743.
- 16. Zhang, F.M.; Qiu, Z.P.; Huang, A.J.; Zhao, X. Optimal control and cost-effectiveness analysis of a huanglongbing model with comprehensive interventions. *Appl. Math. Model.* **2021**, 90, 719–741. [CrossRef]
- Jacobsen, K.; Stupiansky, J.; Pilyugin, S.S. Mathematical modeling of citrus groves infected by huanglongbing. *Math. Biosci. Eng.* 2013, 10, 705–728. [PubMed]
- Vilamiu, R.G.; Ternes, S.; Braga, G.A.; Laranjeira, F.F. A model for huanglongbing spread between citrus plants including delay times and human intervention. AIP Conf. Proc. 2012, 1479, 2315–2319.
- 19. Liu, Y.J.; Gao, S.J.; Liao, Z.Z.; Chen, D. Dynamical behavior of a stage-structured huanglongbing model with time delays and optimal control. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2022**, *156*, 111830. [CrossRef]

- 20. Gao, S.J.; Guo, J.; Xu, Y.; Tu, Y.B.; Zhu, H.P. Modeling and dynamics of physiological and behavioral resistance of asian citrus psyllid. *Math Biosci.* **2021**, *340*, 108674. [CrossRef]
- 21. Taylor, R.A.; Mordecai, E.A.; Gilligan, C.; Rohr, J.R.; Johnson, L.R. Mathematical models are a powerful method to understand and control the spread of huanglongbing. *Peer J.* **2016**, *4*, e2642. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Gao, S.J.; Luo, L.; Yan, S.X.; Meng, X.Z. Dynamical behavior of a novel impulsive switching model for hlb with seasonal fluctuations. *Complexity* **2018**, 2018, 2953623. [CrossRef]
- 23. Che, S.Q.; Xue, Y.K.; Ma, L.K. The Stability of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Epidemic Model with Saturated Contact Rate. *Appl. Math.* **2014**, *5*, 3365–3371. [CrossRef]
- Ejaz, A.; Nawaz, Y.; Arif, M.S.; Mashat, D.S.; Abodayeh, K. Stability Analysis of Predator-Prey System with Consuming Resource and Disease in Predator Species. CMES 2022, 132, 490–505. [CrossRef]
- Luo, L.; Gao, S.J.; Yangqiu, G.; Luo, Y.Q. Transmission dynamics of a huanglongbing model with cross protection. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 2017, 2017, 355. [CrossRef]
- Tu, Y.B.; Gao, S.J.; Liu, Y.J.; Chen, D.; Xu, Y. Transmission dynamics and optimal control of stage-structured hlb model. *Math. Biosci. Eng.* 2019, *16*, 5180–5205. [PubMed]
- 27. Deng, M.X. Forming process and basis and technological points of the theory emphasis on control citrus psylla for integrated control huanglongbing. *Chin. Agric. Sci. Bull.* **2009**, *25*, 358–363.
- 28. Zhou, Y.L. Morphological character of Eupatorium catarium Veldkamp, an Invasive Alien Species and Comparative Study on Aqeratum conyzoides L. and E.catarium Veldkamp. *For. Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *28*, 69–71.
- 29. Zhang, L.J.; Gao, S.J.; Xie, D.H.; Zhang, F.M. Varying pulse control schemes for citrus huanglongbing epidemic model with general incidence. *Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci.* 2016, 2016, 7.
- 30. Deng, X.M.; Chen, G.F. The newly process of huanglongbing in citrus. *Guangxi Horticult*. 2006, 17, 49–51.
- Degefa, D.S.; Makinde, O.D.; Temesgen, D.T. Modeling potato virus y disease dynamics in a mixed cropping system. *Int. J. Simul. Model.* 2022, 42, 370–387. [CrossRef]
- Stella, M.; Ghosh, I.R. Modeling plant disease with biological control of insect pests. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* 2019, 37, 1133–1154. [CrossRef]
- 33. Zhang, T.Q.; Meng, X.Z.; Zhang, T.H. Global dynamics of a virus dynamical model with cell-to-cell transmission and cure rate. *Comput. Math. Methods Med.* 2015, 2015, 110286. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Fan, X.L.; Wang, L.; Teng, Z.D. Global dynamics for a class of discrete seirs epidemic models with general nonlinear incidence. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 123, 1–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Dreessche, P.; Watmough, J. Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. *Math. Biosci.* 2002, 180, 29–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, W.D.; Zhao, X.Q. Threshold dynamics for compartmental epidemic models in periodic environments. J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. 2008, 20, 699–717. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.P.; Xiao, Y.N. Threshold dynamics for compartmental epidemic models with impulses. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 2012, 13, 224–234. [CrossRef]
- 38. Oliva-Maza, J. Spectral mapping theorems for essential spectra and regularized functional calculi. arXiv 2023, arXiv:2206.13955.
- Niu, J.Z.; HullSanders, H.; Zhang, Y.X.; Lin, J.Z.; Dou, W.; Wang, J.J. Biological control of arthropod pests in citrus orchards in china. *Biol. Control* 2014, 68, 15–22. [CrossRef]
- Thieme, H.R. Convergence results and a poincarW-bendixson trichotomy for asymptotically autonomous differential equations. J. Math. Biol. 1992, 30, 755–763. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.