



Article Carbon Storage in Different Compartments in Eucalyptus Stands and Native Cerrado Vegetation

Fabiana Piontekowski Ribeiro ^{1,2,*}, Alcides Gatto ², Alexsandra Duarte de Oliveira ¹, Karina Pulrolnik ¹, Marco Bruno Xavier Valadão ³, Juliana Baldan Costa Neves Araújo ², Arminda Moreira de Carvalho ¹, and Eloisa Aparecida Belleza Ferreira ⁴

- ¹ Embrapa Cerrados, BR-020, km 18, Planaltina 73310-970, DF, Brazil; karina.pulrolnik@embrapa.br (K.P.)
- ² Department of Forest Sciences, Universidade de Brasília-UnB, Brasília 70904-970, DF, Brazil
- ³ Department of Forest Engineering, Centro MultidisciplinarUniversidade Federal do Acre-UFAC, Cruzeiro do Sul 69980-000, AC, Brazil
- ⁴ Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, Brasília 70770-901, DF, Brazil
- Correspondence: fbn2.ribeiro@gmail.com

Abstract: This study evaluated Carbon (C) storage in different compartments in eucalyptus stands and native Cerrado vegetation. To determine C above ground, an inventory was carried out in the areas where diameter at breast height (DBH), diameter at base height (Db), and total tree height (H) were measured. In the stands, the rigorous cubage was made by the direct method, and in the native vegetation, it was determined by the indirect method through an allometric equation. Roots were collected by direct method using circular monoliths to a depth of 60 cm and determined by the volume of the cylinder. Samples were collected up to 100 cm deep to estimate C stock in the soil. All samples collected directly had C determined using the CHNS elemental analyzer. Gas samples were collected using a manually closed chamber, and the gas concentration was determined by gas chromatography. The results indicate high C storage in the studied areas > 183.99 Mg ha⁻¹, could contribute to CO₂ mitigation > 674.17 Mg ha⁻¹. In addition to low emissions (<1 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) for the three evaluated areas, with no statistical difference in relation to the Global Warming Potential. Concerning the native cerrado vegetation conversion, the "4-year-old eucalyptus stand" seemed to restore the original soil carbon stocks in the first-meter depth, regardless of some losses that might have occurred right after establishment. Conversely, a significant loss of carbon in the soil was observed due to the alternative setting, where similar natural land was converted into agriculture, mostly soybean, and then, years later, turned into the "6-year-old eucalyptus stand" (28.43 Mg ha⁻¹). Under this study, these mixed series of C baselines in landscape transitions have reflected on unlike C dynamics outcomes, whereas at the bottom line, total C stocks were higher in the younger forest (4-year-old stand). Therefore, our finding indicates that we should be thoughtful regarding upscaling carbon emissions and sequestration from small-scale measurements to regional scales

Keywords: aboveground biomass; Cerradão; underground biomass; GHG mitigation

1. Introduction

As the World wakes up to the need for efforts towards Climate Change mitigation strategies, the estimates of global greenhouse gas emissions and sinks have become one of the major research priorities of the scientific community. Nevertheless, defining, quantifying, and assessing terrestrial C resources remain challenging, while emissions hit new records yearly. Faced with the 'Code red' reality for human-driven global heating [1], natural forests [2,3], Savannas [4–6], as well as forest plantations can most easily work as carbon sinks since they fix carbon in their aboveground biomass and also store carbon in roots and soil. Due to land use alteration, environmental services are lost. Payment for ecological benefits can be used to legitimate the option of keeping the original cover [7] or



Citation: Ribeiro, F.P.; Gatto, A.; Oliveira, A.D.d.; Pulrolnik, K.; Valadão, M.B.X.; Araújo, J.B.C.N.; Carvalho, A.M.d.; Ferreira, E.A.B. Carbon Storage in Different Compartments in Eucalyptus Stands and Native Cerrado Vegetation. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 2751. https:// doi.org/10.3390/plants12142751

Academic Editor: Petronia Carillo

Received: 31 May 2023 Revised: 3 July 2023 Accepted: 12 July 2023 Published: 24 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). increasing the recovery of degraded areas. Studies suggest that forests play a fundamental role not only in the C cycle but can also contribute to minimizing global warming due to the mitigation of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, including nitrous oxide (N_2O), methane (CH₄), and carbon dioxide (CO₂) [8–10].

Therefore, the removal of greenhouse gases from the atmosphere by forests and Savannas management can be considered, if not a long-term, at the very least a short-term carbon offsetting. While it will not solve the problem alone, it will play an essential part in the emerging challenges of the Paris Agreement, such as "holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2 °C above pre-industrial levels and pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels" [11].

In this 21st conference of the parties (COP21), Brazil has submitted the intended nationally determined contribution (INDC) to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions in 2025 by 37%, compared with 2005 as well as cut off emissions in 2030 by 50%, compared with 2005 [12]. Regarding the land use sector, the Brazilian key policy to tackle climate change has already channeled R\$ 17 billion to implement evidence-based mitigation measures within the scope of a Low Carbon Agriculture for Sustainable Development Plan (Plan ABC+). From 2020 to 2030, this public policy comprises the recovery of degraded lands; enhance nitrogen fixation, soil carbon storage, no-till farming, the integration of forest, crops and cattle breeding, agroforestry, and forest planting [12].

Brazil's commitments also include an effort to apply at least tier 2 in methodologies for land use change, considering four C reservoirs in the forests environment: biomass (aboveground and root biomass), necromass (including dead wood and litter), and soil (soil organic material) [13]. Seen in these terms, the quality of the "Anthropogenic Emissions of Greenhouse Gases National Inventories" depends on the advancement in the use of country-specific Tier 2, which implies replacing the conservative assumptions adopted worldwide (Tier 1) with reliable data that are representative of national and regional reality.

Based on these political settings, obtaining realistic regional metrics on carbon stock measurements and GHG emissions from land use change has been a huge challenge for Brazilian scientists. Brazil has continental dimensions and a diversified economy mostly based on agribusiness products, comprising over 8.5 million square kilometers. This most biodiverse country in the entire world holds equatorial, tropical, and sub-tropical climates, as well as six distinct biomes, namely the Amazon (equatorial rainforest), the Caatinga (semi-arid), the Atlantic Forest (tropical rainforest), the Pantanal (seasonal wetlands), the Pampa (subtropical grasslands) and the Cerrado (savanna) [12].

The Cerrado biome is considered one of the world's biodiversity hotspots [14], and this region currently has the largest established agricultural area in the country, which led to the loss of 55% of the original altered area of native vegetation [15]. On the other hand, this region faces intense habitat loss [16], accounting for 26% of Brazilian emissions from land-use change [17] 10,688.73 km² yr⁻¹ [18].

A Review on Soil Carbon Stocks and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation of Agriculture in the Brazilian Cerrado showed a range of studies conducted on the plot scale when native vegetation in Cerrado was converted to pasture or crops [19]. However, despite the expansion of eucalyptus culture in this Savanna environment [20], scarce information is available concerning the impact of Eucalyptus plantations on carbon stocks and GEE emission [10,21–26]. Regarding recently planted forests, Brazil added up to an area of around 10 million hectares, of which 76% were eucalyptus plantations, the world's most planted hardwood tree [27].

Most research is restricted to aboveground biomass C and soil carbon measurements. There is a gap in estimates of the amount of C in the different Eucalyptus forest compartments, such as roots, and dead biomass in the Cerrado [25,28–30]. As for greenhouse gas, only a few sites have been assessed in the Cerrado Biome, and the authors found that CH₄ removal helped offset greenhouse gas emissions in eucalyptus stands and native Cerrado ecosystems [10,26]. Given the importance of forests in fixing C, considering that in terrestrial ecosystems, vegetation and soil are the leading global sinks of C, information on

aboveground and root C is essential to reduce uncertainties in regional metrics about areas of eucalyptus stands in the Cerrado, in addition to feeding national models of C storage and climate change.

Given the hypothesis that C stocks can have different contributions among compartments and studied areas, the following objective was formulated: Quantify, using direct methods, the biomass and C stock by compartments in eucalyptus plantations (*Eucalyptus urophylla* × *Eucalyptus*. *grandis*) of 4 and 6 years old; Estimate, by indirect methods, the biomass and C stock of the native vegetation of the Cerrado; Estimate the root biomass and C stocks in eucalyptus stands and native vegetation in the Cerrado; Estimate C stocks and assess the rate of C sink in the soil up to 1.0 m depth and; sink Evaluate methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) fluxes from the soil in eucalyptus plantations with different ages and native vegetation in the Cerrado.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted in the rural area of Paranoá, in the Federal District, Brazil, in areas with two stands of eucalyptus (hybrid *Eucalyptus urophylla* \times *Eucalyptus grandis*) of 12 hectare (ha), four years old, clone EAC1528 (A1) and 19 ha, six years old, clone GG100 (A2), and native Cerrado, i.e., Savanna Forest (Cerradão) with 3.29 ha (A3) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Location of the study area, rural area, Paranoá, in the Federal District, Brazil.

The eucalyptus clonal stand (EAC1528) was established in 2011 at coordinates $15^{\circ}53'$ S, $47^{\circ}39'$ W, and an altitude of 948 m, with spacing 3.5×1.7 m. Previously, the site was covered by native Cerrado vegetation. The soil was prepared using a turned-over with a harrow in 15 cm strips and subsoiling in the planting row to a depth of 25 cm.

In December 2009, the eucalyptus clonal stand (GG100) was implemented at coordinates $15^{\circ}53'$ S, $47^{\circ}38'$ W, and an altitude of 946 m, with a 3.5×1.7 m spacing. The area's history was for agricultural use, being used between 2003 and 2005 for the cultivation of soybeans, in 2006 for the cultivation of sorghum, and in the period from 2007 to 2009, again soybeans. Soil tillage consisted of plowing with a plow in 15 cm strips and a furrower in the center of the row to a depth of 25 cm.

In the stands, soil acidity was corrected with the application and incorporation of dolomitic limestone (2.5 t ha⁻¹) to a depth of 20 cm, and after two months, 700 kg ha⁻¹ of agricultural gypsum was added to the soil surface. The planting fertilization consisted of 200 g seedling⁻¹ of NPK (5-25-15). After one year, side dressing was applied with 60 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O in the form of potassium chloride, 50 kg ha⁻¹ of N in the form of urea, and 1 g of boron per plant in the form of borax. In January 2014, another application of 60 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O was carried out.

The soil of the areas was classified as dystrophic oxisoil (Latossolo Vermelho), and the climate of the region is Aw tropical rainy according to the Köppen classification, with two well-defined seasons: dry (between May and September) and rainy (between October and April). The average annual precipitation observed was 1345.8 mm. Soil chemical parameters for the three environments are presented in Table 1. Clay varied from 58% to 64% for A1, 60% and 72% for A2, and 64% to 69% for A3.

Table 1. Chemical attributes of soil samples under *Eucalyptus urophylla* × *Eucalyptus grandis* stands with 4 years old clones EAC 1528 (A1) and 6 years old GG100 (A2) and native Cerrado vegetation (A3) in the Federal District, Brazil.

Areas	Depth - (cm)	Donth	Donth	л	Ca	Mg	лH	H + AI	OM (2)	Mn	K	Р	Zn	Fe	В	Density
		cmol _c dm ⁻³		H ₂ O	mg dm ⁻³	dag kg ⁻¹	mg dm ⁻³			g cm ³						
A1	0-5	2.2	0.2	0.6	5.1	9.5	3.8	12.2	230.7	1.8	0.6	142.3	0.5	1.14		
A1	5-10	2.3	0.1	0.3	5.1	8.6	3.2	9.1	185.3	1.3	0.4	141.0	0.5	1.24		
A1	10-20	2.3	0.0	0.2	5.1	8.0	2.9	6.2	158.7	0.8	0.3	122.0	0.3	1.26		
A1	20-30	2.1	0.1	0.2	5.0	7.2	2.6	3.8	109.3	0.5	0.2	59.7	0.3	1.21		
A1	30-40	2.1	0.0	0.1	5.1	7.1	2.3	4.9	112.7	0.2	0.3	82.3	0.3	1.19		
A1	40-60	1.9	0.0	0.1	5.1	6.2	1.9	4.2	93.3	0.1	0.2	63.0	0.3	1.16		
A1	60-80	1.6	0.0	0.1	5.1	5.2	1.1	3.3	83.3	0.0	0.2	55.0	0.2	1.09		
A1	80-100	1.4	0.0	0.1	5.1	4.8	1.2	7.3	76.7	0.0	0.2	38.7	0.2	1.08		
A2	0–5	2.0	0.8	0.6	5.0	8.2	3.3	12.4	157.3	1.5	0.3	102.0	0.5	1.2		
A2	5-10	2.1	0.7	0.5	4.9	8.3	2.9	10.7	138.0	1.4	0.3	101.7	0.5	1.2		
A2	10-20	2.3	0.5	0.4	4.8	8.0	2.5	6.4	111.3	1.2	0.3	61.3	0.5	1.2		
A2	20-30	2.4	0.1	0.2	4.9	8.1	2.2	6.3	121.3	1.0	0.3	106.0	0.5	1.1		
A2	30-40	2.3	0.1	0.1	4.8	7.2	2.0	3.3	90.0	0.8	2.0	94.3	0.3	1.1		
A2	40-60	2.1	0.1	0.1	5.0	6.4	1.7	3.1	80.0	0.4	0.2	63.3	0.2	1.1		
A2	60-80	1.8	0.0	0.1	5.1	5.5	1.2	3.2	74.7	0.2	0.1	45.0	0.2	1.0		
A2	80-100	1.5	0.1	0.1	5.3	4.6	1.1	2.8	70.7	0.2	0.1	38.7	0.2	1.0		
A3	0–5	0.6	2.5	0.9	5.4	7.0	3.0	12.7	347.3	5.3	0.6	68.0	1.2	1.11		
A3	5-10	1.0	1.7	0.6	5.3	7.1	2.6	9.4	134.7	3.5	1.3	85.3	0.9	1.17		
A3	10-20	1.5	0.7	0.3	5.0	6.8	2.3	4.7	148.0	2.1	0.4	87.0	0.8	1.15		
A3	20-30	1.8	0.5	0.2	4.9	6.3	2.0	3.1	75.3	1.1	0.2	80.0	0.9	1.22		
A3	30-40	1.5	0.6	0.2	5.0	5.6	1.6	3.0	62.7	0.7	0.3	71.0	1.1	1.12		
A3	40-60	1.2	0.3	0.1	5.1	5.0	1.2	2.1	47.3	0.4	0.2	51.3	1.6	1.03		
A3	60-80	0.8	0.2	0.1	5.2	4.1	0.9	1.8	44.0	0.4	0.1	42.7	0.9	1.06		
A3	80-100	0.7	0.2	0.1	4.9	4.0	0.8	1.4	43.3	0.4	0.3	43.0	0.6	1.07		

⁽¹⁾ Magnesium; ⁽²⁾ Organic matter.

2.2. Data Collection and Analysis

2.2.1. Aboveground Biomass of Native Cerrado Vegetation

A forest inventory was conducted to study the floristic composition in the area. The vegetation was sampled in 20×50 m georeferenced rectangular plots, and the edge effects were removed. The plots were allocated by an entirely randomized sampling method using the ArcMap program, which is the central application of ArcGIS, version 9.3.

In each sampled plot, all living and dead-standing woody trees with Db (diameter taken at 30 cm from ground level) equal to or greater than 5 cm [31] were botanically identified, and the Db variables and total height were measured. The diameter of each stem was taken with the calipers in two perpendicular directions and calculated the average. The total height (Ht) was measured using a 7.62 m measuring ruler (Crain, model CMR-25). Measurements were taken separately for individuals with multiple stems with Db \geq 5 cm, branching below 0.30 m from the ground. Density (ind ha⁻¹) was calculated without accounting for tree bifurcations, which were only considered for calculating basal area and stem biomass. Individuals were identified botanically, in the field, at the family, genus, and species levels.

The volume, aboveground dry biomass (DB), and aerial C stock were estimated by the non-destructive method using the allometric equation proposed by Rezende et al. [32]:

$$V = {}^{\beta}{}_{1} 0.000109 Db^{2} + {}^{\beta}{}_{2} 0.0000154 Db^{2} Ht$$
(1)

$$BS = {}^{\beta}{}_{0}0.49129 + {}^{\beta}{}_{1}0.02912Db^{2}Ht$$
⁽²⁾

$$C = {}^{\beta}{}_{0}*0.24564 + {}^{\beta}{}_{1}*0.01456Db^{2}Ht$$
(3)

where: V = individual volume per tree (m³), BS = dry biomass (kg·ind⁻¹), C = carbon stock (kg·ind⁻¹), Db = diameter of the base, taken at 0.30 m from the ground (cm) e Ht = height (m). * Model parameter; (1) Naslund model; (2) and (3) Spurr's combined variable model.

2.2.2. Aboveground Biomass in Stands

In the delimited plots of 20×30 m inside the forest stand of each area, the inventory was carried out, measuring the diameters at breast height (DBH) of all the trees included in the plots to obtain the average DBH, each stem was measured with a caliper, in two perpendicular directions and the average calculated. Total height (Ht) was measured using an electronic clinometer and hypsometer (Haglõf HEC⁻²). Five trees of a medium diameter and representative of the population were selected in each area. Direct measures of rigorous cubing were used for the eucalyptus stand to estimate the biomass. To collect dendrometric data, each tree was felled and separated into different compartments and the shaft was cubed by the Smalian method to obtain its volume.

Nine random samples were used to estimate the litter biomass in each area. For this purpose, a square metallic template with dimensions of 0.5×0.5 m (0.25 m²) was randomly placed with a minimum distance of five meters between the sampled points. Data were collected in places with similar topographical conditions and at a minimum distance of 20 m from roads and firebreaks to avoid possible edge effects on the litter stock.

The samples from the aerial compartments were weighed on a precision scale to obtain the wet mass and placed to dry in a forced ventilation oven (65 ± 5 °C) until constant biomass was obtained. Subsequently, the samples per tree were sent to the laboratory for the determination of C performed using the CHNS analyzer (Elementary Analyzer of the Macro Vario Cube-Elementary).

2.2.3. Root Biomass

The procedure for collecting roots from native vegetation consisted of excavating five monoliths with a circular shape, with an average diameter of 23 cm and a depth of 60 cm adapted from the Gatto et al. [25] method, placed in the center of each sample plot used in the floristic inventory. A total of 50 monoliths were excavated.

In collecting roots from eucalyptus stands, the collection procedure was similar to native vegetation. A medium CAP tree was selected, per plot, without flaws in the neighborhood, with a well-formed crown, which was felled to determine aerial biomass and collection of roots. Ten circular-shaped monoliths were excavated, with an average diameter of 23 cm to a depth of 60 cm, distributed in four cardinal quadrants (north, south, east, and west), based on the center stump of the eucalyptus tree felled Gatto et al. [25].

In the tree planting line, 50 cm equidistant from the vine, two monoliths were opened, and perpendicular to the planting line (between the rows), three monoliths were opened, also 50 cm equidistant between the points from the tree vine. In separating the roots, the same procedure adopted for native vegetation was followed. The soil collected from each monolith was identified and taken to the laboratory to separate roots and other plant debris shortly after collection by manual process and with the aid of sieves.

Roots separated from the soil, and other plant materials were washed in running water to remove excess soil. Then, the root samples were weighed on a precision scale (0.01 g) to obtain the wet mass and placed to dry in a forced ventilation oven (65 ± 5 °C)

until dry biomass was obtained. Subsequently, root samples collected per tree were sent to the laboratory to determine organic C using the elemental analyzer CHNS (Elementary Analyzer of the Macro Vario Cube-Elementary). After obtaining the dry mass, the roots were calculated using the volume of the cylinder: $V = \pi \cdot r^2 \cdot h$

2.2.4. Soil Collection and Analysis

Soil density was determined by collecting soil samples in three trenches in each area at various depths: 0–5, 5–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–40, 40–60, 60–80, and 80–100 cm. To measure the C levels in the soil, 20 samples were taken with a Dutch auger (forming a composite), for intervals up to 30 cm deep and five simple samples, in intervals up to 100 cm. The collected samples were dried in the shade and ground with an agate mortar. The total C in the soil was determined using the elemental analyzer CHNS (Elemental Analyzer of the Macro Vario Cube-Elementary).

Each plot constitutes a set of 24 composite samples, originating from three positions in relation to the tree alignment and eight layers, as previously described. The positions in the plot will correspond to the samples taken: (i) from the center of the tree planting rows, (ii) 1/4 (25%) of the width of the rows, and (iii) in the row. Three simple samples were obtained per depth in each of the three positions to compose a composite sample. The single samples were collected with a Dutch auger, except for a sample from the 1/4 position between the rows and a sample from the planting line, where the single samples were collected in the trench ($\approx 1 \text{ m}^3$). Undisturbed samples for soil density and other attributes were also obtained from this trench.

Soil density was evaluated by collecting triplicate samples at each depth (in the center of the layer), using three walls of the trench, with the aid of stainless-steel volumetric rings, with an internal wall of 2 mm, 5 cm in diameter and 8 cm in height according to the procedure in the Embrapa soil analysis manual [33]. Subsequently, the samples were dried in an oven with forced air circulation at 110 °C until they reached a constant weight for subsequent calculation of soil density.

The calculation of C stocks was conducted for each soil layer in every study area using the equation provided by Veldkamp [34]:

$$Est C = (C \times Ds \times e)/10)$$
(4)

where: Est C = C stock at a given depth (Mg ha⁻¹), C = total C content at the sampled depth (g kg⁻¹), Ds = soil density at the depth (kg dm⁻³), e = thickness of the considered layer (cm).

2.2.5. Measurements of CH₄ and N₂O Fluxes

The CH₄ e N₂O fluxes were measured from October 2014 to September 2015, and the closed chamber method was used for measurements [35], with a sampling frequency of three times per month. Three plots of 20×30 m were randomly delimited in each environment. Four chambers were installed in each plot, two in the eucalyptus rows and two in-between rows, spaced about 10 m apart. In the native vegetation, the four chambers were randomly positioned in each plot, resulting in 36 closed chambers installed in each area.

Each closed chamber consisted of a metal base ($0.38 \text{ m} \times 0.58 \text{ m}$) inserted 5 cm into the soil and an upper part of PVC (9.5 cm high) coated with a thermal aluminum blanket, which, together with the metallic base, sealed the space delimited by the chamber, for later gases collection and determination. In the upper part of each chamber, a central hole connected to a rubber hose and a three-way valve made it possible to control the output of gases during sampling. In one of the four chambers, a digital thermometer was attached to monitor the average temperature inside the chambers.

Another digital thermometer (incoterm model) was inserted into the soil to determine the temperature at a depth of 5 cm at the established time for gas collection. Gas sampling started at 9:00 am, representing the average of the daily emission condition [35]. Air

samples inside the chambers were collected at 0, 15, and 30 min after closing them. Sixtymilliliter polypropylene syringes with a three-way valve attached, in which 30 mL of gases were collected and transferred to pre-evacuated vials. In addition, the atmospheric air standard was collected in each plot to reference the determination of the gas samples. Before and after sampling, the vials were transported in ice-cooled thermal boxes and stored in a refrigerated environment at 18 °C for measurements.

The CH₄ e N₂O concentrations were determined with a gas chromatograph (Trace 1310 GC ultra, Thermo ScientificTM Milan - Italy) equipped with a Porapak Q column at 65 °C, an electron capture detector (ECD), and a flame ionization detector (FID). By integrating the area under the curve, it was possible to obtain the results of each sample's analysis, indicating the gas concentration changes. The following standards were used: 200 ppb, 600 ppb, 1000 ppb e 1500 ppb de N₂O e 1000 ppb, 5000 ppb, 10,000 ppb e 50,000 ppb de CH₄. The CH₄ e N₂O fluxes were measured by the linear variation in gas concentration in relation to the incubation time in chambers and calculated by the equation as proposed by Bayer et al. [36]:

$$Flux = \delta C / \delta t (V/A) m / Vm;$$
(5)

where in the flux (μ g m⁻² h⁻¹); δ C/ δ t is the change in gas concentration (nmol N₂O e CH₄ h⁻¹) in the chamber in the incubation interval (t); V and A are, respectively, the chamber volume (L) and the soil site covered by the chamber (m²); m is the molecular weight of N₂O e CH₄ (μ g), e Vm is the molar volume at the sampling temperature (L).

2.2.6. Global Warming Potential and Equivalent Carbon

The global warming potential of emission GWP (over a 100-year horizon) expressed in CO₂eq was calculated by multiplying the accumulated emissions of each gas by its radiative forcing. For this, the gas conversion factor of 34 and 298 CO₂ kg ha⁻¹ was used by Myhre [37] through the following equation:

$$GWP = (CH_4 \times 34) + (N_2O \times 298)$$
(6)

where: GWP is the global warming potential (kg CO₂ eq ha⁻¹ano⁻¹), N₂O and CH₄ correspond to the accumulated emissions of each gas (kg ha⁻¹) and the conversion factors used 34 for CH₄ and 298 for N₂O.

2.3. Statistical Analyses

The above and belowground C storage, GWP and CO_2eq were subjected to descriptive statistical analysis and applied to the Shapiro–Wilk normality test, followed by the analysis of variance (ANOVA). The GWP and CO_2eq had a non-normal distribution, so a nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test of medians was performed at 5% probability to find possible differences between the areas and the years studied by comparisons. Tukey's test (p < 0.05) was used to compare means among areas that had normality in their compartments.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Aboveground and Belowground Tree Biomass and Carbon Pools

3.1.1. C Stock in the Cerrado Vegetation

In the native vegetation of the Cerrado (A3), i.e., Savanna Forest (Cerradão), 84 species and 41 botanical families were found, with a density of 1.858 ind ha⁻¹, with Db \geq 5 cm. The diameter of the individuals sampled ranged from 5 to 60 cm, and the height from 0.30 to 13.5 m with an average of 4.31 m. Table 2 presents the dendrometric characteristics, where the total basal area, volume, woody biomass, and carbon stock of the sampled trees were: 21.0197 m² ha⁻¹, 50.6475 m³, 44201.59 kg ha⁻¹, 22,100.78 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Dead individuals represented 15.3% of the carbon in the studied vegetation. The Brazilian Cerrado is a heterogeneous ecosystem due to the different phytophysiognomies, which results in a considerable variation in biomass and carbon [38,39].

Species	Basal Area	Volume	Biomass	Carbon
	m² ha-1	m ³	kg ha $^{-1}$	kg ha $^{-1}$
Qualea grandiflora	2.59	6.42	5758.24	2879.12
Miconia pohliana	3.12	7.08	5655.73	2827.87
Qualea parviflora	1.05	3.29	3701.77	1850.89
Xylopia aromatica	1.41	3.52	3233.56	1616.78
Emmotum nitens	0.70	2.13	2342.85	1171.43
Curatella americana	0.62	1.57	1434.87	717.43
Amaioua guianensis	0.51	1.41	1411.61	705.80
Miconia albicans	0.83	1.73	1268.01	634.00
Terminalia agentea	0.42	1.19	1241.02	620.51
Astronium fraxinifolium	0.48	1.25	1180.94	590.47
Eriotheca pubescens	0.33	1.01	1098.38	549.19
Xylopia brasiliense	0.37	0.89	791.30	395.65
Alibertia edulis	0.42	0.94	777.53	388.76
Kielmeyera coriacea	0.54	1.10	753.74	376.87
Rudgea viburnoides	0.30	0.69	578.97	289.49
Simarouba versicolor	0.19	0.53	541.49	270.74
Schefflera macrocarpa	0.18	0.48	491.72	245.86
Eugenia dysenterica	0.20	0.46	378.78	189.39
Ouratea grandiflora	0.14	0.35	298.20	149.10
Virola sebifera	0.09	0.25	264.26	132.13
Other species in total	2.41	5.37	4223.35	2111.67
Dead trees	4.07	8.93	6749.48	3374.74
Vine	0.03	0.06	25.79	12.89
Total	21.02	50.65	44,201.59	22,100.78

Table 2. Averages of total basal area, volume, woody biomass and carbon stock of trees sampled in native vegetation.

Native species have varying capacities to store biomass and carbon, for example, *Virola sebifera* and *Qualea grandiflora* respond with 132.13 and 2879.11 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 2). The number of individuals, size, and wood density also explain this distinct pattern [40]. *Qualea grandiflora* (161 trees ha⁻¹) had the highest carbon contribution, about 17.88 kg ha⁻¹ of C per tree, however, proportional to the number of individuals, *Q. parviflora* (24 trees ha⁻¹) was the one that most stocked C per individual 77.88 kg ha⁻¹.

3.1.2. Carbon Stock of Eucalyptus Stands

The mean of the dendrometric parameters collected by forest inventory in the eucalyptus stand was diameter at breast height (DBH) and height (h): 22.6 cm, 14.6 m to A1, and 28.5 cm, 16.5 m to A2, respectively. The area basal and volume sum was: 23.93 m^2 ha⁻¹, 229.51 m³ ha⁻¹ to A1, and 30.69 m^2 ha⁻¹, 341.61 m³ ha⁻¹ to A2, respectively. For the biomass and carbon accumulated in the trees (aerial part), it was verified that the wood presented the highest contribution for A1 (81.36%) and A2 (88.46%) Table 3 followed by the bark compartment. Wood presents the highest contribution of biomass and C in eucalyptus stands [25,41,42]. The other compartments, such as bark, leaves, and branches, may vary according to the characteristics of the study area.

Biomass stock and C storage increased significantly with stand age. Zhou et al. [43] also observed this pattern and found a considerable increase in C storage over the years, with a reduction in biomass increment between 13 and 21 years of the forest stand. Kumar et al. [44] report that eucalyptus stands have a rapid increase up to 6 years.

Compartment	А	.1	A2			
	Biomass	Carbon		Biomass	Carbon	
	Mg ł	na $^{-1}$	(%)	Mg l	ha ⁻¹	(%)
Leaves	5.3	2.4	3.76	2.3	1.0	1.21
Branches	5.3	2.4	3.76	3.4	1.5	1.79
Wood	114.8	50.3	81.36	167.8	72.5	88.46
Bark	15.6	7.1	11.06	16.2	6.8	8.54
Aboveground total	141.1	62.1	100	189.7	81.7	100

Table 3. Average estimates of dry biomass and Carbon (Mg ha⁻¹) stocks of *Eucalyptus urophylla* \times *Eucalyptus grandis* stands with 4 years old EAC 1528 (A1) and 6 years old GG100 (A2) clones in the District Federal, Brazil.

Rapid accumulation of C stocks in the biomass of eucalyptus stands has been previously observed with estimated accumulation rates of 8.3–12.8 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in aboveground components [42,45]. For Cerrado edaphoclimatic patterns, Gatto et al. [42] state that eucalyptus plantations show variation in biomass and C stocks in stands with the same age and spacing. Thus, C stocks for eucalyptus spacing 3×2 m at 4 years ranged from 44.28 to 64.69 Mg ha⁻¹ and at 6 years from 71.21 a 104.42 Mg ha⁻¹.

Dense forest stands, with a higher number of trees per hectare, produced a higher amount of stem biomass and, therefore, a higher stock of stored carbon. This highlights the importance of the number of trees per hectare in determining the total biomass production and, consequently, the removal and storage of atmospheric carbon [46]. Thus, the amount of biomass and C availability depends on a set of local factors, including the age of the stand [43], the handling of forest residues [25], and management and chemical and physical properties of soils [47,48], among other factors.

3.2. Soil Carbon

The distribution of C content in the eight soil layers showed significant differences (p > 0.05) (Figure 2). For the native Cerrado vegetation (A3), the highest C content was observed in the 0–5 cm, 40–60 cm and 60–80 cm layers (Figure 2a). Evaluating by area, it was observed that the total C content of the soil decreases exponentially with depth. The high content of C in the surface layer of the soil is due to the input of organic material and slow decomposition in planted and natural forests, rich in lignin (10–13%) and a high C:N ratio 76–85 [49,50] factors that increase litter decomposition time and increase C levels in the soil. This material comes from the fall of leaves, branches, and bark of trees. The density of fine roots also contributes to increasing C levels even in soils with low natural fertility, such as Cerrado vegetation [51].

Despite the low fertility, high concentrations of exchangeable aluminum, and high acidity rates in the biome [52,53], eucalyptus stands have been able to expand due to the adaptability of this exotic species to the edaphoclimatic conditions in the Cerrado domain [54,55].

Higher C stocks were observed in the soils of the areas studied in the 0–30 cm layer (Figure 2b). Of the total C stock in the 0–100 cm layer of soil, 48, 50, and 52% of the C stock for areas A1, A2, and A3 are present in this superficial layer (0–30 cm), respectively (Figure 2b). Younger eucalyptus (A1) and native vegetation (A3) had the highest C stocks for all layers studied. This means that after 4 years of eucalyptus implantation, there was no reduction of C in the soil. While the A2 area, with a history of agricultural use for the cultivation of soy and sorghum between 2003 and 2009, contributed to lower values of C in the soil. The higher carbon storage in the upper soil horizons in eucalyptus plantations in the Cerrado is consistent with above and near-surface biomass inputs to soil organic matter [56]. The best alternatives to reduce carbon losses in an ecosystem are maintaining the natural forest cover or recovering the soil through sustainable soil management [57], and adhering to low environmental impact systems.



Figure 2. C contents (g kg⁻¹) in soil layers up to 100 cm (**a**) and C stocks (Mg ha⁻¹) in soil layers up to 0–30, 30–60 and 60–100 cm (**b**) for *Eucalyptus urophylla* × *Eucalyptus grandis* stands with 4 years old clones EAC 1528 (A1) and 6 years old GG100 (A2) and native Cerrado vegetation (A3), in the Federal District, Brazil. Uppercase letters difference between depths and lowercase letters difference between areas, by the Tukey test (p < 0.05).

In the present study, the soil organic carbon stocks under Cerradão (167 Mg ha⁻¹) and 4 years old Eucalyptus stands (174 Mg ha⁻¹) were similar in the same depth classes and down to 1 m in an oxisol also in Cerrado, as reported from Pinheiro et al. [58]. In Brazil, Cook et al. [48] characterized soil carbon stocks and change over two decades in 306 operational *Eucalyptus* stands across a 1200 km gradient (ranging from 18 to 26 years or approximately three to four rotations) showed a slight decrease (-0.22 ± 0.05 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, p < 0.0001; 0–30 cm) or no net change in soil carbon stocks, and vary with land-use history and soil properties, precipitation, but weaker associations with soil order and mean annual temperature.

The impact of Cerrado vegetation clearing, burning of residues, and plowing operations have also been reported by Ferreira et al. [59] as major drivers of soil carbon decline during the first years after conversion to crop fields, when a significant proportion of the soil carbon that was physically protected within stable soil aggregates abruptly led to an oxidative condition, resulting in mineralization and the loss as CO₂.

3.3. Carbon Equivalent (C eq) and Global Warming Potential

The results were not influenced by the age of the eucalyptus stands, nor by the replacement of native vegetation by Eucalyptus, considering the time interval since the beginning of the evaluation. During the study period, the accumulated flows were not influenced by the age of the eucalyptus stands or by the replacement of native vegetation by eucalyptus, the variations ranged from 0,33 to 0,85 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. The contributions of the N₂O fluxes of the Global Warming Potential (GWP) partially ranged from 98 to 271 kg CO₂ eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for A1, A2, and A3. Considering the annual GWP, the CH₄ acted as a sink in all studied areas (Table 4) ranged from -21 to -63 kg CO₂ eq ha⁻¹, the contributions of the CH₄ and N₂O fluxes of the GWP were 64.89 ± 84, 237.98 ± 119 and 76.61 ± 44 kg CO₂ eq ha⁻¹ for A1, A2, and A3, respectively.

11 of 16

		N ₂ O	
	kg ha $^{-1}$ yr $^{-1}$	GWP100 ¹	kg CO ₂ eq ha $^{-1}$ yr $^{-1}$
A1	0.43 (±0.23) a	298	127.82 (±77) a
A2	0.85 (±0.45) a	298	271.30 (±116) a
A3	0.33 (±0.20) a	298	98.15 (±59) a
		CH ₄	
A1	-1.85 (±1.36) a	34	-63.09 (±46) a
A2	$-0.98~(\pm 0.91)$ a	34	-33.31 (±31) a
A3	-0.63 (±0.53) a	34	-21.53 (±18) a

Table 4. Cumulative fluxes and contribution of the CH₄ and N₂O gas to the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of N₂O and CH₄ for *Eucalyptus urophylla* × *Eucalyptus grandis* stands with 4 years old clones EAC 1528 (A1) and 6 years old GG100 (A2) and native Cerrado vegetation (A3), in the Federal District, Brazil. Uppercase and lowercase letters difference between areas for GWP by the Tukey test (p < 0.05).

¹ with inclusion of climate–carbon feedbacks, over a 100-year horizon. Source: Myhre [37].

Soils under planted forests and native ecosystems have shown low N₂O fluxes, which can be attributed to the system's physical, chemical, and biological characteristics [60,61]. The research focused on GHG emissions in the Cerrado found relationships between emissions and environmental/management variables, but overall N₂O emissions were low (>2.84 kg ha⁻¹) for conservationist or crop-livestock-forestry integrated systems [61–63].

The environments studied functioned as sinks for CH_4 fluxes in the soil-atmosphere system, which caused a reduction in the sum of GWP. The influxes of CH_4 in the Eucalyptus stand and native Cerrado vegetation are in agreement with reports of emissions from native on non-native forests [64–67] that indicate CH_4 uptake from the atmosphere since a higher amount was consumed by the environment than the effectively produced quantity [68,69]. Therefore, this study confirmed that aerated soils can act as a sink or source of CH_4 , generally dominating the methanotrophic metabolism over methanogenesis, which may continue to occur at small anaerobic sites [70–72].

3.4. Carbon Storage

The C stocks in the soil-plant system showed different contributions in the system in the areas studied, the C stock in the aerial part of the trees was 27.45%, 37.78%, and 12.01%, and in the roots up to 60 cm, it was 2.16%, 0.88% and 1.68% for A1, A2, and A3, respectively. The soil had the highest contribution to total C with 68.17%, 58.38%, and 84.07% for A1, A2, and A3, respectively, functioning as a C pool at all ages of eucalyptus stands [42,43]. However, the soil and native vegetation of the Cerrado are in equilibrium through a specific ratio of input and output of carbon [73].

Comparing the studied areas, A2 had the highest C stock in the aerial part in relation to the others, and A1 had the highest C stock in the roots (Table 5; p = 0.05). The lower amount of surface roots in the oldest stand (A2) may be related to the proportion of roots that increase in depth as the age of the stand increases [74]. Litter contributed more than roots to surface C (Table 4) due to its diversity of organic compounds with different chemical complexities, which act as raw material in forming and maintaining soil organic carbon [75]. Eucalyptus stands have good litter production capacity, capable of promoting the entry of organic matter and nutrients into the soil [76,77]. The total C was higher for A1 with a 4 year old eucalyptus stand (Table 4; p = 0.05), with a positive balance for the three evaluated areas.

Commontmont	A1	A2	A3
Compartment		Mg ha $^{-1}$	
Aerial part	$(62.1 \pm 3.6) \mathrm{b}$	(81.7 ± 22.2) a	$(22.1 \pm 0.02) \text{ c}$
Roots 0–60 cm	(4.9 ± 2.1) a	$(1.9\pm0.84)\mathrm{b}$	(3.1 ± 0.95) ab
Litter	(5.0 ± 0.23) ab	(6.4 ± 0.2) a	$(4.1 \pm 0.28)\mathrm{b}$
Plant total	(72 ± 6.0) ab	(90 ± 23.3) a	$(29.3 \pm 1.3) c$
Soil (0–100 cm)	(154.23 ± 15.64) a	(126.26 ± 13.35) b	(154.69 ± 15.05) a
Soil/plant total	(226.23 ± 21.6) a	(216.26 ± 36.7) b	$(183.99 \pm 16.3) \text{ c}$
Stored CO2eq	(828.94 ± 79.3) a	(792.41 ± 134.3) b	$(674.17 \pm 59.8) \text{ c}$
Emission CO ₂ eq	(0.06 ± 0.08) a	(0.24 ± 0.11) a	(0.07 ± 0.04) a

Table 5. Total estimates of C storage and CO₂ eq (Mg ha⁻¹) in *Eucalyptus urophylla* × *Eucalyptus grandis* stands with 4 years old clones EAC 1528 (A1) and 6 years old GG100 (A2) and native Cerrado vegetation (A3), in the District Federal, Brazil.

Uppercase and lowercase letters difference between areas by the Tukey test (p < 0.05).

The total annual CO₂ of a forest ecosystem is positive, discounting the losses by respiration and mortality of plant tissues [3]. However, C is still maintained in long-term tissues and in the soil, validating the importance of native on non-native forests that function as a C sink (>674.17 \pm 59.8 Mg ha⁻¹ CO₂eq) and that mitigate climate change, showing their relevance for local sustainability and for the generation of carbon credit trading.

4. Conclusions

As first conclusion, the ttotal aboveground C stored in the stands were of 62.1 Mg ha^{-1} for 4-year-old eucalyptus and 81.7 Mg ha^{-1} for 6-year-old eucalyptus. As for the native vegetation, species revelaed wide heterogeneity in terms of C storage, where Qualea grandiflora presented the highest contribution (13%) of the total 22.1 Mg ha⁻¹. The roots had a lower contribution to C storage, ranging from 1.9 to 4.9 Mg ha⁻¹. However, this contribution is related to a depth of 60 cm, so that it is srongly recommended that the roots biomass data should be collected at least up to one meter deep in future studies. Soil C made the most significant contribution by fixing 58–84% CO₂ of total C stored. In all areas, N₂O and CH₄ emissions were <1 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The soil acted as a continuous CH_4 sink, showing absorption at rates between -63.09 and -21.53 kg CO_2 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Concerning the native cerrado vegetation conversion, the "4-year-old eucalyptus stand" seemed to restore the original soil carbon stocks in the first-meter depth, regardless of some losses that might have occurred right after establishment. Conversely, a significant loss of carbon in the soil was observed due to the alternative setting, where similar natural land was converted into agriculture, mostly soybean, and then years later, turned into the "6-year-old eucalyptus stand" (18%; 28.43 Mg ha⁻¹). Under this study, these mixed series of C baselines in landscape transitions have reflected on unlike C dynamics outcomes, whereas at the bottom line, total C stocks were higher in the younger forest (4-year-old stand). Therefore, our finding indicates that we should be thoughtful upscaling carbon emissions and sequestration from small-scale measurements to regional scales. Moreover, it is also vitally important to attempt for uncertainties of biogeochemical cycles connected to the carbon and nitrogen cycle. Considering the CHG fluxes, we found indications of a range of CH_4 GHG removals (12 to 50% of N_2O emissions), reducing the net annual emissions of these forest systems in different proportions. For this reason, public policy approaches should rigorously quantify, besides uncertainties in metrics of low-carbon transition pathways, the ecosystem-level C stock that includes biodiversity, water cycles, and well-integrated landscape planning approaches before encouraging management practices that maximize carbon sequestration by promoting forest cover as well.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.P.R., A.G. and A.D.d.O.; methodology, F.P.R., A.G., K.P. and M.B.X.V.; writing—original draft preparation, F.P.R., A.G., A.D.d.O., K.P., M.B.X.V., J.B.C.N.A., A.M.d.C. and E.A.B.F.; supervision, A.D.d.O., A.M.d.C. and E.A.B.F.; writing—review and editing, F.P.R., A.G., A.D.d.O., K.P., M.B.X.V., J.B.C.N.A., A.M.d.C. and E.A.B.F.; supervision, A.D.d.O., A.M.d.C. and E.A.B.F.; supervision, A.D.d.O.; funding acquisition, E.A.B.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors acknowledge the financial support from the Brazilian Agricultural Research Company (EMBRAPA—Cerrados, Planaltina, Brazil) through the project Saltus (N°. 11.16.05.001.00.00); the educational support from the Federal University Brasília post-Graduate Support Program; This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior—Brasil (CAPES)—Finance Code 001.); the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development(CNPq/Brazil) for the post-doctoral fellowship granted to the first author and scientific productivity fellowship granted to the seventh author.

Data Availability Statement: Data supporting reported results can be acquired by contacting the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: Brazilian Agricultural Research Company (EMBRAPA—Cerrados, Planaltina, Brazil), Federal University Brasília (UnB) and project Saltus.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- IPCC. *Climate Change Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability;* Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D.C., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E.S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., et al., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2022; 3056p. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.H.; Shi, Y.; Sun, W.J.; Chang, J.F.; Zhu, J.X.; Chen, L.Y.; Wang, X.; Guo, Y.P.; Zhang, H.T.; Yu, L.F.; et al. Terrestrial carbon sinks in China and around the world and their contribution to carbon neutrality. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 2022, 65, 861–895. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Wang, X.C.; Wang, C.K.; Bond-Lamberty, B. Quantifying and reducing the differences in forest CO₂-fluxes estimated by eddy covariance, biometric and chamber methods: A global synthesis. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2017**, 247, 93–103. [CrossRef]
- van der Werf, G.R.; Randerson, J.T.; Giglio, L.; Collatz, G.J.; Mu, M.; Kasibhatla, P.S.; Morton, D.C.; DeFries, R.S.; Jin, Y.; van Leeuwen, T.T. Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2010, 10, 16153–16230. [CrossRef]
- 5. Liu, Y.Y.; Van Dijk, A.I.J.M.; De Jeu, R.A.M.; Canadell, J.G.; Mccabe, M.F.; Evans, J.P.; Wang, G. Recent reversal in loss of global terrestrial biomass. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **2015**, *5*, 470–474. [CrossRef]
- 6. Xu, L.; Saatchi, S.S.; Yang, Y.; Yu, Y.; Pongratz, J.; Bloom, A.A.; Bowman, K.; Worden, J.; Liu, J.; Yin, Y.; et al. Changes in global terrestrial live biomass over the 21st century. *Sci. Adv.* **2021**, *7*, eabe9829. [CrossRef]
- 7. Garrett, R.D.; Grabs, J.; Cammelli, F.; Gollnow, F.; Levy, S.A. Should payments for environmental services be used to implement zero-deforestation supply chain policies? The case of soy in the Brazilian Cerrado. *World Dev.* **2022**, 152, 105814. [CrossRef]
- 8. Castaldi, S.; Bertolini, T.; Valente, A.; Chiti, T.; Valentini, R. Nitrous oxide emissions from soil of an African rain forest in Ghana. *Biogeosciences* **2013**, *10*, 4179–4187. [CrossRef]
- 9. Martins, C.S.C.; Nazaries, L.; Macdonald, C.A.; Anderson, I.C.; Singh, B.K. Water availability and abundance of microbial groups are key determinants of greenhouse gas fluxes in a dryland forest ecosystem. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2015**, *86*, 5–16. [CrossRef]
- De Oliveira, A.D.; Ribeiro, F.P.; Ferreira, E.A.B.; Malaquias, J.V.; Gatto, A.; Zuim, D.R.; Pinheiro, L.D.A.; Pulrolnik, K.; Soares, J.P.G.; De Carvalho, A.M. CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from planted forests and native Cerrado ecosystems in Brazil. *Sci. Agric.* 2021, 78, e20180355. [CrossRef]
- 11. UNFCCC. Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs): The Paris Agreement and NDCs. 2015. Available online: https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english_paris_agreement.pdf (accessed on 20 June 2023).
- Brasil. Contribuição Nacionalmente Determinada para Consecução do Objetivo da Convenção-Quadro das Nações Unidas sobre Mudança do Clima. 2015. Brasília, DF. Available online: https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/NDC/2022-06/Updated%20-%20 First%20NDC%20-%20%20FINAL%20-%20PDF.pdf (accessed on 20 June 2023).
- IPCC. Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Program. Eggleston. H.S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe, K., Eds.; IGES: Japan; v 5, Chapter 6. 2006. Available online: https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/ (accessed on 10 August 2022).
- 14. Strassburg, B.B.N.; Brooks, T.; Feltran-Barbieri, R.; Iribarrem, A.; Crouzeilles, R.; Loyola, R.; Latawiec, A.E.; Filho, F.J.B.O.; Scaramuzza, C.A.D.M.; Scarano, F.R.; et al. Moment of truth for the Cerrado hotspot. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2017**, *1*, 99. [CrossRef]

- MapBiomas. The MapBiomas Project Provides Annual Maps of Land Cover and Land Use, as Well as Maps with Changes over Time for the Entire Period between 1985–2021. 2022. Available online: https://mapbiomas-br-site.s3.amazonaws.com/ Infograficos/MBI-Infografico-7.0-BR-cerrado-rev2.jpg (accessed on 15 April 2023).
- 16. Schüler, J.; Bustamante, M.M.C. Spatial planning for restoration in Cerrado: Balancing the trade-offs between conservation and agriculture. J. Appl. Ecol. 2022, 59, 2616–2626. [CrossRef]
- Brasil. Estimativas Anuais de Emissões de Efeito Estufa no Brasil. 6ª edição. 2022. Available online: https://www.gov.br/mcti/pt-br/centrais-de-conteudo/publicacoes-mcti/estimativas-anuais-de-emissoes-de-gases-de-efeito-estufa-no-brasil/estimativas-anuais-de-emissoes-de-gases-de-efeito-estufa-no-brasil.pdf (accessed on 20 June 2023).
- INPE. Incrementos de Desmatamento Acumulado—Cerrado—Estados. 2022. Available online: http://terrabrasilis.dpi.inpe.br/ app/dashboard/deforestation/biomes/cerrado/increments (accessed on 23 June 2023).
- de Carvalho, A.M.; de Jesus, D.R.; de Sousa, T.R.; Ramos, M.L.G.; de Figueiredo, C.C.; de Oliveira, A.D.; Marchão, R.L.; Ribeiro, F.; Dantas, R.; de Araújo Barbosa Borges, L. Soil Carbon Stocks and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation of Agriculture in the Brazilian Cerrado—A Review. *Plants* 2023, *12*, 2449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Guerino, R.M.G.; Morais, I.L.; Santos, A.B.S.; Campos, R.M. Expansão e impactos socioambientais da cultura de *Eucalyptus* spp. (Myrtaceae) no Brasil: Um panorama da literature. *Res. Soc. Dev.* **2022**, *11*, 3. [CrossRef]
- Zinn, Y.L.; Resck, D.V.; da Silva, J.E. Soil Organic Carbon as Affected by Afforestation with Eucalyptus and Pinus in the Cerrado Region of Brazil. For. Ecol. Manag. 2002, 166, 285–294. [CrossRef]
- Pulrolnik, K.; de Barros, N.F.; Silva, I.R.; Novais, R.F.; Brandani, C.B. Estoques de carbono e nitrogênio em frações lábeis e estáveis da matéria orgânica de solos sob eucalipto, pastagem e Cerrado no vale do Jequitinhonha—MG. *Rev. Bras. Ciência Solo* 2009, 33, 1125–1136. [CrossRef]
- Rossi, C.Q.; Pereira, M.G.; Giácomo, S.G.; Betta, M.; Polidoro, J.C. Organic Fractions and Soil Organic Carbon Management Index in an Oxisol under Soybean Cultivation in the Cerrado Region of Goiás, Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Cienc. Agrar.* 2012, 7, 233–241. [CrossRef]
- Leite, L.F.C.; de Arruda, F.P.; Costa, C.D.N.; Ferreira, J.D.S.; Holanda Neto, M.R. Chemical Quality of Soil and Dynamics of Carbon under Monoculture and Intercroping of Acrocomia Palm and Pasture. *Rev. Bras. Eng. Agrícola Ambient.* 2013, 17, 1257–1263. [CrossRef]
- Gatto, A.; Bussinger, A.P.; Ribeiro, F.C.; Azevedo, G.B.; Bueno, M.C.; Monteiro, M.M.; Souza, P.F. Ciclagem e balanço de nutrientes no sistema solo-planta em um plantio de Eucalyptus sp. no Distrito Federal. *Rev. Bras.Ciência Solo* 2014, 38, 879–887. [CrossRef]
- 26. Rodrigues, G.G.; Zanatta, J.A.; Rachwal, M.F.G.; Higa, R.C.V.; Lavoranti, O.; Silva, L.D. Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes from clonal eucalyptus forests in different spacing arrangements. *For. Int. J. For. Res.* **2023**, *96*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Indústria Brasileira de Árvores—IBÁ. Relatório Ibá 2022, 96p. Available online: https://www.iba.org/datafiles/publicacoes/ relatorios/relatorio-anual-iba2022-compactado.pdf (accessed on 10 February 2023).
- 28. Morais, V.A.; de Mello, J.M.; de Mello, C.R.; Silva, C.A.; Scolforo, J.R.S. Spatial distribution of the litter carbon stock in the Cerrado biome in Minas Gerais state, Brazil. *Ciência Agrotecnologia* **2017**, *41*, 580–589. [CrossRef]
- 29. Morais, V.A.; Santos, C.A.; Mello, J.M.; Dadid, H.C.; Araújo, E.J.G.; Scolforo, J.R.S. Spatial and vertical distribution of litter and belowground carbon in a Brazilian Cerrado vegetation. *CERNE* **2017**, *23*, 43–52. [CrossRef]
- Qi, Y.; Wei, W.; Chen, C.; Chen, L. Plant root-shoot biomass allocation over diverse biomes: A global synthesis. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 2019, 18, e00606. [CrossRef]
- 31. Felfili, J.M.; Carvalho, F.A.; Haidar, R.F. Manual Para o Monitoramento de Parcelas Permanentes nos Biomas Cerrado e Pantanal; Universidade de Brasília: Brasília, Brazil, 2005; 51p.
- Rezende, A.V.; Vale, A.T.; Sanquetta, C.R.; Figueiredo Filho, A.; Felfili, J.M. Comparação de modelos matemáticos para estimativa do volume, biomassa e estoque de carbono da vegetação lenhosa de um cerrado sensu stricto em Brasília, DF. *Sci. For.* 2006, 71, 65–76. Available online: https://www.ipef.br/publicacoes/scientia/nr71/cap07.pdf (accessed on 14 June 2022).
- Teixeira, P.C.; Donagemma, G.K.; Fontana, A.; Teixeira, W.G. Manual de Métodos de Análise de Solo; Embrapa Solos: Brasília, Brazil, 2017; 574p.
- Veldkamp, E. Organic Carbon Turnover in Three Tropical Soils under Pasture after Deforestation. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 1994, 58, 175–180. [CrossRef]
- Alves, B.J.R.; Smith, K.A.; Flores, R.A.; Cardoso, A.S.; Oliveira, W.R.D.; Jantalia, C.P.; Urquiaga, S.; Boddey, R.M. Selection of the most suitable sampling time for static chambers for the estimation of daily mean N₂O flux from soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2012, 46, 129–136. [CrossRef]
- 36. Bayer, C.; Gomes, J.; Zanatta, J.A.; Vieira, F.C.B.; Piccolo, M.C.; Dieckow, J.; Six, J. Soil nitrous oxide emissions as affected by long-term tillage, cropping systems and nitrogen fertilization in Southern Brazil. *Soil Tillage Res.* **2015**, *146*, 213–222. [CrossRef]
- 37. Myhre, G.; Shindell, D.; Bréon, F.-M.; Collins, W.; Fuglestvedt, J.; Huang, J.; Koch, D.; Lamarque, J.-F.; Lee, D.; Mendoza, B.; et al. Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In *Climate Change: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2013; pp. 659–740. [CrossRef]
- Miranda, S.C.; Bustamante, M.; Palácio, M.; Hagen, S.; Keller, M.; Ferreira, L.G. Regional Variations in Biomass Distribution in Brazilian Savanna Woodland. *Biotropica* 2014, 46, 125–138. [CrossRef]

- Silveira, E.M.D.O.; Terra, M.D.C.N.S.; ter Steege, H.; Maeda, E.E.; Júnior, F.W.A.; Scolforo, J.R.S. Carbon-diversity hotspots and their owners in Brazilian southeastern Savanna, Atlantic Forest and Semi-Arid Woodland domains. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 2019, 452, 117575. [CrossRef]
- 40. Ribeiro, S.C.; Jacovine, L.A.G.; Torres, C.M.M.E.; Souza, A.L. Influence of Interspecific Variation on Tree Carbon Stock of a Brazilian Cerrado. *Rev. Árvore* 2017, *41*, e410506. [CrossRef]
- Santana, R.C.; de Barros, N.F.; Novais, R.F.; Leite, H.G.; Comerford, N.B. Alocação de nutrientes em plantios de eucalipto no Brasil. R. Bras. Ci. Solo 2008, 32, 2723–2733. [CrossRef]
- 42. Gatto, A.; Barros, N.F.; Novais, R.F.; Silva, I.R.; Leite, H.G.; Villani, E.M.A. Carbon stock in the biomass of eucalyptus crops in central-east region of the state of Minas Gerais—Brazil. *Rev. Árvore* **2011**, *35*, 895–905. [CrossRef]
- 43. Zhou, X.; Yuanguang, W.; Uromi, G.G.; Hua, Z.; Hongguang, Z.; Xiao, Q.L.; Yeming, V.; Li, Y.Y.S.; Xueman, H. Optimal rotation length for carbon sequestration in Eucalyptus plantations in subtropical China. *New For.* **2017**, *48*, 609–627. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, P.; Mishra, A.K.; Chaudhari, S.K.; Sharma, D.K.; Rai, A.K.; Kailash, S.; Rai, P.; Singh, R. Carbon Sequestration and Soil Carbon Build-Up Under Eucalyptus Plantation in Semi-Arid Regions of North-West India. J. Sustain. For. 2020, 40, 319–331. [CrossRef]
- 45. Viera, M.; Rodríguez-Soalleiro, R. A Complete Assessment of Carbon Stocks in Above and Belowground Biomass Components of a Hybrid Eucalyptus Plantation in Southern Brazil. *Forest* **2019**, *10*, 536. [CrossRef]
- Nagar, B.; Rawat, S.; Rathiesh, P.; Sekar, I.I. Impact of initial spacing on growth and yield of Eucalyptus camaldulensis in arid region of India. World Appl. Sci. J. 2015, 33, 1362–1368.
- 47. Sausen, T.L.; Schaefer, G.F.P.; Tomazi, M.; Santos, L.S.; Bayer, C.; Rosa, L.M.G. Clay content drives carbon stocks in soils under a plantation of *Eucalyptus saligna* Labill. in southern Brazil. *Acta Bot. Bras.* **2014**, *28*, 266–273. [CrossRef]
- 48. Cook, L.R.; Binkley, D.; Stape, J.L. Eucalyptus plantation effects on soil carbon after 20 years and three rotations in Brazil. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2016**, *359*, 92–98. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ribeiro, F.P.; Gatto, A.; Oliveira, A.D.; Pulrolnik, K.; Ferreira, E.A.B.; Carvalho, A.M.; Bussinguer, A.P.; Muller, A.G.; Moraes-Neto, S.P. Litter Dynamics in Eucalyptus and Native Forest in the Brazilian Cerrado. *J. Agric. Sci.* **2018**, *10*, 29–43. [CrossRef]
- 50. Ribeiro, F.P.; Oliveira, A.D.; Bussinguer, A.P.; Rodrigues, M.I.; Cardoso, M.S.S.; Lustosa-Junior, I.; Valadão, M.B.X.; Gatto, A. How long does it take to decompose all litter in Brazilian savanna forest? *Cerne* **2022**, *28*, e102819. [CrossRef]
- Zinn, Y.L.; Lal, R.; Resck, D.V.S. Eucalypt plantation effects on organic carbon and aggregation of three different-textured soils in Brazil. Soil Res. 2011, 49, 614–624. [CrossRef]
- 52. Valadão, M.B.X.; Carneiro, K.M.S.; Inkotte, J.; Ribeiro, F.P.; Miguel, E.P.; Gatto, A. Litterfall, litter layer and leaf decomposition in Eucalyptus stands on Cerrado soils. *Sci. For.* **2019**, *42*, 256–264. [CrossRef]
- Lira-Martins, D.; Luciano Nascimento, D.; Abrahão, A.; Costa, P.B.; D'Angioli, A.M.; Valézio, E.; Rowland, L.; Oliveira, R.S. Soil properties and geomorphic processes influence vegetation composition, structure, and function in the Cerrado Domain. *Plant Soil* 2022, 476, 549–588. [CrossRef]
- 54. Gomes, S.S.; Gonçalves, J.L.M.; Rocha, J.H.T.; Menegale, M.L.C. Tolerance of Eucalyptus and Pinus seedlings to exchangeable Aluminium. *Sci. Agric.* 2019, *76*, 494–500. [CrossRef]
- 55. Cabreira, W.V.; Pereira, M.G.; Balieiro, F.C.; Matos, E.S.; Rodrigues, R.A.R.; Santos, R.N. Mixed-species plantations of *Eucalyptus* and *Acacia mangium* increase labile carbon and phosphorus levels in Ferralsol soils in the Cerrado biome, Brazil. *South. For.* **2020**, *82*, 342–351. [CrossRef]
- 56. McMahon, D.E.; Vergütz, L.; Valadares, S.V.; Silva, I.R.; Jackson, R.B. Soil nutrient stocks are maintained over multiple rotations in Brazilian Eucalyptus plantations. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2019**, *448*, 364–375. [CrossRef]
- 57. Dionizio, E.A.; Pimenta, F.M.; Lima, L.B.; Costa, M.H. Carbon stocks and dynamics of different land uses on the Cerrado agricultural frontier. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0241637. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Pinheiro, F.M.; Nair, P.K.R.; Nair, V.D.; Tonucci, R.G.; Venturin, R.P. Soil Carbon Stock and Stability under Eucalyptus-Based Silvopasture and Other Land-Use Systems in the Cerrado Biodiversity Hotspot. J. Environ. Manag. 2021, 299, 113676. [CrossRef]
- Ferreira, E.A.B.; Bustamante, M.M.D.C.; Resck, D.V.S.; De Figueiredo, C.C.; Pinto, A.D.S.; Malaquias, J.V. Carbon Stocks in Compartments of Soil Organic Matter 31 Years after Substitution of Native Cerrado Vegetation by Agroecosystems. *Rev. Bras. Cienc Solo* 2016, 40, e0150059. [CrossRef]
- 60. Martins, M.R.; Jantalaia, C.P.; Polidoro, J.C.; Batista, J.N.; Alves, B.J.R.; Boddey, R.M.; Urquiaga, S. Nitrous oxide and ammonia emission from N fertilization of maize crop under no-till in Cerrado soil. *Soil Tillage Res.* **2015**, *151*, 75–81. [CrossRef]
- 61. Santos, I.L.; Oliveira, A.D.; Figueiredo, C.C.; Malaquias, J.V.; Santos, J.D.G., Jr.; Ferreira, E.A.B.; Sa, M.A.C.; Carvalho, A.M. Soil N₂O emissions from long-term agroecosystems: Interactive effects of rainfall seasonality and crop rotation in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **2016**, 233, 111–120. [CrossRef]
- de Carvalho, A.M.; de Oliveira, W.R.D.; Ramos, M.L.G.; Coser, T.R.; de Oliveira, A.D.; Pulrolnik, K.; Souza, K.W.; Vilela, L.; Marchão, R.L. Soil N₂O fluxes in integrated production systems, continuous pasture and Cerrado. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 2017, 108, 69–83. [CrossRef]
- Sato, J.H.; de Carvalho, A.M.; de Figueiredo, C.C.; Coser, T.R.; de Sousa, T.R.; Vilela, L.; Marchão, R.L. Nitrous oxide fluxes in a Brazilian clayey oxisol after 24 years of integrated crop-livestock management. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 2017, 108, 55–68. [CrossRef]

- Livesley, S.J.; Kiese, R.; Miehle, P.; Weston, C.J.; Butterbach-Bahl, K.; Arndt, S.K. Soil-atmosphere exchange of greenhouse gases in a *Eucalyptus marginata* woodland, a clover-grass pasture, and *Pinus radiata* and *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2009, 15, 425–440. [CrossRef]
- 65. Grover, S.P.P.; Livesley, S.J.; Hutley, L.B.; Jamali, H.; Fest, B.; Beringer, J.; Butterbach-Bahl, K.; Arndt, S.K. Land use change and the impact on greenhouse gas exchange in north Australian savanna soils. *Biogeosciences* **2012**, *9*, 423–437. [CrossRef]
- 66. Luo, G.J.; Kiese, B.; Wolf, B.; Butterbach-Bahl, K. Effects of soil temperature and moisture on methane uptake and nitrous oxide emissions across three different ecosystem types. *Biogeosciences* **2013**, *10*, 3205–3219. [CrossRef]
- 67. Carvalho, J.L.N.; Raucci, G.S.; Frazao, L.A.; Cerri, C.E.P.; Bernoux, M.; Cerri, C.C. Crop-pasture rotation: A strategy to reduce soil greenhouse gas emissions in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **2014**, *183*, 167–175. [CrossRef]
- 68. Bustamante, M.M.C.; Nardoto, G.B.; Pinto, A.S.; Resende, J.C.F.; Takahashi, F.S.C.; Vieira, L.C.G. Potential impacts of climate change on biogeochemical functioning of Cerrado Ecosystems. *Braz. J. Biol.* **2012**, *72*, 655–671. [CrossRef]
- 69. Zanoni, M.M.V.; Zanatta, J.A.; Dieckow, J.; Kan, A.; Reissmann, C.B. Emissão de metano por decomposição de resíduo florestal inundado. *Rev. Bras. Eng. Agríc. Ambiente.* **2015**, *19*, 173–179. [CrossRef]
- Snyder, C.S.; Bruulsema, T.W.; Jensen, T.L.; Fixen, P.E. Review of greenhouse gas emissions from crop production systems and fertilizer management effects. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2009, 133, 247–266. [CrossRef]
- Singh, B.K.; Bardgett, R.D.; Smith, P.; Reay, D.S. Microorganisms and climate change: Terrestrial feedbacks and mitigation options. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2010, *9*, 79–98. [CrossRef]
- Godoi, S.G.; Neufeld, A.D.H.; Ibarr, M.A.; Ferreto, D.O.C.; Bayer, C.; Lorentz, L.H.; Vieira, F.C.B. The conversion of grassland to acacia forest as an effective option for net reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2016, 169, 91–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Miranda, E.; Carmo, J.; Couto, E.; Camargo, P. Long-Term Changes in Soil Carbon Stocks in the Brazilian Cerrado Under Commercial Soybean. *Land Degrad. Devel.* **2015**, *27*, 1586–1594. [CrossRef]
- 74. Laclau, J.P.; Silva, E.A.; Lambais, G.R.; Bernoux, M.; Maire, G.; Stape, J.L.; Bouillet, J.P.; Gonçalves, J.L.M.; Jourdan, C.; Nouvellon, Y. Dynamics of soil exploration by fine roots down to a depth of 10 m throughout the entire rotation in *Eucalyptus grandis* plantations. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2013, 4, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lima, M.; Vicente, L.C.; Gama-Rodrigues, E.F.; Gama-Rodrigues, A.C.; Lisbôa, F.M.; Aleixo, S. Carbon functional groups of leaf litter in cacao and rubber agroforestry systems in southern Bahia, Brazil. *Agroforest Syst.* 2023, 97, 249–260. [CrossRef]
- Valadão, M.B.X.; Carneiro, K.M.S.; Ribeiro, F.P.; Inkotte, J.; Rodrigues, M.I.; Mendes, T.R.S.; Vieira, D.A.; Matias, R.A.M.; Lima, M.B.O.; Miguel, E.P.; et al. Modeling Biomass and Nutrients in a Eucalyptus Stand in the Cerrado. *Forests* 2020, 11, 1097. [CrossRef]
- 77. Silva, S.C.; Valadão, M.B.X.; Inkotte, J.; Ribeiro, F.P.; Miguel, E.P.; Gatto, A. Legal provision on the use of exotic species in forest restoration: Eucalyptus leaf decomposition in different Brazilian savannah formations. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 2023, 14, 14–19.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.