Geo-Information

ISSN 2220-9964

www.mdpi.com/journal/ijgi/

Supplementary Information

Geovisual Analytics Approach to Exploring Public Political Discourse on Twitter. *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.* 2015, 4, 337-366

Jonathan K. Nelson ^{1,*}, Sterling Quinn ¹, Brian Swedberg ¹, Wanghuan Chu ² and Alan M. MacEachren ¹

- GeoVISTA Center, Department of Geography, Penn State University, State College, PA 16801, USA; E-Mails: quinn@psu.edu (S.Q.); bws180@psu.edu (B.S.); maceachren@psu.edu (A.M.M.)
- Department of Statistics, Penn State University, State College, PA 16801, USA; E-Mail: wxc228@psu.edu
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: jkn128@psu.edu; Tel.: +1-505-604-5469.

SPoTvis Assessment, Part 2

Online Survey on the design, functionality and applicability of SPoTvis to other contexts:

SPoTvis is a geovisual analytics tool that allows users to explore spatial patterns in Twitter conversation surrounding the 2013 U.S. Government shutdown. A short video demonstrating SPoTvis can be found here: http://www.personal.psu.edu/bws180/SPoTvis_Video/SPoTvis.mov. SPoTvis can be found here: http://www.personal.psu.edu/bws180/ProjectEnv/.

The survey consists of general follow-up questions, as well as questions on the graphic design (the overall appearance of the views and their layout), interface functionality (in terms of both ease of use and ability to obtain information) and applicability of the tool to other contexts. We greatly value your insights. Feel free to contact me at any time with any questions.

Background and Initial Reactions

1.	Briefly describe your background in using maps, data visualizations, visual analytics tools, etc.
	Check all that apply.
	\Box I've taken one or more cartography courses.
	\Box I've taken one or more GIS courses.
	\Box I've taken one or more visualization courses (not focused on maps).
	\Box I've taken one or more political science or sociology courses.
	☐ I do research in cartography, GIScience and/or visualization.
	☐ I do research in social sciences.
	□Other

2. Prior to using SPoTvis, did you read the help file? Mark only one square.
□Yes
\square No
3. Prior to using SPoTvis, did you watch the video demonstration? Mark only one square.
\Box Yes
\square No
4. Which browser(s) did you use SPoTvis in? Check all that apply.
☐ Internet Explorer
\Box Chrome
□ Opera
□ Other
5. During the first part of the SPoTvis assessment, how long would you estimate having spent
using the tool? Mark only one square.
□less than 15 minutes
\Box 15–30 minutes
\square 30–45 minutes
\Box 45–60 minutes
☐ more than 60 minutes
6. Briefly describe your initial experience using the tool (easy to use? confusing?). Mark only
one square.
1 2 3 4 5
Very confusing □□□□□Very easy
7. How easy or difficult was the interface to use? Mark only one square.
1 2 3 4 5
Very difficult □□□□□Very easy
8. How did you feel toward this tool as you used it? Mark only one square.
1 2 3 4 5
Don't like at all □□□□□Like it a lot
SPoTvis Design
The following questions apply to the graphic design of SPoTvis (the overall appearance of the views
and their layout).
9. How effective was the overall design of the tool (layout, colors, visualization choices, etc.)?
Mark only one square.
1 2 3 4 5
Very ineffective $\square \square \square \square \square Very$ effective
10. How aesthetically pleasing did you find the design of the tool? Mark only one square.
1 2 3 4 5
Very not aesthetically pleasing $\square \square \square \square \square \square$ Very aesthetically pleasing

11. Ho	w effective was the use of color in encoding information? Mark only one square. 1 2 3 4 5
Very in	neffective $\square \square \square \square \square Very$ effective
•	nat does the color of the bubbles in the term polarity plot (top window) represent?
13. WI	nat does the font size of words in the term polarity plot (top window) represent?
14. WI	nat aspect(s) of the tool's design did you find most innovative?
15. WI	nat aspect(s) of the tool's design did you find most confusing?
	w would you improve the graphic design of the tool (the overall appearance of the views and ir layout)?
SPoTv	is Functionality
	following questions apply to the interface functionality of SPoTvis (in terms of both ease of use lity to obtain information).
us	w effective was the overall functionality of the tool (i.e. were interaction options obvious and eful)? Mark only one square. 1 2 3 4 5
very 11	neffective $\Box \Box \Box \Box \Box V$ ery effective

18.	How did interaction support data exploration and insight gathering?
19.	How did interaction detour or inhibit data exploration and insight gathering?
20.	To make spatial comparisons, did you use the dropdown menu, or did you click on states/districts? Mark only one square. □ dropdown menus
	□ click on maps □ both
21.	How easy or difficult was spatial navigation using the map views? Mark only one square. 1 2 3 4 5
Ver	ry difficult □□□□□Very easy
23.	How often did you toggle off and on the Cook PVI layer on the maps? Mark only one square.
Nox	1 2 3 4 5 ver $\square \square \square \square \square$ With every spatial comparison
	Did you use the "Similar" and "Different" functionality of the tool? Mark only one square. Yes No
25.	What does the "Similar" and "Different" functionality in the tool perform?
	How would you improve the interface functionality of the tool (in terms of both ease of use and ability to obtain information)?

Other Applications

The final questions apply to future applications of SPoTvis, as well as concluding comments, suggestions, criticisms, *etc*.

sms you would like to share?
sms you would like to share?
sins you would like to share.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).