




Supplementary Figures




Supplementary Figure S1. Calculation of IC₅₀ for AEA, 2AG, CBD, THC and WIN using MTT-cell viability percent inhibition-dose response curves expressed as log 10 of concentration in (nM) vs percent inhibition of 1771 cell viability.




Supplementary Figure S2. Calculation of IC₅₀ for AEA, 2AG, CBD, THC and WIN using MTT-cell viability percent inhibition-dose response curves expressed as log 10 of concentration in (nM) vs percent inhibition of CLBL1 cell viability.



Supplementary Figure S3. Calculation of IC_{50} for AEA, 2AG, CBD, THC and WIN using MTT- cell viability percent inhibition-dose response curves expressed as log 10 of concentration in (nM) vs percent inhibition of Ramos cell viability.



Supplementary Figure S4. Calculation of IC_{50} for AEA, 2AG, CBD, THC and WIN using MTT- cell viability percent inhibition-dose response curves expressed as log 10 of concentration in (nM) vs percent inhibition of CL1 cell viability.



Supplementary Figure S5. Graphic representation of IC₅₀ values of endocannabinoids (AEA, 2AG), phytocannabinoids (CBD, THC), and a synthetic cannabinoid (WIN) in NHL cell lines.