



Effect of the Ions on the Electron Collision Operator through Electronic Trajectory Modification

Yasmina Ben Nana¹, Fethi Khelfaoui^{1,2}, Said Douis^{1,2}, Eshrat Sadeghzadeh Lari³ and Mohammed Tayeb Meftah^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Laboratoire de Recherche de Physique des Plasmas et Surfaces (LRPPS), UKMO, Ouargla 30000, Algerie
- ² Département de Physique, Faculté de Mathématiques et Sciences de la matière, Université Kasdi-Merbah, Ouargla 30000, Algerie
- ³ Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Vali-e-Asr University of Rafsanjan, Rafsanjan 7718897111, Iran
- * Correspondence: meftah.tayeb@univ-ouargla.dz

Received: 14 June 2019; Accepted: 14 August 2019; Published: 16 August 2019



Abstract: We investigate the ion effect on the broadening of the spectral line profile by the free electrons collisions with the emitters in plasmas. We only considered the weak collisions' contribution. This effect has a consequence on the trajectories of the free electrons through the electric microfield created by the ions of the plasma. Thanks to the Meijer's functions, the calculation of the electronic Stark broadening is precisely established.

Keywords: stark broadening; electron broadening; plasma; neutral helium

1. Introduction

One source of the broadening of the line profiles in plasmas results from the collisions among emitters (neutral atoms or ions) and the other particles of the plasma. The line shape analysis relies on measurable macroscopic plasma parameters such as inventory of chemical species and their temperatures and number densities. In this paper, the term collisions refer to the interactions between the plasma constituents or particles (neutrals and charged particles). This means that the broadening of the line profile is caused by the various types of interactions between emitting atoms and perturbers (electrons or ions of the plasma). As is known, the Stark line broadening results from the contributions of both the plasma electrons and ions through their interactions with the emitter. Using an old theory, the ion contribution to Stark broadening was widely investigated for lines emitted by hydrogen, hydrogen-like ions and helium neutrals [1-6]. The commolyn used approximation in this investigation is the classical path approximation for electrons [1,3]. Other often used approximations in plasma emission spectroscopy are the impact approximation for the treatment of the emitter-electron interactions and the quasi-static approximation for that the emitter-ion interactions [1]. These approximations are useful to establish the influence of these particles on the emitted line profile in the plasmas. Following this description, the quasi-static approximation and the impact approximation are separately treated to have the spectral line profiles. However, bhow would the line profile be affected if we considered the colliding electron with the emitting atom as moving under the influence of the ion electric microfield? This microfield prevents the free colliding electron from following a straight trajectory. Another approximation we need in our paper is the semi-classical dipolar electric one [7,8]. It is an acceptable approximation because it shows a good agreement compared to numerous experiments [9,10]. In this work, we deal with helium plasma by considering three approximations: impact approximation for the electrons, quasi-static approximation for the ions and the electric dipolar approximation. The contribution of our investigation is the dependency of the collision operator on the ion microfield. We notice here that Djurović et al [11] used the effects



of the microfield distribution on collision operator. Thus, our work starts by giving the principal theoretical elements of the spectral line profile in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to give our theoretical investigation to derive the collision operator. The conclusion is presented in Section 4.

2. Spectral Line Theory

The foundations of the spectral line shape theory can be found in the works of Baranger, Griem and collaborators [1–7,12,13] as well as more recently in the work of Kogan, Lisitsa and collaborators [8]. Using the experimental results in [9,10,14], theoretical improvements, including the ions dynamics [5,15] and the electron broadening [7,16,17], were accomplished. In the present paper, we focus on the theory of the line broadening caused by the electron collisions in the impact regime. During the collision between the free electron and the emitter, we consider the effect of the static ion electric microfield on the electron trajectory. Thus, the spectral line profile is given by the following expression

$$I(\omega) = Re(1/\pi) \int W(F) dF \sum_{\beta,\beta',\alpha,\alpha'} \vec{d_{\alpha,\beta}}$$

$$<<\alpha\beta | [i\omega - i\omega_{\alpha\beta} + i\vec{d}\vec{F}/\hbar - \Phi(F)]^{-1} | \alpha'\beta' >> \vec{d_{\alpha'\beta'}}$$
(1)

where *F* is the time-averaged intensity of the electric microfield created by the ions of the system. *F* is considered obeying to the microfield distribution W(F) [18–20]. α , α' are the upper states and β , β' are the lower states involved in the transition under consideration. $\Phi(F)$ is the collision operator depending on the ions electric microfield *F*, as shown in next section. In our work, we consider only the broadening of the line profile caused by the collision of the free electrons with the atomic emitters. For simplicity, natural line broadening as well as Doppler and ionic Stark broadenings are ignored in this work. If the plasma is quasi-fully-ionized, the free electrons must be sensitive to the electric microfield created by the ions. Their trajectories are not straight lines and are given approximatively by

$$\overrightarrow{r}(t) = \overrightarrow{\rho} + \overrightarrow{V}t + \frac{e}{2m}\overrightarrow{F}t^2$$
⁽²⁾

such that \overrightarrow{F} is the electric microfield created by the ions of the plasma (we neglect others sources of force acting on the free electron), whereas m is the electron mass. ρ , \overrightarrow{V} are the initial conditions, which are, respectively, the impact parameter and the velocity of the free electron. Below, we show that the maximum value of ρ is the radius of the Debye sphere.

3. Electron Collision Operator

To have the broadening formula of the line profile by the electron collisions, we have considered that the effect of the electric field resulting from the free electrons has no effect on the colliding electron trajectory. This assumption is related to the fact that the electrons are very light and then moving with high velocities giving a very fluctuating electric field. Thus, we have considered only the effect of the electric field created by the ions on the collision between the colliding electron and an emitting atom.

Now, we write the expression that gives the broadening ϕ_{α} [2] corresponding to a state "*a*" (the sub-states are α , "*a*" is the upper state of the studied transition from the lower state "*b*" with sub-states β for example)

$$\phi_{\alpha} = -Re \langle \alpha | \Phi_{a} | \alpha \rangle = -N \int Vf(V) d\vec{V} \int 2\pi \rho d\rho Re \langle \alpha | (S-1) | \alpha \rangle$$
(3)

where f(V) is the well known Maxwell probability density of the velocity

$$f(V) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi kT}\right)^{3/2} \exp(-\frac{mV^2}{2kT})$$
(4)

and [2]

$$\langle \alpha | (S-1) | \alpha \rangle = -\frac{e^4}{3\hbar^2} \sum_{\sigma, \alpha'} \langle \alpha | R_\sigma | \alpha' \rangle \langle \alpha' | R_\sigma | \alpha \rangle$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_1 \int_{-\infty}^{u_1} du_2 \exp i\omega_{\alpha\alpha'}(u_1 - u_2) \overrightarrow{E}(u_1). \overrightarrow{E}(u_2)$$
(5)

where *S* is S-matrix and *R* is the position operator of the bounded electron of the helium atom and the subscript (σ is its standard component) and $\overrightarrow{E}(u)$ is the electric field created by the colliding electron at time *u* on the emitting atom given by the following expression (expressed in CGS units)

$$\overrightarrow{E}(u) = -e \frac{\overrightarrow{\rho} + \overrightarrow{V}u - \frac{e\overrightarrow{F}}{2m}u^2}{\left(\rho^2 + V^2u^2 - \frac{e\overrightarrow{F}}{m}(\overrightarrow{\rho} + \overrightarrow{V}u)u^2 + \frac{e^2F^2}{4m^2}u^4\right)^{3/2}}$$
(6)

Using Equation (6) and the substitution

$$u_{i} = \frac{\rho}{V}\sqrt{2}x_{i}; \ z_{\alpha\alpha'} = \omega_{\alpha\alpha'}\frac{\rho}{V}\sqrt{2}; \ \langle \alpha | R_{\sigma} | \alpha' \rangle = R_{\sigma}^{\alpha,\alpha'}$$
(7)

Equation (5) becomes

$$\langle \alpha | (S-1) | \alpha \rangle = -\frac{2e^4}{3\hbar^2 V^2 \rho^2} \sum_{\sigma, \alpha'} R_{\sigma}^{\alpha, \alpha'} R_{\sigma}^{\alpha', \alpha}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \frac{\left(1 + 2x_1 x_2 + \left(\frac{eF\rho}{mV^2}\right)^2 x_1^2 x_2^2\right) \exp\left[i z_{\alpha\alpha'} (x_1 - x_2)\right] dx_2}{\left(1 + 2x_1^2 + \left(\frac{eF\rho}{mV^2}\right)^2 x_1^4\right)^{3/2} \left(1 + 2x_2^2 + \left(\frac{eF\rho}{mV^2}\right)^2 x_2^4\right)^{3/2}}$$

$$(8)$$

When we carry out the average on the impact parameter ρ and the velocity *V* of the electron, the last formula gives the effect of the colliding electrons on the broadening of the line profile emitted by the plasma.

To make Equation (8) easy to use, we have the next expansion

$$\exp[iz_{\alpha\alpha'}(x_1 - x_2)] = \cos(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_1)\cos(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_2) + \sin(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_1)\sin(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_2) + i\left[\sin(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_1)\cos(z_{\alpha'}x_2) - \cos(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_1)\sin(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_2)\right]$$
(9)

and we use the fact that the scattered electron is subjected to a force not very different from mV^2/ρ , which is itself equal to the electric force eF (m is the electron mass). Therefore, the real part of Equation (8) (responsible to the broadening by the electron collision) is given by

$$Re \left\langle \alpha \right| (S-1) \left| \alpha \right\rangle = -\frac{e^4}{3\hbar^2 V^2} \sum_{\sigma,\alpha'} R_{\sigma}^{\alpha,\alpha'} R_{\sigma}^{\alpha',\alpha} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_2 [\left(\cos(z_{\alpha\alpha'} x_1) \cos(z_{\alpha\alpha'} x_2) \right) \left(\frac{1 + 2x_1 x_2 + \left(\frac{eF\rho}{mV^2}\right)^2 x_1^2 x_2^2}{\rho^2 \left(1 + x_1^2\right)^3 \left(1 + x_2^2\right)^3} \right) \\ + \left(\sin(z_{\alpha\alpha'} x_1) \sin(z_{\alpha\alpha'} x_2) \right) \left(\frac{1 + 2x_1 x_2 + \left(\frac{eF\rho}{mV^2}\right)^2 x_1^2 x_2^2}{\rho^2 \left(1 + x_1^2\right)^3 \left(1 + x_2^2\right)^3} \right)]$$
(10)

The last equation can be transformed to

$$Re\langle \alpha | (S-1) | \alpha \rangle = -\frac{4e^4}{3\rho^2 V^2 \hbar^2} \sum_{\sigma,\alpha'} R^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\sigma} R^{\alpha',\alpha}_{\sigma} I_1(z_{\alpha\alpha'}) -\frac{8e^4}{3\rho^2 V^2 \hbar^2} \sum_{\sigma,\alpha'} R^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\sigma} R^{\alpha',\alpha}_{\sigma} I_2(z_{\alpha\alpha'}) - \frac{4e^4 (\frac{eF\rho}{mV^2})^2}{3\rho^2 V^2 \hbar^2} \sum_{\sigma,\alpha'} R^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\sigma} R^{\alpha',\alpha}_{\sigma} I_3(z_{\alpha\alpha'})$$
(11)

where

$$I_{1}(z_{\alpha\alpha'}) = \left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} dx_{2} \frac{\cos(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_{2})}{(1+x_{2}^{2})^{3}}\right)^{2}$$

$$I_{2}(z_{\alpha\alpha'}) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha\alpha'}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} dx_{2} \frac{\cos(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_{2})}{(1+x_{2}^{2})^{3}}\right)^{2}$$

$$I_{3}(z_{\alpha\alpha'}) = \left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{\alpha\alpha'}^{2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} dx_{2} \frac{\cos(z_{\alpha\alpha'}x_{2})}{(1+x_{2}^{2})^{3}}\right)^{2}$$
(12)

Using equation (3.771-2) from [21]

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(zy)}{(1+y^2)^3} dy = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{5/2} z_{\alpha\alpha'}^{5/2} K_{5/2}(z_{\alpha\alpha'})$$
(13)

we find that Equation (10), in $Energy/Length^2$ unit, can be written as

$$Re \langle \alpha | S - 1 | \alpha \rangle = \sum_{\sigma, \alpha'} R_{\sigma}^{\alpha', \alpha} R_{\sigma}^{\alpha, \alpha'} (f_1(z_{\alpha \alpha'}) + f_2(z_{\alpha \alpha'}) + f_3(z_{\alpha \alpha'}))$$
(14)

where

$$f_1(z) = -\frac{\pi e^4}{96\rho^2 V^2 \hbar} \left[z^{5/2} K_{5/2}(z) \right]^2$$
(15)

$$f_2(z) = -\frac{\pi e^4}{48\rho^2 V^2 \hbar} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^{5/2} K_{5/2}(z)\right]^2$$
(16)

$$f_3(z) = -\frac{\pi e^4}{96\rho^2 V^6 \hbar} \left(\frac{eF\rho}{m}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} z^{5/2} K_{5/2}(z)\right]^2$$
(17)

Performing the average of Equation (14) over the impact parameter ρ and the velocity V by using the Maxwell probability density, we get the diagonal matrix element of the collision operator as the following

$$\phi_{\alpha} = \sum_{\sigma,\alpha'} R_{\sigma}^{\alpha,\alpha'} R_{\sigma}^{\alpha',\alpha} (\phi_1^{\alpha,\alpha'} + \phi_2^{\alpha,\alpha'} + \phi_3^{\alpha,\alpha'})$$
(18)

where (by using Equations (3), (4) and (15)–(18))

$$\phi_1^{\alpha,\alpha'} = -\frac{2\pi^2 e^4}{96\hbar} 4\pi N (\frac{m}{2\pi kT})^{3/2} \int_0^\infty V dV \exp(-\frac{mV^2}{2kT}) \int_{z\min}^{z_{\max}} \frac{dz}{z} z^5 K_{5/2}(z)^2$$
(19)

Atoms 2019, 7, 77

$$\phi_2^{\alpha,\alpha'} = -2\frac{2\pi^2 e^4}{96\hbar} 4\pi N (\frac{m}{2\pi kT})^{3/2} \int_0^\infty V dV \exp(-\frac{mV^2}{2kT}) \int_{z\min}^{z_{\max}} \frac{dz}{z} (\frac{d}{dz} (z^{5/2} K_{5/2}(z)))^2$$
(20)

$$\phi_{3}^{\alpha,\alpha'} = -\frac{\pi^{2}e^{4}}{96\hbar\omega_{p}^{2}\omega_{\alpha\alpha'}^{2}} 4\pi N(\frac{m}{2\pi kT})^{3/2}(eF/m)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dV}{V} \exp(-\frac{mV^{2}}{2kT}) \int_{z\min}^{z_{\max}} zdz(\frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}}(z^{5/2}K_{5/2}(z)))^{2}$$
(21)

such that $z_{max,min}$ are defined in Equation (6) for ρ taking the Debye length $\lambda_D = \sqrt{(kT/4\pi N_e e^2)}$ and the thermal de Broglie wavelength $\lambda_T = h/\sqrt{2\pi m k T}$, respectively. It is worth mentioning that our approach holds when the ratio $z_{min}/z_{max} = \lambda_T/\lambda_D << 1$ such that the quantal effects are negligible. Otherwise, we must consider the Thomas–Fermi model for the emitter. In that case, the colliding electron (the atom is the target), does not follow a straight trajectory as it moves in the Thomas–Fermi potential created by the atom. In our investigation, we consider the condition $z_{min}/z_{max} = \lambda_T/\lambda_D << 1$. This means that the colliding electron perturbs the atomic emitter by the electric field it creates, but the emitter does not modify the electron trajectory. The only possible force acting on the electron comes from the remaining free electrons and the static ions composing the plasma.

If we introduce the plasma frequency $\omega_p = \sqrt{4\pi Ne^2/m}$ and the thermal velocity $v_t = \sqrt{2kT/m}$, then $z_{max,min}$ become

$$z_{\min} = \frac{\hbar\omega_p \sqrt{2\pi}}{kT} \frac{\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}}{v} = \frac{\lambda_T}{\lambda_D} \frac{\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}}{v} = \Lambda \frac{\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}}{v}; \qquad z_{\max} = \frac{\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}}{v}$$
(22)

where $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ and v are dimensionless frequency and velocity (in units of the plasma frequency ω_p and thermal velocity v_t). Therefore, we find

$$\phi_1^{\alpha,\alpha'} = -\frac{\pi}{16} \frac{2\pi^2 e^4}{96\hbar} 4\pi N (\frac{m}{2\pi kT})^{3/2} \frac{2kT}{m}$$

$$\int_0^\infty v dv \exp(-v^2) [F_1(\frac{\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}}{v}) - F_1(\Lambda \frac{\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}}{v})]$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{768} \frac{e^2}{\lambda_T \lambda_D^2} \int_0^\infty v dv \exp(-v^2) [F_1(\frac{\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}}{v}) - F_1(\Lambda \frac{\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}}{v})]$$
(23)

or with variable substitution in the integral

$$\phi_1^{\alpha,\alpha'} = -A\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}^2 \left[\int_0^\infty x dx e^{-\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}^2 x^2} F_1(\frac{1}{x}) - \Lambda^2 \int_0^\infty y dy e^{-\Lambda^2 \bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}^2 y^2} F_1(\frac{1}{y}) \right]$$
(24)

where

$$F_1(z) = 72Ei(1,2z) + \exp(-2z)(4z^3 + 30z^2 + 90z + 117)$$
(25)

$$A = \frac{\pi^2}{768} \frac{e^2}{\lambda_T \lambda_D^2}$$
(26)

and Ei(1, z) is the exponential integral function [21]. By using the abbreviation $\Omega^2_{\alpha\alpha'} = \Lambda^2 \bar{\omega}^2_{\alpha\alpha'}$, Equation (24) becomes

$$\phi_1^{\alpha,\alpha'} = A(Q_1(\Omega_{\alpha\alpha'}^2) - Q_1(\omega_{\alpha\alpha'}^2))$$
(27)

5 of 11

Similarly, we write the second contribution given by Equation (20) as

$$\phi_2^{\alpha,\alpha'} = 2A(Q_2(\Omega_{\alpha\alpha'}^2) - Q_2(\omega_{\alpha\alpha'}^2))$$
⁽²⁸⁾

where $Q_{1,2}$ are defined as

$$Q_{1,2}(X^2) = X^2 \int_0^\infty t dt \exp(-X^2 t^2) F_{1,2}(\frac{1}{t})$$
⁽²⁹⁾

and (F_1 is defined above in Equation (25)),

$$F_2(z) = \exp(-2z)(9 + 18z + 14z^2 + 4z^3)$$

The third contribution (see Equation (21)) is

$$\phi_{3}^{\alpha,\alpha'} = \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\pi^2 e^4}{96\omega_p^2 \hbar \widehat{\omega}^2} 4\pi N \left(\frac{m}{2\pi kT}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{eF}{m}\right)^2 \left(G(\Omega_{\alpha\alpha'}^2) - G(\omega_{\alpha\alpha'}^2)\right)$$
$$= A\left(\frac{(eF)^2}{2mkT\omega_p^2}\right) \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}^2} \left(G(\Omega_{\alpha\alpha'}^2) - G(\omega_{\alpha\alpha'}^2)\right) \tag{30}$$

where

$$G(X^2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \exp(-X^2 t^2) F_3(\frac{1}{t})$$
(31)

and

$$F_3(z) = \exp(-2z)(3 + 6z + 4z^2 + z^4 + 2z^5)$$

The three contributions in Equations (27), (28) and (30) of the amplitude of the collision operator are expressed in closed form, because the integrals in Equations (28) and (30) are computed exactly using the Meijer functions $G_{p,q}^{m,n}$ (see Appendix A). The obtained results are then exact and reported for certain plasma conditions (temperatures and densities) in Figures 1–3. We see that $\phi_3^{\alpha,\alpha'}$ depends on the electric microfield *F*, which means a deep correlation exists between the electron broadening and the ionic stark effect. In our application (subsequent figures), we only replace *F* by the Hotsmark field $F_{Holts} = 2.63eN_e^{2/3}$. However, for best results, we can keep the dependency of the collision operator on the electric microfield *F* and average (with respect to one microfield distribution [18]) the ionic Stark effect and the collision operator together.



Figure 1. Amplitude of collision operator versus frequency separation in plasma frequency unit $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ for Ne = 10¹⁴ cm⁻³ and 10,000 K. The amplitude is in $\pi^2 A/768$ unit, where A is defined in the text as Equation (26).



Figure 2. Amplitude of collision operator versus frequency separation in plasma frequency unit $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ for Ne = 10¹⁶ cm⁻³ and 15,000 K. The amplitude is in $\pi^2 A/768$ unit, where A is defined in the text as Equation (26).



Figure 3. Amplitude of collision operator versus frequency separation in plasma frequency unit $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ for Ne=10¹⁷ cm⁻³ and a temperature 20,000K. The amplitude is in $\pi^2 A/768$ unit, where A is defined in the text as Equation (26).

To compare with our results, we write the Griem's operator (in $Energy/Length^2$ unit) as is defined in [2]:

$$\phi_{griem}^{\alpha,\alpha'} = -\frac{16\pi^2 e^4}{3\hbar} N(\frac{m}{2\pi kT})^{3/2} \frac{2kT}{m} \int_0^\infty v dv \exp(-v^2) \\ \int_{z_{min}}^{z_{max}} \frac{dz}{z} \left[z^2 (K_0(z)^2 + K_1(z)^2) \right]$$
(32)

by integrating over z we obtain:

$$\phi_{griem}^{\alpha,\alpha'} = -\frac{32\pi^2 e^4}{3\hbar} N(\frac{m}{2\pi kT})^{3/2} \frac{kT}{m} \int_0^\infty v dv \exp(-v^2) (yK_0(y)K_1(y) - xK_0(x)K_1(x))$$
(33)

where $x = z_{min}$, $y = z_{max}$ are given in Equation (22). By using the same change of variables in the above integrals in Equations (23) and (24), we find ($\omega = \bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}$)

$$\begin{split} \phi_{griem} &= 2\pi\sqrt{2}\frac{e^4}{3\hbar}N\left(\frac{m}{kT}\right)^{1/2}\omega^2\\ \left[G_{1,4}^{4,0}\left(\omega^2\Big|\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{-1,-1,0,0}\right) - \left(\frac{\lambda_T}{\lambda_D}\right)^2 G_{1,4}^{4,0}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_T}{\lambda_D}\right)^2\omega^2\Big|\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{-1,-1,0,0}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{3}\frac{e^2}{\lambda_T\lambda_D^2}\omega^2 \end{split}$$
(34)
$$\left[G_{1,4}^{4,0}\left(\omega^2\Big|\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{-1,-1,0,0}\right) - \left(\frac{\lambda_T}{\lambda_D}\right)^2 G_{1,4}^{4,0}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_T}{\lambda_D}\right)^2\omega^2\Big|\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{-1,-1,0,0}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{3}\frac{768}{\pi^2}A\omega^2\\ \left[G_{1,4}^{4,0}\left(\omega^2\Big|\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{-1,-1,0,0}\right) - \left(\frac{\lambda_T}{\lambda_D}\right)^2 G_{1,4}^{4,0}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_T}{\lambda_D}\right)^2\omega^2\Big|\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{-1,-1,0,0}\right)\right] \\ \end{split}$$

where $G_{p,q}^{m,n}$ are the Meijer function given in [21].

Figures 1–3 show the collision operator amplitude ($\phi^{\alpha,\alpha'} = \phi_1^{\alpha,\alpha'} + \phi_2^{\alpha,\alpha'} + \phi_3^{\alpha,\alpha'}$) given by Equation (18) in arbitrary unit in terms of frequency separation $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ (in plasma frequency unit) for various electron densities and electron temperatures and for Holtsmark electric microfield $F_{Holts} = 2.63e N_e^{2/3}$ for our work and they were compared with Griem's result. As we see, our curves have the same shape as those of Griem but they are more realistic because we consider the possible interaction between the electron and the electric microfield of the plasma during the collision between this electron and the emitter atom. More importantly, the difference between our result and Griem's result is more pronounced for the weak frequency separations, as shown in Figures 1–3. We also remark that, when the coupling parameter of plasma becomes strong, i.e., the ratio λ_{th}/λ_D is the greatest, our collision operator is closest to the Griem values. Furthermore, we have studied the effect of the upper limit of the impact parameter on the electron collision operator. We remark that, when the upper limit is about the standard value $\rho_{max} = 0.7\lambda_D$ [7], our value of the electron collision operator is greater than Griem's (see Figure 4). This discrepancy decreases when the value of the upper limit ρ_{max} decreases too (see Figures 5 and 6).



Figure 4. Amplitude of collision operator versus frequency separation in plasma frequency unit $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ for Ne = 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ and a temperature 20,000 K. The amplitude is in $\pi^2 A/768$ unit where A is defined in the text as Equation (26) and $\rho_{max} = 0.7\lambda_D$.



Figure 5. Amplitude of collision operator versus frequency separation in plasma frequency unit $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ for Ne = 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ and a temperature 20,000 K. The amplitude is in $\pi^2 A/768$ unit where A is defined in the text as Equation (26) and $\rho_{max} = 0.58\lambda_D$.



Figure 6. Amplitude of collision operator versus frequency separation in plasma frequency unit $\bar{\omega}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ for Ne = 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ and a temperature 20,000 K. The amplitude is in $\pi^2 A/768$ unit where A is defined in the text as Equation (26) and $\rho_{max} = 0.5\lambda_D$.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we have considered the weak collision contribution of the electron collision operator to the spectral line profile broadening in helium plasma. By using the hypothesis that, during the collision, the electron movement is governed by the microfield F created by the ions of the plasma as well as the impact approximation for the electrons, we have computed the weak contribution of the electron collision operator. We have expressed the result in term of the Meijer's functions. We have shown that the collision operator is a function of the ionic electric microfield F (see Equation (30)) and we have compared it, for a fixed value of the ionic electric microfield, to Griem results. This work gives then a best result for the collision operator and presents a deep relation between the electron broadening and the ionic stark broadening F. A more interesting project to study is to include in this work an external magnetic field in the movement in Equation (2) and to see how the spectral properties of the emission are modified by the presence of the magnetic field in the plasma.

Author Contributions: Y.B.N., F.K., S.D., E.S.L. and M.T.M. participated equally in this theoretical work.

Funding: This research was funded by: Ministere de l'enseignement superieur et la recherche scientifique, Algerie.

Acknowledgments: We wish to acknowledge the support of LRPPS laboratory and its director Fethi Khelfaoui, who offered us encouragement and supporting technical materials to develop this work. In addition, our acknowledgement goes to M. Koubiti for his encouragement.

Conflicts of Interest: We declare no conflicts of interest.

Appendix A

To compute the integrals in Equations (29) and (31), we use the equivalent integrals [21]

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \exp(-A^{2}t^{2} - \frac{2}{t}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} G_{0,3}^{3,0} \left(A^{2} \middle| 0, 0, \frac{1}{2} \right)$$
(A1)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{2}} \exp(-A^{2}t^{2} - \frac{2}{t}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} G_{0,3}^{3,0} \left(A^{2} \middle| 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right)$$
(A2)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{3}} \exp(-A^{2}t^{2} - \frac{2}{t}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} G_{0,3}^{3,0} \left(A^{2} \left| 0, 1, \frac{3}{2} \right. \right)$$
(A3)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{5}} \exp(-A^{2}t^{2} - \frac{2}{t}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} G_{0,3}^{3,0} \left(A^{2} \middle| 0, 2, \frac{5}{2} \right)$$
(A4)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{6}} \exp(-A^{2}t^{2} - \frac{2}{t}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} G_{0,3}^{3,0} \left(A^{2} \middle| 0, \frac{5}{2}, 3 \right)$$
(A5)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t dt \exp(-A^{2}t^{2} - \frac{2}{t}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} G_{0,3}^{3,0} \left(A^{2} \Big| -1, -\frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)$$
(A6)

$$\int_0^\infty dt \exp(-A^2 t^2 - \frac{2}{t}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} G_{0,3}^{3,0} \left(A^2 \Big| -\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0 \right) \tag{A7}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t dt \exp(-A^{2}t^{2}) Ei(1, \frac{2}{t}) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} G_{0,3}^{3,0} \left(A^{2} \Big| -1, -1, -\frac{1}{2} \right)$$
(A8)

where $G_{p,q}^{m,n}$ is the Meijer function.

References

- Baranger, M. Problem of Overlapping Lines in the Theory of Pressure Broadening. *Phys. Rev.* 1958, 111, 494. [CrossRef]
- 2. Griem, H.R.; Baranger, M.; Kolb, A.C.; Oertel, G. Stark Broadening of Neutral helium Lines in a Plasma. *Phys. Rev.* **1962**, 125, 177. [CrossRef]
- 3. Sahal-Bréchot, S. Impact Theory of the Broadening and Shift of Spectral Lines due to Electrons and Ions in a Plasma. *Astron. Astrophys.* **1969**, *2*, 322.
- 4. Griem, H.R.; Blaha, M.; Kepple, P.C. Stark-profile calculations for Lyman-series hnes of one-electron ions in dense plasmas. *Phys. Rev. A* **1979**, *19*, 2421. [CrossRef]
- 5. Lee, R.W. Plasma line broadening of the Lyman-α transition including ion dynamics. *J. Phys. B Atom. Mol. Phys.* **1978**, *11*, L167. [CrossRef]
- 6. Lee, R.W. Plasma line shapes for selected transitions in hydrogen-, helium- and lithium-like ions. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **1988**, 40, 561–568. [CrossRef]
- 7. Alexiou, S. Collision operator for isolated ion lines in the standard Stark-broadening theory with applications to the Z scaling in the Li isoelectronic series 3P-3S transition. *Phys. Rev. A* **1994**, *49*, 106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Kogan, V.L.; Lisitsa, V.S.; Sholin, G.V. Spectral-line broadening in plasma. In *Review of Plasma Physics*; Kadomtsev, A.B.B., Ed.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1987.
- 9. Konjevic, N.; Wiese, W.L. Experimental Stark Widths and Shifts for Spectral Lines of Neutral and ionized Atoms. *Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1990**, *19*, 1307–1385. [CrossRef]
- Kelleher, D.E.; Wiese, W.L. Observation if Ion Motion in Hydrogen Stark Profiles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1973, 31, 1431. [CrossRef]

- 11. Djurović, S.; Ćirišan, M.; Demura, A.V.; Demchenko, G.V.; Nikolić, D.; Gigosos, M.A.; González, M.A. Measurements of Stark central asymmetry and its analysis through standard theory and computer simulations. *Phys. Rev. E* 2009, *79*, 046402. [CrossRef]
- 12. Griem, H.R.; Kolb, A.; Shen, Y. Stark Broadening of Hydrogen Lines in a Plasma. *Phys. Rev.* **1959**, *116*, 4. [CrossRef]
- 13. Alexiou, S.; Lee, R.W. Semiclassical calculations of line broadening in plasmas: Comparison with quantal results. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* **2006**, *99*, 10–20. [CrossRef]
- 14. Wiese, W.L.; Kelleher, D.E.; Helbig, V. Variations in Balmer-Line Stark Profiles with atom- ion reduced mass. *Phys. Rev.* **1975**, *11*, 1854. [CrossRef]
- Ferri, S.; Calisti, A.; Mossé, C.; Rosato, J.; Talin, B.; Alexiou, S.; Gigosos, M.A.; González, M.A.; González-Herrero, D.; Lara, N.; et al. Ion Dynamics Effect on Stark Broadened Line Shapes: A Cross-Comparison of Various Models. *Atoms* 2014, *2*, 299–318. [CrossRef]
- Godbert-Mouret, L.; Meftah, T.; Calisti, A.; Stamm, R.; Talin, B.; Gigosos, M.; Cardenoso, V.; Alexiou, S.; Lee, R.W.; Klein, L. Accuracy of Stark Broadening Calculations for Ionic Emitters. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1998, *81*, 5568. [CrossRef]
- 17. Johns, H.M.; Kilcrease, D.P.; Colgan, J.; Judge, E.J.; Barefield, J.E., II; Wiens, R.C.; Clegg, S.M. Improved electron collisional line broadening for low-temperature ions and neutrals in plasma modeling. *J. Phys. B At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **2015**, *48*, 224009. [CrossRef]
- Iglesias, C.A.; Lebowitz, J.L.; Gowan, D.M.C. Electric microfield distributions in strongly coupled plasmas. *Phys. Rev. A* 1983, 28, 1667. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hooper, C.F. Electric microfield distributions in plasmas. Phys. Rev. 1966, 149, 77. [CrossRef]
- 20. Potekhin, A.Y.; Chabrier, G.; Gilles, D. Electric microfield distributions in electron-ion plasmas. *Phys. Rev. E* **2002**, *65*, 036412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Gradshteyn, I.S.; Ryzhik, I.M. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products,* 5th ed.; Academic Press: Boston, MA, USA, 1994.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).