



# Article Photoionization Study of Neutral Chlorine Atom

Momar Talla Gning \* D and Ibrahima Sakho

Department of Physics Chemistry, UFR Sciences and Technologies, University Iba Der Thiam, Thies BP 967, Senegal; ibrahima.sakho@univ-thies.sn

\* Correspondence: mtalla.gning@univ-thies.sn

**Abstract:** Photoionization of neutral chlorine atom is investigated in this paper in the framework of the screening constant per unit nuclear charge (SCUNC) method. Resonance energies, quantum defects and effective charges of the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_{2,1,0}$ )ns and  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_{1,0}$ )nd Rydberg series originating from both the  $^{2}P^{0}_{3/2}$  ground state and the  $^{2}P^{0}_{1/2}$  excited state of chlorine atom are reported. The present study believed to be the first theoretical investigation is compared with the recent experimental measurements (Yang et al., Astrophys. J. 810:132, 2015). Good agreements are obtained between theory and experiments. New SCUNC data are tabulated as useful references for interpreting astrophysical spectra from neutral atomic chlorine.

**Keywords:** photoionization; resonance energy; quantum defect; Rydberg series; effective charges; ground state; excited state; SCUNC

### 1. Introduction

Photoionization is a fundamental tool for probing our understanding of atomic structure and spectra. Knowledge of the latter is important for many derived processes and studies. Examples include understanding photon-plasma interactions, determining the abundance of chemical elements in astronomical objects [1], and modeling and diagnosing astrophysical and laboratory plasmas [2,3], to name but a few. One of the most important elements to study is chlorine which has been detected in numerous astrophysical objects, such as the planetary nebula NGC2818 [4], Jupiter's moon Io [5] and others. In addition, chlorine is used in many different applications in our daily lives, and more than that, it can be used to determine the physical conditions and chemical evolution of astronomical objects. However, as a chemical element existing both on Earth and in space, it is important to study its properties to facilitate its identification in astrophysical and laboratory plasmas, as well as its modeling for different applications. However, to date, determining its abundance remains a challenge and has been the subject of several studies over the last decade [6]. Experimental and theoretical studies were the subject of active researches as far as chlorine element is concerned. In the past, the *R*-matrix approach was used in the calculation of photoionization cross sections of Cl and Br [7,8]. In addition, the first absolute photoionization cross-section measurements for atomic chlorine were made from the1Sionization threshold at 16.4 eV to 75 [9].On the other hand, investigations were carried out in the calculations of oscillator strengths for ultraviolet resonances in  $Cl^+$  and  $Cl^{2+}$  [7,10], in the photoionization cross section measurements of chlorine  $Cl^+$ cation [8,11], in the experimental and theoretical photoionization of  $Cl^{2+}$  [9,12] and in the Lshell photoionization of magnesium-like ions with new results for  $Cl^{5+}$  [10,13]. In addition, theoretical investigations were carried out for Cl<sup>+</sup> in the framework of the dirac-coulomb *R*-matrix method [11,14] and for Cl<sup>5+</sup> using the clean-channel *R*-matrix approach [15]. In the recent past, photoionization on  $Cl^+$  was performed with the framework of the screening constant per unit nuclear charge (SCUNC) method [16] and of the relativistic Breit-Pauli *R*-matrix method (BPRM) [3]. Despite major efforts to understand the properties of neutral chlorine and its ions, atomic data on neutral chlorine are still scarce in the literature. The



Citation: Gning, M.T.; Sakho, I. Photoionization Study of Neutral Chlorine Atom. *Atoms* **2023**, *11*, 152. https://doi.org/10.3390/ atoms11120152

Academic Editor: Yew Kam Ho, Sultana N. Nahar and Guillermo Hinojosa

Received: 11 September 2023 Revised: 3 November 2023 Accepted: 8 November 2023 Published: 6 December 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). scarcity of theoretical calculations for Cl is due to its open-shell structure, which makes it a difficult atom for theorists, but also to some extent to its high reactivity [17]. In the recent past, vacuum ultraviolet photoion (VUV-PI) and VUV photoion pulsed field ionization (VUV-PFI-PI) measurements of the resonance energies in Cl were taken and the dominant series due to the  $3p \rightarrow ns$  and  $3p \rightarrow nd$  resonances were identified [18] to perfect and extend the earlier measurements [19] to more excited states n = 61. However, in the experiment carried out by Yang et al. [18], we note a number of shortcomings. The resonance energies measured for certain series of resonances are uncertain, and for all the series considered by these authors in their experiments, the quantum defects derived from experimental measurements of resonance energies are imprecise, and their variation in all directions is considered unsatisfactory. The goal of the present study is to report accurate resonance energies and quantum defects belonging to the identified  $3p \rightarrow ns$  and  $3p \rightarrow nd$  resonances in Cl [18]. For this purpose, we apply the SCUNC formalism [16,20,21]. Section 2 gives a brief overview of the calculation methodology. In Section 3, we present and discuss the results obtained, andwe draw conclusions in Section 4.

## 2. Theory

For a given  $({}^{2S+1}L_J)nl$ —Rydberg series, the general expression for the resonance energies  $E_n$  is given by (in Rydberg) [16,20,21]

$$E_n = E_{\infty} - \frac{Z^2}{n^2} \left[ 1 - \beta(nl;s;\mu,\nu;\,^{2S+1}L_J;Z) \right]^2$$
(1)

In Equation (1),  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  ( $\mu > \nu$ ) denote the principal quantum numbers of the (<sup>2S+1</sup>L<sub>J</sub>)*nl* Rydberg series used in the empirical determination of the  $f_k$ —screening constants, *s* represents the spin of the *nl*-electron (*s* = 1/2),  $E_{\infty}$  is the energy value of the series limit and *Z* stands for the atomic number. The  $\beta$ -parameters are screening constants by unit nuclear charge expanded in inverse powers of *Z* and given by the following equation:

$$\beta(Z,^{2S+1}L_J, n, s, \mu, \nu) = \sum_{k=1}^{q} f_k \left(\frac{1}{Z}\right)^k$$
(2)

where  $f_k = f_k({}^{2S+1}L_J, n, s, \mu, \nu)$  are screening constants to be evaluated empirically. In Equation (2), *q* stands for the number of terms in the expansion of the  $\beta$ -parameter. The resonance energy ( $E_n$ ) is in the following form:

$$E_n = E_{\infty} - \frac{Z^2}{n^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{f_1(^{2S+1}L_J^{\pi})}{Z(n-1)} - \frac{f_2(^{2S+1}L_J^{\pi})}{Z} \pm \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{k'=1}^{q'} f_1^{k'}F(n,\mu,\nu,s) \times \left(\frac{1}{Z}\right)^k \right\}^2.$$
(3)

In Equation (3),  $f_1({}^{2S+1}L_J^{\pi})$  and  $f_2({}^{2S+1}L_J^{\pi})$  are screening constants to be evaluated.  $\pm \sum_{k=1}^{q} \sum_{k'=1}^{q'} f_1^{k'} F(n,\mu,\nu,s) \times \left(\frac{1}{Z}\right)^k$  is a corrective term introduced to stabilize the resonance

energies with increasing the principal quantum number *n*.

In general, resonance energies are analyzed from the standard quantum-defect expansion formula

$$E_n = E_{\infty} - \frac{RZ_{core}^2}{\left(n-\delta\right)^2}.$$
(4)

In Equation (4),  $E_{\infty}$  denotes the converging limit, R is the Rydberg constant, here,  $R = R_{\text{Cl}} = 109,735.6176 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  represents the Rydberg constant for the Cl atom, which is obtained from the relation  $R_{\text{Cl}} = R_{\infty}/(1 + m_{\text{e}}/\text{M})$ , where  $R_{\infty} = 109,737.3157 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , M is the mass of Cl<sup>+</sup>, and  $m_e$  is the rest mass of the electron;  $Z_{\text{core}}$  represents the electric charge of the core ion and  $\delta$  means the quantum defect. In addition, theoretical and measured energy positions can be analyzed by calculating the Z\*-effective charge in the framework of the SCUNC-procedure.

$$E_n = E_{\infty} - \frac{Z^{*2}}{n^2} R.$$
 (5)

Furthermore, comparing Equations (3) and (5), the effective charge is in the following form:

$$Z^* = Z \left\{ 1 - \frac{f_1(^{2S+1}L_J^{\pi})}{Z(n-1)} - \frac{f_2(^{2S+1}L_J^{\pi})}{Z} \pm \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{k'=1}^{q'} f_1^{k'}F(n,\mu,\nu,s) \times \left(\frac{1}{Z}\right)^k \right\}.$$
 (6)

In addition, the  $f_2$ -parameter in Equation (3) is theoretically determined from Equation (6) with the following conditions:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Z^* = Z \left( 1 - \frac{f_2(^{2S+1}L^{\pi})}{Z} \right) = Z_{core}.$$
 (7)

So, we then get the following form:

$$f_2\binom{2S+1}{J} = Z - Z_{core} \tag{8}$$

For a photoionization process from an atomic  $X^{p+}$ , we obtain the following form:

$$\gamma + X^{p+} \to X^{p+1} + e^- \tag{9}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the absorbed photon. Using (9), we find  $Z_{\text{core}} = p + 1$ . For the neutral chlorine atom (Cl) considered in this work, Equation (9) becomes  $\gamma + Cl \rightarrow Cl^+ + e^-$ , therefore  $Z_{\text{core}} = 1$  and  $f_2 \left( {^{2S+1}L_J^{\pi}} \right) = (17-1) = 16.0$ . The remaining  $f_1 \left( {^{2S+1}L_J^{\pi}} \right)$ -parameter is evaluated empirically using experimental data [18] for a given  $({}^{2S+1}L_{I})nl$  level with  $\nu = 0$  in Equation (3). The results obtained are indicated in the caption of the corresponding table. The details of the calculation are clearly explained in our previous original papers [16,20,21].

In addition, using Equations (4) and (5), we get

$$\frac{Z^{2*}}{n^2} = \frac{Z^2_{core}}{\left(n-\delta\right)^2}$$

which means

$$Z^* = \frac{Z_{core}}{\left(1 - \frac{\delta}{n}\right)}.$$
(10)

Equation (10) indicates clearly that each Rydberg series must satisfy the following SCUNC conditions:

$$Z^* \ge Z_{core} \quad if \quad \delta \ge 0$$

$$Z^* \le Z_{core} \quad if \quad \delta \le 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Z^* = Z_{core}$$
(11)

The resonance energies, quantum defects and effective charges of the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_{2.1.0}$ )ns and  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_{1,0}$ )*nd* Rydberg series of Cl studied in the present work are listed in Tables 1–9, and comparisons are done with previous experimental measurements [18,19]. From (4) we obtain the following form for the quantum defect:

$$\delta = n - Z_{core} \sqrt{\frac{R}{(E_{\infty} - E_n)}}.$$
 (12)

		Chlorine In	itial State: 3s <sup>2</sup>	$^{2}3p^{52}P^{0}_{3/2}$	
ns		R 3s <sup>2</sup> 3j	ydberg Series v <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> P <sub>2</sub> )ns ( <sup>4</sup> P	5 <sub>5/2</sub> )	
115	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	δ <sup>a</sup>	Z*
23	104,339.78	104,339.78	2.101	2.108	1.101
24	104,362.21	104,361.03	2.100	2.157	1.096
25	104,381.78	104,380.42	2.099	2.173	1.092
26	104,398.94	104,397.54	2.098	2.185	1.088
27	104,414.07	104,413.48	2.097	2.139	1.085
28	104,427.49	104,426.07	2.096	2.207	1.082
29	104,439.43	104,438.29	2.094	2.195	1.079
30	104,450.12	104,448.99	2.093	2.204	1.076
31	104,459.71	104,458.49	2.091	2.225	1.074
32	104,468.36	104,467.04	2.090	2.250	1.071
33	104,476.18	104,476.51	2.088	2.043	1.069
34	104,483.28	104,483.55	2.086	2.045	1.067
35	104,489.74	104,490.51	2.084	1.958	1.065
36	104,495.63	104,495.51	2.083	2.104	1.063
37	104,501.02	104,500.80	2.081	2.124	1.061
38	104,505.97	104,505.65	2.079	2.147	1.060
39	104,510.53	104,510.02	2.077	2.193	1.058
40	104,514.72	104,514.27	2.076	2.187	1.057
41	104,518.60	104,518.21	2.074	2.178	1.055
42	104,522.19	104,522.23	2.072	2.060	1.054
43	104,525.51	104,525.23	2.071	2.159	1.053
44	104,528.61	104,528.27	2.069	2.182	1.051
45	104,531.49	104,531.11	2.067	2.202	1.050
46	104,534.17	104,533.69	2.066	2.250	1.049
47	104,536.68	104,536.29	2.064	2.222	1.048
48	104,539.02	104,538.66	2.062	2.220	1.047
49	104,541.21	104,540.83	2.061	2.241	1.046
50	104,543.27	104,542.87	2.059	2.261	1.045
51	104,545.21	104,544.89	2.058	2.227	1.044
52	104,547.03	104,546.65	2.056	2.269	1.043
53	104,548.74	104,548.45	2.055	2.228	1.043
54	104,550.35	104,550.06	2.053	2.240	1.042
55	104,551.88	104,551.57	2.052	2.259	1.041
56	104,553.32	104,552.97	2.050	2.297	1.040
57	104,554.68	104,554.38	2.049	2.274	1.039
58	104,555.97	104,555.67	2.048	2.284	1.039
59	104,557.19	104,556.95	2.046	2.247	1.038
60	104,558.35	104,558.08	2.045	2.282	1.037
61	104,559.45	104,559.14	2.044	2.330	1.037
62	104,560.49		2.042		1.036
63	104,561.49		2.041		1.036
64	104,562.44		2.040		1.035
65	104,563.34		2.039		1.035
66	104,564.20		2.038		1.034
67	104,565.02		2.036		1.034
68	104,565.80		2.035		1.033
69	104,566.55		2.034		1.033
70	104,567.27		2.033		1.032
71	104,567.95		2.032		1.032
72	104,568.61		2.031		1.031
73	104,569.23		2.030		1.031
.0	101,007.20		2.000		1.001

**Table 1.** Resonance energies  $(E_n)$ , quantum defect ( $\delta$ ) and effective charge ( $Z^*$ ) for the  $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{3/2} \rightarrow 3s^2 3p^4 ({}^3P_2)ns ({}^4P_{5/2})$  Rydberg series in Cl I. The present SCUNC- calculations are compared with experiments [18]. Here,  $f_1 ({}^3P_2; {}^4P_{5/2}; {}^2P^0_{3/2}) = -2.2115 \pm 0.0078$  with  $\mu = 23$ .

	Chlorine Initial State: $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{3/2}$								
ns	Rydberg Series $3s^23p^4$ ( $^3P_2$ )ns ( $^4P_{5/2}$ )								
_	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC				
-	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	δa	Z*				
74	104,569.83		2.029		1.030				
75	104,570.41		2.028		1.030				
76	104,570.97		2.027		1.029				
77	104,571.50		2.026		1.029				
78	104,572.01		2.025		1.029				
79	104,572.50		2.024		1.028				
80	104,572.97		2.023		1.028				
1									
~	104,591.02	104,591.02			1.000				

Table 1. Cont.

<sup>a</sup> Ref. [18].

**Table 2.** Resonance energies ( $E_n$ ), quantum defect ( $\delta$ ) and effective charge ( $Z^*$ ) for the  $3s^23p^5 {}^2P^0_{3/2} \rightarrow 3s^23p^4$  ( ${}^3P_1$ )nd ( ${}^2D_{5/2}$ ) Rydberg series in Cl I. The present SCUNC- calculations are compared with experiments [18,19]. Here,  $f_1$  ( ${}^3P_1$ ;  ${}^2D_{5/2}$ ;  ${}^2P^0_{3/2}$ ) =  $-0.3042 \pm 0.0015$  with  $\mu$  = 13.

	Chlorine Initial State: $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{3/2}$								
nd			Rydb $3s^23p^4$ ( <sup>3</sup> )	erg Series P <sub>1</sub> )nd ( <sup>2</sup> D <sub>5/2</sub>	)				
	SCUNC	Experime	ental Data	SCUNC	Experime	ental Data	SCUNC		
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	δ	δ <sup>a</sup>	δ <sup>b</sup>	Z*		
13	104,604.35	104,604.35	104,606.8	0.321	0.321	0.299	1.025		
14	104,700.63	104,703.87	104,705.2	0.320	0.282	0.266	1.023		
15	104,777.89	104,777.92	104,780.9	0.319	0.318	0.275	1.022		
16	104,840.81	104,842.25	104,845.1	0.318	0.292	0.242	1.020		
17	104,892.75	104,892.28	104,892.2	0.317	0.327	0.328	1.019		
18	104,936.11	104,937.67	104,939.4	0.316	0.276	0.232	1.018		
19	104,972.69	104,973.23	104,973.9	0.315	0.299	0.279	1.017		
20	105,003.84	105,003.28		0.314	0.334		1.016		
21	105,030.57	105,031.67		0.314	0.269		1.015		
22	105,053.69	105,053.38		0.313	0.327		1.014		
23	105,073.81	105,073.38		0.313	0.336		1.014		
24	105,091.44	105,092.21		0.312	0.266		1.013		
25	105,106.97	105,107.15		0.312	0.299		1.013		
26	105,120.72	105,120.57		0.311	0.323		1.012		
27	105,132.95	105,132.67		0.311	0.335		1.012		
28	105,143.88	105,144.51		0.311	0.250		1.011		
29	105,153.69	105,153.87		0.310	0.291		1.011		
30	105,162.52	105,162.61		0.310	0.300		1.010		
31	105,170.51	105,170.49		0.310	0.312		1.010		
32	105,177.74	105,177.63		0.309	0.326		1.010		
33	105,184.33	105,184.86		0.309	0.224		1.010		
34	105,190.34	105,191.94		0.309	0.026		1.009		
35	105,195.83	105,196.08		0.308	0.261		1.009		
36	105,200.87	105,201.08		0.308	0.264		1.009		
37	105,205.50	105,205.61		0.308	0.285		1.008		
38	105,209.77	105,209.96		0.308	0.261		1.008		
39	105,213.71	105,213.83		0.308	0.276		1.008		
40	105,217.36	105,217.38		0.307	0.301		1.008		
41	105,220.74	105,220.77		0.307	0.298		1.008		
42	105,223.88	105,224.01		0.307	0.265		1.007		

	Chlorine Initial State: $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{3/2}$									
nd		Rydberg Series $3s^23p^4$ ( <sup>3</sup> P <sub>1</sub> )nd ( <sup>2</sup> D <sub>5/2</sub> )								
	SCUNC Experimental Data		SCUNC	Experime	ntal Data	SCUNC				
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	δ	δa	δ <sup>b</sup>	Z*			
43	105,226.81	105,226.91		0.307	0.270		1.007			
44	105,229.53	105,229.49		0.307	0.322		1.007			
45	105,232.07	105,232.39		0.306	0.177		1.007			
46	105,234.45	105,234.81		0.306	0.150		1.007			
47	105,236.68	105,236.91		0.306	0.199		1.007			
48	105,238.77	105,238.85		0.306	0.266		1.006			
49	105,240.73	105,240.69		0.306	0.327		1.006			
50	105,242.57	105,242.59		0.306	0.297		1.006			
51	105,244.31	105,244.41		0.306	0.247		1.006			
52	105,245.95	105,245.89		0.305	0.341		1.006			
53	105,247.49	105,247.41		0.305	0.359		1.006			
54	105,248.95			0.305			1.006			
55	105,250.33			0.305			1.006			
56	105,251.63			0.305			1.006			
57	105,252.87			0.305			1.005			
58	105,254.04			0.305			1.005			
59	105,255.16			0.305			1.005			
60	105,256.22			0.305			1.005			
61	105,257.22			0.304			1.005			
62	105,258.18			0.304			1.005			
63	105,259.09			0.304			1.005			
64	105,259.96			0.304			1.005			
65	105,260.79			0.304			1.005			
66	105,261.58			0.304			1.005			
67	105,262.34			0.304			1.005			
68	105,263.06			0.304			1.005			
69	105,263.76			0.304			1.004			
70	105,264.42			0.304			1.004			
1										
$\infty$	105,287.01	105,287.01								

Table 2. Cont.

<sup>a</sup> Ref. [18]. <sup>b</sup> Ref. [19].

**Table 3.** Resonance energies  $(E_n)$ , quantum defect  $(\delta)$  and effective charge  $(Z^*)$  for the  $3s^2 3p^5 2P_{3/2}^0 \rightarrow 3s^2 3p^4 ({}^3P_1)ns ({}^2P_{3/2})$  Rydberg series in Cl I. The present SCUNC- calculations are compared with experiments [18,19]. Here,  $f_1 ({}^3P_1; {}^2P_{3/2}; {}^2P_{3/2}^0) = -2.2052 \pm 0.0016$  with  $\mu = 14$ .

		(	Chlorine Initial	State: 3s <sup>2</sup> 3p	$p^{52}P^{0}_{3/2}$				
ns	Rydberg Series $3s^23p^4$ ( $^3P_1$ )ns ( $^2P_{3/2}$ )								
	SCUNC	SCUNC Experimental Data			Experimental Data		SCUNC		
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	δ	δa	δ <sup>b</sup>	Z*		
14	104,521.08	104,521.08	104,520.0	2.030	2.030	2.039	1.170		
15	104,634.67	104,636.11	104,630.8	2.030	2.016	2.068	1.158		
16	104,724.79	104,720.65	104,716.7	2.029	2.080	2.129	1.147		
17	104,797.47	104,794.65	104,790.1	2.028	2.071	2.139	1.138		
18	104,856.94	104,854.28	104,854.3	2.026	2.075	2.075	1.130		
19	104,906.20	104,907.24		2.025	2.001		1.123		
20	104,947.46	104,945.08		2.023	2.085		1.116		
21	104,982.37	104,980.03		2.021	2.093		1.110		
22	105,012.15	105,009.19		2.019	2.125		1.105		

Chlorine Initial State:  $3s^2 3p^{5\,2} P^0_{3/2}$ **Rydberg Series**  $3s^23p^4$  (<sup>3</sup>P<sub>1</sub>)ns (<sup>2</sup>P<sub>3/2</sub>) ns **Experimental Data** SCUNC SCUNC **Experimental Data** SCUNC  $E_n$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $E_n$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>a</sup>  $E_n$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>b</sup> δ δa δb Z\* 105,037.78 105,036.02 2.017 2.090 23 1.100 24 105,059.98 105,058.38 2.015 2.091 1.096 25 105,079.34 105,079.18 2.013 2.021 1.092 26 105,096.32 105,095.29 2.011 2.075 1.088 27 105,111.31 105,109.68 2.009 2.123 1.085 28 105,124.59 105,123.33 2.007 2.107 1.082 29 105,136.42 105,135.24 2.005 2.110 1.079 30 105,147.00 2.004 1.076 -31 105,156.51 105,155.48 2.002 2.115 1.074 32 105,165.08 105,163.91 2.000 2.142 1.071 33 105,172.83 1.999 105,171.71 2.148 1.069 34 105,179.86 105,179.08 1.997 2.112 1.067 35 105,186.27 1.996 1.065 36 105,192.11 1.994 1.063 37 105,197.47 1.993 1.061 105,202.38 38 1.992 1.060 39 105,206.89 1.990 1.058 40 105,211.06 1.989 1.057 41 105,214.91 1.988 1.055 42 105,218.47 1.987 1.054 43 105,221.78 1.985 1.053 105,224.85 44 1.984 1.051 45 105,227.71 1.983 1.050 46 105,230.37 1.982 1.049 47 105,232.86 1.981 1.048 105,235.19 48 1.980 1.047 49 105,237.38 1.979 1.046 105,239.42 50 1.978 1.045 51 105,241.35 1.977 1.044 52 105,243.16 1.977 1.043 1.976 53 105,244.86 1.042 54 105,246.47 1.975 1.042 55 1.974 105,247.98 1.041 56 105,249.42 1.973 1.040 57 105,250.77 1.972 1.039 58 105,252.05 1.972 1.039 59 105,253.27 1.971 1.038 60 105,254.42 1.970 1.037 105,255.52 61 1.970 1.037 62 105,256.56 1.969 1.036 63 105,257.55 1.968 1.036 64 105,258.49 1.968 1.035 65 105,259.39 1.966 1.034 105,260.25 1.966 1.034 66 67 105,261.06 1.966 1.033 68 105,261.84 1.965 1.033 69 105,262.59 1.965 1.032 70 105,263.30 1.965 1.032 ÷ 105,287.01  $\infty$ 105,287.01

Table 3. Cont.

<sup>a</sup> Ref. [18]. <sup>b</sup> Ref. [19].

	Chlorine Initial State: $3s^2 3p^5 P_{3/2}^0$									
nd		R 3s <sup>2</sup> 3t	ydberg Series v <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> P <sub>1</sub> )nd ( <sup>2</sup> D	5 9 <sub>5/2</sub> )						
пи	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC					
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	$\delta^{a}$	Z*					
13	104,628.11	104,628.11	0.095	0.095	1.007					
14	104,719.51	104,709.09	0.094	0.220	1.007					
15	104,793.13	104,788.03	0.094	0.170	1.006					
16	104,853.30	104,845.55	0.094	0.234	1.006					
17	104,903.10	104,903.72	0.093	0.079	1.006					
18	104,944.79	104,939.93	0.093	0.219	1.005					
19	104,980.05	104,973.23	0.093	0.299	1.005					
20	105,010.12	105,006.44	0.092	0.223	1.005					
21	105,035.97	105,031.67	0.092	0.269	1.004					
22	105,058.37	105,054.03	0.092	0.297	1.004					
23	105,077.90	105,077.62	0.092	0.107	1.004					
24	105,095.03		0.092		1.004					
25	105,110.14		0.092		1.004					
26	105,123.53		0.092		1.004					
27	105,135.46		0.091		1.003					
28	105,146.12		0.091		1.003					
29	105,155.70		0.091		1.003					
30	105,164.34		0.091		1.003					
31	105,172.15		0.091		1.003					
32	105,179.23		0.091		1.003					
33	105,185.69		0.091		1.003					
34	105,191.57		0.091		1.003					
35	105,196.96		0.091		1.003					
36	105,201.91		0.091		1.003					
37	105,206.46		0.091		1.002					
38	105,210.65		0.091		1.002					
39	105,214.53		0.091		1.002					
40	105,218.11		0.090		1.002					
41	105,221.44		0.090		1.002					
42	105,224.53		0.090		1.002					
43	105,227.41		0.090		1.002					
44	105,230.09		0.090		1.002					
45	105,232.60		0.090		1.002					
46	105,234.95		0.090		1.002					
47	105,237.14		0.090		1.002					
48	105,239.20		0.090		1.002					
49	105,241.14		0.090		1.002					
50	105,242.96		0.090		1.002					
51	105,244.67		0.090		1.002					
52	105,246.29		0.090		1.002					
53	105,247.81		0.090		1.002					
54	105,249.25		0.090		1.002					
55	105,250.61		0.090		1.002					
56	105,251.91		0.090		1.002					
57	105,253.13		0.090		1.002					
58	105,254.29		0.090		1.002					
59	105,255.39		0.090		1.002					
60	105,256.44		0.090		1.001					
61	105,257.43		0.090		1.001					

**Table 4.** Resonance energies  $(E_n)$ , quantum defect ( $\delta$ ) and effective charge ( $Z^*$ ) for the  $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{3/2} \rightarrow 3s^2 3p^4 ({}^3P_1)nd ({}^2D_{5/2})$  Rydberg series in Cl I. The present SCUNC- calculations are compared with experiments [18]. Here,  $f_1 ({}^3P_1; {}^2D_{5/2}; {}^2P^0_{3/2}) = -0.0881 \pm 0.0016$  with  $\mu = 13$ .

nd _	Rydberg Series $3s^23p^4 ({}^3P_1)nd ({}^2D_{5/2})$								
	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC				
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	δ <sup>a</sup>	Z*				
62	105,258.38		0.090		1.001				
63	105,259.28		0.090		1.001				
64	105,260.14		0.090		1.001				
65	105,260.97		0.090		1.001				
66	105,261.75		0.090		1.001				
67	105,262.50		0.090		1.001				
68	105,263.22		0.090		1.001				
69	105,263.90		0.090		1.001				
70	105,264.56		0.090		1.001				
:									
$\infty$	105,287.01	105,287.01							

Table 4. Cont.

**Table 5.** Resonance energies  $(E_n)$ , quantum defect ( $\delta$ ) and effective charge ( $Z^*$ ) for the  $3s^2 3p^{5\,2} P^0_{3/2} \rightarrow 3s^2 3p^4$  ( $^{3}P_1$ )nd ( $^{2}D_{5/2}$ ) Rydberg series in Cl I. The present SCUNC- calculations are compared with experiments [18,19]. Here,  $f_1$  ( $^{3}P_0$ ; $^{2}P_{1/2}$ ;  $^{2}P^0_{3/2}$ ) =  $-2.3766 \pm 0.0273$  with  $\mu = 10$ .

		Chlorine Initial State: $3s^2 3p^{52} P_{3/2}^0$							
ns			Rydb 3s <sup>2</sup> 3p <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup>	erg Series P <sub>0</sub> )ns ( <sup>2</sup> P <sub>1/2</sub> )					
	SCUNC	Experime	ental Data	SCUNC	Experime	ental Data	SCUNC		
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	δ	δa	δ <sup>b</sup>	Z*		
10	103,834.02	103,834.01	103,831.8	2.089	2.089	2.094	1.264		
11	104,205.62	104,204.13	104,203.7	2.089	2.093	2.094	1.238		
12	104,470.57	-		2.088	-		1.216		
13	104,666.06	104,665.63		2.087	2.089		1.198		
14	104,814.39	104,813.74		2.086	2.090		1.183		
15	104,929.59	104,928.56		2.085	2.093		1.170		
16	105,020.83	105,019.83		2.084	2.094		1.158		
17	105,094.33	-		2.083	-		1.149		
18	105,154.40	-		2.082	-		1.140		
19	105,204.12	105,203.12		2.081	2.099		1.132		
20	105,245.75	-		2.080	-		1.125		
21	105,280.94	105,281.56		2.080	2.055		1.119		
22	105,310.97			2.079			1.113		
23	105,336.79			2.078			1.108		
24	105,359.15			2.077			1.103		
25	105,378.65			2.077			1.099		
26	105,395.75			2.076			1.095		
27	105,410.83			2.076			1.091		
28	105,424.20			2.075			1.088		
29	105,436.11			2.075			1.085		
30	105,446.76			2.074			1.082		
31	105,456.33			2.074			1.079		
32	105,464.95			2.074			1.077		
33	105,472.75			2.073			1.074		
34	105,479.83			2.073			1.072		
35	105,486.27			2.073			1.070		
36	105,492.15			2.072			1.068		

		(	Chlorine Initial	State: 3s <sup>2</sup> 3p	$p^{52}P^{0}_{3/2}$		
ns			Rydb 3s <sup>2</sup> 3p <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup>	erg Series P <sub>0</sub> )ns ( <sup>2</sup> P <sub>1/2</sub> )	)		
	SCUNC	Experime	ental Data	SCUNC	Experim	ental Data	SCUNC
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	δ	δa	δ <sup>b</sup>	Z*
37	105,497.53			2.072			1.066
38	105,502.47			2.072			1.064
39	105,507.01			2.072			1.063
40	105,511.20			2.071			1.061
41	105,515.07			2.071			1.059
42	105,518.65			2.071			1.058
43	105,521.97			2.071			1.057
44	105,525.06			2.071			1.055
45	105,527.94			2.070			1.054
46	105,530.62			2.070			1.053
47	105,533.12			2.070			1.052
48	105,535.46			2.070			1.051
49	105,537.66			2.070			1.050
50	105,539.71			2.070			1.049
51	105,541.65			2.069			1.048
52	105,543.46			2.069			1.047
53	105,545.18			2.069			1.046
54	105,546.79			2.069			1.045
55	105,548.31			2.069			1.044
56	105,549.75			2.069			1.043
57	105,551.11			2.069			1.042
58	105,552.40			2.069			1.042
59	105,553.62			2.068			1.041
60	105,554.78			2.068			1.040
61	105,555.88			2.068			1.040
62	105,556.93			2.068			1.039
63	105,557.92			2.068			1.038
64	105,558.87			2.068			1.038
65	105,559.77			2.068			1.037
66	105,560.63			2.068			1.037
67	105,561.45			2.068			1.036
68	105,562.24			2.068			1.035
69	105,562.99			2.068			1.035
70	105,563.70			2.068			1.034
:							
$\infty$	105,587.48	105,587.48					

Table 5. Cont.

<sup>a</sup> Ref. [18].; <sup>b</sup> Ref. [19].

**Table 6.** Resonance energies  $(E_n)$ , quantum defect  $(\delta)$  and effective charge  $(Z^*)$  for the  $3s^23p^5 {}^2P^0_{3/2} \rightarrow 3s^23p^4 ({}^3P_0)nd ({}^2P_{3/2})$  Rydberg series in Cl I. The present SCUNC- calculations are compared with experiments [18,19]. Here,  $f_1 ({}^3P_0; {}^2P_{3/2}; {}^2P^0_{3/2}) = -0.2916 \pm 0.0028$  with  $\mu = 16$ .

		(	Chlorine Initial	State: 3 <i>s</i> <sup>2</sup> 3 <i>p</i>	$p^{52}P^{0}_{3/2}$						
nd		Rydberg Series $3s^23p^4$ ( <sup>3</sup> P <sub>0</sub> )nd ( <sup>2</sup> P <sub>3/2</sub> )									
	SCUNC	Experime	ental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data		SCUNC				
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	δ	$\delta^{\ a}$	$\delta^{b}$	Z*				
16 17	105,142.00 105,193.81	105,142.00 105,194.50	105,148.0	0.305 0.304	0.302 0.286	0.195	1.019 1.018				

Chlorine Initial State:  $3s^2 3p^{5\,2} P^0_{3/2}$ **Rydberg Series**  $3s^23p^4$  (<sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub>)nd (<sup>2</sup>P<sub>3/2</sub>) nd SCUNC **Experimental Data** SCUNC **Experimental Data** SCUNC  $E_n$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $E_n$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>a</sup>  $E_n$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>b</sup> δ δa δb Z\* 105,237.08 105,237.77 0.303 0.282 1.017 18 19 105,273.59 105,274.04 0.302 0.284 1.016 20 105,304.67 105,305.21 0.302 0.277 1.015 21 105,331.35 105,331.58 0.301 0.285 1.015 105,354.43 22 105,354.70 0.301 0.280 1.014 23 105,374.52 105,374.94 0.300 0.269 1.013 24 105,392.12 105,392.28 0.299 0.280 1.013 0.276 25 105,407.63 105,407.79 0.299 1.012 26 105,421.36 105,421.57 0.299 0.269 1.012 27 105,433.57 105,433.69 0.298 0.273 1.011 28 105,444.49 105,444.61 0.298 0.269 1.011 29 105,454.28 105,454.48 0.297 0.257 1.010 30 105,463.10 105,463.45 0.297 0.235 1.010 31 105,471.07 105,471.25 0.297 0.251 1.010 32 105,478.30 0.296 1.009 33 105,484.88 0.296 1.009 105,490.88 34 0.296 1.009 35 105,496.37 0.296 1.009 36 105,501.40 0.295 1.008 37 105,506.03 0.295 1.008 38 105,510.29 0.295 1.008 39 105,514.23 0.295 1.008 40 105,517.87 0.295 1.007 41 105,521.25 0.294 1.007 42 105,524.39 0.294 1.007 43 105,527.31 0.294 1.007 105,530.03 0.294 44 1.007 45 105,532.58 0.294 1.007 105,534.95 0.294 46 1.006 105,537.18 0.293 47 1.006 48 105,539.26 0.293 1.006 49 0.293 105,541.22 1.006 50 0.293 105,543.07 1.006 51 105,544.80 0.293 1.006 52 105,546.44 0.293 1.006 53 105,547.98 0.293 1.006 105,549.44 54 0.293 1.006 55 105,550.81 0.292 1.005 105,552.12 56 0.292 1.005 57 105,553.36 0.292 1.005 58 105,554.53 0.292 1.005 59 105,555.64 0.292 1.005 60 105,556.70 0.292 1.005 105,557.70 0.292 61 1.005 62 105,558.66 0.292 1.005 63 105,559.57 0.292 1.005 64 105,560.44 0.292 1.005 65 105,561.27 0.291 1.005 105,562.06 0.291 66 1.004 67 105,562.82 0.291 1.004 105,563.54 68 0.291 1.004 69 105,564.24 0.291 1.004

Table 6. Cont.

Table 6. Cont.

nd		(	Chlorine Initial	State: 3s <sup>2</sup> 3p	$p^{52}P^{0}_{3/2}$					
		Rydberg Series $3s^23p^4$ ( <sup>3</sup> P <sub>0</sub> )nd ( <sup>2</sup> P <sub>3/2</sub> )								
	SCUNC	<b>Experimental Data</b>		SCUNC	Experimental Data		SCUNC			
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	δ	δ <sup>a</sup>	δ <sup>b</sup>	Z*			
70 1	105,564.90			0.291			1.004			
$\infty$	105,587.48	105,587.48								

<sup>a</sup> Ref. [18]. <sup>b</sup> Ref. [19].

**Table 7.** Resonance energies  $(E_n)$ , quantum defect  $(\delta)$  and effective charge  $(Z^*)$  for the  $3s^23p^5 {}^2P^0_{1/2} \rightarrow 3s^23p^4 ({}^3P_0)nd ({}^2P_{3/2})$  Rydberg series in Cl I. The present SCUNC- calculations are compared with experiments [18]. Here,  $f_1 ({}^3P_0; {}^2P_{3/2}; {}^2P^0_{1/2}) = -0.2711 \pm 0.0040$  with  $\mu = 18$ .

	Chlorine Initial State: $3s^2 3p^{52} P_{1/2}^0$								
nd		Ry 3s <sup>2</sup> 3p	ydberg Series 9 <sup>4</sup> ( <sup>3</sup> P <sub>0</sub> )nd ( <sup>2</sup> P	9 9 <sub>3/2</sub> )					
	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC				
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	δa	Z*				
18	104,355.55	104,355.55	0.283	0.282	1.016				
19	104,391.93	104,391.13	0.282	0.305	1.015				
20	104,422.91	104,422.71	0.281	0.288	1.014				
21	104,449.51	104,449.01	0.281	0.300	1.014				
22	104,472.52	104,472.08	0.280	0.300	1.013				
23	104,492.55	104,492.02	0.280	0.308	1.012				
24	104,510.11	104,509.52	0.279	0.314	1.012				
25	104,525.57	-	0.279	-	1.011				
26	104,539.27	-	0.278	-	1.011				
27	104,551.45	104,551.11	0.278	0.307	1.010				
28	104,562.34	104,562.22	0.278	0.289	1.010				
29	104,572.12	104,572.18	0.277	0.269	1.010				
30	104,580.92	104,581.29	0.277	0.231	1.009				
31	104,588.87	104,589.20	0.277	0.232	1.009				
32	104,596.09		0.277		1.009				
33	104,602.65		0.276		1.008				
34	104,608.64		0.276		1.008				
35	104,614.12		0.276		1.008				
36	104,619.15		0.276		1.008				
37	104,623.77		0.275		1.008				
38	104,628.02		0.275		1.007				
39	104,631.95		0.275		1.007				
40	104,635.59		0.275		1.007				
41	104,638.97		0.275		1.007				
42	104,642.10		0.275		1.007				
43	104,645.02		0.274		1.006				
44	104,647.74		0.274		1.006				
45	104,650.27		0.274		1.006				
46	104,652.65		0.274		1.006				
47	104,654.87		0.274		1.006				
48	104,656.95		0.274		1.006				
49	104,658.91		0.274		1.006				
50	104,660.75		0.273		1.006				
51	104,662.48		0.273		1.005				

	Chlorine Initial State: $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{1/2}$						
nd _	Rydberg Series $3s^23p^4 ({}^3P_0)nd ({}^2P_{3/2})$						
	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC		
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	δa	Z*		
52	104,664.12		0.273		1.005		
53	104,665.66		0.273		1.005		
54	104,667.11		0.273		1.005		
55	104,668.49		0.273		1.005		
56	104,669.79		0.273		1.005		
57	104,671.03		0.273		1.005		
58	104,672.20		0.273		1.005		
59	104,673.31		0.272		1.005		
60	104,674.37		0.272		1.005		
61	104,675.37		0.272		1.005		
62	104,676.33		0.272		1.004		
63	104,677.24		0.272		1.004		
64	104,678.11		0.272		1.004		
65	104,678.94		0.272		1.004		
66	104,679.73		0.272		1.004		
67	104,680.49		0.272		1.004		
68	104,681.21		0.272		1.004		
69	104,681.90		0.272		1.004		
70	104,682.56		0.272		1.004		
1							
$\infty$	104,705.13	104,705.13					
<sup>a</sup> Ref. [18].							

Table 7. Cont.

**Table 8.** Resonance energies  $(E_n)$ , quantum defect  $(\delta)$  and effective charge  $(Z^*)$  for the  $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P_{1/2}^0 \rightarrow 3s^2 3p^4 ({}^3P_1)ns ({}^2P_{3/2})$  Rydberg series in Cl I. The present SCUNC- calculations are compared with experiments [18]. Here,  $f_1 ({}^3P_1; {}^2P_{3/2}; {}^2P_{1/2}^0) = -2.3230 \pm 0.0100$  with  $\mu = 25$ .

ns	Chlorine Initial State: 3s <sup>2</sup> 3p <sup>5 2</sup> P <sup>0</sup> <sub>1/2</sub>						
	Rydberg Series $3s^23p^4 ({}^{3}P_1)ns ({}^{2}P_{3/2})$						
	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC		
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	$\delta^{a}$	<b>Z</b> *		
25	104,193.41	104,193.41	2.206	2.209	1.097		
26	104,210.79	104,210.83	2.206	2.206	1.093		
27	104,226.11	104,225.45	2.206	2.254	1.089		
28	104,239.68	104,238.49	2.206	2.301	1.086		
29	104,251.77	104,245.29	2.206	2.759	1.083		
30	104,262.58	104,263.32	2.205	2.135	1.080		
31	104,272.28	104,272.34	2.204	2.201	1.077		
32	104,281.02	104,280.49	2.203	2.271	1.075		
33	104,288.93	104,288.40	2.202	2.276	1.073		
34	104,296.10	104,295.65	2.201	2.271	1.070		
35	104,302.62	104,302.52	2.200	2.221	1.068		
36	104,308.57	104,309.47	2.199	2.045	1.066		
37	104,314.02	104,314.25	2.197	2.159	1.065		
38	104,319.02	104,318.65	2.196	2.279	1.063		
39	104,323.61	104,323.57	2.194	2.211	1.061		
40	104,327.85	104,327.56	2.193	2.271	1.060		

-	Chlorine Initial State: $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{1/2}$ Rydberg Series $3s^2 3p^4 ({}^3P_1)ns ({}^2P_{3/2})$						
ns							
	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC		
-	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	δa	Z*		
41	104,331.76	104,331.42	2.191	2.289	1.058		
42	104,335.38	104,335.11	2.190	2.276	1.057		
43	104,338.74	104,338.65	2.188	2.224	1.055		
44	104,341.85	104,341.48	2.187	2.321	1.054		
45	104,344.76	104,344.34	2.185	2.344	1.053		
46	104,347.46	-	2.184	-	1.052		
47	104,349.99	-	2.182	-	1.051		
48	104,352.35	-	2.181	-	1.049		
49	104,354.56	104,354.87	2.179	2.049	1.048		
50	104,356.64	104,356.60	2.178	2.211	1.047		
51	104,358.59	104,358.53	2.176	2.221	1.046		
52	104,360.42		2.175		1.046		
53	104,362.14		2.173		1.045		
54	104,363.77		2.172		1.044		
55	104,365.30		2.170		1.043		
56	104,366.75		2.169		1.042		
57	104,368.12		2.167		1.041		
58	104,369.42		2.166		1.041		
59	104,370.65		2.165		1.040		
60	104,371.82		2.163		1.039		
61	104,372.92		2.162		1.039		
62	104,373.97		2.160		1.038		
63	104,374.97		2.159		1.037		
64	104,375.93		2.158		1.037		
65	104,376.83		2.156		1.036		
66	104,377.70		2.155		1.036		
67	104,378.52		2.154		1.035		
68	104,379.31		2.152		1.035		
69	104,380.06		2.151		1.034		
70	104,380.78		2.150		1.034		
1	••••						
$\infty$	104,404.62	104,404.62			1.000		

Table 8. Cont.

**Table 9.** Resonance energies (*E<sub>n</sub>*), quantum defect ( $\delta$ ) and effective charge (*Z*\*) for the  $3s^23p^5 P_{1/2}^0 \rightarrow 3s^23p^4$  ( $^3P_2$ )ns ( $^4P_{3/2}$ ) Rydberg series in Cl I. The present SCUNC- calculations are compared with experiments [18]. Here,  $f_1$  ( $^3P_2$ ;  $^4P_{3/2}$ ;  $^2P_{1/2}^0$ ) =  $-2.3603 \pm 0.0128$  with  $\mu$  = 27.

ns	Chlorine Initial State: $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{1/2}$ Rydberg Series $3s^2 3p^4 ({}^3P_2)ns ({}^4P_{3/2})$					
-	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	δa	Z*	
27	103,529.65	103,529.65	2.247	2.247	1.091	
28	103,543.29	103,542.03	2.247	2.345	1.087	
29	103,555.42	103,553.29	2.247	2.432	1.084	
30	103,566.28	103,564.01	2.247	2.465	1.081	
31	103,576.02	103,575.44	2.247	2.309	1.079	
32	103,584.79	103,583.78	2.246	2.367	1.076	

	Chlorine Initial State: 3s <sup>2</sup> 3p <sup>5 2</sup> P <sup>0</sup> <sub>1/2</sub>					
ns	Rydberg Series $3s^2 3p^4 ({}^{3}P_2)ns ({}^{4}P_{3/2})$					
	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	Experimental Data	SCUNC	
	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_n$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	δ	δa	Z*	
33	103,592.73	103,594.21	2.246	2.048	1.074	
34	103,599.93	103,600.99	2.245	2.089	1.072	
35	103,606.48	103,607.12	2.244	2.140	1.069	
36	103,612.45	103,612.94	2.243	2.157	1.067	
37	103,617.92	103,618.14	2.242	2.199	1.066	
38	103,622.93	103,623.11	2.241	2.204	1.064	
39	103,627.54	103,627.60	2.240	2.227	1.062	
40	103,631.79	103,631.81	2.238	2.234	1.061	
41	103,635.72	103,634.41	2.237	2.580	1.059	
42	103,639.35	103,639.85	2.236	2.092	1.058	
43	103,642.72	103,642.77	2.234	2.218	1.056	
44	103,645.85	103,645.66	2.233	2.294	1.055	
45	103,648.76	103,648.54	2.231	2.309	1.054	
46	103,651.47	103,651.21	2.230	2.329	1.052	
47	103,654.00	103,653.63	2.229	2.381	1.051	
48	103,656.37	103,656.01	2.227	2.385	1.050	
49	103,658.59	103,658.36	2.226	2.334	1.049	
50	103,660.67	103,660.33	2.224	2.394	1.048	
51	103,662.63	103,662.35	2.223	2.369	1.047	
52	103,664.46	103,664.03	2.221	2.464	1.046	
53	103,666.19		2.220		1.045	
54	103,667.82		2.218		1.045	
55	103,669.36		2.217		1.044	
56	103,670.82		2.215		1.043	
57	103,672.19		2.214		1.042	
58	103,673.49		2.213		1.041	
59	103,674.72		2.211		1.041	
60	103,675.89		2.210		1.040	
61	103,677.00		2.208		1.039	
62	103,678.06		2.207		1.039	
63	103,679.06		2.206		1.038	
64	103,680.01		2.204		1.037	
65	103,680.92		2.203		1.037	
66	103,681.79		2.201		1.036	
67	103,682.62		2.200		1.036	
68	103,683.41		2.199		1.035	
69	103,684.16		2.198		1.035	
70	103,684.88		2.196		1.034	
1	•					
$\infty$	103,708.75	103,708.75				

Table 9. Cont.

<sup>a</sup> Ref. [18].

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Let us first determine the sign of the quantum defect ( $\delta$ ) using the SCUNC analysis conditions (11), considering the lowest resonance corresponding to the first entry of the Rydberg series under study. For example, for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_2$ )ns ( $^{4}P_{5/2}$ ) Rydberg series originating from the  $3s^23p^5$  ( $^{2}P^0_{3/2}$ ) ground state of Cl (Table 1), the lowest Resonance corresponds to  $n_{low} = 23$ . From Table 1, we deduce  $f_1$  ( $^{3}P_2$ ;  $^{4}P_{5/2}$ ;  $^{2}P^0_{3/2}$ ) = -2.2115. From Equation (6), we derive the expression for the effective nuclear charge  $Z^*_{max}$  as follows:

$$Z_{\max}^{*} = Z \left\{ 1 - \frac{f_{1}}{Z(n_{low} - 1)} - \frac{16.0}{Z} \right\} = 17 \left\{ 1 + \frac{2.2115}{17(23 - 1)} - \frac{16.0}{17} \right\} = 1.101.$$
(13)

As  $Z_{core} = 1.000$ ,  $Z_{max}^* = 1.101 > Z_{core}$ . Then, the quantum defect is positive. So, for all the series analyzed in this work, positive quantum defects are allowed according to the according to the SCUNC analysis conditions (11). This is verified for all the data quoted in Tables 1–9. Table 1 presents Resonance energies, quantum defects and effective charges of the  $3s^2 3p^4$  ( ${}^{3}P_2$ )ns ( ${}^{4}P_{5/2}$ ) Rydberg series originating from the  $3s^2 3p^5 {}^{2}P_{3/2}^{0}$ ground stateCl and converging to the  ${}^{3}P_{2}$  series limit in Cl<sup>+</sup>. For this Rydberg Resonance, only the VUV-PI and VUV-PFI-PI measurements [18] are available in the literature to our knowledge. Comparison of resonance energies shows excellent agreement between theoretical data (SCUNC) and (VUV-PI and VUV-PFI-PI) measurements [18] up to n = 61, as well highlighted in Figure 1. In contrast, for quantum defects, the present SCUNC calculations provide good quantum defect behavior that is virtually constant or decreases slightly with increasing principal quantum number n up to n = 80, while experimental quantum defects vary anomalously in all directions, as shown in Figure 2. Table 2 lists resonance energies, quantum defects and effective charges of the  $3s^23p^4$   $(^3P_1)nd$   $(^2D_{5/2})$ Rydberg resonance series from the  $3s^2 3p^5 2P_{3/2}^0$  ground state of atomic chlorine. As shown in Figure 3, there is an excellent agreement between the SCUNC resonance energies and the quoted measurements [18,19]. But if the SCUNC quantum defect is constant or decreases slightly with increasing principal quantum number n, the measured quantum defect varies considerably [18,19], as shown in Figure 4. It is well known that quantum defects must be constant or decrease with increasing *n*. Especially when  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain a hydrogenlike system for which the quantum defect is zero. Tables 3 and 4 present the resonance energies, quantum defects and effective charges of the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_1$ )ns ( $^{2}P_{3/2}$ ) and of the  $3s^2 3p^4 ({}^{3}P_1)nd ({}^{2}D_{5/2})$  Rydberg originating from the  $3s^2 3p^5 {}^{2}P_{3/2}^0$  ground state of Cl and converging to the  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  series limit in Cl<sup>+</sup>. Once again, the SCUNC results agree excellently with all the experimental resonance energies up to n = 34 (Table 3) and up to n = 23(Table 4). These good agreements allow us to consider as accurate the extrapolated SCUNC data up to n = 70. Comparisons of quantum defects indicate irregular behavior of the experimental values in contrast with the SCUNC quantum defect varying correctly up to n = 70. Tables 5 and 6 list resonance energies, quantum defects and effective charges calculated for the  $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{3/2} \rightarrow 3s^2 3p^4 ({}^3P_0)ns ({}^2P_{1/2})$  and the  $3s^2 3p^5 {}^2P^0_{3/2} \rightarrow 3s^2 3p^4$  $({}^{3}P_{0})nd$   $({}^{2}P_{3/2})$  Rydberg resonance series. For the  $3s^{2}3p^{4}$   $({}^{3}P_{0})ns$   $({}^{2}P_{1/2})$  series, experimental data are presented in Table 5 up to n = 21 with missing energy positions for n = 12, 1718, 20. SCUNC data associated with a nearly constant quantum defect at around 2.08 are provided for the missing experimental resonance energies [18,19]. In addition, the good behavior of the SCUNC quantum defect is observed up to n = 70 allowing us to consider the extrapolated news resonance energies as correct. Table 6 indicates resonance parameters of the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_{0}$ )nd ( $^{2}P_{3/2}$ ) series. Here again, very good consistency is obtained between the theoretical and the experimental resonance energies up to n = 31 as shown in Figure 5. New resonance energies associated with an almost constant quantum defect are tabulated for high-lying states n = 32-70 (see Figure 6). Tables 7–9 compare resonance energies and quantum defects, respectively of the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_0$ )*nd* ( $^{2}P_{3/2}$ ),  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_1$ )*ns* ( $^{2}P_{3/2}$ ) and  $3s^23p^4$  $({}^{3}P_{2})ns$  ( ${}^{4}P_{3/2}$ ) Rydberg series. Comparison shows reasonably good agreement between resonance energies for all the considered series as highlighted in Figures 7 and 8. For the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_{0}$ )nd ( $^{2}P_{3/2}$ ) series presented in Table 7, the absent experimental resonance energies for n = 25 and 26 [18] and that for the  $3s^2 3p^4 ({}^{3}P_1)ns ({}^{2}P_{3/2})$  series quoted in Table 8 for n = 46, 47 and 48 were calculated via the present formalism. As far as quantum defects are concerned, for the above series, the SCUNC data remain again virtually constant up to n = 70 in contrast with the measured [18] as shown in Figures 9 and 10. For all the series investigated in this work, the effective nuclear charge decreases the monotony toward the value of the electric charge of the core ion  $Z_{core} = 1.0$ .



**Figure 1.** Plot of Resonance energies ( $E_n$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>) versus principal quantum number (n) for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^3P_{2,1}$ )ns ( $^4P_{5/2}$ ) Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl ( $^2P^0_{3/2}$ ) ground state. Experimental data (solid blue circles, Ref. [18] and theoretical estimates (solid red line, SCUNC).



**Figure 2.** Plot of quantum defects ( $\delta$ ) versus principal quantum number (*n*) for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^3P_{2,1}$ )*ns* ( $^4P_{5/2}$ ) Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl ( $^2P_{3/2}$ ) ground state. Experimental data (solid blue circles, Ref. [18]) and theoretical estimates (solid red circles, SCUNC).



**Figure 3.** Plot of resonance energies ( $E_n$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>) versus principal quantum number (n) for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_1$ )nd ( $^{2}D_{5/2}$ ) Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl ( $^{2}P^{0}_{3/2}$ ) ground state. Experimental data (solid blue circles Ref. [18] and solid green triangles Ref. [19]) and theoretical estimates (solid red line, SCUNC).



**Figure 4.** Plot of quantum defects ( $\delta$ ) versus principal quantum number (*n*) for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^3P_1$ )*nd* ( $^2D_{5/2}$ ) Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl ( $^2P^0_{3/2}$ ) ground state. Experimental data (solid blue circles Ref. [18] and solid green circles Ref. [19]) and theoretical estimates (solid red circles, SCUNC).



**Figure 5.** Plot of resonance energies  $(E_n, \text{ cm}^{-1})$  versus principal quantum number (n) for the  $3s^23p^4$   $(^3P_0)nd$   $(^2P_{3/2})$  Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl  $(^2P_{3/2})$  ground state. Experimental data (solid blue circles Ref. [18] and solid green triangles Ref. [19]) and theoretical estimates (solid red line, SCUNC).



**Figure 6.** Plot of quantum defects ( $\delta$ ) versus principal quantum number (*n*) for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^3P_0$ )*nd* ( $^2P_{3/2}$ ) Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl ( $^2P_{3/2}^0$ ) ground state. Experimental data (solid blue circles Ref. [18]) and theoretical estimates (solid red circles, SCUNC).



**Figure 7.** Plot of resonance energies ( $E_n$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>) versus principal quantum number (*n*) for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^3P_0$ )*nd* ( $^2P_{3/2}$ ) Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl ( $^2P_{1/2}$ ) excited state. Experimental data (solid blue circles Ref. [18]) and theoretical estimates (solid red line, SCUNC).



**Figure 8.** Plot of resonance energies ( $E_n$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>) versus principal quantum number (n) for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^{3}P_{1}$ )ns ( $^{2}P_{3/2}$ ) Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl ( $^{2}P_{1/2}^{0}$ ) excited state. Experimental data (solid blue circles Ref. [18]) and theoretical estimates (solid red line, SCUNC).



**Figure 9.** Plot of quantum defects ( $\delta$ ) versus principal quantum number (*n*) for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^3P_0$ )*nd* ( $^2P_{3/2}$ ) Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl ( $^2P_{1/2}^0$ ) excited state. Experimental data (solid blue circles Ref. [18]) and theoretical estimates (solid red circles, SCUNC).



**Figure 10.** Plot of quantum defects ( $\delta$ ) versus principal quantum number (*n*) for the  $3s^23p^4$  ( $^3P_1$ )*ns* ( $^2P_{3/2}$ ) Rydberg series of resonances originating from the Cl ( $^2P_{1/2}^0$ ) excited state. Experimental data (solid blue circles Ref. [18]) and theoretical estimates (solid red circles, SCUNC).

# 4. Summary and Conclusions

In this paper, the first calculations of resonance energies, quantum defects and effective charges of several Rydberg series resulting from the ejection of 3p electrons from the  ${}^{2}P^{0}_{3/2}$  ground state and  ${}^{2}P^{0}_{1/2}$  excited state of the neutral chlorine atom was carried out. Overall, very good agreements were obtained between the present SCUNC calculations and the available experimental data for resonance energies. In addition, for all the resonance energies associated with an experimental quantum defect that varied in all directions, an almost constant SCUNC quantum defect was tabulated up to n = 70. The new SCUNC data quoted in the listed tables may be of great interest for the physical community focusing their studies on the photoionization of atomic chlorine.

Author Contributions: M.T.G.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. I.S.: Methodology, Investigation, Writing—review and editing, Visualization, Formal analysis, Supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no funding.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within this article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interest or personal relationship that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# References

- McLaughlinand, B.M.; Balance, C.P. Photoionization Cross-Sections for the trans-iron element Se<sup>+</sup> from 18 eV to 31 eV. J. Phys. B At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 2012, 45, 095202. Available online: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0953-4075/45/9/095202 (accessed on 11 September 2023). [CrossRef]
- Bizau, J.M.; Champeaux, J.P.; Cubaynes, D.; Wuilleumier, F.J.; Folkmann, F.; Jacobsen, T.S.; Penent, F.; Blancard, C.; Kjeldsen, H. Absolute cross sections for L-shell photoionization of the ions N<sup>2+</sup>, N<sup>3+</sup>, O<sup>3+</sup>, O<sup>4+</sup>, F<sup>3+</sup>, F<sup>4+</sup> and Ne<sup>4+</sup>. *Astron. Astrophys.* 2005, 439, 387–399. [CrossRef]
- 3. Nahar, S.N. Photoionization features of the ground and excited levels of Cl II and benchmarking with experiment. *New Astron.* **2021**, *82*, 101447. [CrossRef]
- 4. Dufour, R.J. The unique planetary nebula NGC 2818. *Astrophys. J.* **1984**, *287*, 341–352. Available online: https://adsabs.harvard.edu/full/1984ApJ...287..341D (accessed on 11 September 2023). [CrossRef]
- 5. Fegley, B.; Zolotov, M.Y. Chemistry of Sodium, Potassium, and Chlorine in Volcanic Gases on Io. *Icarus* 2000, 148, 193–210. [CrossRef]
- Moomey, D.; Federman, S.R.; Sheffer, Y. Revisiting the chlorine abundance in diffuse interstellar clouds from measurements with the copernicus satellite. *Astrophys. J.* 2012, 744, 174. Available online: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0004-637X/744/ 2/174/meta (accessed on 11 September 2023). [CrossRef]
- 7. Robicheaux, F.; Green, C.H. Partial and differential photoionization cross sections of Cl and Br. *Phys. Rev. A* **1993**, 47, 1066. Available online: https://www.physics.purdue.edu/~robichf/papers/pra47\_1066.pdf (accessed on 11 September 2023). [CrossRef]
- 8. Lamoureux, M.; Farnoux, F.C. Photoionization cross section of the open 3p subshell in atomic chlorine. *J. Phys.* **1979**, 40, 545. [CrossRef]
- 9. James, A.R.; Samson; Shefer, Y.; Angel, G.C. A Critical Test of Many-Body Theory: The Photoionization Cross Section of Cl as an Example of an Open-Shell Atom. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2020**, *56*, 2020. [CrossRef]
- Schectman, R.M.; Federman, S.R.; Brun, M.; Cheng, S.; Fritts, M.C.; Irving, R.E.; Gibson, N.D. Oscillator Strengths for Ultraviolet Resonances in Cl II and Cl III. *Astrophys. J.* 2005, 621, 1159–1162. Available online: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1086/42 7918/meta (accessed on 11 September 2023). [CrossRef]
- Hernández, E.; Juárez, A.; Kilcoyne, A.; Aguilar, A.; Hernández, L.; Antillón, A.; Macaluso, D.; Morales-Mori, A.; González-Magaña, O.; Hanstorp, D.; et al. Absolute measurements of chlorine Cl<sup>+</sup> cation single photoionization cross section. *J. Quant. Spectosc. Radiat. Transf.* 2015, 151, 217–223. [CrossRef]
- Nahar, S.N.; Hernández, E.M.; Kilkoyne, D.; Antillón, A.; Covington, A.M.; González-Magaña, O.; Hernández, L.; Davis, V.; Calabrese, D.; Morales-Mori, A.; et al. Experimental and Theoretical Study of Photoionization of Cl III. *Atoms* 2023, 11, 28. [CrossRef]
- 13. Mosnier, J.P.; Kennedy, E.T.; Bizau, J.M.; Cubaynes, D.; Guilbaud, S.; Blancard, C.; Hasoğlu, M.F.; Gorczyca, T.W. L-Shell Photoionization of Magnesium-like Ions with New Results for Cl5+. *Atoms* **2023**, *11*, 66. [CrossRef]
- 14. McLaughlin, B.M. Photoionization of Cl<sup>+</sup> from the 3s<sup>2</sup>3p<sup>4 3</sup>P<sub>2,1,0</sub> and the 3s<sup>2</sup>3p<sup>4 1</sup>D<sub>2</sub>,<sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub> states in the energy range 19–28 eV. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2017**, 464, 1990–1999. [CrossRef]

- Kim, D.S.; Kwon, D.H. Theoretical photoionization spectra for Mg-isoelectronic Cl<sup>5+</sup> and Ar<sup>6+</sup> ions. J. Phys. B At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 2015, 48, 105004. Available online: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0953-4075/48/10/105004/meta (accessed on 11 September 2023). [CrossRef]
- Ba, M.D.; Diallo, A.; Badiane, J.K.; Gning, M.T.; Sow, M.; Sakho, I. Photoionization of the 3s<sup>2</sup>3p3nd Rydberg series of Cl<sup>+</sup> ion using the Screening constant by unit nuclear charge method. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 2018, 153, 111–119. [CrossRef]
- Nahar, S.N. Characteristic features in photoionization of Cl III. In Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of The Aps Division of Atomic, Molecular And Optical Physics, Spokane, WA, USA, 5–9 June 2023. Available online: <a href="https://meetings.aps.org/Meeting/DAMOP23/Session/C11.1">https://meetings.aps.org/Meeting/ DAMOP23/Session/C11.1</a> (accessed on 11 September 2023).
- Yang, L.; Gao, H.; Zhou, J.; Ng, C.Y. Vacuum ultraviolet laser photoion and pulsed field ionization–photoion study of Rydberg series of chlorine atoms prepared in the (j = 3/2 and 1/2) fine-structure states. *Astrophys. J.* 2015, *810*, 132. Available online: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0004-637X/810/2/132 (accessed on 11 September 2023). [CrossRef]
- Cantu, A.M.; Parkinson, W.H.; Grisendi, T.; Tagliaferri, G. Absorption Spectrum of Atomic Chlorine: 3p<sup>52</sup>P<sub>3/2,1/2</sub><sup>0</sup> 3p<sup>4</sup> ns,nd. *Phys. Scr.* 1985, *31*, 579. Available online: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0031-8949/31/6/019 (accessed on 11 September 2023). [CrossRef]
- Sakho, I. The Screening Constant by Unit Nuclear Charge Method. In Description & Application to the Photoionization of Atomic Systems; ISTE Science Publishing Ltd.: London, UK; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 2018.
- Gning, M.T.; Sakho, I. Single Photoionization Study of Br<sup>3+</sup> via the Screening Constant by Unit Nuclear Charge Method. J. At. Mol. Condens. Nano Phys. 2019, 6, 131–141. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.