

Theoretical Investigation of the Enantioselective Complexations between *pf*DHFR and Cycloguanil Derivatives

Table S1. Binding energy (BE) of Cyc derivatives (kcal mol⁻¹) for binding with the wild-type *pf*DHFR (PDB ID: 3UM8), using derived quantum mechanics (QM) Hartree-Fock/6-31G (d,p) charges and Gasteiger charges obtained from molecular docking calculations and experimental data.

Com	X	Y	R ¹	R ²	QM		Gasteiger		Exp.
					R	S	R	S	
23	Cl	H	Me	Me	-5.09		-7.98		-11.63
24	H	Cl	Me	<i>n</i> Pr	-4.92	-4.26	-8.07	-6.85	-11.54
25	Cl	H	Me	<i>i</i> Pr	-5.56	-4.76	-8.59	-7.30	-10.36
26	H	Cl	Me	<i>i</i> Pr	-5.59	-4.71	-8.72	-7.12	-10.15
27	Cl	H	Me	<i>n</i> Pr	-5.11	-5.03	-8.14	-8.01	-11.37
28	H	Cl	Me	<i>n</i> Hex	-4.97	-5.17	-7.75	-8.26	-12.58
29	Cl	H	Me	<i>n</i> Hex	-5.22	-5.31	-7.85	-8.17	-11.76
30	H	Cl	H	Me	-5.29	-4.77	-8.34	-7.76	-11.44
31	Cl	H	H	Me	-5.22	-4.83	-8.26	-7.83	-10.90
32	H	Cl	H	C ₆ H ₅	-6.29	-6.16	-8.97	-8.39	-11.39
33	Cl	H	H	C ₆ H ₅	-6.57	-6.43	-8.80	-8.67	-10.82
34	H	Cl	H	4-C ₆ H ₅ OC ₆ H ₅	-6.18	-6.38	-8.57	-9.47	-12.82
35	Cl	H	H	4-C ₆ H ₅ OC ₆ H ₅	-6.04	-6.73	-8.47	-9.97	-12.49
36	H	Cl	H	3-C ₆ H ₅ OC ₆ H ₅	-6.26	-6.46	-8.60	-9.49	-12.69
37	Cl	H	H	3-C ₆ H ₅ OC ₆ H ₅	-6.18	-6.67	-8.73	-9.85	-12.22
38	H	Cl	H	3-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ OC ₆ H ₄	-6.67	-6.74	-8.12	-8.82	-12.49
39	Cl	H	H	3-C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ OC ₆ H ₄	-6.22	-6.67	-9.31	-9.82	-11.78
40	H	Cl	H	3-(4-ClC ₆ H ₄ O)C ₆ H ₄	-7.06	-7.19	-8.82	-10.04	-12.08
41	Cl	H	H	3-(4-ClC ₆ H ₄ O)C ₆ H ₄	-6.75	-7.58	-9.25	-10.40	-12.12
42	Cl	H	H	<i>n</i> C ₇ H ₁₅	-6.02	-6.01	-8.39	-8.03	-11.69
43	Cl	H	H	4-PrOC ₆ H ₄	-5.09	-5.93	-7.43	-8.87	-11.57
44	Cl	H	H	3-(3,5-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃ O)C ₆ H ₄	-6.84	-7.63	-8.90	-10.09	-11.93
45	Cl	H	H	3-[2,4,5-Cl ₃ C ₆ H ₂ O(CH ₂) ₃ O]C ₆ H ₄	-6.34	-7.19	-9.18	-10.20	-11.46
46	Cl	H	H	3-(3-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ O)C ₆ H ₄	-5.13	-5.65	-8.20	-9.98	-11.69

QM = Molecular docking using Hartree-Fock/6-31G (d,p) charges

Gasteiger = Molecular docking using Gasteiger charges

Exp. = Experimental data

R = Cyc derivatives in *R* configuration

S = Cyc derivatives in *S* configuration

Bold = Enantiomer configuration with the lowest BE

Figure S1. Plot of molecular docking binding energies (BE) of (a) *R*-Cyc derivatives using QM Hartree-Fock/6-31G (d,p) charges *versus* *R*-Cyc derivatives using Gasteiger charges; (b) *S*-Cyc derivatives using QM Hartree-Fock/6-31G (d,p) charges *versus* *S*-Cyc derivatives using Gasteiger charges.

