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Role of MTDH, FOXM1 and microRNAs in Drug Resistance in Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Abstract: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most lethal malignancies due to underlying co-morbid cirrhosis and chemo-resistance. Vaccination and improved treatment for hepatitis are the most effective means to reduce the burden of liver cancer worldwide. Expression of biomarkers such as AFP (alpha-fetoprotein), DDK1 (Dickkopf WNT Signaling Pathway Inhibitor 1) and microRNAs in blood are being tested for early screening of liver cancer. Since 2008, sorafenib has been used as the standard molecular targeting agent for HCC. However, overall outcomes for sorafenib alone or in combination with other tyrosine kinase inhibitors are unsatisfactory. Whether simultaneously or sequentially, addiction switches and compensatory pathway activation in HCC, induced by sorafenib treatment, may induce acquired resistance. Forkhead box M1 (FOXM1) and metadherin (MTDH) have been shown to be master regulators of different aspects of tumorigenesis, including angiogenesis, invasion, metastasis and drug resistance. Elevated expression of both FOXM1 and MTDH is known to be a consequence of both activating mutations in oncogenes such as PI3K, Ras, myc and loss of function mutations in tumor suppressor genes such as p53 and PTEN in various types of cancers including HCC. The role of FOXM1 and MTDH as potential prognostic markers as well as therapeutic targets in HCC will be discussed. In addition, microRNAs (miRNAs), endogenous small non-coding RNAs involved in the regulation of gene expression, are involved in HCC and interact with both FOXM1 and MTDH in several ways. Thus, altered expression of miRNAs in HCCs will also be discussed as potential tools for diagnosis, prognosis and therapy in HCC.
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1. PI3K/Akt Pathway Is Involved in the Sorafenib Resistance

Hepatocellular carcinomas (HCC) are genetically heterogeneous tumors. TP53 mutations have been found in HCC, especially in HCC patients with HCV or HBV positive [1]. In addition to prior HBV/HCV infection, metabolic abnormalities such as diabetes and obesity are also involved in HCC carcinogenesis. In contrast to other epithelial cancers such as breast, ovarian and colon, no mutations of common oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes except TP53 have been identified in HCC cells. However, many growth factor receptors such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), and fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) as well as key oncogenic signaling pathways related to proliferation and angiogenesis such as Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK (MAPK), phoshoinositol-3 kinase (PI3k)/Akt/mTOR, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)/c-mesenchymal epithelial transition factor (c-Met), insulin growth factor receptor (IGF), transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), Wnt/β-catenin, Hedgehog and Notch have been shown to be involved in hepatocarcinogenesis [2,3]. Therefore, the tyrosine kinase inhibitor sorafenib has been used to block both tumor cell proliferation and angiogenesis by targeting Raf-1/B-Raf kinases and VEGFR-2/-3 and PDGFR-β tyrosine kinases. Sorafenib administration has led to significant improvement in overall survival (OS) in patients with advanced HCC but disease stabilization does not last due to acquired resistance [4–6]. Understanding the mechanism of sorafenib resistance would improve sorafenib therapy in patients with HCC. One mechanism of sorafenib resistance in cultured HCC cells may be associated with a switch from the MAPK pathway to the parallel PI3K/Akt pathway when vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), Ret, c-kit and the downstream MAPK pathway are inhibited by sorafenib inhibition of tyrosine kinases. Activation of Akt and upregulation of phosphorylation of its downstream targets, including S6K and 4EBP1, have been shown in sorafenib treated HCC cells [7]. In HCC cells in which resistance is the result of long-term exposure to sorafenib, increased expression of phosphorylated Akt and the p85 regulatory subunit of PI3K was observed. Sorafenib resistance could be reversed by knockdown of Akt or by administration of the Akt inhibitor MK-2206 [8]. In addition to the above described mechanisms, multidrug resistance protein 2 (MDRP2) and nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) also appear to be involved in acquired resistance to sorafenib in HCC [9–11].

Activation of the oncogenic signaling pathway PI3K/Akt can induce expression of both FOXM1 and MTDH, two critical master regulator genes involved in metastasis and drug resistance in numerous solid tumors including HCC [8,12]. FOXM1 expression can also be induced by other mechanisms including (i) increased transcription through the action of transcription factors E2F, c-Myc, and hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) [13–15]; (ii) loss transcription repression due to mutations in the tumor suppressor p53 (seen in approximately half of HCC patients) [16–18] and (iii) decreased expression of microRNAs (miRNAs) such as miR-149 and miR-134 which down-regulate FOXM1 post-transcriptionally [19,20]. Bioinformatic analyses of genome-wide transcriptional sequencing data in HCC have identified alterations of the FOXM1 transcription network including downstream FOXM1 effectors AURKB, BIRC5, CCNB1, CCNB2 and NEK2 [21,22].
2. FOXM1 is Essential for the Development of HCC

Conditionally deleted FOXM1 mouse hepatocytes are highly resistant to developing HCC in response to a Diethylnitrosamine (DEN)/Phenobarbital (PB) liver tumor-induction protocol suggesting a pivotal role for FOXM1 in HCC development [23,24]. HBV-associated hepatocarcinogenesis involves the activation of FOXM1 expression by hepatitis B virus X (HBx) through the ERK/CREB pathway [25]. Induction of MMP-7, RhoC, and ROCK1 expression by FOXM1 can promote hepatoma cell invasion and metastasis [25]. FOXM1 expression was highly correlated with the recurrence and poor survival of patients with HBV-HCC after surgical resection. Another downstream effector of FOXM1, acid phosphatase 5 (ACP5), is also involved in progression and metastasis of HCC mediated by FOXM1. Knockdown of ACP5 significantly reduced FOXM1-mediated invasion and lung metastases [26]. Co-expression of ACP5 and FOXM1 was associated with poor prognosis. Overexpression of miR-135a favors invasive and metastatic behavior in vitro. MiR-135a is transcribed by FOXM1 and metastasis suppressor 1 (MTSS1) was identified as a direct target of miR-135a [27]. Further analysis revealed the relevance of miR-135a with respect to the prognosis and survival of HCC patients with Portal vein tumor thrombus (PVTT). PVTT has been correlated with poor prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma and 50%–80% of HCC is accompanied by portal vein invasion. MiR-135a is highly over-expressed in PVTT [27].

3. FOXM1 Acts as a Therapeutic Target of HCC

Thiazole compounds, Siomycin A and thiostrepton, and other proteasome inhibitors such as bortezomib, have been shown to repress transcription of FOXM1 at both the mRNA and protein levels in cancer cells [28]. These agents could be attractive therapeutic drugs to overcome drug resistance when combined with standard regimens for cancers with FOXM1 overexpression. FOXM1 is also an inhibitory target of tumor suppressor gene p19 (ARF) [23,29,30]. A p19(ARF) position 26-44 peptide fragment containing nine D-Arg residues to enhance cellular uptake was sufficient to significantly reduce FOXM1 transcriptional activity. HCC in both wild-type mice or in Arf(−/−)Rosa26-FOXM1 Tg mice was induced by diethylnitrosamine/phenobarbital. These HCC-bearing mice were then injected daily with the cell-penetrating ARF(26-44) peptide inhibitor to pharmacologically reduce FOXM1 activity. After 4 weeks of this treatment, HCC regions displayed reduced tumor cell proliferation and angiogenesis within the HCC region but not in the adjacent normal liver tissue. Significant downregulation of FOXM1 to normal cell levels has been reached in cancer cells by depleting NPM1, an interacting partner of FOXM1 [31]. Peptides or small molecules specifically targeting the interaction between FOXM1 and NPM1 could potentially lead to a FOXM1 targeted therapy. Various DNA repair genes including BRIP1 (BRCA1-associated BACH1 helicase), Rad51, XRCC1 (X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 1) and BRCA2 (breast cancer-associated gene 2) have been transcriptionally regulated by FOXM1 [32–35]. The function of FOXM1 as transcription regulator of DNA repair genes indicates that FOXM1 might be targeted to increase the sensitivity of tumor cells to DNA damage induced by chemotherapy and radiotherapy (Figure 1A).
**Figure 1.** Mechanisms regulating the overexpression of FOXM1 (Forkhead box M1), MTDH (metadherin) and downstream effectors and strategies used to target FOXM1 in HCC (Hepatocellular carcinoma). (A) Thiazole compounds siomycin and thiostrepton, proteasome inhibitors (highlighted in green boxes), ARF peptides and NPM1 siRNA (highlighted in blue boxes) can reduce the expression of FOXM1. Chemotherapy can increase FOXM1 stability via CHK2. HBV/HCV can increase FOXM1 through ERK/CREB. Up-regulated FOXM1 subsequently controls cell cycle transition, DNA repair and metastasis via positive (pink boxes) or negative (blue boxes) regulating downstream effectors. (B) Multiple mechanisms including chromosome amplification at 8q22, Ras, PI3K/Akt, myc activation, increased CEBP1, reduced miR-375, and HBV infection can cause up-regulation of MTDH. Increased MTDH can regulate various downstream effectors to control drug resistance and metastasis via RNA or protein interactions. Increased expression of anti-MTDH auto-antibody, TSPAN8 and reduced expression of IGFBP7 in HCC patient blood might be used as poor prognosis biomarker. (C) Multiple feedback loops are involved in the expression regulation of MTDH, FOXM1 and c-myc in HCC.
4. Role of MTDH as an RNA Binding Protein in Drug Resistance

MTDH has also been shown to play a critical role in DNA damage response (DDR) in a transgenic mouse model with hepatocyte-specific expression of MTDH (Alb/MTDH) [36,37]. Compared to control hepatocytes, reduced reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels and delayed activation of ATM, ATR, CHK1 and CHK2 have been observed in Alb/MTDH hepatocytes following isolation and culture. Endogenous MTDH is mainly distributed in the nucleus or nucleolus in hepatocytes of wild type mice, however, MTDH is mainly localized at cytoplasm in hepatocytes of the transgenic (Alb/MTDH) mouse model. Our previous report in which we used RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation followed by microarray analysis (RIP-chip) showed that MTDH can associate with various mRNA targets including multiple members of the Fanconi Anemia pathway (FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCI) and functionally related Rad18 [38]. MTDH might function as a RNA binding protein to regulate the levels of important DNA repair factors at the post-transcriptional level. Previous work has shown that MTDH can promote translation of the multiple drug resistance gene MDR1 and the angiogenesis gene factor XII (FXII) [36,39,40]. Therefore, targeting MTDH might impair the ability of cancer cells to rapidly repair their DNA and increase therapeutic efficacy.

5. Mechanisms of MTDH Overexpression in HCC

Overexpression of MTDH is detected in >90% of HCC patients by immunohistochemistry in tissue microarrays (TMAs) and the level of MTDH expression is negatively correlated with overall survival and cumulative recurrence rates [41]. MTDH was identified by characterizing the copy number aberration (CNA) landscape in HCC from the recurrent focal amplification peaks [42] as one of the oncogenic drivers playing a significant role in HCC growth and survival. Multivariate analyses of 288 HCC patients revealed that high MTDH expression was an independent predictor of shorter disease-free survival (DFS) after curative hepatectomy [43]. MTDH overexpression in HCC was associated with elevated copy numbers due to gains of large regions of chromosome 8q22. Transcription of MTDH is induced by direct binding of c-myc to the MTDH promoter upon activation of Ha-ras/PI3K/Akt signaling [44]. Overexpression of MTDH in HCC cells might evade apoptosis and increase cell growth through the regulation of PLZF repression on the activation of c-myc, which can activate transcription of MTDH through a positive feedback loop [45]. MTDH is a confirmed target of miR375 for post-transcriptional regulation. MiR-375 has been shown to be downregulated in 60 human HCC compared to normal adjacent tissues [46]. Downregulation of N-cadherin and snail, upregulation of E-cadherin, and translocation of β-catenin by depletion expression of MTDH in HCC cell lines indicates that MTDH may promote HCC metastasis through the induction of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) process [47,48]. Moreover, MTDH overexpression was correlated with clinicopathologic characters including cell proliferation, invasion, metastasis, chemoresistance, angiogenesis and poor clinical outcome [40,49,50]. A gradual increase in MTDH expression in normal liver tissue to hepatitis B and HBV-related HCC tissue levels was observed [51]. Significant correlation between MTDH expression with the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC, seventh edition) stage, T classification, N classification, vascular invasion, and histological differentiation was seen in the HBV-related HCC patients. Cytoplasmic polyadenylation element-binding protein 1
(CEBP1) binds to the 3'-UTR of MTDH mRNA and promotes its translation. Monoubiquitination of MTDH protein in cancer cells leads to increased stabilization and cytoplasmic accumulation. In summary, MTDH overexpression in human HCC is caused by multiple mechanisms, such as genomic amplification at 8q22 as well as myc mediated transcription activation and reduced post-transcriptional regulation by microRNAs, monoubiquitination and association with CEBP1 (Figure 1B). HCC with steatotic features was induced by the carcinogen N-nitrosodiethylamine in a transgenic mouse with hepatocyte-specific expression of MTDH (Alb/MTDH), but not in wild-type (WT) mice. Novel aspects of MTDH function, including induction of steatosis, inhibition of senescence, and activation of the coagulation pathway to augment aggressive hepatocarcinogenesis have been observed in hepatocyte-specific MTDH transgenic mice [36]. Inhibition of hepatocarcinogenesis induced by N-nitrosodiethylamine was also observed in MTDH knockout mice [52].

MTDH is primarily located in the membrane and the cytoplasm of HCC cells compared to nuclear distribution in normal hepatocytes. Autoantibodies against tumor-associated antigens present in the blood of cancer patients can be developed as biomarkers. As a consequence of overexpression of MTDH in cancer cell membranes, elevated levels of anti-MTDH antibody in the serum of cancer patients was detected [53]. Anti-MTDH antibody at titers of ≥1:50 from the sera of liver cancer patients was detected in 48 out of 96 (50%) HCC patients by ELISA using the lung-homing domain (aa 381–443) of human MTDH as the antigen [53,54]. In contrast, no anti-MTDH antibody was detected in the serum of 230 normal controls. Anti-MTDH antibody was detected in eight of 31 (26%) stage I and II HCC patients and in 40 of 65 (62%) stage III and IV HCC patients.

6. Signal Transduction Pathways Activated by MTDH

Activation of the Akt and NF-κB pathways are the two major signal transduction pathways correlated with MTDH and drug resistance in HCC [55]. Although the detailed mechanism of PI3K/Akt pathway activation by MTDH is unclear, involvement of MTDH has been confirmed by several laboratories. MTDH may play a role in the chronic inflammatory changes preceding HCC development by activating the NF-κB pathway. MTDH was seen to interact with the p65 subunit of NF-κB as well as with CREB-binding protein (CBP) [56]. Though MTDH itself does not have a DNA-binding domain, MTDH may function as a transcriptional coactivator in the NF-κB complex bound to IL-8 promoter as a bridging factor among NF-κB, CBP, and basal transcription machinery to activate downstream genes of NF-κB pathway. Further, MTDH is involved in Wnt/β-catenin signaling through inducing ERK42/44 activation and is associated with protective autophagy by inducing AMPK activation.

7. MTDH Regulation of Downstream Genes in HCC

Genes downstream of MTDH were identified via an Affymetrix oligonucleotide microarray by comparing differential gene expression in control and exogenous MTDH expressing HepG2 cells. One cluster of genes in the Wnt signaling pathway, including lymphoid-enhancing factor 1/T cell factor 1 (LEF1/TCF1), CTBP2 and APC, were regulated by MTDH. A second cluster of genes downstream of MTDH was associated with chemoresistance including drug-metabolizing enzymes, such as dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPYD), cytochrome P4502B6 (CYP2B6), dihydrodiol dehydrogenase.
(AKR1C2), and the ATP-binding cassette transporter ABC11 (also known as MRP8). Transcription factor CP2 (TFCP2, also known as LSF) was also significantly upregulated by MTDH in HCC cells. Other important genes downstream of MTDH include claudin 4 (CLDN4), tetraspanin 8 (TSPAN8), transgelin (TAGLN, a suppressor of MMP-9), IGF-binding protein 7 (IGFBP7) and pyruvate kinase (PK).

Late SV40 factor (LSF) was up-regulated by MTDH in HCC [41]. LSF is a ubiquitous transcription factor, first identified as a transcriptional activator of the major late Simian Virus 40 promoter. LSF belongs to the LSF/CP2 family related to grainyhead family of proteins and is involved in many biological functions, including regulation of cellular proliferation, viral promoters and drug resistance. Similar to MTDH, LSF overexpression is detected in more than 90% of human HCC patients, compared to normal hepatocytes. A network of proteins including osteopontin (OPN), Matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) and c-Met were identified as downstream effectors of LSF in LSF-induced hepatocarcinogenesis [41,57,58]. A small molecule inhibitor of LSF, Factor Quinolinone inhibitor 1 (FQI1), was identified by a high throughput screening [59]. FQI1 can profoundly inhibit viability in human HCC cell lines \textit{in vitro} and markedly inhibit growth of human HCC xenografts as well as angiogenesis \textit{in vivo}. MET/HGF targeted anticancer therapies with tivantinib, cabozantinib, onartuzumab, crizotinib, rilotumumab, and ftcaltuzumab have shown encouraging results in clinical trials. Patients with high MTDH and LSF expression may benefit from targeted MET/HGF inhibitor treatment.

Tspan8 (also called D6.1A/CO-029 cancer antigen) is associated with metastasis and pro-angiogenesis. Tspan8 is another gene upregulated by MTDH in HCC cells [36]. Tspan8 expression is induced 30-fold in liver after DEN treatment of MTDH transgenic mice compared to wild type mice. Tspan8 contributes to a selective recruitment of proteins and mRNA into extra-cellular microvesicles called exosomes. This includes CD49d, which is implicated in exosome-endothelial cell (EC) binding and EC internalization. EC uptake of Tspan8-CD49d complex-containing exosomes was accompanied by enhanced EC proliferation, migration, sprouting, and maturation of EC progenitors. Tumor-derived exosomes containing the Tspan8 can efficiently induce angiogenesis.

Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-7 (IGFBP7) is a downstream gene repressed by MTDH in HCC cells [60]. IGFBP7 is a secreted member of the IGFBP family. The IGFBP family (IGFBP1-7), two growth factors (IGF-I and IGF-II) and their receptors (IGF-IR and IGF-IIR) are components of IGF signal transduction pathway. Using an immunohistochemical approach in tissue microarray, high IGFBP7 expression was detected in normal human liver while a significantly decreased expression was seen in 104 HCC patients. Thus, IGFBP7 downregulation might be a prognostic marker for aggressive HCC. Although stable overexpression of IGFBP7 in aggressive human HCC cells resulted in modest inhibition compared to controls, profound inhibition of tumorigenesis by IGFBP7-overexpression was observed in a subcutaneous xenograft assay using athymic nude mice. Marked inhibition of angiogenesis and induction of senescence may cause the difference in the IGFBP7 \textit{in vitro} effect. Replication-incompetent adenovirus-delivered IGFBP7 (Ad.IGFBP7) potently induces apoptosis in multiple HCC cell lines \textit{in vitro} and profoundly inhibits primary tumor growth and metastasis \textit{in vivo} [60].
8. MicroRNAs in HCC: Drug Resistance and Beyond

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small (21–23 nucleotide) RNA species whose role in both normal cellular functions and human diseases, particularly cancers, is well established [61]. A direct role for miRNAs in HCC drug resistance has been shown. Over-expression of miR-122, the liver-specific miRNA, in HCC cells, including Hep3B, HepG2 and Huh7, increased sensitivity to adriamycin and vincristine [62]. More generally, use of several drug resistant Huh7 HCC sublines identified an array of significant miRNA expression changes when compared to control Huh7 cells [63]. Among the miRNAs displaying significant differential expression were miR-146a/b and miR-181d. Both of these miRNA families have been shown in other cancers to influence chemoresistance [63].

In addition to therapeutic resistance, a number of studies have implicated miRNAs in various aspects of HCC development and pathology. One of the most direct demonstrations of the role of miRNAs in HCC is related to the tight linkage of several miRNAs to the hyperactivation of the Myc oncogene that is characteristic of both HCC and the rare childhood hepatoblastoma (HB) [64]. MiRNAs miR-100, miR-125b, miR-26, miR-23a/b, miR-371 and let-7a are all validated Myc targets and all are important effectors of Myc-mediated oncogenesis in HCC. Hou et al. [65] examined the miRNAomes of both normal human liver tissues (n = 3) and hepatocellular carcinomas (n = 3) using next-generation deep sequencing. They found that the majority of miRNA expression in both samples could be accounted for by very few miRNAs. Among the most abundantly expressed miRNAs was the liver-specific miR-122 [66] and miR-192. Giordano and Colombano [67] note that miR-21 is the most over-expressed miRNA in HCC as compared with normal tissues.

While the vast majority of miRNA studies in cancers have focused on the role of particular miRNAs, in particular cancers or cancer processes, the advent of The Cancer Genome Atlas project has made it possible to examine multiple biologic and genetic characteristics in numerous cancers simultaneously [68–70]. These, in turn, have allowed researchers to re-examine previous, more focused studies in a much broader context.

We recently compared expression levels of 1,046 miRNAs in seven cancers using deep sequencing profiles of hundreds of tumors produced by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) project [71]. Expression levels were compared via Spearman Rank Order Correlation [72] and included uterine corpus adenocarcinoma (n = 415 patients), ovarian serous adenocarcinoma (n = 970 patients), breast adenocarcinoma (n = 770 patients), prostate adenocarcinoma (n = 286 patients), pancreatic adenocarcinoma (n = 62 patients), colorectal adenocarcinoma (n = 478 patients), and lung adenocarcinoma (n = 482 patients). The patterns we observed show that overall miRNA expression is very highly correlated among the cancers (mean $\rho = 0.94 \pm 0.03$). We also observed that all of the most highly expressed miRNAs among these cancers are members of evolutionarily ancient miRNA families, the vast majority of which appeared in animal genomes prior to the emergence of land animals 380 million years ago.

TCGA has produced similar miRNA expression data on 197 patients diagnosed with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). We have incorporated these data into our previous analyses and compared expression levels with those of uterine corpus adenocarcinoma, breast adenocarcinoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, and lung adenocarcinoma.
Rank order scatter plots and correlations are shown in Figure 2. Again, the Spearman Rank Order Correlations are very high (mean $\rho = 0.95 \pm 0.01$) and all are statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$).

Figure 2. Scatter plots of the expression rank of 1,046 miRNAs in hepatocellular carcinoma versus six adenocarcinomas with the Spearman Rank Correlation for each. All correlations are significant ($p < 0.001$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vs. Uterine Cancer ($r = 0.945$)</th>
<th>vs. Breast Cancer ($r = 0.952$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Scatter Plot of Rank Order" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Scatter Plot of Rank Order" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs. Prostate Cancer ($r = 0.942$)</td>
<td>vs. Pancreatic Cancer ($r = 0.941$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Scatter Plot of Rank Order" /></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Scatter Plot of Rank Order" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs. Colon Cancer ($r = 0.938$)</td>
<td>vs. Lung Cancer ($r = 0.965$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Scatter Plot of Rank Order" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Scatter Plot of Rank Order" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moreover, the previously seen pattern of domination by ancient miRNA families is obtained in HCC as well. Budhu et al. [73] identified 19 miRNAs related to metastasis in HCC. Consistent with our prior observation, only one of these 19 miRNAs, miR-148, is a member of a miRNA family whose emergence in animal genomes occurred more recently than 380 million years ago.

In TCGA HCC data, miR-21 is the most highly expressed miRNA and it ranks either first or second in each of the other tumors as well. Indeed, miR-21 has been called the most dysregulated miRNA in cancer and noted the many biological processes, both normal and pathologic, in which miR-21 plays a major role [74]. In the HCC TCGA data, both miR-122 and miR-192 were very highly expressed. In comparison with data from the six other cancers, miR-192 was also highly expressed whereas, not surprisingly, miR-122 was barely detected. Interestingly, among the most highly expressed miRNAs in other tumors are the five members of the miR-200 family (miR-200a,b,c; miR-141 and miR-429). In the HCC TCGA data, members of the miR-200 family are barely expressed. These miRNAs are involved in the crucial epithelial to mesenchymal transition and are routinely over-expressed in adenocarcinomas [75]. HCC is not an adenocarcinoma and its etiology is tied to exposure to certain viruses such as hepatitis B (HBV) and hepatitis C (HCV) as well as other liver-specific pathologies such as cirrhosis. Takahashi et al. [76] note that miR-200a is down-regulated in chronic liver tissue injury, including HCV infection. Thus, the very different expression pattern of the miR-200 family members in HCC compared to other cancers is related to the difference in the route of carcinogenesis in HCC. Other miRNAs displaying HCC-specific expression in the TCGA rankings are miR-194-1,2, miR-217, and miR-885. It has been shown in mice that miR-217 levels are dramatically increased in liver cells in response to chronic ethanol exposure and that such increases result in excess fat accumulation [77]. Chronic alcohol exposure in humans leads to cirrhosis, a well-established HCC precursor. MiR-194 is a marker of hepatic epithelial cells but over-expression in liver cancer cells in mice inhibits metastases [78]. Finally, miR-885 has been reported to activate the tumor suppressor p53 and to inhibit proliferation and survival [79]. Thus, it is not surprising to see that this miRNA exhibits quite low expression in adenocarcinoma TCGA ranks. That it is substantially more highly expressed in HCC by comparison is puzzling since the rate of p53 mutation in HCC is very low except in areas of the world where aflatoxin-contaminated foods are routinely consumed [80]. However, Gui et al. [81] identified significantly high levels of circulating miR-885 to be characteristic of both cirrhosis and HCC. Thus, miR-885 may well be important to a liver-specific pathologic process independent of p53.

9. Conclusions

In summary, the mechanisms of the drug resistance in HCC are complicated and remain unclear. Genetic heterogeneity may be the primary resistance mechanism of HCC to chemotherapy. Multiple mechanisms can induce the overexpression of FOXM1 and MTDH, two pivotal master regulators involved in drug resistance and metastasis. As shown in Figure 1C, there are several positive feedback loops between the activation of FOXM1 and MTDH. First, MTDH can activate the PI3K/AKT pathway, suppressing FOXO3a mediated transcription repression to FOXM1 and activating c-myc [82,83]. MTDH may also activate FOXM1 by interacting with NPM1 [31,38]. Second, MTDH can release the transcription repression to c-myc by interacting with the promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger protein (PLZF) [45]. C-myc can directly increase MTDH transcription and inhibits the suppression by miR-
Third, FOXM1 can directly regulate c-myc transcription and c-myc can release the suppression of FOXM1 by miR-134 and miR-149 [19,84]. Chemotherapy can also simultaneously activate the addiction switches and compensatory pathways, such as FOXM1 protein stability induction by CHK2, leading to acquired resistance and metastasis [85,86]. Further investigation of the feedback loops of FOXM1, MTDH, c-myc and microRNAs will better our understanding of the mechanisms of drug resistance in HCC and inform strategies for mitigating it.
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