A Simple and Efficient Adaptive ISM-Band Antenna Based on a Reconfigurable Optically Driven Parasitic Structure
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Abstract: This paper describes the development and the realization of an adaptive antenna based on a reconfigurable parasitic structure. The geometry of the proposed antenna is circular, and it is composed by an active omni-directional radiator, surrounded by a number of parasitic elements that can be optically activated and configured as a director or as a reflector. The optical switches are activated by means of optic fibers in order to avoid electromagnetic perturbations. The optimized structure of the parasitic elements permits a high versatility since each parasitic element can act as reflector or director. Thanks to this structure, integrated with a suitable control software, it is possible to obtain a directive beam pattern that can be opportunely set in order to maximize the coupling with a desired signal and to attenuate the presence of interfering signals. A prototype has been designed, developed and experimentally assessed. The prototype has been integrated with a control software and the whole system has been numerically and experimentally tested. A good agreement between numerical and experimental results has been obtained demonstrating the capabilities of the proposed antenna prototype.
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1. Introduction

In the last few years, there has been a growing interest in the design of communication systems able to operate in the presence of interfering signals. In this framework adaptive phased-array antennas, commonly used in several fields of applications [1], play an important role since they are able to receive with different gain values desired and interfering signals coming from directions. This task is accomplished by continuously adapting the amplitudes and phases of the array elements in order to place maximum gain values in correspondence with the direction of desired signals and to place nulls in the beam pattern in correspondence with the interfering signals’ directions. Several methodologies have been proposed in scientific literature for the optimal synthesis of the array weights in terms of amplitude as well as phase [2,3]. Some techniques operate by only acting on the phase terms of the array elements weights; in [4], the author describes a method for adaptive phase-nulling of linear arrays based on genetic algorithms (GA), and other works [5,6] are focused on the problem of the re-adaption of GA to new interfering scenarios, while, in [7], a new approach based on a stochastic algorithm called particle swarm (PSO) [8] has been successfully used for the control of planar adaptive phased-arrays in complex interfering scenarios. In general, the architecture of phased arrays employed in adaptive systems are still quite complex and expensive, especially for a larger number of elements. In the past, in order to reduce the complexity and the cost of adaptive antenna arrays, some simple antennas, consisting of a single active element (connected to the transmitter/receiver), and a number of parasitic elements loaded with passive electronically controlled loads have been proposed for military applications [9]. Recently, this class of antennas has also been proposed in the framework of adaptive
wireless systems [10,11]. The general structure of these antennas consists of a large number of parasitic elements placed on circles around an active radiator, and each parasitic element is loaded with an electronically controllable impedance. As it can be shown, the antenna beam pattern can be modified by changing the electrical properties of impedance value connected to each parasitic element in order to improve the signal-to-noise ratio S/N at the antenna output port. The voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) values of such antennas strongly depend on the electrical state of the loads connected to the parasitic elements; consequently, during the control process, it is necessary to also take into account the VSWR parameter and of its variations in order to obtain overall good performances. A class of periodic structures called electromagnetic band gap materials (EBG) has been recently successfully used in some antenna applications [12–15]. The most important characteristic of these materials is their ability to permit or inhibit the propagation of electromagnetic waves by introducing defects into their periodical structure. In addition, this concept has been used in order to develop reconfigurable directive antennas—for example, in [16], a cylindrical antenna based on an electromagnetic band gap structure composed of metallic wires has been designed, fabricated and tested. The antenna prototype developed in [16] permits to obtain a directive beam by acting on diodes periodically placed in the EBG structure. In this paper, the design of an efficient reconfigurable antenna characterized by a simple structure is presented. The proposed antenna consists of an active element surrounded by a circular parasitic structure. Each element of the structure can work independently as reflector or director, in order to steer the beam in a given direction, acting like a Uda–Yagi antenna, or to shield the active element from unwanted interfering signals. The parasitic structure is controlled by means of optical connections in order to limit the electromagnetic field perturbations and each element can assume three different states; reflector, director or be transparent to the electromagnetic field (disabled). The state of elements of the parasitic structure is controlled in real time by means of a suitable algorithm also successfully adopted by the author for the control of planar phased arrays [7]. The goal of the real-time control algorithm is to select the state of each parasitic element of the reconfigurable structures in order to steer the beam in a given direction, or to mitigate the effects of unwanted interfering signals. The main advantages of the proposed antenna, if compared with a standard phased array or other parasitic antennas, are the low costs deriving from the hardware simplicity, the low power required to activate the parasitic elements, the stability of input impedance versus the number of enabled parasitic elements, and the high values of the front to back ratio. The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 is devoted to the mathematical formulation, while in Section 3 the design of the antenna and the development of the prototype is described. In particular Section 3.1 is aimed at the description of the control algorithm. In Section 3.2 numerical and experimental assessment are carried out. In Section 3.3 the reference configuration has been experimentally assessed. Sections 3.4 and 3.5 reports some selected numerical and experimental results, considering different operative conditions, such as the identification of the direction of arrival of a desired signal or the shielding of interfering signals. Final comments and conclusions are drawn in Section 4.

2. Mathematical Formulation

Let us consider the generic radiating structure composed of an active element surrounded by \( N \) loaded parasitic elements, as depicted in Figure 1. The active element is connected to an radio frequency (RF) receiver or transmitter and each parasitic element is loaded with an impedance \( Z_{Pj} \), \( j = 1, ..., N \). This structure can act as a reconfigurable antenna, in particular the beam patter of the active element can be controlled by opportuneely varying the values of load impedances connected to the parasitic elements that surround the active element. The electrical parameters describing the behaviour of the antenna system at the input ports of the active and of the parasitic elements, shown in Figure 1, are the following voltages and current vectors provided by:

\[
\vec{I} = [I_0, I_1, ..., I_j, ..., I_N],
\]
\[ \vec{V} = [V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_j, \ldots, V_N], \quad (2) \]

where the underscript \( j = 0 \) refers to the active element. Auto and mutual impedances at the input ports of the antenna system are represented by the matrix \([Z]\). In particular, \( z_{ij} \) (\( i \neq j \)) are the mutual impedances between elements of the structure and \( z_{jj} \) represent the auto impedance of the \( j \)-th element. The knowledge of the impedance matrix \([Z]\) is mandatory in order to calculate the current values in each element of the considered structure by the following:

\[ \vec{V} = [Z] \vec{I}. \quad (3) \]

The computation of \( z_{ij} \) values is not a trivial task and usually it is performed by means of a numerical methods. Following the formulation given in [17], it is possible to obtain the H-plane (\( \theta = 90^\circ \)) pattern factor as follows:

\[ F(\phi) = I_0 \sum_{j=0}^{N} L_j a_{0j} e^{j2\pi(x_j \cos \phi + y_j \sin \phi + z_j)}, \quad (4) \]

where \( I_0 \) is the current in the active element, \((x_j, y_j, z_j)\), and \( L_j \) (\( j = 0, \ldots, N \)) are the positions and the lengths of the linear elements of the array, respectively, and the coefficient \( a_{0j} \) (\( j = 0, \ldots, N \)) are the values of the currents on the antenna elements, normalized with respect to \( I_0 \). By introducing in
Equation (3) the electrical conditions given by the insertion of the load impedances $Z_{pj}$ on the antenna parasitic elements ($j = 1, \ldots, N$), the following system of equations is obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_0 &= z_{00} I_0 + z_{01} I_1 + \cdots + z_{0j} I_j + \cdots + z_{0N} I_N, \\
- \frac{I_0}{l_0} z_{p1} &= z_{10} I_0 + z_{11} I_1 + \cdots + z_{1j} I_j + \cdots + z_{1N} I_N, \\
- \frac{I_j}{l_j} z_{pj} &= z_{j0} I_0 + z_{j1} I_1 + \cdots + z_{jj} I_j + \cdots + z_{jN} I_N, \\
- \frac{I_N}{l_N} Z_{PN} &= z_{N0} I_0 - z_{N1} I_1 - \cdots - z_{NJ} I_j - \cdots - z_{NN} I_N.
\end{align*}
$$

(5)

According to (5), the coefficients $a_{0j} = \frac{I_j}{l_j}$ ($j = 1, \ldots, N$) may be computed by solving the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{01} &= \frac{I_j}{l_j} = -\frac{z_{10}}{(z_{p1} + z_{11})} - a_{02} \frac{z_{21}}{(z_{p1} + z_{11})} - \cdots - a_{0N} \frac{z_{N1}}{(z_{p1} + z_{11})}, \\
a_{0j} &= \frac{I_j}{l_j} = -\frac{z_{j0}}{(z_{pj} + z_{jj})} - a_{01} \frac{z_{1j}}{(z_{pj} + z_{jj})} - \cdots - a_{0(j-1)} \frac{z_{(j-1)}j_{j-1}}{(z_{pj} + z_{jj})} - a_{0(j+1)} \frac{z_{j(j+1)}}{(z_{pj} + z_{jj})} - \cdots - a_{0N} \frac{z_{jN}}{(z_{pj} + z_{jj})}, \\
a_{0N} &= \frac{I_j}{l_j} = -\frac{z_{N0}}{(z_{pN} + z_{NN})} - a_{01} \frac{z_{1N}}{(z_{pN} + z_{NN})} - \cdots - a_{0(N-1)} \frac{z_{N(N-1)}}{(z_{p(N-1)} + z_{(N-1)(N-1)})}.
\end{align*}
$$

(6)

The relationships (6) show that a possible method for the control the pattern factor, given in (4), is based on the loads variations $Z_{pj}$. In particular, by assuming $Z_{pj} \to \infty$, the contribution of the $j$-th element, $(a_{0j} \to 0)$ can be neglected obtaining a disabled state of the $j$-th element. This function in practise may be employed in order to re-configure the pattern factor of the antenna by enabling or disabling the elements, simply adopting as loads for the parasitic elements $N$ switches. Let us consider a more specific reference structure based on a $\lambda/4$ monopole and having radius equal to $d = \lambda/4$. Each parasitic element is composed by a microstrip structure printed on a planar dielectric substrate, subdivided in two isolated metallic sections that can be reconfigured by driving two switches.
To steer the main beam in a given direction, it is necessary to set one or more parasitic elements in the main beam direction (as director), while one or more parasitic elements placed in the back (opposite)
direction must be chosen as longer than resonant length (reflector). The remaining parasitic elements have to be deactivated in order to minimize the perturbations on the electromagnetic field generated by the active element, the directors and the reflectors. The antenna reconfigurability is obtained by introducing in the geometry of each parasitic element an upper switch, as shown in Figure 3b, in order to set them as director (upper switch open) or as reflector (upper switch closed), while in order to deactivate an element of the structure another switch set the upper gaps from the ground plane and the presence of a reference ground plane of infinite extent is assumed. The iterative process continues until \( k \) trial solutions, a maximum number of iterations \( K \) or \( k = K \) or \( \Gamma^{opt} = \min_k \{ \min_u \{ \Gamma(\xi^{(u)}) \} \} \), \( K \) is the maximum number of iterations and \( \Gamma \) the convergence threshold. As shown in the following sections, devoted to the numerical and experimental validation of the reconfigurable antenna, in addition to the parasitic elements considered in the reference configuration (a reflector and a director), the control algorithm developed and integrated with the reconfigurable antenna can activate additional parasitic elements, as directors or reflectors, in order to increase the gain in the direction of arrival of the desired signal and at the same time to increase the attenuation in the direction of interfering signals.

3. The Antenna Design and Prototype Development

In this section, the design, the optimization and the development of a prototype of the proposed reconfigurable antenna are reported. Concerning the design and optimization procedure previously detailed, the specific PSO adopted for minimizing the cost function (7) considers a swarm of \( U = 20 \) trial solutions, a maximum number of iterations \( K = 250 \) and a threshold \( \epsilon = 10^{-2} \). The other parameters of the PSO have been set according to the reference literature [19,21]. The following antenna constraints in the working frequency band have been taken into account in the optimization procedure: a voltage-standing-wave-ratio \( VSWR < 2 \), a front to back ratio \( FBR > 8 \) and a gain \( G > 5 \) dBi. In particular, the active and the parasitic elements of the antenna have been designed to
work in the ISM band in the range between 2.4 GHz and 2.5 GHz, the active element is fabricated with a copper hollow pipe with a diameter \( d = 5 \) mm, and a length \( L = 0.030 \) m, a tuning screw mounted on the top of the monopole permits to vary the monopole length in the range \( 0.030 \leq L \leq 0.033 \) in order to better tune the antenna resonant frequency. The geometrical constraints are not critical parameters because the proposed antenna is intended for automotive applications, however, in order to obtain a reasonably limited structure, a maximum volume having dimensions equal to \( 15 \times 15 \times 5 \) cm\(^3\) has been assumed. In order to show the required computational effort, in Figure 4, the plot of the cost function versus the iteration number, recorded during the optimization process, is shown; as it can be noticed, the optimization required about \( K = 150 \) iterations. According to the results of the optimization procedure \( (d = 0.25\lambda, w = 0.12\lambda, h = 0.32\lambda, s_g = 0.008\lambda, p_g = 0.16\lambda) \) (where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength at the centre of the ISM band), a prototype of the reconfigurable antenna has been built. As shown in the photograph in Figure 5, each parasitic element has been built by using a photo lithographic printing circuit technology, and it has been equipped with two switches, namely the SFH350 photo-transistor. The electric characteristics of the SFH350 switches have been measured by means of a network analyser aimed at measuring the impedance in the two states’ opening/closing of the switch. In particular, \( Z_{\text{on}}^{\text{SFH350}} = 9.12 + j28 \) and \( Z_{\text{off}}^{\text{SFH350}} = 12.19 + j32 \) in the central band 2.45 GHz; however, a small variation below \( \pm5\% \) at the boundary of the considered frequency range. In order to minimize the perturbation of the electromagnetic behaviour of the reconfigurable antenna, the parasitic elements have been equipped with optical switches, allowing a completely dielectric driving of the reconfigurable structure. The eight parasitic elements and the active element have been assembled on a dielectric cylindrical surface, and the resulting antenna structure has been placed on a reference ground plane having dimensions equal to \( 15 \times 15 \) cm\(^2\), while, during experimental activities, a ground plane of \( 150 \times 150 \) cm\(^2\) has been used. A photograph of the prototype during the development phase is shown in Figure 6, where only four optical switches can be observed; the prototype at this stage of the development (with optical switches only on a director and a reflector) has been employed for the experimental validation of the reference configuration, as described in the Section 3.3. As it can be noticed from the photo in Figure 6, the number of parasitic elements is \( M = 8 \), and it has been chosen considering a compromise between shielding capabilities and mechanical constraints. In particular, the number of parasitic elements cannot be increased too much due to mechanical constraints and mutual coupling effects. On the contrary, much too low \( M \) strongly decreases the antenna shielding performances. The considered number of parasitic elements has been chosen after a set of numerical and experimental tests, and \( M = 8 \) offers good shielding and direction of arrival (DOA) performances and a reasonable compactness.
3.1. The Control Algorithm

As described in the previous sections, the reconfigurable antenna proposed in the paper have $M = 8$ reconfigurable parasitic elements and an active element. By acting on two optical switches,
each parasitic element can assume three different states: reflector, director or disabled. The antenna can assume $3^M$ different states and the antenna configurations can be represented by means of a binary vector $W$ of length $2M$. The control algorithm has been designed in order to give to the reconfigurable antenna two different functionality: (a) tracking of the direction of arrival (DOA) of a signal, and (b) shielding of interfering signals. The development of the control module of the reconfigurable antenna has been done recasting the required functions as optimization problems, and, due to the discrete nature of the related search problem, a binary version of the PSO optimizer, recently experimented on by the authors for the control of planar phased arrays [7], has been adopted. The following steps summarize the application of the binary PSO strategy by focusing on its customization to the real-time control of the adaptive antenna.

1. Coding.
The proposed antenna prototype is controlled by means of a binary vector $W$ of length $2M$, which represents the states of the optical switches. A swarm of $P$ particles $\Omega_s = \{W^{(p,m)}; p = 1, \ldots, P, m = 1, \ldots, 2M\}$ represents a set of $P$ trial solutions. With each position vector, $W^{(p)}$ is associated with a velocity vector $v^{(p,m)} = \{v^{(p,m)}; p = 1, \ldots, P, m = 1, \ldots, 2M\}$.

2. Initialization.
The particles’ positions vectors $\Omega_s = \{W^{(p)}; p = 1, \ldots, P\}$ as well as their velocities vectors $V_s = \{v^{(p)}; p = 1, \ldots, P\}$ are randomly initialized.

3. Iteration updating.
The iteration index is updated $k = k + 1$.

4. Fitness evaluation.
At this step, the fitness of each particle is evaluated. Two different cost functions are considered, dependently on the operative mode considered. In particular, for DOA identification, the goal is to steer the antenna main beam in the direction of the desired signal (assuming that there aren’t co-channel interfering signals). The received power $P_{rec}(W^{(p)})$ collected at the output port of the active element is used as a cost function:

$$f_{\text{track}}(W^{(p)}) = \max \left\{ P_{rec}(W^{(p)}) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

When a shielding operation is required, the DOA and the reference power $P_{ref}$ related to the desired signal in the absence of any interfering signals is assumed to be known, so the first operation to perform is to steer the beam along the direction of the desired signal, as for DOA search, and to measure the received reference power $P_{ref}$; the following cost function is considered:

$$f_{\text{shield}}(W^{(p)}) = P_{ref} + \min \left\{ P_j(W^{(p)}) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where $P_j$ is the received power due to the presence of interfering signals. After the evaluation of the particles’ fitness, the global $G_k^{(p)}$ and the previous best position vector $P_k^{(p)}$ [8] of the swarm are identified.

5. Iteration updating.
The iteration index is updated $k = k + 1$.

6. Termination strategy.
The control algorithm is stopped when the fitness is stationary for about $k = 20$ consecutive time-steps.
7. Velocity and position updating.

The velocity of each particle is updated according to the following relation:

\[
v_k^{(p,m)} = \begin{cases} 
  v_{\text{max}}, & \text{if } v_k^{(p,m)} > v_{\text{max}}, \\
  -v_{\text{max}}, & \text{if } v_k^{(p,m)} < -v_{\text{max}}, \\
  v_k^{(p,m)}, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( v_{\text{max}} \) is a clamping value [8], and \( v_k^{(p,m)} \) is given

\[
v_k^{(p,m)} = w v_{k-1}^{(p,m)} + C_1 \delta_1 \left\{ P_k^{(p,m)} - \psi_k^{(p,m)} \right\} + C_2 \delta_2 \left\{ G_k^{(p,m)} - \psi_k^{(p,m)} \right\},
\]

where \( C_1, C_2 \) are two constants called cognition and social acceleration, respectively, \( \delta_1 \) and \( \delta_2 \) are two positive random numbers in the range between \([0:1]\). The particle position is then updated as follows:

\[
W_k^{(p,m)} = \begin{cases} 
  1, & \text{if } W_k^{(p,m)} < S(v_k^{(p,m)}), \\
  0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( S(v_k^{(p,m)}) = \frac{1}{1+\exp(-v_k^{(p,m)})} \) is the sigmoid function. Then, return to step 2.

3.2. Numerical and Experimental Validation

This section is aimed at the numerical and experimental of the proposed antenna prototype. The experimental results obtained with the reference configuration are compared with the corresponding numerical values; then, a selected set of experimental results, concerning different environmental conditions are presented in order to assess the capability and the current limitations of the proposed reconfigurable antenna. The beam pattern has been measured considering the central frequency \( f_c = 2.45 \) GHz. The working frequency has been varied in the whole considered frequency range. The antenna beam patterns at different frequencies and operative parasitic structure configurations have been considered. The antenna performances are quite stable in the considered frequency band, demonstrating the capabilities and the efficacy of the parasitic structure. Only the active element requires a tuning by acting on the tuning screw located on the top of the active monopole. As far as the binary particle swarm optimizer (BPSO) control parameters adopted in the control algorithm are concerned, the following parametric configuration has been used: a swarm of \( P = 20 \) trial configurations has been considered and \( K = 20 \), while the other PSO parameters have been chosen according to the guidelines suggested in [7].

3.3. Experimental Testing of the Reference Configuration (One Reflector and One Director)

In order to assess the optimized reference configuration, a geometry composed of a parasitic element configured as a director and another element set as a reflector in the opposite direction. The parasitic elements’ configuration has been choose to steer the main beam along a direction of \( \phi = 90 \) degrees, while the other parasitic elements have been placed in the disabled state. Figure 6 shows the reconfigurable antenna prototype employed for the experimental activity, with the optical switches assembled only on a director and a reflector, and the other parasitic elements with all the gaps open (disabled state). The measured normalized beam-patterns reported in Figure 7 points out the capability of the antenna to steer the beam along the correct direction, in particular the main beam is positioned along the direction of the parasitic element that acts as a director, while, on the back side, along the direction of the parasitic element configured as a reflector, an attenuation of about 10 dB can be observed. For the sake of comparison, in Figure 7, the experimental results have been compared with the ones obtained with numerical simulation, in particular the numerical simulations
have been performed both in the presence of the other parasitic elements in disabled state (continuous red line) and, without them, in order to verify the transparency of the parasitic structure when placed in a disabled state. As can be observed in Figure 7, the behaviour of the reference configuration isn’t modified by the presence of the deactivated parasitic elements. For completeness, also measured and computed values of VSWR, front to back (FTB) ratio, and Gain have been compared and the results are reported in Table 1. The data reported in Table 1 shows that both measured and simulated results satisfy the antenna design requirements.

**Figure 7.** Numerical assessment, reference configuration one reflector and one director. Comparisons between numerical results (red line) and measured data, with parasitic elements disabled (blu cross) and completely removed (red cross).

**Table 1.** Numerical assessment. Comparisons between computed and measured antenna parameters, with enabled and disabled parasitic elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enabled Parasitic Elements</th>
<th>Disabled Parasitic Elements</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VSWR</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTB</td>
<td>11.0 dBi</td>
<td>11.0 dBi</td>
<td>9.0 dBi</td>
<td>&gt;8 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>10.0 dBi</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>8.1 dBi</td>
<td>&gt;5 dBi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4. Numerical Validation of the Reconfigurable Antenna

In order to test the capabilities of the proposed reconfigurable antenna and of the control algorithm, first of all, two numerical experiments have been done. To this end, the control software has been integrated with an MoM electromagnetic simulator in order to simulate the behaviour of the whole system, composed by the reconfigurable antenna and the control algorithm. The first experiment has been devoted to the identification of the DOA of a signal, which impinges on the antenna from a direction of \( \phi = 0 \) degrees, and the considered working frequency is \( f = 1 \) GHz (this frequency has been used only for the numerical validation and in order to compare the performances of the proposed antenna geometry with the results of other prototypes presented in scientific literature). The data reported in Figure 8 shows the behaviour of the cost function (8) versus the iteration number \( k \) of the control algorithm; the data of Figure 8 points out the effectiveness of the control algorithm, which is able to steer the beam of the reconfigurable antenna in the correct direction in about eight iterations.
For the sake of comparison with a similar reconfigurable antenna, the obtained results have been compared with the ones experimentally obtained with the antenna prototype [11]; as it can be noticed in the normalized far-field beam pattern reported in Figure 9a, the two antennas are both able to steer the main beam in the correct direction (along $\phi = 0$), reaching similar performances. The prototype proposed in [11], composed of 13 parasitic elements against the eight elements of the proposed antenna prototype, presents a better FTB. In the second experiment, a single interfering signal that impinges on the antenna at $\phi = 0$ degrees has been considered. In this case, the considered cost function is (9) and the reference power is chosen equal to $P_{\text{ref}} = 0$. Figure 9b shows the simulated field patterns along the plane $\theta = 90$ obtained after $k = 4$ iterations (a director and a reflector are enabled) and when the cost function becomes stationary for $k = K_{\text{opt}} = 12$ (three reflectors are activated). In Figure 9b, the far-field pattern obtained in [11] with an antenna prototype characterized by 25 parasitic elements (green line) and configured in the corresponding environmental condition is also reported. After twelve iterations of the control algorithm, the optimal antenna configuration is characterized by three different reflectors, and, in this configuration, the measured and computed VSWR values were 1.89 and 1.85, respectively, the measured FTB was 14.1 against a computed value of 15.0. In the direction of the interfering signal, the antenna shows a gain of about $-14.2$ dBi (measured) versus a computed value of $-15.6$ dBi. Despite the limited number of parasitic elements, the proposed prototype is able to reach performances comparable with the ones obtained with the antenna characterized by twenty-five parasitic elements.

In order to assess the interferences’ rejection capabilities of the antenna, several numerical experiments have been done; a desired signal impinging on the reconfigurable antenna from the direction $\phi = 0$ has been assumed. As far as the interference scenario is concerned, a single interfering signal characterized with a power equal to the power of the desired signal has been considered. The direction of the interfering signal $\phi_S$ has been varied in the range from 0 up to 180 degrees. In Figure 10, the values of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) versus the direction of arrival (DOA) of the interfering signal is reported. As expected, when the DOA of the interfering signal is quite closer to the direction of the desired signal, the SNR value is near to 0 dB. However, when the interfering signal impinges on the antenna with a DOA far away from the direction of the desired signal, the shielding capabilities of the antenna permits achieving satisfactory values of SNR.
Figure 9. Numerical assessment. Direction of arrival identification, (a) desired and (b) interfering signal ($\Phi = 90\degree$). Beam pattern along $\theta = 90\degree$ plane.

Figure 10. Numerical assessment. Signal-to-noise ratio versus different interfering signals.
3.5. Experimental Validation of the Reconfigurable Antenna

The functions of the antenna prototype have been experimentally tested in a semi-anechoic chamber, and the adopted measurement set-up is shown in Figure 11. The antenna under test has been placed on a rotating platform, in order to measure the antenna far-field pattern. All the electronic devices, as the optical driver, the receiver and the analogical to digital converter were controlled by means of the control algorithm running on a personal computer. As shown in Figure 11, two different transmitting antennas allows for generating a desired signal and, at the same time, by means of an RF switch and a power splitter, to add to the scenario, also an interfering signal in the same frequency band, according to the considered test procedure described in the following.

![Figure 11. Experimental assessment for multiple interfering signals scenario. Experimental set-up.](image)

3.5.1. DOA Identifications

The first experimental test deals with the tracking of a signal, which impinges on the antenna from the direction $\theta_d = 90$, $\phi_d = 30$. In this first experiment, in order to emphasize the tracking capabilities of the control algorithm, no interfering signals have been considered. Figure 12 shows the measured and simulated far-field beam patterns in the H-plane. Figure 12 also shows the optimal antenna configuration, characterized by one reflector and two directors. For the sake of completeness, Table 2 reports the measured and computed VSWR, FTB ratio and Gain values. As it can be observed from the data reported in Table 2, the performances of the antenna are quite satisfactory, only the measured front to back parameter is near the limit of the imposed requirements. The second experiment concerns the tracking of a given desired signal, with a direction of arrival equal to $\theta_d = 90$ and $\phi_d = -150$. The achieved antenna configuration, reached after about ten iterations of the control algorithm, is characterized by two reflectors and two directors, and it is characterized by a measured and simulated $\text{VSWR}$ of 1.92 and 1.81, respectively, a measured $\text{FTB} = 9.3$ (the simulated value was $\text{FTB} = 11.5$) and a gain of about $G = 8.1$ dBi (measured) versus a computed value of $G = 10.1$ dBi. As it can be observed from the field pattern reported in Figure 13, the main beam of the antenna is correctly steered along the DOA of the desired signal. The red arrow reported in Figure 13 represents the desired signal direction of arrival.
Figure 12. Experimental assessment. Single desired signal, impinging direction ($\phi = 90$). Beam-pattern ($\theta = 90$) plane.

Figure 13. Experimental assessment. Single desired signal, tracking example, impinging direction ($\phi = -150$). Beam-pattern ($\theta = 90$) plane.

Table 2. Experimental assessment. Comparison between computed and measured antenna parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Computed</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VSWR</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTB</td>
<td>9.0 dBi</td>
<td>8.2 dBi</td>
<td>&gt;8 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>11.0 dBi</td>
<td>7.0 dBi</td>
<td>&gt;5 dBi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.5.2. Interfering Signal Shielding

The goal of the following experiment is to assess the capability of the antenna prototype and of the control algorithm to minimize the effects of an interfering signal, which impinges on the antenna from an unknown direction. As far as the interference scenario is concerned, the power of the interfering signals is set to 20 dB above the desired signal (the power of the interference signal is 100 times greater than that of the desired signal). Without loss of generality, such a scenario has been adopted in order to allow the control software to evaluate in a simple way the effects of an interfering signals; in this simple configuration, first of all only the desired signal impinges on the reconfigurable antenna and a reference power is recorded. Then, a stronger interfering signal is activated, the control software detects a different signal to interference ratio and reconfigures the antenna in order to place a zero in the direction of arrival of the interfering signal and to recover the reference power level. In this experiment, the DOA of the desired signal and the power ratio between the desired and interfering signals are assumed known quantities. These strong hypotheses can be easily removed assuming to insert in the system a module able to detect the real signal to interference ratio. The direction of the desired and interfering signals are \((\theta_d = 90, \phi_d = 45)\) and \((\theta_i = 90, \phi_i = -70)\), respectively. The antenna has been initially configured activating a director along the direction of the desired signal and a reflector in the backside. The received power has been measured; then, the interfering signal has been activated together with the control algorithm. Figure 14 shows the normalized measured received power at the output port of the antenna versus the iteration number of the control algorithm; as it can be noticed, after the arrival of the jammer indicated in Figure 14 by an arrow (iteration \(k = 4\)), the control algorithm tried to keep the received power to the reference value (green line), by activating the elements of the reconfigurable structure. Figure 14 also reports the initial and the final antenna configuration. In Figure 15, the shielding capabilities of the antenna are more evident: in correspondence with the DOA of the interference signal, the field pattern shows an attenuation of about \(-17\) dB. In this case, the antenna parameters are kept below the requirements, in particular the measured \(VSWR = 1.72\) against the computed value of 1.60, a measured \(FTB = 8.5\) (the simulated value was 12.1), and a gain of about \(G = 7.9\) dBi (measured) versus a computed value of 10.0 dBi.

![Figure 14](image-url)

**Figure 14.** Experimental assessment. Single interfering signal scenario. Behaviour of the normalized receiving power versus iteration number.
Figure 15. Experimental assessment. Single interference signal, tracking example, impinging direction \((\theta_i = 90, \phi_i = 70)\). Beam-pattern \((\theta = 90)\) plane.

4. Conclusions

The design, optimization and assessment of an adaptive antenna based on a reconfigurable parasitic structure has been described. The proposed antenna consists of a circular reconfigurable parasitic structure, which surrounds a quarter wave monopole (the active element). Each parasitic element of the reconfigurable structure can be optically enabled and acts as a reflector or director in order to steer the main beam in a given direction (acting like a Uda–Yagi array) or to shield the effects of an undesired interfering signal. The reconfigurable structure is driven by means of optical switches in order to limit the electromagnetic field perturbations, and it is controlled by means of a binary PSO algorithms able to choose the state of the eight parasitic elements in order to obtain the adaptivity of the antenna. The geometry of the parasitic structure has been optimized through a suitable particle swarm algorithm in order to comply the electrical requirements in the operative frequency band, as well as the geometrical constraints. An antenna prototype has been designed and built. In order to assess the effectiveness of the prototype, the reconfigurable antenna has been tested considering different operative conditions, such as signal tracking and interfering signals shielding. Numerical and experimental results demonstrated the capabilities of the proposed antenna to track a desired signal or to attenuate the effects of interfering signals.
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