Noisiness of the Surfaces on Low-Speed Roads
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Abstract: Traffic noise is a particular threat to the environment in the vicinity of roads. The level of the noise is influenced by traffic density and traffic composition, as well as vehicle speed and the type of surface. The article presents the results of studies on tire/road noise from passing vehicles at a speed of 40–80 kph, carried out by using the statistical pass-by method (SPB), on seven surfaces with different characteristics. It has been shown that increasing the speed from 40 kph to 50 kph contributes to the increase in the maximum A-weighted sound pressure level by about 3 dB, regardless of the type of surface. For larger differences in speed (30 kph–40 kph) increase in noise levels reaches values about 10 dB. In the case of higher speeds, this increase is slightly lower. In this article, special attention is paid to the noisiness from surfaces made of porous asphalt concrete (PAC), BBTM (thin asphalt layer), and stone mastic asphalt (SMA) with a maximum aggregate size of 8 mm and 5 mm. It has also been proved that surfaces of porous asphalt concrete, within two years after the commissioning, significantly contribute to a reduction of the maximum level of noise in the streets and roads with lower speed of passing cars. Reduction of the maximum A-weighted sound pressure level of a statistical car traveling at 60 kph reaches values of up to about 6 dB, as compared with the SMA11. Along with the exploitation of the road, air voids in the low-noise surface becomes clogged and acoustic properties of the road decrease to a level similar to standard asphalt.
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1. Introduction

The increasing traffic of vehicles requires different safeguards to reduce the noise level in the surrounding of road routes. Such safeguards most often include noise barriers and low-noise surfaces. In some countries, low-noise surfaces are recommended and quite commonly used on roads of higher speeds. In the literature, solutions with the application of single and double layer of porous asphalt and thin asphalt layer are discussed in great detail [1–3]. There are examples given for the reduction of noise by 5–6 dB, and, in the case of the application of layers of poroelastic surfaces, up to about 10 dB [4,5]. In many research centers, there are ongoing studies on the impact of the number and thickness of the layer, the addition of rubber granulate [6,7], the air void content, and macrotexture on the tire/road noise [8,9]. The analysis also includes the acoustic durability of upper layers of the road during the operation [10–14], as well as the influence of temperature and humidity of the surface on noise level [15–17]. Moreover, there are ongoing works on developing a systematic method of cleaning surfaces, allowing the preservation of the beneficial acoustic properties of surfaces with increased air void content, possibly in the long term.

Very often, construction of noise barriers as a solution against noise, in the case of the streets, and along roads of lesser importance, is not possible. One way to reduce the noise level then is applying low-noise surfaces. In some countries, wearing courses of porous asphalt are used on city...
streets and on low-speed roads [18,19]. At low vehicle speeds, however, these surfaces become clogged in a relatively short period of time, and, after a few years of operation, tire/road noise level on such roads reaches values comparable to the noise level on the standard asphalt concrete (AC) and stone mastic asphalt (SMA) surfaces. Besides the loss of good acoustic properties of the surface, its structural durability decreases because of the high air void content in the wearing course. A better solution in such cases is applying thin layers of asphalt type BBTM (thin asphalt layer) and SMA, with a maximum aggregate size of 8 mm (SMA8) or even 5 mm (SMA5).

So far most of the streets of Polish cities and the roads of local importance were built with asphalt concrete. In recent years, wearing courses of reduced noisiness have been used in road construction. As the basic solutions, there are BBTM8, SMA8, SMA5 (the number means a maximum aggregate size in millimetre), and in some cases also single and double layer of porous asphalt. In historical parts of old cities or in old towns on the urban roads, paving stones and concrete block paving are used.

The aim of the article is to assess noisiness of different types of surface used for roads and streets servicing cars moving at lower speeds. Additionally, there is an assessment on the effect of increase in the speed of cars, clogging, and local defects in the wearing course of porous asphalt on the increase in the level of tire/road noise.

2. Experiments

The study covered seven road sections of the following types of surface (Figure 1):  

- stone mastic asphalt with a maximum aggregate size 11 mm (SMA11), 8 mm (SMA8), and 5 mm (SMA5);
- single layer of porous asphalt with a maximum aggregate size of 8 mm (PAC8);
- two layers of porous asphalt with a maximum aggregate size in the top layer 8 mm and the lower layer 16 mm (DPAC8+16);

![Figure 1](image-url)
The test sections were located on the streets and on roads of lower traffic speed. Due to the small share of multiple-axle heavy vehicles in the traffic on the streets of urban area and local roads only the maximum A-weighted sound pressure levels from cars passing at speeds from 40 to 80 kph were analyzed. The measurements on the tested surfaces made with SMA11, SMA8, SMA5, PAC8, and DPAC8+16 were conducted in the first year of their operation. Additionally, further measurements were carried out on the PAC8 surface after two and then four years of its exploitation. The paving surfaces remained in good state during all of the tests.

The evaluation of the impact of the type of road surface and the speed of passing vehicles on the noise level on the test sections was based on the assumptions of the statistical pass-by method (SPB) [20]. Measurements were carried out at a distance of 7.5 m from the axis of the lane, and at a height of 1.2 m in relation to the road surface, in the same weather conditions on all test sections. Due to difficulties in the recording of measurements in built-up areas, on certain sections the results could not cover 100 cars.

3. Analysis of the Results

3.1. Results

Figure 2 presents a comparison of results of noise levels tested on seven road surfaces as a logarithmic representation of speed.

Based upon the results of measurements, it was possible to determine regression dependencies allowing the calculation of the maximum A-weighted sound pressure level \( L_{\text{Amax}(1)} \) from the passing of a statistical car, at the assumed speed, according to Equation (1):

\[
L_{\text{Amax}(1)}(V) = A + B \cdot \log(V/V_o)
\]  

(1)

where \( L_{\text{Amax}(1)} \) is the maximum A-weighted sound pressure level (category 1—car) in dB; \( A \) and \( B \) are the coefficients of regression; \( V \) is the speed, kph; and \( V_o = 1 \) kph.
As a conclusion of the results of the measurements, 60 kph was adopted in the analysis as the reference speed for the test surfaces.

Table 1 compiles the average speed of tested vehicles ($V$) with standard deviations ($s$), the coefficients of regression ($A$ and $B$), the correlation coefficients ($R$), the maximum A-weighted sound pressure levels ($L_{A\text{max}(1)}$) from a statistical car passing at 60 kph, and accuracy measures (95% confidence interval—95% CI). As a conclusion of the results of the measurements, 60 kph was adopted in the analysis as the reference speed for the test surfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>$V$, kph</th>
<th>$s$, kph</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$L_{A\text{max}(1)}(60)$, dB</th>
<th>95% CI, dB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAC8</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>19.36</td>
<td>26.89</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPAC8+16</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>27.46</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA5</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td>31.03</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA8</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>17.94</td>
<td>30.03</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA11</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>12.85</td>
<td>33.94</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>36.46</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>35.12</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. The Effect of Surfaces on Tire/Road Noise

Figure 3 presents the $L_{A\text{max}(1)}$ values at 60 kph with accuracy measures, which is 95% confidence interval. Figure 4 shows differences in sound level on the studied surfaces in relation to the reference surface SMA11.
Presented values $L_{A_{max}(1)}$ (60 kph) generated by the passing of a statistical car at a speed of 60 kph confirm that the road surface has a significant impact on the level of noise, also in the range of low speeds. Paving stone surfaces are louder (by up to about 7 dB) and porous asphalt surfaces quieter (even by up to 6 dB) than the reference surface SMA11. The use of a smaller aggregate size in the stone mastic asphalt helps reduce the sound level by about 2 dB. Such differences can be explained on the basis of sound spectra coming from a statistical car passing at a speed of 60 kph (Figure 5).

In a range of studied frequency of 315–5000 Hz, the paving stone is defined by the highest levels of sound. Due to increased air void content, porous asphalt surfaces are characterized by significantly lower levels of sound than stone mastic asphalt pavements in the frequency range above 800 Hz. In the frequencies above 800 Hz, higher sound levels were recorded on the surface of SMA11 than on those of SMA8 and SMA5. Concrete paving blocks, characterized by evenly spaced interlocking and a fine texture on the surface of individual blocks, contribute to higher sound level than the SMA and porous asphalt at frequencies lower than 1000 Hz. Above the frequency of 1600 Hz, sound level on this surface is lower than on surfaces finished with SMA, and higher than that of the porous asphalt.

3.3. The Effects of Changes in the Speed of a Car on the Tire/Road Noise

Taking into account the relations given in Table 1, the differences were determined regarding sound levels on the test sections, with an increase in speed of a car by 10 kph, 20 kph, 30 kph, and 40 kph (in the range from 40 kph to 80 kph) (Figure 6). The increase in the speed of vehicles by 10 kph affects the increase in the maximum level of noise from a passing car by 2.6–3.6 dB, with an increase in speed by 4.8–6.5 dB at 20 kph; at 30 kph, by 6.6–8.9 dB; and, at 40 kph, by 8.1–11.0 dB.
Figure 6. Differences between the values of noise level on tested surfaces in relation to the speed of vehicles.

The analysis of the data in Figure 6 shows that the increase in noise level with the raising of speed at a lower level (40–50 kph) is greater than in the case of the higher speed range recorded in the study (70–80 kph). For example, as the speed was raised from 40 to 50 kph, the noise level on the PAC8 surface increased by 2.6 dB, and, in the increase in speed from 70 kph to 80 kph, by 1.5 dB. The noise level on the PS surface changed by 3.4 dB and 2.0 dB, respectively (Table 2).

Table 2. Differences between the values of noise level on PAC8 (in parenthesis: the values on paving stones) in relation to the speed of vehicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>40 kph</th>
<th>50 kph</th>
<th>60 kph</th>
<th>70 kph</th>
<th>80 kph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40 kph</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2.6 (3.4)</td>
<td>4.8 (6.1)</td>
<td>6.6 (8.5)</td>
<td>8.1 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 kph</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>2.2 (2.7)</td>
<td>4.0 (5.1)</td>
<td>5.5 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 kph</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>3.3 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 kph</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1.5 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 kph</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Lifetime Period of Porous Asphalt Surface and Tire/Road Noise

In the case of surfaces with an increased air void content, a significant problem is posed by regular deterioration of acoustic properties during operation. The main reasons for this are surface clogging and locally occurring damages—potholes and loss of aggregate. An example of this would be the PAC8 surface of the road located in the rural area (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Example of damages on the PAC8 surface after 4 years of operation: (a) pothole; (b) loss of aggregate.

Figure 8 shows the results of testing on the surface of a porous asphalt PAC8 in the first year and after two and four years of its operation, and Table 3 summarizes the results of the analysis.
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Figure 8. Results of SPB measurements on PAC8.

Table 3. Statistical information related to data SPB measurements on PAC8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lifetime Period</th>
<th>Amax (50)</th>
<th>Lmax (60)</th>
<th>Lmax (70)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAC8—1 year</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAC8—2 years</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAC8—4 years</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was found that, within four years of operation, there has been a significant increase in the level of noise from the passing of a statistical car (by about 7 dB). It should be noted here that a more significant increase was recorded within the higher range of vehicle speeds. The difference between the noise level in the first year and after four years was 6.7 dB for the speed of 50 kph, and 7.7 dB for 70 kph.

Spectral analysis of sounds (Figure 9) confirmed that the SMA11 above frequencies of 630 Hz is much louder than the surfaces of porous asphalt for up to two years of operation. Higher levels of sound at above 1000 Hz on the PAC8, after two years of service as compared to the levels determined in the first year of operation of the road, indicate a gradual clogging of this surface. Damages occurring on the PAC8 surface after four years of operation increase its macrotexture and create higher sound levels as compared with the levels of sound on the SMA11 in the frequency range of 500–1600 Hz. Lower levels of sound at frequencies above 1600 Hz recorded on the PAC8 surface, as compared to SMA11, confirm that despite some clogging and damage developing on it after four years of operation, PAC8 is still superior to a reference surface (SMA11) in terms of sound absorption.

Figure 9. A-weighted frequency spectra in 1/3 octave bands on PAC8 and SMA11 (SPB method, car, \( V = 60 \text{ kph} \)).
5. Summary

Roads and streets of lower speeds of passing vehicles are built both with standard asphalt pavements (AC and SMA), paving stones, concrete blocks paving, as well as low-noise surfaces (porous asphalt, thin asphalt layers). Noise level measurements conducted by using the SPB method on vehicles passing at 60 kph have shown that paving stones surfaces are louder by 6.8 dB in comparison to SMA11. Surfaces with reduced noise (PAC and DPAC) are quieter by 5.5–6.0 dB than the reference surface SMA11. Maximum aggregate size is a very significant feature in the stone mastics asphalt; its reduction from 11 mm to 5 mm decreases the sound level of a car by 2.5 dB.

It was discovered that increasing the speed of a car by 10 kph (from 40 to 50 kph) contributes to the increase in noise level from 2.6 dB to 3.6 dB. These differences are much greater (from 8.1 dB to 11.0 dB) when increasing speed by 40 kph. It was also found that the increase in the noise level at the speed of 40–50 kph is greater than at higher speeds.

Moreover, it was also demonstrated on the example of porous asphalt that clogging development during operation and local damages on the surface (potholes, loss of aggregate) decisively deteriorate its acoustic properties. After four years of operation, the PAC8 demonstrated a higher level of tire/road noise than a SMA11.
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