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#### Abstract

The significance of suspending molybdenum di-sulphide powder particles of two distinct mean size viz. $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ into the dielectric of electrical discharge machining is analysed. Crater geometry, surface crack density, skewness, kurtosis and chemical alteration of machined surfaces are considered as outcome measures. A numerical model using finite element analysis is developed to forecast crater geometry. To validate the proposed model, experiments are conducted by varying input parameters such as discharge duration, peak current, and gap voltage. In comparison with the experimental results, the proposed model predicts diameter of crater with an error of $3.34 \%$, $7.32 \%$ and $2.76 \%$ for discharge duration, peak current and gap voltage respectively for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ powder; similarly, $0.19 \%, 3.65 \%$ and $2.78 \%$ for $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ powder. Scanning electron microscope images, 2D roughness profiles and X-ray diffraction profiles are used to assess the partial discharge phenomena, surface crack density, skewness, kurtosis and chemical alteration of the machined surface. For all parameter settings, the $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ produced surfaces with lessened micro-cracks compared to $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ creates surfaces with negative skewness and kurtosis less than 3 . The deposition of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder particle on the machined surface is revealed through X-ray diffraction analysis.
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## 1. Introduction

Electrical discharge machining (EDM) is a widely accepted non-traditional subtractive machining technique employed to create intricate contours/forms on difficult-to-cut materials used in aerospace, automotive, marine, dies and mould-making industries [1,2]. Material removal in the EDM process occurs due to electro-thermal spark erosion phenomena [3,4]. In the EDM process, the tool and workpiece (hereafter 'electrodes') are connected to a high-frequency pulse generator. The electrodes are kept immersed inside a dielectric liquid (mostly hydrocarbon-based liquid) separated by a small distance called a 'spark gap'. When the power is switched on, the pulse generator supplies high-frequency pulses between the electrodes. As the pulses occur in the gap, the insulating property of the dielectric medium is broken transitorily, which allows a discharge (spark) to occur between the electrodes. As the discharge remains, continuous melting, evaporation of the dielectric liquid and electrode material takes place. Owing to electro-thermal heating, a molten pool and a compressed vapour bubble is formed on the electrode's surface and around the discharge respectively. The instant termination of electric pulse collapses the compressed vapour bubble, as a consequence the bubble bursts abruptly. As a result, a portion of the melted material present on the electrode's surface is expelled into the dielectric medium and the remainder is re-deposited back onto the adjacent region. Therefore, each pulse removes a minuscule volume of material from the electrode's surface which results in a crater forming [3-5]. Due to its tendency for reduced material removal, various techniques have been employed to improve the existing EDM process without sacrificing its inherent
characteristics. In the past, methods such as applying tool electrode rotation [6], ultrasonic vibration to workpiece or tool material [7], use of powder metallurgical tools [8] and suspending fine powder particles into the dielectric fluid with continuous stirring [5] were employed. Among these, suspending fine powder particles (metals, semi-conductor and ceramic) into the liquid dielectric, known as powder mixed EDM (PMEDM), has gained researchers' attention as it offers improved performance under the same operating conditions [9,10]. The process mechanics of PMEDM is identical to EDM except the dispersion of fine powder particles into the liquid dielectric. The suspended powder particles reduce the insulating strength of the dielectric. These powder particles act as secondary sites of discharges and enhances the discharge characteristics. Each secondary discharge produces a crater over the work surface [10-15]. The performance of the EDM or PMEDM process is entirely dependent on the crater morphology. Therefore, understanding the formation of craters on any machined surface is mandatory as it demonstrates the EDM or PMEDM process characteristics.

Several disciplines such as electric, thermal, magnetic, hydraulic, and dynamics involved in EDM process which make process modelling a complex stochastic phenomenon [16]. Several researchers have made significant contributions to the development of models to mimic crater formation phenomenon in both EDM and PMEDM process. Snoeys et al. [17] presented a thermo-mathematical model considering a 2D heat flow semi-infinite cylinder with a disc heat source model, assuming the energy provided to the workpiece is $50 \%$ and the heat source existed during the pulse alone. This was extended by Van Dijck and Dutre [18] for two distinct continuums (finite and infinite). Jilani and Pandey [19] presented a model to forecast plasma channel growth assuming that the plasma channel propagates with the time by considering discharge energy and electrode properties. DiBitonto et al. [20] and Patel et al. [21] proposed a theoretical model of cathode erosion using a point heat source and the energy distribution to the cathode is assumed to be $18 \%$. Later Joshi and Pande [3] developed a "thermo-physical model" to predict the crater diameter using the finite element analysis. The analysis is carried out by considering "more realistic assumptions such as gaussian heat distribution of heat flux and spark radius equation based on discharge current and discharge duration". Compared to DiBitonto's work, the model developed by Joshi and Pande [3] gave better prediction of crater geometry. However, the model is validated with limited experimental data and also failed to expose for wide range of input parameters due to difficulty to incorporate real-life conditions in the analysis. Tang and Yang [22] established a unique "thermal-hydraulic coupling model" to investigate the morphology of crater in EDM process using a level-set method. Tao et al. [23] proposed a crater formation model using the fluid dynamics program FLUENT considering the plasma heating and bubble collapsing phase. Authors proposed that the crater depth is dependent on the specific volume of the solid metal, i.e., the expansion of the material volume. Giridharan and Samuel [24] proposed a model to predict carter depth using 2D surface roughness profiles. George et al. [25] used the time integration effect (TIE) approach to figure out the crater's radius and depth by taking into account distinct plasma flushing efficiencies of $95 \%$ and $45 \%$ for carter diameter and crater depth, respectively, during the WEDT process. Yeo et al. [26] provided a comprehensive numerical comparison of electro-thermal EDM models. Shabgard et al. [27,28] proposed a model to forecast crater dimensions during the EDM process and explored the impact of plasma flushing efficiency (PFE) in the EDM process, stating that PFE is a function of discharge current and time. However, the proposed PFE equation is valid for small magnitudes of pulse duration and discharge current only. Jithin et al. [29] introduced the variable PFE factor in the heat flux equation to increase the prediction accuracy of the crater profile/shape during the EDM process.

Authors have made an effort to analyse the crater morphology formed during the PMEDM process with different powder particles. Tzeng et al. [14] examined the influence of powder properties such as thermal conductivity, electrical resistivity, particle size, and concentration on machining efficiency. Rajeswari and Shunmugam [30] conducted a thor-
ough investigation by suspending graphite powders ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ size) and induced ultrasonic vibration into the dielectric medium and studied the variation in EDM gap phenomena using pulse train analysis. The authors stated that use of ultrasonic vibration in the PMEDM process helps to eject debris effectively from the discharge gap and prevents agglomeration between the suspended powder particles. So far, several authors have carried out experimental investigations using different powder particles such as $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{SiC}, \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{CNT}$, MWCNT, $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and molybdenum-di-sulphide $\left(\mathrm{MoS}_{2}\right)$ on various workpiece materials to assess the crater morphology and performance of the machined surface in the PMEDM process [7-11]. Ekmekci et al. [31] proposed a postulate-on-discharge separation model to understand the crater formation in the PMEDM process. Kansal et al. [5] suggested a model by introducing the term 'spark frequency factor' to account for the influence of powder characteristics suspended into the dielectric medium during the PMEDM process. A constant value of 2.4 is used as spark frequency factor for simulation and validated with limited experimental runs. Furthermore, the usage of spark frequency factor for wide range of process parameter conditions is yet to be explored. Bhattacharya et al. [15] and Singh et al. [16] presented a FEM model to estimate the diameter and depth of crater formed during PMEDM process considering multiple discharge phenomena. The authors proposed that the pulse on-time and current have a significant effect on crater morphology. Later, Zhao et al. [32] developed a model using joule heating to analyse the heat conducted into a work surface in a single discharge of the PMEDM process. Authors postulated that the heat flux incident on the workpiece is influenced mostly by current, voltage, and spark radius magnitudes. Vijaykumar et al. [33] developed a 3D-axisymmetric model considering single-discharge phenomena $[34,35]$ during the PMEDM process. The authors investigated characteristics such as surface crack density, skewness and kurtosis of machined surfaces to assess the functional performance of the components for various applications [36-41]. Arun Kumar et al. [37] found that the magnetic field-assisted PMEDM process produces fewer surface cracks than normal EDM process and postulated that the discharge current and discharge duration are the most influencing parameters. Govindan and Joshi [38] addressed the crack formation mechanism in dry EDM and liquid dielectric EDM process. The authors identified that the average length and density of micro-cracks were lessened in the dry EDM compared to conventional EDM. Coelho and Koshy [40] attempted to explore the possibility of using EDM-machined inserts for passive vibration damping applications. It was reported that the damping ratio of EDM-machined inserts is doubled compared to the extruded insert due to the positive skewness and high kurtosis produced on machined surfaces. Tran et al. [41] employed the Taguchi technique and ANOVA analysis for improving the surface finish of 90 CrSi steel by suspending SiC powder particles in dielectric liquid of the EDM process. Input parameters such as powder concentration, pulse-on-time, pulse-off-time, pulse current, and servo voltage were used. The authors reported that the powder concentration and pulse-off-time showed a significant effect on surface finish compared to other parameters. Rehman et al. [42] investigated the effect of adding different-sized graphite powder particles ( 20,30 and $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) to the dielectric liquid during the EDM process; the Box-Behnken design was used to predict the machining characteristics of EN-30B alloy steel. The authors postulated that input factors such as peak current, pulse-on-time, the size and concentration of the graphite powder had a substantial impact on the recast layer thickness, micro hardness, crater size, MRR, and TWR, which are considered as output measures. At higher concentrations and larger particle sizes, the crater depth and micro hardness were maximized. Nguyen et al. [43,44] applied grey relation analysis (GRA) to identify the optimum machine settings to achieve minimum surface roughness, electrode wear rate and maximum material removal rate in SiC -suspended dielectric liquid of the EDM process. Alhodaib et al. [45] attempted to identify optimum settings using GRA in machining Nimonic 90 superalloy and various other materials [46]. Table 1 shows a critical review of existing works and the novelty of the present study.

Table 1. Comparison on existing literature and contribution of proposed work in PMEDM process.

| Existing Literature | Novelty of the Proposed Work |
| :--- | :--- |

Based on the critical observations identified from the existing literature (as presented in Table 1), the present study aims at developing a single-spark transient thermal model for the PMEDM process to predict the crater geometry (diameter and depth). A new parameter called "variable pulse frequency factor" is included to account the addition of powder into the dielectric medium. The proposed model is verified by conducting PMEDM experiments covering full range of parameters used in the industry. In order to explore the performance of nano-sized $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder (mean size $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) particles, micron-sized $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder (means size $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) particles were chosen for comparison. The significance of the input process parameters, namely, discharge duration, peak current, gap voltage and powder particle size on surface characteristics such as crater morphology, surfaces crack density, skewness, kurtosis and chemical alteration of the machined surface, are analysed. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images, 2D roughness profiles, energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) profiles are used to assess the surface characteristics. Details of the models, experimentation and obtained findings are presented in subsequent section of this paper.

### 1.1. Modeling of PMEDM Process

In the PMEDM process, the assumptions considered in the development of a thermal model to predict crater geometry are listed below.
(a) Every pulse is assumed to be a spark pulse and the influence of inactive (short and open voltage) pulses are neglected.
(b) Each discharge pulse generates a crater on the workpiece.
(c) The discharge channel is assumed to be perfectly conductive [50]. The energy transferred by partial discharge is insignificant compared to primary discharge. Hence the effect of partial discharges occurred due to suspension of powder particles are neglected.
(d) A Gaussian distribution-shaped heat source is considered and the occurrence of heat flux on the domain is presumed to be axisymmetric [3,5].
(e) During discharge, a fraction of overall input energy is conducted into the workpiece [20]. The convective and radiation transfer coefficients are considered insignificant.
(f) The material is homogeneous, isotropic, and the average thermo-physical properties of work materials are considered constant in all phases $[3,20]$.
(g) The spark radius is a function of the discharge current and discharge duration [3].
(h) During spark discharge, the portion of workpiece material in the boiling region are removed completely. At the end of every discharge, only a fraction of melted material is detached from workpiece surface.
(i) The pulse frequency factor is considered to assess the crater geometry.

A three-dimensional transient heat conduction equation without internal heat generation is considered for the PMEDM process, which is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{kr} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \varnothing}\left(\mathrm{k} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \varnothing}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{k} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{z}}\right)=\rho \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{r}, \varnothing$, and z are the cylindrical coordinates of the work domain. k is thermal conductivity $(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mk}), T$ is the temperature $(\mathrm{K}), \rho$ is the density $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$, and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the specific heat capacity $(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{kgK})$ of the workpiece material. An axisymmetric work domain is considered for this analysis $\frac{\partial \mathrm{T}}{\partial \varnothing}=0$. Thus Equation (1) becomes [3,5].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}=\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{kr} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{k} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \mathrm{z}}\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The domain used in this work is a small cylindrical portion of the workpiece surrounding the spark that is bounded by four boundaries denoted by the letters B1, B2, B3, and B4. Figure 1 depicts the domain and boundary conditions for various workpiece surfaces in the PMEDM process. At the workpiece boundary B1, a single-spark Gaussian heat flux is applied up to the spark radius R , and the remainder of the boundary is assumed to be convective heat transfer between the dielectric medium and the workpiece surface. The boundaries B2 and B3 are positioned away from the source of the spark to ensure no heat is transferred across them. B4 is axisymmetric, hence, the heat flow is assumed to be zero because there is no heat loss or gain in this region. The boundary conditions are given in Equation (3) [3,5].

$$
k\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{T}_{0}\right) & \mathrm{r}>\mathrm{R}  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}} & \mathrm{r} \leq \mathrm{R} \text { on } \mathrm{B} 1 \\
0 & \text { For pulse off time }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and for boundaries B2, B3, B4: $\frac{\partial \mathrm{T}}{\partial \mathrm{n}}=0$.
Here, $h_{c}$ is the convective coefficient of heat transfer $\left(W / m^{2} K\right), Q_{w}(r)$ is the heat flux $\left(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ owing to the spark, $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ is the room temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is ambient temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{T}_{0}$ at $\mathrm{t}=0$.

Gaussian distribution of heat flux as the heat source model gave better prediction results in comparison to the previous models [3,5,16]. As a result, it has been widely accepted as a heat source for modelling of the PMEDM process. So, the present work considers Gaussian heat flux distributions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{r})=\frac{4.45 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}}{\pi \mathrm{R}^{2}} \exp \left\{-4.5\left(\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t})}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{c}$ is a fraction of heat input to the workpiece, $V$ is discharge voltage $(V)$, $I$ is discharge current $(A), R(t)$ is spark radius $(\mu \mathrm{m})$, $r$ is the radial distance from the axis of the spark ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), and $K_{n}$ is pulse frequency factor. It is observed from the pieces of research that the proposed values for the fraction of heat $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ transferred to the workpiece varied from 0.18 to 0.5 . DiBitonoto's group [20,21] stated that a fraction 0.08 and 0.183 of heat is transferred to the anode and cathode respectively. Shankar et al. proposed a hypothesis that $0.4-0.45$ of Fc is absorbed by the workpieces [34]. Kansal et al. [5] used 0.05 to 0.2 of $F_{c}$ whereas Battacharya and Batish [15] considered 0.1 to 0.25 during the PMEDM process. In the present model, the value of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is assumed to be 0.183 and its effects on crater geometry are analysed. In practice, it is very difficult to measure the spark radius experimentally due to the rapid
collapse of the plasma channel (order of a few microseconds). To predict the accurate spark radius, many researchers have proposed various approaches. Pandey and Jilani [19] establish the spark radius equation based on the boiling point of the material and had proposed an empirical relation to forecast spark radius for limited tool and work material combination. Later Ikai et al. [35] proposed a semi-empirical equation to determine the spark radius, which is a function of discharge current ( 16 to 26 A ) and discharge duration ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ to $700 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ). In this work, the spark radius is evaluated by using the expression below (equivalent heat input radius).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t})=2040 \times \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}^{0.43} \times \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}^{0.44} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Heat transfer model for PMEDM process.
During spark discharges, the material in the spark zone melts owing to electro-thermal heating. At the end of discharge, only a portion of melted material is evacuated from the spark zone and the remainder solidifies back onto the adjacent region. In the modelling, a parameter called material removal efficiency or plasma flushing efficiency (PFE) is used to define the entire portion of melted material ejected from the workpiece surface after each spark discharge. Numerous studies have been carried out modelling the EDM by taking into account $100 \%$ PFE $[2,16,33]$. Due to the inherent characteristics of the PMEDM process, it is difficult to attain $100 \%$ PFE in practice. Some authors have reported that the PFE of the electrodes varies between 2 and $96 \%$ [5,22]. In this present work, the PFE is assumed to be $95 \%$ and $45 \%$ for crater diameter and depth, respectively [25].

Pulse frequency refers to the number of discharges occurring between the electrodes per unit time, which directly influences the EDM process characteristics. It is perceived from the available literature that, during the PMEDM process, the pulse frequency increases by 2-3 times compared to normal EDM under identical operating conditions [5] because the suspended powder particles in the dielectric medium under the electrostatic forces become polarised in a zig-zag pattern. The dispersed powder particles alter the breakdown characteristic of the dielectric fluid and the interspace for electric discharge initiation is increased (pulse frequency is increased). Earlier, to model the PMEDM process, the authors considered a constant frequency factor $\left(K_{n}=2.4\right)$ [5]. However, in practice, the spark frequency is not constant and depends on various parameters such as discharge duration, peak current, gap voltage, duty factor, powder particle size, powder concentration, particle density, and flushing conditions at the discharge gap. It is an important parameter for
inclusion in the heat flux equation to account for the changes that occur in the interelectrode gap conditions. This makes the model more realistic than existing models. The pulse frequency factor is the ratio of the number of spark pulses in PMEDM to the number of spark pulses in EDM under the same operating conditions. In this work, the spark frequency factor is obtained using the expression below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Pulse frequency factor }\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{~N}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}$ —Number of spark pulses acquired in PMEDM and N —Number of spark pulses acquired in EDM.

### 1.2. Steps Involved in FEM Simulation for Crater Formation Using ANSYS

The crater formation steps are carried out by considering the governing Equation (2) along with the boundary conditions mentioned in Equation (3). A $600 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ two-dimensional continuum is employed for FEM analysis. A four-nodded axi-symmetric thermal solid element (PLANE 55) [3] is considered. An ANSYS ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 16.0$ parametric design language is used to develop the single spark PMEDM model [52] and repeated for various input conditions [5]. The procedures adopted to generate craters are presented below

1. A 2D model is constructed using the PLANE 55 element with a mesh size of $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
2. The average thermal and physical properties of workpiece materials, such as $k, c_{p}$, and $\rho$, are considered.
3. The heat flux Equation (4) applied at the spark radius region as shown in Figure 1.
4. The initial conditions and bulk temperature are set at 298 K . The temperature distribution is determined by the duration of the discharge. The mesh elements and nodes present above the melting point of the workpiece are identified and removed.
Figure 2 present the forecasted crater geometry using the FEM simulation model with $100 \%$ PFE for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ powder mixed dielectric, respectively.


Figure 2. Predicted crater shape for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ at discharge duration $300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, peak current 6 A and gap voltage 80 V .

## 2. Materials and Methods

The experiments are carried out using SMART-S 50 ZNC die-sinking machine ( $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$. Electronica machine tools Ltd, Pune, India) equipped with a DC iso-pulse generator. The setup and the experimental conditions are presented in Figure 3 and Table 2, respectively. In this investigation an AISI 304 steel plate of dimension $100 \times 100 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ is taken as the workpiece, and a pure copper rod of $\Phi 8 \mathrm{~mm}$ is chosen as the tool electrode material.

The chemical composition of the workpiece are C-0.07\%, Cr-19.5\%, Ni-10.5\%, Mn-2\%, $\mathrm{Si}-0.75 \%, \mathrm{P}-0.045 \%, \mathrm{~S}-0.03 \%$ and the rest is Fe . The average thermo-physical properties of workpiece such as $\rho-7910 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{p}}-530 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}-1454{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{k}-16.3 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, are considered for this study. Commercially available hydrocarbon oil (ELEKTRA supplied by M/s. Electronica machine tools Ltd, Pune, India) was chosen as the dielectric fluid. Molybdenum-di-sulphide powders of mean size $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ are chosen for this study. The discharge duration $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, peak current $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ and gap voltage $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ are considered as input process parameters. Each input process parameter is chosen to cover wide range of operating conditions to meet industry requirements. During experimentation, all input parameters are varied using a one-factor-at-a-time (OFAT) approach.


Figure 3. Experimental setup for PMEDM process.
Table 2. Experimental conditions.

| Working Conditions | Descriptions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Discharge duration $\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}\right](\mu \mathrm{s})$ | $10,50,100,150,300,500,750,1000,1500,3000$ |
| Peak current $\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}\right](\mathrm{A})$ | $0.5,3,6,9,12,15,18,21,24,27$ |
| Gap voltage $\left[\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}\right](\mathrm{V})$ | $20,40,60,80,100,120,140$ |
| Duty factor $(\tau)$ | 6 |
| $\operatorname{MoS}_{2}$ Powder $($ mean size $)$ | $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ |
| Powder concentration $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L})$ | $1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Machining time $(\min )$ | 10 |

To conduct PMEDM experiments on the die-sinking EDM machine, the existing dielectric circulation system was temporarily bypassed, and a separate experimental setup was developed using an ultrasonic vibrator with fixture attachments. Exposing the powder mixed dielectric to ultrasonic vibration promotes even dispersion; prevent agglomeration of powder particles and enhances the flushing conditions at the spark gap during machining. The ultrasonic vibrator (bath sonicator) is placed on the worktable and a wooden plate (for insulation) is kept between the bath sonicator and the machine's worktable as shown in Figure 3b. The powder mixed dielectric liquid is exposed to a constant ultrasonic vibration frequency of 40 kHz . It is perceived from preliminary studies that, by exposing the powder mixed dielectric to continuous ultrasonic vibrations, the powder particles in the dielectric liquid start to agglomerate and settle at the bottom. Therefore, it is imperative to identify
the maximum time duration for the powder particles to remain suspended within the dielectric liquid before agglomeration begins. The initial experimental studies revealed that, beyond 120 min of sonication, the powders start to sediment at the bottom. Therefore, the sonication time is kept constant at 120 min . For every 120 min , the fresh powder mixed dielectric is replenished. To hold the workpiece inside the ultrasonic vibrator, a new fixture is designed and the CAD model of the fixture is presented in Figure 3c. To record the pulses produced during machining, a four-channel digital mixed domain oscilloscope (MDO model: Tektronix MDO4104C) with 5 GSPS sampling rate is used. A differential voltage probe (Tektronix, HP9100, 100 MHz ) and current probe (Model: Tektronix A622 AC/DC) were connected to MDO to capture voltage and current pulses, respectively. Each pulse train data is recorded for duration of 400 ms with 100,000 data points. The pulse frequency is assessed by adopting a thresholding approach [30] and a code is written in MATLAB R2021a (M/s. MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) software to count the number of pulses.

To assess the pulse frequency, first a set of experiments were conducted in ultrasonic vibration ( 40 kHz )-induced dielectric medium without powder for all input parameters. Further, experiments were extended by suspending each $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder particles with concentration of $1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ of the dielectric liquid. Figure 4 shows a typical pulse train revealing the applied threshold approach for all three cases. For every input condition, pulse train data are captured at ten different intervals and its average value is taken for study, as presented in Table 3. The influence of the input process variables on crater geometry, such as crater diameter and crater depth, is analysed. The crater diameter is assessed using scanning electron microscopy (make ZEISS EVO 18 SEM, M/s. ZEISS International, Oberkochen, Germany) images. The crater diameter is measured at five different locations on the machined surface and its average value is considered for comparison. By knowing the experimentally formed crater diameter, the depth of crater can be assessed from the 2D roughness profile [24]. The surface roughness of the machined sample is measured using "MarSurf GD120 (M/s. Mahr GmbH, Goettingen, Germany) roughness tester at five different locations. In each roughness profile, the crater diameter is identified and its corresponding depth values are taken. A typical FEM simulation showing the crater geometry, SEM micrograph with crater diameter and 2D roughness profile with crater depth are presented in Figures 5 and 6. The average of five crater depths identified from the 2D roughness profile is taken for assessment. To validate the proposed model, experiments were conducted by varying the process parameters encompassing finish, semi-finish, and rough machining conditions employed in the industry. During experimentation, constant ultrasonic vibration is imparted into the dielectric medium using the bath sonicator to promote enhanced flushing of the spark gap.

The study on surface crack density (SCD) is carried using SEM micrographs taken at $500 \times$ magnification. The SCD is the ratio of total crack length to area of SEM micrograph and is evaluated using Equations (7) and (8).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}+\mathrm{L}_{3}+\mathrm{L}_{4}+\mathrm{L}_{5} \ldots \ldots \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{SCD}=\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~A}} \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where, $L_{c}$ is the total length of micro-cracks $(\mu \mathrm{m}), \mathrm{L}_{1}, \mathrm{~L}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}$ the length of each micro-crack $(\mu \mathrm{m})$, A is measured area $\left(\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ of the SEM micrograph. Typical SEM micrographs of machined surfaces with $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ powder and measurement procedures are presented in the Figure 7. The skewness and kurtosis values are considered from the measured surface roughness data. The experimental conditions are stated in Table 2.


Figure 4. Typical voltage and current pulse train acquired for discharge duration $300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, peak current 6A and gap voltage 80 V (- Threshold value).

Table 3. Effect of input process parameters on $N, N_{p}$, and $K_{n}$.

|  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  | $K_{n}$ | p/N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp No | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{P}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | $\tau$ | EDM | Ф40 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\Phi 90$ nm | $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $\Phi 90$ nm |
| Variation of discharge duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10 | 6 | 80 | 6 | 11812 | 11273 | 14668 | 0.95 | 1.24 |
| 2 | 50 |  |  |  | 6577 | 6879 | 6554 | 1.05 | 1.00 |
| 3 | 100 |  |  |  | 3292 | 3598 | 3866 | 1.09 | 1.17 |
| 4 | 150 |  |  |  | 2290 | 2277 | 2699 | 0.99 | 1.18 |
| 5 | 300 |  |  |  | 1330 | 1435 | 1373 | 1.08 | 1.03 |
| 6 | 500 |  |  |  | 918 | 1116 | 1096 | 1.22 | 1.19 |
| 7 | 750 |  |  |  | 712 | 743 | 728 | 1.04 | 1.02 |
| 8 | 1000 |  |  |  | 553 | 552 | 545 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| 9 | 1500 |  |  |  | 292 | 369 | 366 | 1.26 | 1.26 |
| 10 | 3000 |  |  |  | 116 | 197 | 193 | 1.70 | 1.67 |
| Variation of peak current |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 300 | 0.5 | 80 | 6 | 1908 | 1812 | 1767 | 0.95 | 0.93 |
| 12 |  | 3 |  |  | 1465 | 1626 | 1591 | 1.11 | 1.09 |
| 13 |  | 6 |  |  | 1330 | 1435 | 1373 | 1.08 | 1.03 |
| 14 |  | 9 |  |  | 1331 | 1435 | 1288 | 1.08 | 0.97 |
| 15 |  | 12 |  |  | 1385 | 1307 | 1456 | 0.94 | 1.05 |
| 16 |  | 15 |  |  | 1418 | 1337 | 1454 | 0.94 | 1.03 |
| 17 |  | 18 |  |  | 1329 | 1331 | 1381 | 1.00 | 1.04 |
| 18 |  | 21 |  |  | 1307 | 1266 | 1262 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| 19 |  | 24 |  |  | 1251 | 1261 | 1265 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| 20 |  | 27 |  |  | 1173 | 1254 | 1171 | 1.07 | 1.00 |
| Variation of gap voltage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 20 |  | 1130 | 1138 | 1177 | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| 22 |  |  | 40 |  | 1485 | 1461 | 1413 | 0.98 | 0.95 |
| 23 |  |  | 60 |  | 1518 | 1529 | 1533 | 1.01 | 1.01 |
| 24 | 300 | 6 | 80 | 6 | 1330 | 1435 | 1373 | 1.08 | 1.03 |
| 25 |  |  | 100 |  | 1145 | 1220 | 1173 | 1.07 | 1.02 |
| 26 |  |  | 120 |  | 932 | 914 | 902 | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| 27 |  |  | 140 |  | 702 | 659 | 636 | 0.94 | 0.91 |

$T_{d}$ —Discharge duration ( $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ), $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ —Peak current (A), $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$-Gap voltage (V), N -Number of pulses per second in EDM (without powder), $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}$-Number of pulses per second in PMEDM, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ —Pulse frequency factor.

(a) Simulated carter profile with $100 \%$ PFE [crater radius $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=164.61 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; crater depth $\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=80.70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ]

Figure 5. Cont.

(b) Magnified 2D- crater profile [C5]

(c) SEM micrograph of machined surface (C-Crater)


Figure 5. Simulated and experimentally formed carter geometry for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}$, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}$.

(a) Simulated crater profile with $100 \%$ PFE [crater radius $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=153.09 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; crater depth $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=80.30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ]

(b) Magnified 2D- profile profile [C2]

(c) SEM micrograph of machined surface (C-crater)

Figure 6. Cont.


Figure 6. Simulated and experimentally formed carter geometry for $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}$, $\mathrm{Vg}=80 \mathrm{~V}$.


Figure 7. SEM micrographs at 500X for SCD at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}$.

## 3. Results and Discussions

The effect of each input process variable and powder particle size on pulse frequency is presented in Table 3. Using the OFAT approach, the input process variables are varied. It is noticed from Table 3, the pulse frequency keeps reducing as the magnitudes of discharge duration, peak current and gap voltage rise. On the contrary, the pulse frequency grows with higher magnitudes of duty factor. For higher values of duty factor, a greater number of discharges occur in a given time (ELECTRONICA technology manual) that resulted in an increased number of pulses. In addition, the number of pulses occurred in PMEDM is slightly more than that produced in EDM without powder. As seen in Table 3, except
a few settings, for almost all experimental conditions, the $K_{n}$ varies from 1 to 1.67. The effect of input parameters on crater diameter and crater depth is analysed by varying one input parameter, whereas other parameters are maintained constant. The influence of individual input parameter on crater geometry and chemical alteration of machined surface produced by $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ powder mixed dielectric (hereafter mentioned as ' $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ') and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ powder mixed dielectric (hereafter mentioned as ' $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ ') is attempted. To compare the simulated results with experimentally data, a PFE of $95 \%$ and $45 \%$ is used for crater diameter and crater depths respectively are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Comparison of experimental and simulated results.

|  | Measured Crater Diameter (SEM) |  | Simulation Crater Diameter (with 95\% PFE) |  | Measure Crater Depth (SRP) |  | Simulation Crater Depth (with 45\% PFE) |  | Crater Diameter Error \% |  | Crater Depth Error \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp <br> No. | $\Phi 40$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\Phi 90$ <br> nm | $\Phi 40$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\Phi 90$ nm | $\Phi 40$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\Phi 90$ <br> nm | $\Phi 40$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\Phi 90$ <br> nm | $\begin{gathered} \Phi 40 \\ \mu \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi 90 \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \end{aligned}$ | $\Phi 40$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Phi 90 \\ \mathrm{~nm} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 82.01 | 83.46 | 75.63 | 80.70 | 10.49 | 10.18 | 10.65 | 10.52 | 7.77 | 3.31 | -1.48 | -3.36 |
| 2 | 138.28 | 141.45 | 142.80 | 143.80 | 16.50 | 19.79 | 18.30 | 18.22 | -3.27 | -1.66 | -10.88 | 7.93 |
| 5 | 294.51 | 311.72 | 312.77 | 290.87 | 35.98 | 35.79 | 36.31 | 36.14 | -6.20 | 6.69 | -0.93 | -0.97 |
| 6 | 354.77 | 374.11 | 368.92 | 368.65 | 30.30 | 27.17 | 44.31 | 44.35 | -3.99 | 1.46 | -46.25 | -63.20 |
| 6* |  |  |  |  | 27.46 | 26.24 |  |  |  |  | -61.35 | -69.01 |
| 7 | 363.51 | 382.54 | 391.48 | 373.01 | 37.56 | 28.66 | 53.76 | 54.06 | -7.69 | -2.67 | -43.13 | -88.64 |
| 7* |  |  |  |  | 34.62 | 32.52 |  |  |  |  | -55.28 | -66.25 |
| 10 | 752.27 | 757.32 | 802.60 | 802.63 | 52.64 | 49.23 | 93.24 | 95.04 | -6.69 | -5.98 | -77.14 | -93.06 |
| 10* |  |  |  |  | 49.91 | 45.67 |  |  |  |  | -86.82 | -108.09 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Avg | solute | (\%) | 3.34 | 0.19 | - | - |
| 11 | 110.39 | 113.32 | 103.07 | 104.01 | 16.80 | 16.79 | 17.93 | 17.54 | 6.63 | 8.22 | -6.76 | -4.50 |
| 13 | 294.51 | 311.72 | 312.77 | 290.87 | 35.98 | 35.79 | 36.31 | 36.14 | -6.20 | 6.69 | -0.93 | -0.97 |
| 14 | 320.92 | 363.14 | 355.08 | 350.42 | 37.69 | 36.91 | 40.67 | 36.15 | -10.64 | 3.50 | -7.90 | 2.05 |
| 17 | 394.52 | 457.52 | 455.53 | 446.10 | 40.01 | 39.06 | 39.49 | 39.80 | -15.47 | 2.50 | 1.80 | -1.89 |
| 18 | 412.49 | 467.38 | 476.96 | 476.37 | 44.29 | 39.79 | 40.34 | 39.92 | -15.63 | -1.92 | 8.93 | -0.32 |
| 20 | 513.25 | 539.36 | 526.70 | 523.47 | 45.58 | 42.68 | 40.47 | 39.68 | -2.62 | 2.95 | 11.21 | 7.03 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avg. absolute error (\%) |  |  |  | 7.32 | 3.65 | 1.06 | 0.23 |
| 21 | 269.82 | 273.33 | 254.11 | 253.36 | 17.37 | 19.74 | 17.39 | 18.33 | 5.82 | 7.31 | -0.11 | 7.11 |
| 22 | 273.83 | 278.45 | 275.66 | 273.88 | 28.36 | 26.73 | 27.94 | 26.89 | -0.67 | 1.64 | 1.46 | 0.61 |
| 23 | 269.18 | 296.35 | 291.83 | 292.03 | 31.04 | 29.86 | 31.05 | 31.81 | -8.41 | 1.46 | -0.02 | -6.55 |
| 24 | 294.51 | 311.72 | 312.77 | 290.87 | 35.98 | 35.79 | 36.31 | 36.14 | -6.20 | 6.69 | -0.93 | -0.97 |
| 25 | 297.00 | 313.21 | 313.69 | 311.87 | 39.09 | 39.22 | 39.76 | 40.14 | -5.62 | 0.43 | -1.71 | -2.33 |
| 26 | 309.49 | 324.39 | 314.15 | 327.17 | 39.74 | 38.98 | 40.45 | 40.02 | -1.51 | -0.85 | -1.79 | -2.68 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avg. absolute error (\%) |  |  |  | 2.76 | 2.78 | 0.52 | 0.80 |

* Repeated trial.


### 3.1. Discussion on the Influence of Powder Suspended into Dielectric Liquid

The suspension of conductive powder particles into dielectric liquid alters the discharge characteristics of EDM process as explained using Figure 8. In PMEDM process, a high potential difference is applied between the electrodes separated by spark gap filled with powder suspended dielectric. The presence of stray ions and conductive powders in the gap aids to intense electric field aberration at the region of least electrical resistance between the electrodes as represented in Figure 8a. Numerous positive and negative ions gather around the periphery of the powder particle [50]. The powder particles lying in the least electrically resistant region align themselves to form a chain [51]. Each conductive particle acquires electric charge and is expressed by Equation (9) [48,49,53] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{2}{3} \pi \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{E}_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the permittivity of free space, $\varepsilon_{r}$ is the relative permittivity of fluid, $R$ is the radius of particle, $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ is applied filed $(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{d})$. For an applied potential difference between the electrodes (tool and workpiece), each individual powder particle acts as a charge carrier and the magnitude of charge each particle holds is dependent on its size (radius $(R)$ ). As and when the electrical density between the two adjacent particles exceeds the dielectric strength of liquid, electrical breakdown (discharge) occurs between these two powders [50].

This discharge leads to the short circuit of two powders followed by redistribution of charges. Furthermore, charges accumulate on the periphery and the next discharge happens between these two powders and the adjacent powder particle. Subsequently a series of discharges occur within other powders as shown in Figure 8b; finally, an early discharge takes place between the electrodes at the place with the least electrical resistance. A discharge channel formed between the tool and workpiece around the powder particles as shown in Figure 8c. As the discharge remains, the continuous melting and vaporization of dielectric liquid, powder particles and electrodes results in the formation of a compressed vapour bubble or plasma. Now that the discharge channel is perfectly conducting [48] upon the applied electric field, the powder particles acquire an electric force owing to continuous bombardment of electrons. When the electric force exceeds the force of gravity, the particles accelerate towards the oppositely charged electrode. Particle behaviours are influenced by the medium's (compressed vapour bubble) properties and the charge exchange between the medium and the particle. As the particles approach an electrode, the induced electric field produces a partial discharge before it strikes an electrode. Immediately after the partial discharge, the magnitude and orientation of induced electric force on the particle changes. However, the particle keeps on moving until it loses its inertia without making physical contact with the electrode [49] as explained in Figure 8d.


Figure 8. Schematic representations for partial discharge phenomena in PMEDM process. (a) Electric field aberration (b) Series discharge occur in-between the powder particles (c) Dis-charge channel formation (d) Occurrence of partial discharges.

### 3.2. The Effect of Discharge Duration on Crater Geometry

The effect of discharge duration on crater geometry is analysed by varying the discharge duration from 10 to $3000 \mu$ s keeping peak current, and gap voltage at 6 A , and 80 V
respectively. Figure 9 depicts the effect of discharge duration on crater diameter in both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. The carter diameter grows with increases in discharge duration magnitudes. As the magnitude of discharge duration rises, the diameter of compressed vapour bubble raises, which resulted in increased crater diameter. The crater diameter generated by $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ is slightly larger than that produced by $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. As mentioned in Equation (9), the charge carried by a particle grows with particle size (R), which means the charge carried by each $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ is larger than $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ particle. Yet, for a given powder concentration suspended inside the dielectric liquid, the $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ contains more powder particles than $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. With $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$, the increase in the number of particles creates more charge carriers which subsequently acquire more energy that results in a larger compressed vapour bubble. The increase in size of the compressed vapour bubble produces a greater crater diameter for $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ than is produced by $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. As the size of the compressed vapour bubble raises, the energy density inside the bubble drops, which results in the formation of a shallow crater [50]. In comparison with the experimental data, the simulated results predict a crater diameter with an average absolute error of $3.34 \%$ and $0.19 \%$ for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ respectively. The influence of discharge duration on crater depth is illustrated in Figure 9. As is shown in Table 4, up to the discharge duration of $300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, the experimentally formed crater depth is in close agreement with the simulated results with an average absolute error of $4.43 \%$ and $4.09 \%$ for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$, respectively. However, for larger values (of discharge duration $>300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ), the simulation results over predicts in comparison with the experimentally measured crater depth for both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. At larger magnitudes of the discharge duration, as the discharge begins, the energy intensity inside the compressed vapour bubble is large.

As the discharge remains, owing to the continuous melting and evaporation by electrothermal heating, the size of the compressed vapour bubble starts to grow radially $[27,28]$. The energy produced due to electro-thermal heating starts to spread over the workpiece surface inside the vapour bubble. The heat is spread over the periphery rather than conducted into the workpiece. Subsequently the energy density inside the vapour bubble drops [50]. At the end of discharge, owing to the lowered energy intensity inside the vapour bubble, the material is melted only at the periphery of the spark vicinity that resulted in smaller crater depth. For all discharge durations, the crater depth produced by $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ is smaller than that produced by $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, which can be seen in Figure 9.

Further investigation is carried out on the crater morphology using SEM analysis. The SEM micrograph of a machined surface produced by $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ for varying discharge durations are presented in Figures 10 and 11 respectively. The SEM micrograph revealed that, small cavities are formed within the primary crater at higher discharge durations ( $>300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ) for both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. These small cavities are formed due to the occurrence of partial discharge (PD). However, at smaller discharge durations (up to $300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ) cavities within the crater is not identified. By increasing the magnitudes of discharge duration, the charge carriers (powder particles) within the discharge channel gain more energy, move towards the workpiece and produce PD within the primary crater. The number of PD grows for longer discharge durations. The energy transferred by these PD is minimal, as it only could melt a minute portion of material. In addition to these observations, an attempt has been made to identify these PD phenomena using the voltage and current pulse train captured during experimentation. Through pulse trains, the occurrence of PD within the primary discharge is recognised clearly in both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. In both Figures 10 and 11, the PD is clearly distinguished from the voltage pulse train and fast raising current pulses [53] detected at longer discharge durations (Figures 10b,c and 11b,c).


Figure 9. Effect of input process variables on crater geometry.

(a) $T_{d}=50 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}(\operatorname{Exp}$ No: 2)


(b) $T_{d}=750 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}($ Exp No: 7)


(c) $T_{d}=3000 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{Exp}$ No: 10)


Figure 10. Typical pulse trains data and SEM micrographs for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ at various discharge durations (C-crater, PD-partial discharge).



(c) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=3000 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6_{-} \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}($ Exp No: 10)


Figure 11. Typical pulse trains data and SEM micrographs for $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ at various discharge durations (C-crater, PD-partial discharge).

### 3.3. The Effect of Peak Current on the Crater Geometry

In this work, the peak current is varied from 0.5 to 27 A keeping other parameters constant at $300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, and 80 V for discharge duration, and gap voltage respectively. In PMEDM, each electrical discharge produces a discharge spot by erosion of material from the work surface. As a result, a crater is formed and the size of the crater depends on the energy transferred into the workpiece material. The energy intensity grows with raise in peak current magnitudes thus leading to an increased erosion volume [2,17]. As a result, large sized craters form on the machined surface. From Table 4, it is noticed, the $\Phi 90$ nm produced bigger crater diameter compared to $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for all current magnitudes. When compared with the experimentally formed crater diameter, the developed model for $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ forecasts crater diameter with an average absolute error of $3.65 \%$ and $7.32 \%$ for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

The impact of peak current on experimentally formed crater depth is presented in Table 4. As can be seen in Table 4, the increase in peak current magnitudes produces a deeper crater on the machined surface in $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ than $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. For a known peak current value, the energy transfers into the workpiece surface due to electro-thermal heating by conduction. As the peak current magnitude rises, more eroded material forms on the machined surface that results in deeper craters forming, which is evidenced from the experimental data listed in Table 4. The results reveal that, the $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ yields deeper crater than that created by $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. In comparison with experimentally formed crater depth, the simulated results of $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ estimate crater depth with an error of $1.06 \%$ and $0.23 \%$, respectively. The investigation of SEM micrograph for varying current magnitudes revealed that partial discharges are not prominent for varying current magnitudes. Figure 12 shows the SEM micrograph of $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ revealing the absence of PD.


Figure 12. SEM micrograph of surface machined using $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=18 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}$ (C-crater).

### 3.4. The Effect of Gap Voltage on Crater Geometry

The gap voltage is varied from 20 to 140 V and the other parameters discharge duration, and peak current, are maintained constant at $300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, and 6 A respectively. Figure 9 shows that the crater diameter grows with raise in gap voltage magnitudes. At a lower gap voltage, the electric field intensity is reduced, which results in small carters forming on the workpiece. As the gap voltage value rises, the increase in the electric field intensity at the discharge gap ends in forming large carter diameter. The developed model predicts crater diameter with an error of $2.76 \%$ and $2.78 \%$ for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ respectively.

The experimentally formed crater-depth magnitude rises with increase in gap voltage values. By increasing the gap voltage intensity, owed to the enlargement of the discharge gap, the energy transferred into the workpiece reduces and results in the formation of shallower craters than that formed with discharge duration and peak current. Table 4 reveals that, the $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ produces deeper crater whereas $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ produces shallower craters. The projected model predicts crater depth with an error of $0.52 \%$ and $0.80 \%$ for $\Phi 40$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ respectively for varying gap voltage magnitudes. Figure 13 shows the SEM micrograph of $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ machined surface showing the nonexistence of PD.


Figure 13. SEM micrograph of surface machined using $T_{d}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=100 \mathrm{~V}$ (C-crater).

### 3.5. Analysis of Surface Crack Density

The SCD of machined surfaces is assessed using Equation (8) and the significance of input parameters on SCD of machined surface is presented in Figure 14. The SEM micrographs of the PMEDMed surface of AISI 304 steel specimen under varying discharge duration are presented in Figure 15a-c. It is evident from SEM micrograph that the discharge duration has direct influence on the micro-cracks. The investigation discloses that the density of surface cracks varied non-linearly with discharge duration [38]. From Figure 14, it is perceived that the $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ produces lessened micro-cracks for low magnitudes of discharge duration (upto $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ) compared to $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. In $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$, at discharge duration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, the SCD decreased significantly by $58.97 \%$ and $41.72 \%$ respectively compared to $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. From Figure 15, it is apparent that the increase in discharge duration produces severe micro-cracks. This is due to successive electrical discharges, intense heat, local melting and vaporization of work material causes raise in thermal gradient that resulted in augmented residual stress [39]. As a result, the surface crack density increases. The trend line demonstrates that, the SCD grows until $300 \mu$ s discharge duration in both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. Further raising the discharge duration magnitudes, the SCD starts to diminish in both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. At longer discharge durations, the reduction in the energy intensity within the plasma melt lesser amounts of work material. Subsequently, lessened micro cracks form on the machined surface. Figure 16 illustrates the SEM micrographs showing the micro-crack of specimen machined under various peak current magnitudes. At a peak current magnitude of 0.5 A , long, narrow and intersecting micro-cracks are formed on the machined surface in both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$, as seen in Figure 16a. The SCD of the $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ is lowered by $12.45 \%$ compared to $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. By raising the peak current magnitudes, SCD varies nonlinearly.


Figure 14. Effect of input process parameters on surface crack density.


Figure 15. SEM micrographs with various discharge durations.
The trend line shows that the SCD grows up to a peak current magnitude of 6 A ; thereafter, SCD starts to decline. The micro-cracks were observed around the crater and on the crater rims. In comparison with 0.5 A , the micro cracks forming at 6 A are short and intersecting each other for both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. After a further increase in the peak current magnitude up to 27 A , the $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ produces $25.81 \%$ lessened SCD compared to that of $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Longer and wider penetrating micro-cracks are formed at higher magnitudes of the peak current [19]. Figure 17 depicts the significance of gap voltage on SCD in both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. At lower gap voltage setting, the spark gap is narrow, the intense electric sparks impinge the worksurface with high-impact force which causes more material to melt and re-solidify. This results in the formation of more micro-cracks. At 20 V gap voltage, the $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ produce $43.58 \%$ lessened micro-cracks compared to $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Further increasing the gap voltage, due to a widened spark gap between tool and workpiece, less energy transferred into the work surface; thus, less material melted, and as a result, less SCD formed on the machined surface $[37,39]$ in both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. Among all parametric conditions at the gap voltage 60 V , the minimum SCD of $0.003 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mu \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is achieved in $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

(a) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}$

(b) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=9 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}$

(c) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=18 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}$

Figure 16. SEM micrographs with variations in peak current.

(b) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=120 \mathrm{~V}$

Figure 17. SEM micrographs with variation of gap voltage.

### 3.6. Analysis of Skewness $\left(R_{s k}\right)$ and Kurtosis $\left(R_{k u}\right)$

The skewness $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\text {sk }}\right)$ and kurtosis $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ku}}\right)$ can be used to assess the micro-geometrical characteristics of machined surfaces. The skewness is a measurement of the surface profile's asymmetry relative to its mean line. Negative $R_{\text {sk }}$ suggest a surface with a predominance of sharp valleys and rounded peaks, whereas positive $R_{\text {sk }}$ shows a surface with predominance of rounded valleys and sharp peaks. The $R_{k u}$ of the surface profile is a measure of the degree of peaking. If $R_{k u}>3$, the surface profiles have many high peaks and valleys; consequently, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ku}}<3$, reveals surface profiles with low peaks and valleys [39,41]. A typical skewness-kurtosis envelope shows that, the EDM process is capable of producing surfaces with positive skewness and kurtosis around 3 [40,54]. From the experimental results (Figure 18) it is perceived that, using $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder-suspended dielectric, surfaces with negative skewness is easily obtainable at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}>750 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.5 \mathrm{~A}$ conditions for both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. Compared to $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, the surfaces with negative skewness are easily obtained using $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ for higher magnitudes of discharge duration and gap voltage. A minimum skewness of -1.4 is achieved at peak current of 0.5 A for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Surfaces with negative skewness offer good bearing capacity and lubricant retention capacity [40]. By varying the discharge duration between $50-300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and gap voltage between $40-80 \mathrm{~V}$, the kurtosis of the machined surface less than 3 is achieved. These machined surfaces offer good gripping and locking ability [39-41]. These results indicate that using PMEDM process tailor made surface texture can be produced on the machined surface by selecting appropriate discharge parameter combinations.


Figure 18. Effect of input process parameters on Skewness and Kurtosis.

### 3.7. Effect of Process Parameters on Chemical Alteration of Machined Surface

The effects of discharge duration, peak current, and gap voltage on the chemical alteration of the machined surface are analysed and presented in Figure 19. It is perceived from Figure 19, for both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$, that by increasing the discharge duration (Figure 19a-d) peak current (Figure 19a,b,e,f), gap voltage (Figure 19a,b,g,h) magnitudes, more carbon, oxygen and copper form on the machined surface owed to pyrolysis effect. It is to be noticed from the energy dispersive spectroscopic profiles that the $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder particle is deposited on the machined surface on components machined under a lower gap voltage of 40 V (Figure 19g,h) and peak current 9 A (Figure 19e,f). For all operating conditions, more $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder particles were deposited on surfaces machined using $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, as observed from EDS profiles. Further investigation is carried out to confirm the deposition of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ particles through X-ray diffraction analysis. Figure 20a shows the XRD profiles of un-machined surface and the surface machined at $T_{d}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=100 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ are presented in Figures 20b and 20c, respectively. The XRD profiles reveal the presence $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ in lattice planes (211) and (031). In addition, the deposition of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder particles is identified at (002) and (103), corresponding to lattice planes on both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ machined surfaces.

| Element | Weight (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| CK | 22.79 |
| NK | 1.11 |
| OK | 5.12 |
| CrK | 14.08 |
| MnK | 1.26 |
| FeL | 48.14 |
| NiL | 6.14 |
| SiK | 0.52 |
| PK | 0.12 |
| SK | 0.19 |
| Mo L | 0.43 |
| CuL | 0.10 |
| Totals | 100 |


| Element | Weight (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| CK | 17.65 |
| NK | 0.07 |
| OK | 4.35 |
| CrK | 12.20 |
| MnK | 1.20 |
| FeL | 56.00 |
| NiL | 7.71 |
| SiK | 0.22 |
| FK | 0.01 |
| SK | 0.17 |
| MoL | 0.14 |
| CuL | 0.28 |
| Totals | 100 |


| Element | Weight $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| CK | 27.05 |
| NK | 1.80 |
| OK | 7.60 |
| SiK | 0.03 |
| SK | 0.09 |
| CrK | 13.53 |
| MrK | 1.06 |
| FeK | 44.20 |
| MiK | 3.65 |
| CuK | 0.70 |
| MoL | 0.29 |
| Total | 100 |



Figure 19. Cont.

Element Weight (\%)

| CK | 22.16 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NK | 0.67 |
| OK | 9.27 |
| SiK | 0.23 |
| PK | 0.11 |
| SK | 0.35 |
| GrK | 14.56 |
| MnK | 0.14 |
| FeL | 47.05 |
| NiL | 4.51 |
| MoL | 0.95 |
| Total | 100 |

Element Weight (\%)

| CK | 28.41 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NK | 1.98 |
| OK | 4.48 |
| SiK | 0.29 |
| FK | 0.10 |
| GrK | 13.01 |
| MnK | 0.38 |
| FeK | 44.78 |
| NiK | 5.26 |
| CuK | 0.81 |
| MoL | 0.51 |
| Total | 100 |


| Element | Weight $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| CK | 14.52 |
| NK | 0.38 |
| OK | 6.48 |
| SiK | 0.56 |
| PK | 0.18 |
| SK | 0.26 |
| CrK | 12.00 |
| MnK | 1.12 |
| FeL | 56.28 |
| NiL | 8.04 |
| MoL | 0.18 |
| Total | 100 |


(d) $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{d}}=750 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}\right)$

(e) $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=9 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}\right)$

(f) $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=9 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=80 \mathrm{~V}\right)$

Figure 19. Cont.

Element Weight (\%)

| CK | 17.31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NK | 0.17 |
| OK | 6.84 |
| SiK | 0.42 |
| PK | 0.20 |
| SK | 0.71 |
| CrL | 16.44 |
| FeL | 50.53 |
| NiL | 3.51 |
| CuL | 2.55 |
| MoL | 1.32 |
| Total | 100 |

Element Weight (\%)

| CK | 9.25 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NK | 0.44 |
| OK | 4.99 |
| SiK | 0.75 |
| SK | 0.09 |
| CrL | 14.23 |
| FeL | 60.8 |
| NiL | 8.13 |
| CuL | 0.28 |
| MoL | 1.04 |
| Total | 100 |


(g) $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=40 \mathrm{~V}\right)$

(h) $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{d}}=300 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}=40 \mathrm{~V}\right)$

Figure 19. EDS analysis under various input parameters.

(a) Un-machined surface

(b) $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

(c) $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$

Figure 20. XRD pattern of workpiece surface.

## 4. Conclusions

In this study, an axisymmetric two-dimensional thermal model is developed to predict the crater morphology of AISI 304 steel surfaces formed by suspending $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder particles into the dielectric in the EDM process. Experiments were conducted using $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder particles of mean size $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ and by selecting a wide range of input process parameters. Using the OFAT approach, the impact of discharge duration, peak current and gap voltage on crater morphology and chemical alteration in machined surfaces are analysed. During experimentation, one parameter is varied at a time by keeping the remaining input parameters constant; a summary of the proposed work is listed below.

- With the rise in discharge duration magnitudes, the crater diameter and depth grow proportionately for both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. However, the $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ produces a large crater diameter, whereas the $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ produces a deeper crater on the machined surface. In comparison with the experimentally formed crater geometry, the proposed model predicts crater diameter with an average absolute error of $3.34 \%$ and $0.19 \%$ for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$, respectively. Consequently, for crater depth, the proposed model holds good up to $300 \mu$ s discharge duration. At larger magnitudes of discharge duration ( $>300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ), owing to the lessened energy intensity inside the compressed vapour bubble, the melted material is not completely expelled from the spark vicinity, which leads to a reduced crater depth. This resulted in over-prediction compared to the experimental data. A partial discharge phenomenon is noticed at higher values of discharge duration. Using SEM micrographs and pulse train data, the partial discharge is conceived.
- For each peak current magnitude, the $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ powder produces large diameter crater, consequently the $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ powder produce craters with greater depths. With the rise in peak current values, the energy intensity inside the vapour bubble grows, resulting in the formation of larger and deeper craters. In comparison with the experimental results, the developed model forecasts crater diameter with $7.32 \%$ and $3.65 \%$ error for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ respectively. The simulated result predicts crater depth with an error of $1.06 \%$ and $0.23 \%$ for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ respectively.
- Varying the gap voltage from small to large magnitudes, crater dimension grows proportionately for both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ powder. The developed model estimates crater diameter with an error of $2.76 \%$ and $2.78 \%$ for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ respectively. In comparison to the experimentally formed crater depth, the simulated model estimates with an error of $0.52 \%$ for $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ powder and $0.80 \%$ for $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ powder.
- Compared to $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, the $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ condition produced surfaces with lessened SCD for discharge duration $10 \mu \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~s}$; peak current 0.5 A and gap voltage 20 V . The raise in input parameter magnitudes, more severe micro-cracks are noticed on machined surface.
- In both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$, the negative skewness is identified on surfaces machined with discharge duration $50 \mu \mathrm{~s}, 750 \mu \mathrm{~s}, 3000 \mu \mathrm{~s}$; peak current 0.5 A and gap voltage 80 V, 100 V, 120 V.
- The chemical alteration of the machined surfaces is analysed for both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90$ nm by varying input parameters. As is perceived from the EDS profile, more $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ powder deposits on surface machined with $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ than $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$. The XRD profile revealed the presence of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ at (002)] and (103) lattice planes on both $\Phi 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\Phi 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ machined surfaces.

The proposed work can be extended for the deposition of varied powder particles on difficult-to-machine material surfaces, producing components with improved load-bearing capacity using the powder mixed electrical discharge machining process.


#### Abstract

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, validation, investigation, software, writing original draft preparation, R.J.V.; Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, writing-review and editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition, G.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India grant number ECR/2016/001326 and the APC was funded by Vellore Institute of Technology Chennai campus.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable. Data Availability Statement: Data presented in this study are available in this article. Acknowledgments: The authors express their gratitude to Science and Engineering Study Board (SERB), Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, for the financial assistance provided for this research under the file number ECR/2016/001326.


Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Gostimirovic, M.; Kovac, P.; Sekulic, M.; Skoric, B. Influence of discharge energy on machining characteristics in EDM. J. Mech. Sci. Technol. 2012, 26, 173-179. [CrossRef]
2. Kunieda, M.; Lauwers, B.; Rajurkar, K.P.; Schumacher, B.M. Advancing EDM through fundamental insight into the process. CIRP Ann.-Manuf. Technol. 2005, 54, 64-87. [CrossRef]
3. Joshi, S.N.; Pande, S.S. Thermo-physical modeling of die sinking EDM process. J. Manuf. Process. 2010, 12, 45-56. [CrossRef]
4. Sundriyal, S.; Yadav, J.; Walia, R.S.; Vipin; Kumar, R. Thermophysical-Based Modeling of Material Removal in Powder Mixed Near-Dry Electric Discharge Machining. J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 2020, 29, 6550-6569. [CrossRef]
5. Kansal, H.K.; Singh, S.; Kumar, P. Numerical simulation of powder mixed electric discharge machining (PMEDM) using finite element method. Math. Comput. Model. 2008, 47, 1217-1237. [CrossRef]
6. Soni, J.S.; Chakraverti, G. Machining characteristics of titanium with rotary electro-discharge machining. Wear 1994, 171, 51-58. [CrossRef]
7. Prihandana, G.S.; Sriani, T.; Mahardika, M.; Hamdi, M.; Miki, N.; Wong, Y.S.; Mitsui, K. Application of powder suspended in dielectric fluid for fine finish micro-EDM of Inconel 718. Int. J. Adv. Manuf. 2014, 75, 599-613. [CrossRef]
8. Rajaguru, J.; Kumar, P.; Arunachalam, N. Novel carbon nanotubes reinforced copper composite electrode for improved performance of electric discharge machining. Mater. Lett. 2022, 307, 131063. [CrossRef]
9. Pecas, P.; Henriques, E. Influence of silicon powder-mixed dielectric on conventional electrical discharge machining. Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf. 2003, 43, 1465-1471. [CrossRef]
10. Wong, Y.S.; Lim, L.C.; Rahuman, I.; Tee, W.M. Near-mirror-finish phenomenon in EDM using powder-mixed dielectric. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 1998, 79, 30-40. [CrossRef]
11. Furutani, K.; Shiraki, K. Deposition of Lubricant Layer during Finishing Process by Electrical Discharge Machining with Molybdenum Disulfide Powder Suspended in Working Fluid. JSME/ASME Int. Conf. Mater. Process. 2002, 10.2., 468-473. [CrossRef]
12. Luzia, C.A.O.; Laurindo, C.A.H.; Soares, P.C.; Torres, R.D.; Mendes, L.A.; Amorim, F.L. Recast layer mechanical properties of tool steel after electrical discharge machining with silicon powder in the dielectric. Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 2019, 103, 15-28. [CrossRef]
13. Kumar, $H$. Development of mirror like surface characteristics using nano powder mixed electric discharge machining (NPMEDM). Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 2015, 76, 105-113. [CrossRef]
14. Tzeng, Y.F.; Lee, C.Y. Effects of Powder Characteristics on Electro discharge Machining Efficiency. Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 2001, 17, 586-592. [CrossRef]
15. Bhattacharya, A.; Batish, A. Predictor equations for estimating crater dimensions in PMEDM process using fem simulation and experimental validation. Mater. Sci. Forum 2013, 751, 45-60. [CrossRef]
16. Singh, H.; Bhattacharya, A.; Batish, A. Finite element modeling and analysis of powder mixed electric discharge machining process for temperature distribution and volume removal considering multiple craters. Int. J. Model. Simul. Sci. Comput. 2014, 5, 1450009. [CrossRef]
17. Snoeys, R.; Van Dijck, F.S. Investigation of electro discharge machining operations by means of thermo-mathematical model. CIRP Ann. 1971, 20, 35-37.
18. Van Dijck, F.S.; Dutre, W.L. Heat conduction model for the calculation of the volume of molten metal in electric discharges. J. Phys. D 1974, 7, 899-910. [CrossRef]
19. Pandey, P.C.; Jilanf, S.T. Plasma channel growth and the resolidified layer in EDM Thermal modelling of the EDM process. Precis Eng. 1986, 8, 104-110. [CrossRef]
20. DiBitonto, D.D.; Eubank, P.T.; Patel, M.R.; Barrufet, M.A. Theoretical models of the electrical discharge machining process. I. A simple cathode erosion model. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 4095-4103. [CrossRef]
21. Patel, M.R.; Barrufet, M.A.; Eubank, P.T.; DiBitonto, D.D. Theoretical models of the electrical discharge machining process. II. The anode erosion model. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 4104-4111. [CrossRef]
22. Tang, J.; Yang, X. A novel thermo-hydraulic coupling model was developed to investigate the formation of craters in electrical discharge machining. J. Phys. D 2017, 50, 36. [CrossRef]
23. Tao, J.; Ni, J.; Shih, A.J. Modeling of the anode crater formation in electrical discharge machining. J. Manuf. Sci. Eng. Trans. ASME 2012, 134, 011002. [CrossRef]
24. Giridharan, A.; Samuel, G.L. Investigation into erosion rate of AISI 4340 steel during wire electrical discharge turning process. Mach. Sci. Technol. 2018, 22, 287-298. [CrossRef]
25. George, J.; Mathew, J.; Manu, R. Determination of Crater Morphology and 3D Surface Roughness in Wire Electrical Discharge Turning of Inconel 825. Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 2020, 45, 5109-5127. [CrossRef]
26. Yeo, S.H.; Kurnia, W.; Tan, P.C. Critical assessment and numerical comparison of electro-thermal models in EDM. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 2008, 203, 241-251. [CrossRef]
27. Shabgard, M.; Oliaei, S.N.B.; Seyedzavvar, M.; Najadebrahimi, A. Experimental investigation and 3D finite element prediction of the white layer thickness, heat affected zone, and surface roughness in EDM process. J. Mech. Sci. Technol. 2011, 25, 3173-3183. [CrossRef]
28. Shabgard, M.; Ahmadi, R.; Seyedzavvar, M.; Oliaei, S.N.B. Mathematical and numerical modeling of the effect of input-parameters on the flushing efficiency of plasma channel in EDM process. Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf. 2013, 65, 79-87. [CrossRef]
29. Jithin, S.; Bhandarkar, U.V.; Joshi, S.S. Analytical Simulation of Random Textures Generated in Electrical Discharge Texturing. J. Manuf. Sci. Eng. Trans. ASME 2017, 139, 111002. [CrossRef]
30. Rajeswari, R.; Shunmugam, M.S. Comparative evaluation of powder-mixed and ultrasonic-assisted rough die-sinking electrical discharge machining based on pulse characteristics. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. B J. Eng. Manuf. 2019, 233, 2515-2530. [CrossRef]
31. Ekmekci, B.; Yaşar, H.; Ekmekci, N. A discharge separation model for powder mixed electrical discharge machining. J. Manuf. Sci. Eng. Trans. ASME 2016, 138, 081006. [CrossRef]
32. Zhao, Y.; Kunieda, M.; Abe, K. EDM mechanism of Single Crystal SiC with respect to thermal, mechanical, and chemical aspects. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 2016, 236, 138-147. [CrossRef]
33. Vijaykumar, S.J.; Bagane, S. Thermo-electric modelling, simulation and experimental validation of powder mixed electric discharge machining (PMEDM) of BeCu alloys. Alex. Eng. J. 2018, 57, 643-653. [CrossRef]
34. Shankar, P.; Jain, V.K.; Sundararajan, T. Analysis of spark profiles during EDM process. Mach. Sci. Technol. 1997, 1, 195-217. [CrossRef]
35. Ikai, T.; Fujita, I.; Hashiguchi, K. Heat Input Radius for Crater Formation in the Electric Discharge Machining. IEEJ Trans. Ind. Appl. 1992, 112, 943-949. [CrossRef]
36. Lee, H.T.; Tai, T.Y. Relationship between EDM parameters and surface crack formation. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 2003, 142, 676-683. [CrossRef]
37. Kumar, R.A.; Pragya, S. Study of surface crack density and microhardness of Aluminium 6061 alloy machined by EDM with mixed powder and assisted magnetic field. J. Micromanuf. 2021, 251659842110164. [CrossRef]
38. Govindan, P.; Joshi, S.S. Analysis of micro-cracks on machined surfaces in dry electrical discharge machining. J. Manuf. Process. 2012, 14, 277-288. [CrossRef]
39. D'Urso, G.; Giardini, C.; Quarto, M. Characterization of surfaces obtained by micro-EDM milling on steel and ceramic components. Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 2018, 97, 2077-2085. [CrossRef]
40. Coelho, F.; Koshy, P. Vibration damping capability of electrical discharge machined surfaces: Characteristics, mechanism and application. Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf. 2022, 177, 103888. [CrossRef]
41. Zeng, Q.; Qin, Y.; Chang, W.; Luo, X. Correlating and evaluating the functionality-related properties with surface texture parameters and specific characteristics of machined components. Int. J. Mech. Sci. 2018, 149, 62-72. [CrossRef]
42. Tran, T.H.; Nguyen, M.C.; Luu, A.T.; Do, T.V.; Le, T.Q.; Vu, T.T.; Tran, N.G.; Do, T.T.; Vu, N.P. Electrical Discharge Machining with SiC Powder-Mixed Dielectric: An Effective Application in the Machining Process of Hardened 90CrSi Steel. Machines 2020, 8, 36. [CrossRef]
43. Rehman, A.u.; Arif, W.; Hussain, M.I.; Miran, S.; Hussain, S.; Lee, G.H. Analysis of Particle Size and Concentration in Die Sinking Electric Discharge Machining. Materials 2022, 15, 4932. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Nguyen, A.T.; Le, X.H.; Nguyen, V.T.; Phan, D.P.; Tran, Q.H.; Nguyen, D.N.; Nguyen, M.C.; Vu, N.P. Optimizing Main Process Parameters When Conducting Powder-Mixed Electrical Discharge Machining of Hardened 90CrSi. Machines 2021, 9, 375. [CrossRef]
45. Nguyen, H.Q.; Nguyen, V.T.; Phan, D.P.; Tran, Q.H.; Vu, N.P. Multi-Criteria Decision Making in the PMEDM Process by Using MARCOS, TOPSIS, and MAIRCA Methods. Appl. Sci. 2022, 12, 3720. [CrossRef]
46. Alhodaib, A.; Shandilya, P.; Rouniyar, A.K.; Bisaria, H. Experimental Investigation on Silicon Powder Mixed-EDM of Nimonic-90 Superalloy. Metals 2021, 11, 1673. [CrossRef]
47. Abdudeen, A.; Abu Qudeiri, J.E.; Kareem, A.; Ahammed, T.; Ziout, A. Recent Advances and Perceptive Insights into PowderMixed Dielectric Fluid of EDM. Micromachines 2020, 11, 754. [CrossRef]
48. Chatterton, P.A.; Menon, M.M.; Srivastava, K.D. Processes involved in the triggering of vacuum breakdown by low velocity microparticles. J. Appl. Phys. 1972, 43, 4536. [CrossRef]
49. Eslami, G.; Esmaeilzadeh, E.; Perez, T.A. Modeling of conductive particle motion in viscous medium affected by anelectric field considering particle-electrode interactions and micro discharge phenomenon. Phys. Fluids 2016, 28, 107102. [CrossRef]
50. Zhao, W.S.; Meng, Q.G.; Wang, Z.L. The application of research on powder mixed EDM in rough machining. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 2002, 129, 30-33. [CrossRef]
51. Bui, V.D.; Mwangi, J.W.; Meinshausen, A.-K.; Mueller, A.J.; Bertrand, J.; Schubert, A. Antibacterial coating of Ti-6Al-4V surface using silver nano-powder mixed electrical discharge machining. Surf. Coat. Technol. 2020, 383, 125254. [CrossRef]
52. ANSYS Manuals Version 16.0; ANSYS TM Inc.: Irvine, CA, USA.
53. Pan, C.; Tang, J.; Chen, G.; Zhang, Y.; Luo, X. Review about PD and breakdown induced by conductive particles in an insulating liquid. High Volt. 2020, 5, 287-297. [CrossRef]
54. Whitehouse, D.J. Handbook of Surface and Nanometrology; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2011.
